Natural resistance of soybean cultivars to the soybean looper larva *Chrysodeixis includens* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae)
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Abstract – The objective of this work was to evaluate the natural resistance of soybean cultivars to *Chrysodeixis includens*. For this, four commercial soybean cultivars recommended for the Southern region of Brazil were used: BR 36, NA 5909 RG, BMX Turbo RR, and Benso 1RR. In the laboratory, larvae were subjected to the antixenosis feeding assay, in which they were free or not to choose among old leaves, new leaves, and pods. Neonate larvae were subjected to two antibiosis tests: in the first one, they were fed with leaves from the middle third of the plants of the four cultivars; and, in the second one, with leaves or pods of the NA 5909 RG cultivar. *Chrysodeixis includens* larvae prefer leaves over pods and old leaves over new ones. The Benso 1RR cultivar extends the development cycle of *C. includens*, but only BMX Turbo RR will reduce the population growth of larvae in the next generations.

Index terms: integrated pest management, IPM, lepidopteran pests, plant resistance, Plusiinae.

**Introduction**

The soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill] crop has a prominent position in the Brazilian economy, with an annual output exceeding 95 million tons. Therefore, compared with other countries, Brazil is positioned as the second largest producer of soybean, accounting for approximately 30% of global production (Campos, 2010; Usda, 2016).

The significant socioeconomic importance of soybean has promoted an increased demand for high-yield grain cultivars. However, soybean production is limited by several factors, especially pest insects that can cause significant losses, particularly in the absence of control measures (Carvalho et al., 2012; Hoffmann-Campo et al., 2012; Oliveira et al., 2014).

In Argentina, Brazil, Chile, and Uruguay, 69 species of the order Lepidoptera have been associated with the soybean crop (Formentini et al., 2015). The majority of the larvae are considered defoliators, such as the velvetbean caterpillar *Anticarsia gemmatalis* Hübner, 1818, and the soybean looper *Chrysodeixis includens* Walker, 1858. However, some species, including those of the genera *Spodoptera*, *Helicoverpa*, and *Heliothis*, can also damage the pods by feeding on them (Santos et al., 2010; Hoffmann-Campo et al., 2012; Formentini et al., 2015); these injuries to the pods reduce soybean grain yield and quality (Rogers & Brier, 2010; Shankar et al., 2014).

During recent years, *C. includens* has been considered a primary pest in Brazil, mainly due...
Natural resistance of soybean cultivars to the soybean looper and cosmyoides cultivars recommended for the Southern region of Brazil

As lepidopteran pests species affecting the soybean crop, dry bean (Morando et al., 2015), as well as of other soybean (Rose et al., 1989; Piubelli et al., 2005) and C can be used for the management of plants that are naturally resistant to insects and that agents (Sosa-Gómez et al., 2010).

An alternative control measure is the use of plants that are naturally resistant to insects and that can be used for the management of C. includens in soybean (Rose et al., 1989; Piubelli et al., 2005) and dry bean (Morando et al., 2015), as well as of other lepidopteran pests species affecting the soybean crop, such as A. gemmatalis (Fugi et al., 2005), Spodoptera cosmooides Walker, 1858 (Boiça Júnior et al., 2015), and S. eridania Cramer, 1782 (Favetti et al., 2015). This control method allows reducing insect populations to levels that do not cause economic damages to plants, avoiding ecological imbalances and accumulative waste in the environment and foods (Hoffmann-Campo et al., 2012).

Cultivars that slow the development of insect pests or adversely affect their biological variables also extend their exposure to abiotic and biotic environmental adversities, such as natural parasitism in the field (Bürgi & Mills, 2013). An additional possible advantage is the reduction of the need for insecticide application during the crop cycle in the field, lowering environmental contamination (Hoffmann-Campo et al., 2012).

The objective of this work was to evaluate the natural resistance of soybean cultivars to Chrysodeixis includens.

Materials and Methods

Three experiments with four commercial soybean cultivars recommended for the Southern region of Brazil were conducted in a climate chamber, in a laboratory, at 25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase, consisting of one feeding antixenosis assay and two antibiosis assays.

In a greenhouse, seeds of the BR 36, NA 5909 RG, BMX Turbo RR, and Benso 1RR cultivars were sown in 3.5-L pots, containing gardening substrate and soil (1:1), and were fertilized as recommended for the crop. In order to avoid possible interferences to larvae feeding, no pesticides were applied during the cultivation of the plants.

