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Abstract: Psychological evaluation instruments need to demonstrate their usefulness to respond to social problems and according to the reality of people. This study aimed to find evidence of convergent validity of the interpersonal relationship indicators and the cognitive triad of the Zulliger in the Comprehensive System (ZSC) with the Social Skills Inventory for the Elderly (IHSI Del-Prette). The sample consisted of 78 elderly individuals, between 60 and 96 years old (M = 76.07). As instruments, the sociodemographic and health characterization protocol was used, the Mental State Mini-Exam, the ZSC and the IHSI-Del-Prette. Pearson's correlation showed significant associations of the variables of the interpersonal relationship (PER), contents (Cg, A%) and cognitive triad (R, D, XA%, S-, X +%) of the ZSC, with the general score G and the IHSI Del-Prette factors F4, F2, F3 and F1. The results show the convergent validity of ZSC with IHSI Del-Prette.
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Psychological assessment instruments are of paramount importance in interpreting the psychological characteristics of people, but the legitimacy of the information depends on empirical research that certifies its psychometric properties. There are several ways to obtain evidence of validity of a test, among them the convergent validity understood as an association between two instruments that proposes...
to evaluate the same construct (American Educational Research Association [AERA], American Psychological Association [APA], & Nacjonal Council on Measurement in Education [NCME], 2014). Some Brazilian studies have sought evidence of validity with the use of Zulliger in the Comprehensive System (ZSC).

Grazziotin and Scortegagna (2012, 2013) performed two correlation studies of the ZSC focusing on the relationship and productivity variables, with the Social Skills Inventory (Portuguese acronym: IHS). The study included 19 people in the first study and 40 in the second, aged between 18 and 43 years. In the results, significant correlations \( p < 0.05 \) occurred, participants who demonstrated a positive representation of themselves and others in an accurate manner (GHR), exhibited more elaborate social skills (GIHS); those who presented better relations (GPHR, Pure H) showed greater social skill (F3); those who expressed increased interest and concern with people signaled more assertiveness (F1IHS) and; those that showed more conflicted relationships (PHR) had less self-control of aggressiveness (F5).

Tavella and Villemor-Amaral (2014) investigated whether ZSC cognitive and affective indicators correlated with the Test of Figural Creativity for Children (TFCC) in 90 children aged 11 and 12 years. The group of more creative children presented greater capacity of mental productivity and less conventionality demonstrated by the significant increase in the variables \( R, M \) and FQ- of the ZSC.

With the inclusion of the elderly, Franco and Villemor Amaral (2009) investigated the convergent validity between ZSC and Pfister, with 223 individuals between 19 and 83 years of age. The qualitative analysis showed complementarity between the techniques in understanding non-externalized feelings of anguish, oppositional reactions and cognitive resources of participants.

Two recent studies investigated the validity of ZSC in the evaluation of elderly people with chronic diseases. Rien, Scortegagna, Grazziotin and Bertolin (2017) evaluated 61 elderly people, between 60 and 90 years of age, 30 with Parkinson’s disease and 31 free of the disease, focusing on self-perception and interpersonal relations. The elderly with Parkinson’s disease presented negative self-criticism, feelings of devaluation and dependence, greater reserve and distrust in interpersonal relations, and less use of authoritarian defenses, demonstrated by the significant increase in variables \( Hd, MOR, Sum \, V, \, Fd \) and lowering in \( PER \). There was a positive association between ZSC variables and age, income, disease severity, and negative association with time of diagnosis.

Gregoleti and Scortegagna (2017) sought evidence of ZSC validity to assess cognitive aspects and interpersonal relationships of 60 elderly people, aged 65 years and over, 30 of whom had chronic kidney disease and 30 were free of the disease. The elderly with chronic kidney disease presented lower productivity, tendency to cognitive distortions (R, X-%) and difficulty in interpersonal relationships (PHR > GHR). The related studies with chronic diseases and elderly ratified the relevance of the ZSC to respond to the proposed objectives.

Two other studies, with the use of other ink stain instruments that bear similarities to Zulliger in both application and interpretation, were performed with elderly subjects at the international level. The first study by Pertchik, Shaffer, Erdberg and Margolin (2007) investigated the personality dynamics of 54 elderly, 60-80 years old, non-patients, with Rorschach, Comprehensive System. The older elderly presented defensive behaviors, seeking protection of self-esteem (PER) and need for unsatisfied contact (T). The second study, conducted by Meyer, Giromini, Viglione, Reese and Miura (2015) examined the association between education, age, ethnicity and gender, with Rorschach Performance Assessment System (R-PAS) variables using three clinical and non-clinical samples, including youth, adults and a limited number of elders. In the clinical sample of youngsters, the increase in age was mainly associated with more conventional perception and less processing of logical thinking.

As can be observed, studies of evidence of ZSC validity with the elderly are scarce, which is also portrayed in the literature (Grazziotin & Scortegagna, 2016). The longevity of populations worldwide and notably in Brazil is an achievement that encompasses factors such as well-being and health (World Health Organization [WHO], 2015) and may be accompanied by opportunities (trips, professional career, social interaction) (Scheibe And Carfensen, 2010, WHO, 2015), learning and exchange of experiences (Charles, 2005; Cully, LaVoie, & Gfeller, 2001), which motivates the increase of validity studies of instruments that focus on the constructs cognition, interpersonal relationship and social skills, to support the practice of psychological assessment in the better understanding of the elderly.

Cognitive processes refer to how people think about their experiences, adapting best when they can think coherently, logically, and flexibly. Interpersonal relationships, on the other hand, imply the degree of interaction and social isolation, in cooperation and aggressiveness, if one maintains a level of interest and intimacy for others, and perceives others and social situations empathically (Weiner, 2003).

Social skills (SS) imply the ability of the individual to organize thoughts, feelings, actions, and the balance of interpersonal relationships (assertiveness, affectivity, communication, aggressiveness and sexuality) (Del Prette & Del Prette, 2001). Adolescents and adults with higher scores in SS have less conflicted interpersonal relationships, higher academic and work performance (Feitosa, Del Prette, & Del Prette, 2012; Grazziotin & Scortegagna, 2012, 2013), higher social well-being and social interaction, lower social anxiety (Bolsoni-Silva & Loureiro, 2014; Pinto, Barham, & Del Prette, 2016).

Another instrument that is being studied for use with the elderly is the Social Skills Inventory, version for the elderly (IHSI-Del Prette). Historically, studies that sought to analyze the psychometric properties of the instrument date from 1998 to 2000, and for use with young adults aged 18 to 25 years in 2001 (Del Prette & Del Prette, 2013). Other studies have sought to broaden age ranges and reference standards, including the version for the elderly (Braz, Cómodo,
Del Prette, Del Prette, & Fontaine, 2013; Braz, Del Prette, & Del Prette, 2011; Carneiro, Falcone, Clark, Del Prette, & Del Prette, 2007), with factorial analyzes for this population (Braz, 2013).

Braz et al. (2011) evaluated the effects of a training program on assertive SS with 15 elderly, aged 60 and over, divided into two groups. An experimental group consisting of seven women and one man and a control group consisting of six women and one man. The results of the IHSI-Del Prette, adapted for the elderly (Carneiro et al., 2007) indicated significant differences between the pre-test and the post-test, with an increase in the overall SS score and increase of the assertiveness in the experimental group.

In the sequence, Braz et al. (2013) conducted two studies with the objective of investigating the correlation between the repertoire of SS among elderly parents and adult children, and the influence of the quality of the relation between them in the repertoire of these skills. The first study evaluated 142 dyads, adult parents and adolescent children; the second investigated the relationship between 77 elderly parents and 107 adult children. The results of IHS - Del-Prette, o IHS - Del-Prette, IHSI (Portuguese acronyms) adapted for the elderly (Carneiro et al., 2007) showed similarities for 29 of the 38 SS.