To conduct the assays, C. includens larvae were collected during March 2014 from a ‘NA 5909 RG’ soybean crop planted on a commercial farm located in the municipality of Lages, in the state of Santa Catarina, Brazil (27°52'02"S, 50°19'28"W). In the laboratory, larvae and adults were fed on an artificial diet and on a 10% honey/distilled water solution (w/v), respectively. Rearing was maintained in the climate chamber at 25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase (Panizzi & Parra, 2009).

In the antixenosis assay, larvae were free or not (free-choice and no-choice tests, respectively) to choose among new leaves, old leaves, and pods, which were obtained from the four soybean cultivars in the R5 growth stage, i.e., at the beginning of seed filling (Fehr & Caviness, 1977). New leaves were collected from the upper third of the plant, which was not fully distended; old leaves were collected from the lower third of the plant, which was distended and not senescent; and pods were collected from the whole plant. The leaf samples were collected in the form of discs, each with 2.24 cm in diameter. Two and four leaf discs were provided per replicate and per cultivar for the free and no-choice tests, respectively, whereas one pod per replicate and per cultivar was used in both tests.

In the free-choice test, new leaves, old leaves, and pods of each cultivar were randomly selected and placed equidistantly from each other. All plant structures were fixed above a filter paper moistened with distilled water, in the interior of a glass Petri dish (30×2 cm), which was filled with solid paraffin. Four fifth-instar larvae were placed inside each dish. A total of 15 replicates were performed for each treatment, and each replicate consisted of one Petri dish.

For the no-choice test, each plant structure, i.e., new leaf, old leaf, or pod, was placed inside a 145-mL plastic container above a filter paper moistened with distilled water, in order to maintain leaf turgor. In each replicate, one fourth-instar larva was transferred to a plant, totaling 30 replicates per treatment.

After 24 hours of larval feeding in both tests, leaf area and weight of the remaining pods were measured, respectively, using a leaf area meter, model LI 3000A (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA) and an analytical balance,
model AY220 (Shimadzu do Brasil, Barueri, SP, Brazil). Subsequently, the percentage consumption by larvae was calculated by comparing the provided structures (leaf area and pod weight) with 15 replicates that were maintained under identical environmental conditions, but without the presence of larvae. These replicates were carried out to calculate the leaf area and pod weight of the structures provided in this test.

Two antibiosis assays were also conducted. During the first one, leaves were collected from the middle third of the soybean plant, without distinguishing between new and old ones. The leaves were detached from the four evaluated cultivars after the R2 phenological stage, i.e., full bloom (Fehr & Caviness, 1977). Leaf discs with 2.24 cm in diameter were supplied to neonate larvae (< 24 hours old) individually in 145-mL plastic containers lined with filter paper previously moistened with distilled water. For each cultivar, 100 replicates were performed. Twenty-five replicates for each cultivar, maintained under identical environmental conditions, did not receive any insects and served as a control.

Assessments were performed daily during early morning until the insects reached the pre-pupal stage. During each evaluation day, the numbers of live larvae were counted, the food remains were removed, and new leaf discs were added. From the collected data, the durations of larval and pupal stages, larval and pupal mortality, egg-to-adult duration, weight of 13-day-old larvae, leaf consumption during the larval stage, weight of pupae at 24 hours of age, and pupae deformation were calculated, according to Panizzi & Parra (2009).

To determine leaf consumption, the food remains and leaf discs of the control were deposited separately in paper bags according to replicate and treatment. After the end of the larval stage, the leaf discs were dried in an oven with forced-air circulation, at 60°C, for three days, in order to obtain dry weight (g). The dry weight was then transformed into area (cm²) by using the difference between the weight of the control leaves and of the leaf remains, as proposed by Castro et al. (2008).

During adulthood, the insects were grouped into pairs of males and females, with up to one day apart, in a 10×20-cm polyvinyl chloride (PVC) tube lined internally with a white paper sheet for moth oviposition. The insects were supplied with 10% honey/distilled water solution (w/v). Evaluations of male and female longevities, number of eggs per female, and embryonic duration of eggs were performed daily. The biological variables obtained were used to construct a life table that estimated the variables intrinsic rate of increase, net reproductive rate, mean generation time, doubling time, and finite rate of increase (Maia et al., 2000).

The second antibiosis assay was established to verify the effect of C. includens larvae on the leaves and pods of the NA 5909 RG cultivar. The treatments consisted of: leaves throughout the entire larval stage (leaves); pods throughout the entire larval stage (pods); leaves plus pods from the fifth instar (leaves+pods); and leaves replaced by pods from the fifth instar (leaves/pods).

The soybean plant structures of each treatment were placed inside 145-mL plastic containers lined with filter paper previously moistened with distilled water, with 100 replicates per treatment. The leaves and pods were provided from the middle third of the plants in the R5 growth stage. For the leaf treatment, each replicate began with one leaf, then new leaves were added and senescent leaves were removed daily. For the pod treatment, one pod was added per replicate, and pods were replaced as consumption was noted. Daily evaluations were used to determine larval stage, larval mortality, larval weight at 13 days of age, and weight of pupa at 24 hours of age.

For the feeding antixenosis assay, the data were subjected to analysis of variance (Anova) by the F-test, in a factorial design of four cultivars × three plant structures. Means were compared by Tukey’s test and by linear contrast between leaf × pod and old leaf × new leaf (p>0.01).

For both antibiosis assays, data were transformed using (x+1)^0.5 and subjected to Anova, whereas means were compared by Tukey’s test, at 1% probability. When the variables did not show a normal distribution regarding larval and pupal mortality and pupae deformation, the data were subjected to the non-parametric, chi-squared (χ²) Kruskal-Wallis test. Statistical analyzes were performed using the SAS software, version 9.0 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA).

**Results and Discussion**

The antixenosis assay showed that C. includens larvae differed regarding consumption of soybean leaves and pods, when compared by linear contrast, in
the free-choice test (F = 104.19; df = 11, 168; p=0.0001) and in the no-choice test (F = 664.70; df = 11, 348; p=0.0001). In this analysis, the lowest consumption by larvae occurred in the pods (Table 1).

Differences in larval consumption of old and new leaves, also compared by linear contrast, were evident in the free-choice (F = 56.92; df = 11, 168; p=0.0001) and in the no-choice (F = 10.21; df = 11, 348; p=0.0015) tests. In this case, the lowest larval consumption was of new leaves (Table 1).

Interactions between soybean cultivars and plant structures were verified both in the free-choice test (F = 104.19; df = 11, 168; p=0.0001) and in the no-choice test (F = 664.70; df = 11, 348; p=0.0001). The least consumed old leaves were those from NA 5909 RG and Benso 1RR in the free-choice test among cultivars (Table 1); however, no differences were found for the consumption of old leaves in the no-choice test.

Feeding on soybean pods did not exceed an average of 5.6% of the weight of the provided pod for all cultivars in the no-choice test (Table 1). However, in approximately 50% of the soybean pods, the presence of injuries was observed. Shankar et al. (2014) pointed out that soybean pods damaged by insects could be susceptible to moisture and pathogens that affect grain quality, also reducing grain yield.

The least attacked plant structures under equal conditions presented antixenosis-type resistance. Morando et al. (2015) found that *C. includens* also showed antixenosis for feeding and oviposition in dry bean cultivars. The authors concluded that these characteristics can be used as a tool in dry bean breeding programs to obtain cultivars resistant to insect pests.

The first antibiosis assay indicated that the greatest antibiosis effect was found for the Benso 1RR cultivar when compared with BR 36, NA 5909 RG, and BMX Turbo RR, delaying the larval stage of *C. includens*. That cultivar also showed the longest larval stage, resulting in an increase of 8.8% in larval duration (F = 16.33; df = 3, 245; p=0.0001) and of 5.6% in egg-adult duration (F = 15.32; df = 3, 174; p=0.0001), in comparison with the average of the other cultivars. The duration of the pupal stage, which was between 7.5 and 7.7 days (F = 0.56; df = 3, 174; p=0.6390) (Table 2), and the percentage of pupae deformation, which was between 7.8 and 20.6% ($\chi^2 = 4.62$; df = 3; p=0.2019), were not affected; however, there was a difference in pupae mortality, which varied between 8.5 and 53.7% ($\chi^2 = 17.38$; df = 3; p=0.0006).

The larvae that fed on the Benso 1RR cultivar showed an increased foliar consumption of 3.9% (F = 20.47; df = 3, 266; p=0.0001) compared with the average of the other cultivars. However, the lowest weights of larvae, with reduction of 14.5% (F = 25.29; df = 3, 302; p=0.0001), and of pupae, with reduction of 12.5% (F = 28.98; df = 3, 239; p=0.0001), were also observed for this cultivar (Table 2).