Although there are inherent differences between the instruments of psychological assessment (Dao, Prevatt, & Horne, 2008), the use of different methods can provide a global view to answer the problem investigated and bring increment to the interpretations (Dao et al., 2008; Mihura, 2012). Considering the above, this study aimed to find evidences of convergent validity of the interpersonal relationship indicators and the Zulliger cognitive triad in the Comprehensive System (ZSC) with the Social Skills Inventory for the Elderly (IHSI - Del-Prette).

The consolidation of this objective, points to the hypothesis that the more adjusted the people in their relationships and in the cognitive scope, the greater their SS repertoire. Based on this assumption, the ZSC variables that can report on interpersonal and cognitive adjustment (H, Sum H [(H)], GHR, COP, R, D, W, M, X +%, XA%, DQ +, Zf, P, a, Cg) may correlate significantly and positively with the general IHSI scores: G and F1, F2, F3, and F4. The variables of the ZSC that suggest limitation in the interpersonal and cognitive relationship (Hd, [(Hd)], PHR, Sum T, Fd, AG, isolation, A%, An, Dd, X-%, Xu%, S-% M, 2AB + (Art + Ay), p, PSV, DQv, MOR, Sum6, WSum6) may be significantly and negatively correlated with the IHSI general score G and F1, F2, F3 and F4. The PER variable can correlate significantly and positively with the F4 and, negatively with the general score G and F1, F2, F3 of the IHSI.

**Method**

**Participants**

A total of 78 healthy subjects, aged between 60 and 96 years ($M = 76.07, SD = 4.58$), 39 males (50%) and 39 females (50%), with a mean educational level of 6 ($SD = 4.01$), belonging to the low socioeconomic class, C1 (54%) and B2 (26%), coming from institutions that offer coexistence groups in the State of Rio Grande do Sul. As a criterion of exclusion, the following were considered: (a) history of psychiatric treatment, stressful situations resulting from the diagnosis of illness or physical disability, in the last six months; (b) a history of any major loss that has caused suffering in the last six months; (c) cognitive, hearing and visual impairment that interfered with the performance of the tests. These data were obtained through the sociodemographic and health characterization protocol, and the Mini-Mental State Examination (MMSE).

**Instruments**

Sociodemographic and health characterization protocol with the objective of verifying the inclusion or exclusion criteria of the sample. Composed of questions about age, gender, marital status, schooling, professional or occupation, family and health conditions. The socioeconomic classification (CEBB) was based on the Socio-Economic Survey / 2012 - IBOPE (Associação Brasileira de Empresas de Pesquisa, 2012).

Mini-Mental State Examination (MSME) (Folstein, Folstein, & McHugh, 1975) translated and validated in Brazil by Bertolucci, Brucki, Campacci and Juliano (1994), evaluates cognitive aspects. To meet the criteria of inclusion and exclusion of the sample, the cut-off point of 26 points was used (Brucki, Nitriini, Caramelli, Bertolucci, & Okamoto, 2003).

Zulliger in the Comprehensive System (ZSC) - individual form (Villemor-Amaral & Primi, 2012) - consists of a set of three cards, with little structured ink stain, that make possible to understand the psychic functioning of the individual. Brazilian standards for the test were obtained with 475 adults between 18 and 83 years old (Franco, Cardoso, Villemor-Amaral, & Primi, 2012).

For the present study we considered 13 variables of the ZSC that compose the relationship grouping: human whole content (H), sum of H (Sum H), whole para-human [(H)], human detail (Hd), para-human detail [(Hd)], good human representation (GHR), poor human representation (PHR), cooperative movement (COP), shading-texture (Sum T), food or eating action (Fd), personalized answers (PER), aggressive movement (AG), and isolation. Answers of animal content (A%), clothing (Cg) and anatomy (An) have been included that can enrich the understanding of mental functioning and, therefore, help the understanding of interpersonal relations. The related variables are listed in the hypothesis of this study and are presented in Table 1.