While evaluating *C. includens* feeding on different plant hosts, Andrade et al. (2016) reported that the consumption of soybean leaves was of 141.4 cm² until the complete larval stage, which is similar to the result found in the present study. According to Bueno et al. (2011), soybean leaf consumption by *C. includens* varied among cultivars, ranging between 63.9 and 92.7 cm², less than the values found in the present study.

No significant difference was observed regarding larval mortality, which ranged from 36 to 42% ($\chi^2 = 1.40$; df = 3; p=0.7046). When studying *C. includens* in soybean, Andrade et al. (2016) found larval mortality of 15%, different from the value estimated in the present study, proving the antibiosis effect on the tested cultivars.

### Table 1.

Percentage (mean±standard error) of soybean (*Glycine max*) consumption by fifth-instar (free-choice test) and fourth-instar (no-choice test) larvae of *Chrysodeixis includens* fed on old leaves, new leaves, and pods under laboratory conditions (25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase)\(^1\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Old leaf (mean±SE)</th>
<th>New leaf (mean±SE)</th>
<th>Pod (mean±SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMX Turbo RR</td>
<td>46.7±5.70aA</td>
<td>15.6±5.22aB</td>
<td>0.12±0.03aC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 36</td>
<td>44.6±6.31abA</td>
<td>19.4±3.57aB</td>
<td>0.05±0.04aC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benso 1RR</td>
<td>24.3±4.37bcA</td>
<td>9.5±3.21aB</td>
<td>0.02±0.02aC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA 5909 RG</td>
<td>10.7±2.91cA</td>
<td>7.6±2.62aA</td>
<td>0.07±0.03aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>54.49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Old leaf (mean±SE)</th>
<th>New leaf (mean±SE)</th>
<th>Pod (mean±SE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMX Turbo RR</td>
<td>39.1±2.94aA</td>
<td>35.3±2.67aB</td>
<td>1.7±0.18aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 36</td>
<td>39.1±2.28aA</td>
<td>39.4±1.87aA</td>
<td>2.4±0.19aB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benso 1RR</td>
<td>33.9±1.90abA</td>
<td>28.2±2.30abA</td>
<td>2.5±1.27abA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA 5909 RG</td>
<td>35.6±2.41abA</td>
<td>26.8±2.06abA</td>
<td>5.6±1.89abA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>23.63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\)Means followed by equal letters, uppercase in the rows and lowercase in the columns, do not differ by Tukey's test, at 1% probability. CV, coefficient of variation. The data were transformed by (x + 1)\(^0.5\).
The following biological variables of the adult insect did not differ according to the cultivar provided at the larval stage: pre-oviposition duration (F = 0.26; df = 3, 34; p = 0.8378), average number of eggs per female (F = 0.11; df = 3, 24; p = 0.5440), embryonic duration of eggs (3±0 days), male longevity (F = 1.01; df = 3, 36; p = 0.4015), and female longevity (F = 4.04; df = 3, 36; p = 0.0142).

Favetti et al. (2015) evaluated the biology of *S. eridania* in four soybean cultivars and also found differences in the duration of the larval stage, as well as of the pupal stage; however, no differences in pre-oviposition duration, number of eggs, and male and female longevity. Fugi et al. (2005) also observed a reduction in the larval stage of *A. gemmatalis* among soybean cultivars; however, contrary to Favetti et al. (2015), the authors reported differences related to female longevity, number of eggs, and egg stage duration.

Specht et al. (2016) assessed the reproduction potential of *S. eridania* in soybean and noticed that the number of copulated females was not affected by the size of pupae; however, differences were observed while analyzing egg fertility and adult longevity.

Souza et al. (2014) studied ten soybean cultivars and found that the duration and survival rate of the larval stage, the duration of the pupal stage, cycle duration, and larval weight were the main biological variables that contributed to the evaluation of the antibiosis effect on *S. eridania*. In the present study, the same evaluated variables also played an important role in determining the effect of soybean antibiosis on *C. includens*.

The increase in the larval stage and, therefore, in the egg-adult duration, was associated with a larger amount of food for the insect to complete its development. This can be explained by the presence of any compound that is toxic to the larva, such as coumestrol (Rose et al., 1989) and flavonoids, including rutin and genistein (Piubelli et al., 2005).