In addition to the relationship grouping, we considered 23 variables that make up the cognitive triad, related to processing, mediation and ideation. To know: number of answer (R), detail answer (D), unusual detail (Dd), whole answer (W), answer synthesized (DQ +), organizational activity (Zf), human movement (M),
After obtaining the letter of The normal distribution of the data was Prette, the 4 factors are denominated in behavioral terms, IHS - Del Prette and IHSA - Del Prette, in the IHSI - Del (Braz, 2013). As in the interpretation of the results of the sample was composed of 20 items organized in 4 factors factor structure (Cronbach's α = 0.926) was obtained and confirmatory factorial analysis performed with a database & Del Prette, 2001, 2013). After the exploratory and content adaptations (Carneiro et al., 2007), based on the hypothesis of this study and presented in Table 2.

Some ZSC variables suggest skills and difficulties in social interactions. Suggestions for social interactions are: H, Sum H, (H) which indicate more realistic perceptions of self and others; GHR and COP signify friendlier and collaborative relationships; W and D imply the skill to perceive the most important aspects of the situation; XA% and X +%, WDA% and P demonstrate the potential to perceive the facts according to reality and objectively; DQ + and Zf represent the cognitive effort to analyze the problems; R, M, and a imply resources to use one’s own experience to solve problems, and may favor empathy; Cg informs about the skill to use defenses for protection and caution, which can assist in conflict resolution.

The ZSC variables that demonstrate difficulties or limitations in social interactions are: Sum T and Fd, represent affective deprivation and dependence; PHR and AG suggest more hostile and conflicted social gatherings; Xu%, X-%, S-% and M- indicate singular and unrealistic perceptions of self and others; p, [2AB + (Art + Ay], space (S-%), human movement with distorted quality of the shape (M-), index of intellectualization [2AB+(Art+Ay], perseveration answers (PSV), vague answers (DQv), morbid content (MOR), critical special codes (Sum6), weighted sum of critical special codes (WSum6), described in the hypothesis of this study and presented in Table 2.

Values of 0.62 to 0.98 were obtained for all test variables. Some ZSC variables suggest skills and difficulties in social interactions. Indications for training in social skills; percentile between 25 and 50: good repertoire of social skill; percentile between 50 and 75: good repertoire of social skill (above median); percentile above 75: Elaborate repertoire.

### Procedure

**Data collection.** After obtaining the letter of authorization from the institutions and approval of the project by the Research Ethics Committee, the data collection was started. Participants sent by the coordinators of the coexistence groups, signed the Term of Free and Informed Consent. The instruments were answered individually, in the dependencies of the elderly cohabitation centers. Initially, the socio-demographic and health characterization protocol and the MSME were administered to verify the inclusion/exclusion criteria. Those who were eligible for the study answered the ZSC and then the IHSI in an hour and a half. For a better reliability of the findings, 25% of the ZSC protocols were drawn and sent to recode an independent judge, after which the Kappa coefficient analysis was carried out. Values of 0.62 to 0.98 were obtained for all test variables. Such a coefficient of accuracy reveals high reliability (Landis & Koch, 1977).

**Data analysis.** The normal distribution of the data was assessed by the visual analysis of the variables distribution histograms and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The associations of the relationship variables and the cognitive triad of the ZSC with the factors of the IHSI Del-Prette, were conducted using the Pearson correlation, with a probability value ≤ 0.05.

considering the situational specificity of social skills, namely:

Factor 1 - Emotional expressiveness (7 items, α = 0.885). It addresses the expression of positive or negative feelings: praising family skills, thanking compliments, dealing with fair criticism;

Factor 2 - Assertiveness of confrontation (4 items, α = 0.782). It contemplates the confrontation and self-assertion that present some risk of negative reaction of the interlocutor: to disagree with authority, to interrupt the speech of another, to deal with unjust criticism;

Factor 3 - Conversation and social entrepreneurship (6 items, α = 0.857). It relates to the regulation of verbal communication: engaging in conversation, responding to praise, ending the conversation, interrupting conversation, addressing and initiating conversation with unfamiliar people;

Factor 4 - Sexual affective self-exposure (3 items, α = 0.692). It refers to the approach and relationship with affective partner, with or without sexual interest: the ability to introduce oneself to others, to approach for sexual intercourse and to declare a loving feeling.

In the general score, a percentile below 25 is considered: indication for training in social skills; percentile between 25 and 50: good repertoire of social skill; percentile between 50 and 75: good repertoire of social skill (above median); percentile above 75: Elaborate repertoire.

In the hypothesis of this study and presented in Table 2.

conventional shape (X+%), appropriate shape with minimum distortions(XA%), sum of XA% in W and D (WDA%), popular answers (P), active movement (a), passive movement (p), distorted shape (X-%), unusual shape (Xu%), distorted shape with the inclusion of white space (S-%), human movement with distorted quality of the shape (M-), index of intellectualization [2AB+(Art+Ay], perseveration answers (PSV), vague answers (DQv), morbid content (MOR), critical special codes (Sum6), weighted sum of critical special codes (WSum6), described in the hypothesis of this study and presented in Table 2.

Data analysis. The normal distribution of the data was assessed by the visual analysis of the variables distribution histograms and the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. The associations of the relationship variables and the cognitive triad of the ZSC with the factors of the IHSI Del-Prette, were conducted using the Pearson correlation, with a probability value ≤ 0.05.
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Results

In the description of the results the data of the associations of the ZSC with the IHSI Del-Prette are presented. The correlations of the relationship variables [SumT, Fd, H pure, Sum H, Hd, (H), (Hd), GHR and PHR, GPHR, a, p, isolation, PER, AG, COP] and the animal content (A%), anatomy (An) and clothing (Cg) of the ZSC, with the IHSI Del-Prette factors, can be visualized in Table 1.

As can be seen in Table 1, there were statistically significant, low magnitude associations of the PER, Cg and A% answers of the ZSC with the general IHSI score and factors. The PER variable demonstrated a significant and positive association with F4, suggesting that personalized answers increase as the skill to sexual affective self-exposure is shown to be higher.

The variable Cg denoted a significant and positive association with F2, indicating that the answers that refer to the clothing content increase as the confrontation assertiveness skill is shown to be higher. There was a significant and negative association between A% content and F3 content, suggesting that the frequency of animal content answers diminishes when the skill conversation and social development increases. Table 2 presents the correlations of the cognitive triad variables: information processing (R, PSV, DQv, DQ+, a, Zf, M, W); mediation (X-%, Xu%, X+%, XA%, WDA%, P, D, Dd, S-); ideation (MOR, M, a, p, [2AB+(Art+Ay)], Sum6, WSum6, M-) of the ZSC with the IHSI.