According to Souza et al. (2014), the PI 227687 and PI 227682 soybean cultivars expressed high antibiosis effects on *S. eridania*, and IAC 100 and DM 339 were found to provide moderate resistance, extending the life cycle of this insect. Boiça Júnior et al. (2015) also observed that the Dowling cultivar extended the duration of the larval stage of *S. cosmioides*, which was considered as an indicative of low-quality food.

The life table showed differences only for the BMX Turbo RR cultivar, which were related to the variables net reproductive rate (p = 0.039) and finite rate of increase (p = 0.037), suggesting that, over the next generations, this cultivar will slow the development of *C. includens* larvae (Table 3). While evaluating biological parameters of *C. includens* larvae in soybean, Moonga & Davis (2016) detected similar results, with net reproductive rate varying from 2.6 to 55.

The second antibiosis assay with the NA 5909 RG cultivar showed that when the only source of food provided was soybean pods (pod treatment), the larvae were not able to reach the second instar as they experienced 100% mortality (Table 4); the

**Table 2.** Biological variables of the immature stage (mean±standard error) and leaf average consumption (LAC) (mean±standard error) of *Chrysodeixis includens* larvae fed on leaves of four soybean (*Glycine max*) cultivars under laboratory conditions (25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase).10

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivar</th>
<th>Duration (days)</th>
<th>Weight (g)</th>
<th>LAC (cm²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Larva</td>
<td>Egg-adult</td>
<td>Pupa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benso 1RR</td>
<td>22.2±0.28a</td>
<td>29.7±0.36a</td>
<td>7.6±0.08a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=64</td>
<td>(n=41)</td>
<td>(n=41)</td>
<td>(n=41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMX Turbo RR</td>
<td>20.1±0.24b</td>
<td>27.6±0.33b</td>
<td>7.7±0.09a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=58</td>
<td>(n=37)</td>
<td>(n=37)</td>
<td>(n=37)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BR 36</td>
<td>19.9±0.15b</td>
<td>27.8±0.18b</td>
<td>7.7±0.07a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=60</td>
<td>(n=47)</td>
<td>(n=47)</td>
<td>(n=47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA 5909 RG</td>
<td>19.4±0.46b</td>
<td>27.4±0.19b</td>
<td>7.5±0.16a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=67</td>
<td>(n=53)</td>
<td>(n=53)</td>
<td>(n=53)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CV (%) 12.21 6.23 10.08 3.06 1.12 5.43

10Means followed by equal letters in the columns do not differ by Tukey’s test, at 1% probability. For the analysis, the data were transformed by (x + 1)^{0.5}. CV, coefficient of variation; and n, number of insects.
average duration of survival in this treatment was only of 2.1 days. In the treatment in which the leaves were replaced by pods from the fifth instar (leaf/pod treatment), an increase of 15% was observed in the larval stage, in comparison with the shortest one (F = 29.35; df = 2, 265; p=0.0001).

The obtained result confirms the feeding antixenosis assay, in which the insect showed lower consumption of pods (Table 1). It is possible that the increases in development duration and particularly mortality rate are linked to nutritional characteristics such as biocide effects, low nutrient availability, or low palatability. As these characteristics prevented the continuity of larval development, resistance can be classified as of the antixenosis or even antibiosis type (Panizzi & Parra, 2009).

At the beginning of the fifth instar when pods were added (leaf+pod treatment), no difference was observed in larval development when compared with the treatment in which only leaves were provided (leaf treatment) (Table 4). However, 10.8% of the pods offered to the larvae were injured due to herbivory, indicating that those pods were bitten during the food selection process.

In the evaluations of larval (F = 98.95; df = 2, 229; p=0.0001) and pupal (F = 12.29; df = 2, 103; p=0.0001) weights, the lowest averages were observed in the leaf/pod treatment, with reductions of up to 61 and 17%, respectively, in comparison with the leaf treatment (Table 5). However, no differences were verified between the leaf and leaf+pod treatments regarding larval and pupal weights.