Table 1
Person Correlation of ZSC interpersonal relationship variables with IHSI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zulliger N</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
<th>78</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.165</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.057</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>0.191</td>
<td>0.122</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.138</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
<td>-0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fd</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>0.176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.106</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolation</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.150</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>0.097</td>
<td>0.138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GHR</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.214</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.202</td>
<td>0.112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHR</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
<td>-0.071</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
<td>-0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A%</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.145</td>
<td>0.068</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cg</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>0.051</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.043</td>
<td>0.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>0.085</td>
<td>-0.067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AG</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.052</td>
<td>0.249*</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A%</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.065</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.096</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Note. Legend of ZSC variables: Sum H = amount of human answers; Pure H = whole human; (H) = whole para-human; Hd = partial human; (Hd) = partial para-human; GHR = good representations; PHR = poor human representations; PER = personalized answers; COP = cooperative movement; Sum T = number of texture answers; Fd = food, PER - personalized answers; AG = aggressive movement; A% = animal content; Cg = clothing; An = anatomy. *p ≤ 0.05. Table 2 shows statistically significant, low magnitude associations of R, D, XA%, S-, X+ of the ZSC with the IHSI Del-Prette. The variable R showed significant associations in a positive and low way with G1, F1 and F4, suggesting that the number of answers increases as social skills in general increase, and especially those that refer to emotional expressiveness and sexual affective self-exposure.
Table 2  
*Person Correlation of ZSC cognitive triad variables with IHSI*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Zulliger</th>
<th>G1</th>
<th>G2</th>
<th>G3</th>
<th>G4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N 78</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SD</td>
<td>r</td>
<td>r</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R</td>
<td>8.78</td>
<td>3.74</td>
<td>0.221*</td>
<td>0.249*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZF</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>0.151</td>
<td>0.049</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
<td>-0.199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>2.28</td>
<td>0.139</td>
<td>0.264*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dd</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.201</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PSV</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.083</td>
<td>-0.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQV</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.183</td>
<td>0.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DQ+</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>0.123</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XA%</td>
<td>76.57</td>
<td>14.74</td>
<td>-0.135</td>
<td>-0.033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WDA%</td>
<td>71.63</td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>-0.089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xu%</td>
<td>27.79</td>
<td>16.95</td>
<td>-0.212</td>
<td>0.128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-%</td>
<td>21.75</td>
<td>14.02</td>
<td>-0.123</td>
<td>0.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S-</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>-0.122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-%</td>
<td>48.91</td>
<td>21.18</td>
<td>-0.269*</td>
<td>-0.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>-0.001</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.044</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOR</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.029</td>
<td>-0.301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2AB+(Art+Ay)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sum 6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>-0.087</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wsum6</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>2.24</td>
<td>0.137</td>
<td>-0.041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Legend of ZSC variables: R = amount of answers; ZF = organizational activity; W = whole answers; D = detail answer; Dd = Unusual detail; M = human movement; PSV = perseverance answers; DQv = vague answers; DQ+ = answer synthesized; XA% = appropriate shape with minimum distortions; WDA% = sum of XA% in W and D; Xu% = unusual shape; X-% = distorted shape; S- = distorted shape with the inclusion of white space; X-% = conventional shape; P = popular answers; a = active movement; p = passive; M- = human movement with distorted quality of the shape; MOR = morbid content; [2AB+(Art+Ay)] = index of intellectualization; Sum = critical special codes; WSum6 = weighted sum of critical special codes. *p ≤ 0.05.

Variable D showed, significantly, a positive and low association with F1, suggesting that the usual detail answers increase when emotional expressiveness presents with higher scores. The S-variable exhibited a significant and negative association with F3 and positive with F4, suggesting that white space answers with distorted shape decrease as the skill of social conversation and development increases, and S-increases when affective self-exposition shows higher scores.

The variables XA% and X-% showed a significant negative and low correlation with F2 signaling that adequately and conventionally the answers diminish as the confrontation assertiveness skill increases. Significant association of negative form of X-% with G1 was observed, suggesting that the conventional answers are associated to the decrease of social skills.

The variables that inform about limitation in interpersonal relationship and cognitive problems (PHR, AG, Fd, Sum T, Isolation, An, Dd, X-%, Xu%, M-, 2AB+Art+Ay, p, PSV, DQv, MOR, Sum 6 and WSum 6) did not denote association with the general G score and IHSI Del-Prette factors (F1, F2, F3, F4). Other variables that indicate competence in interpersonal relationship and cognitive adjustment (Sum H, H, (H), GHR and COP, ZF, M and DQ+) also had no significant association with the general G score and IHSI Del-Prette factors (F1, F2, F3, F4).