Chrysodeixis includens is known as a species that only defoliates soybean; however, other larvae species, such as Spodoptera spp., can also feed on pods (Formentini et al., 2015). Santos et al. (2010) concluded that even though S. eridania and S. cosmioides can feed on different cotton (Gossypium hirsutum L.) structures, their survival is highly dependent on the type of food. These authors found that the average larval survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Ro</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>DT</th>
<th>rm</th>
<th>λ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BR 36</td>
<td>22.2±8.97a</td>
<td>30.6±0.65a</td>
<td>6.4±1.28a</td>
<td>0.10±0.016a</td>
<td>1.10±0.018a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NA 5909 RG</td>
<td>8.3±3.88a</td>
<td>31.7±1.71a</td>
<td>8.9±3.20a</td>
<td>0.07±0.017a</td>
<td>1.01±0.178a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMX Turbo RR</td>
<td>4.6±1.95b</td>
<td>30.0±0.66a</td>
<td>10.8±5.32a</td>
<td>0.05±0.016a</td>
<td>1.05±0.017b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benso 1RR</td>
<td>28.8±17.95a</td>
<td>30.6±1.51a</td>
<td>5.1±2.19a</td>
<td>0.12±0.030a</td>
<td>1.12±0.033a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Fertility life table of Chrysodeixis includens on four soybean (Glycine max) cultivars under laboratory conditions (25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase)(1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Insect development stage (days)</th>
<th>1°</th>
<th>2°</th>
<th>3°</th>
<th>4°</th>
<th>5°</th>
<th>Pre-pupa</th>
<th>Larva</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves/Pods</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(n=100)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>9.46</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>9.13</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>12.31</td>
<td>7.81</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>3.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Duration of the larval stage (mean±standard error) of Chrysodeixis includens in leaves and pods of the soybean (Glycine max) cultivar NA 5909 RG under laboratory conditions (25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase)(2).

1) Means followed by equal letters in the columns do not differ by the jackknifing test, at 5% probability. Ro, net reproductive rate; T, mean generation time; DT, doubling time; rm, intrinsic rate of increase; and λ, finite rate of increase.

2) Leaves, leaves throughout the larval cycle; Pods, pods throughout the larval cycle; Leaves+Pods, leaves plus pods from the fifth instar; and Leaves/ Pods, leaves replaced by pods from the fifth instar. CV, coefficient of variation; and n, number of insects.
rate in different structures, i.e., leaves, floral buds, and bolls, was >70%; however, when the only structures provided were bolls, survival was <40%.

Therefore, although injuries may occur on the pod, the plant structure is not preferred by *C. includens*, negatively affecting its life cycle. This feeding antixenosis must be evaluated under field conditions, mainly to assess the real economic damage to this reproductive structure.

Considering that cultivars can slow insect development, affecting population dynamics and pest control methods, further work should be conducted on other plant resistance variables, such as oviposition antixenosis, in order to use the obtained information to recommend new cultivars or to improve soybean breeding programs (Souza et al., 2014; Boiça Júnior et al., 2015).

### Conclusions

1. The soybean (*Glycine max*) plant structure most preferred by *Chrysodeixis includens* is old leaves, and larvae do not develop on pods in all tested cultivars.

2. The Benso 1RR cultivar extends the life cycle of *C. includens*, showing the lowest larval and pupal weights.

3. The variables net reproductive rate and finite rate of increase suggest that the lowest development of *C. includens* in the next generations will occur in the BMX Turbo RR cultivar.

### Acknowledgments

To Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior (Capes), for scholarship to the first author; to Dr. Alexandre Specht from Embrapa Cerrados, for assistance in confirming the species *Chrysodeixis includens*; and to Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa e Inovação do Estado de Santa Catarina (Fapesc, Project No. TO 2013 TR 3395), for financial support.

### References


---

Table 5. Average larval and pupal weights (mean±standard error) of *Chrysodeixis includens* in leaves and pods of the soybean (*Glycine max*) cultivar NA 5909 RG under laboratory conditions (25±2°C, 60±10% relative humidity, and 14-hour photophase).)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Larval weight (g)</th>
<th>Pupal weight (g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leaves</td>
<td>0.287±0.01a</td>
<td>0.242±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pods</td>
<td>0.268±0.01a</td>
<td>0.255±0.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leaves+Pods</td>
<td>0.114±0.01b</td>
<td>0.204±0.01b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CV (%)</td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1) Means followed by equal letters in the columns do not differ by Tukey’s test, at 1% probability. For the analysis, the data were transformed by (x + 1)^0.5. 2) Leaves, leaves throughout the larval cycle; Pods, pods throughout the larval cycle; Leaves+Pods, leaves plus pods from the fifth instar; and Leaves/Pods, leaves replaced by pods from the fifth instar. CV, coefficient of variation; and n, number of insects.
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