**Discussion**

Upon reaching the proposed goal, this study brought evidence of convergent validity of the relationship indicators and the cognitive triad of the ZSC with the self-reported social skills of the IHSI Del-Prette. Among the main results are: (i) the PER, Cg, A% answers of the ZSC, which report
on aspects of interpersonal relationships (defenses, concerns and interests), showed significant correlations with F4, F2 and F3 of the IHSI , respectively; (ii) the variables R, D, XA%, S, X+% of the ZSC that report on cognitive aspects (processing, mediation and ideation) were associated with G1, F1, F4, F3 and F2 respectively of the IHSI Del- Prette.

In this study, the elderly who presented greater authoritarianism in approaching people or confrontation with situations in ZSC (PER), were more skilled in sexual affective self-exposure (F4). This result may be due to the need to justify defensively their self-image (Exner & Sendín, 1999), whether to declare love or sexual feelings (Z. A. P. Del Prete & A. Del Prete, 2001).

The increase of the PER variable in non-patient elderly was reported in another study (Pertchik et al., 2007) as well as the positive association between PER and self-exposure to unknown and new situations in IHSI (F4) in adults (Grazziotin & Scortegagna, 2012, 2013). In agreement with researchers of the psychology of the aging, the authors understand that the increase in the number of personal associations between past and present experiences of the life, in older adults, can take them to the complex understanding of situations and seems to have no narcissistic connotation (Charles, 2005). Along with this, the tendency to add personal associations to late-life conversations is described as a normative reminiscence process that can improve relational quality (Cully et al., 2001).

In a more recent study, Meyer et al. (2015) found significant associations between age and three R-PAS variables: Vigilance Compound (V-Comp), Shading-Texture Determinant (T) and Personal Knowledge Thematic Code (PER). The authors conclude that the limited number of elderly people who compose the sample reduces the likelihood of detecting potential effects of aging and drives the need for further investigation to examine possible declines in complexity variables related to advancing age.

Rien et al. (2017), observed a decrease in PER in elderly people with Parkinson’s disease, who made up a clinical group, compared to those free of disease that were part of the non-clinical group. The authors understood that due to the need for more care and assistance, as the disease progresses, there are more functional limitations of all kinds, the elderly in the clinical group tend to be less imposing and more passive.

Retrieving other findings from the present study, older people who exhibited more use of defenses aimed at protection, caution and identity disclosure (Cg) (Weiner, 2003), demonstrated greater confrontation assertiveness (F2), where it is necessary to disagree of authority, to deal with unjust criticism (Braz et al., 2011). This result suggests two aspects: First, that the elderly tend not to show their true thoughts and feelings directly, when they face situations with some risk of negative reaction of the interlocutor. The second is that the elderly need to express their desires, needs and singularities. This is because, the type of dress or accessory named may be representing a defense (helmet, raincoat), revealing an identity (collar, dress), or both (Exner & Sendín, 1999; Weiner, 2003).

This protection and prevention behavior in the elderly may represent: (i) defenses arising from the fear of not being estimated, from approaching death and from avoiding confrontation in a society that does not value them very much (Cully et al., 2001); (2) the desire for social inclusion and the diffusion of their life experiences (Braz et al., 2013, Scheibe & Carstensen, 2010, WHO, 2015), which should be better investigated in future studies.

The greater disposition for behaviors with less associative wealth (A%) was evidenced in those that demonstrated greater restriction in the capacity for social communication skill (F3). In the elderly, these results are especially important, since there is a higher incidence of chronic diseases; the loss of partners and loved ones may be more frequent (WHO, 2015), and thus limit social participation and interest in news. Nevertheless, because they have extensive life experience, the elderly can transmit values and teachings to future generations (Braz et al., 2013). Considering this potential, the development of interpersonal communication provides quality in the relationships and potentiates the resources of the elderly, in expressions of affection, care, in conflict resolution (Braz et al., 2013; Carneiro et al., 2007).

The productive, motivational, cooperative and intellectual capacity (R) (Exner & Sendín, 1999; Villemor-Amaral & Primi, 2012) in the elderly has been shown to be related to a more developed social skills repertoire (G1), especially in expressiveness of positive or negative feelings (F1) and in the establishment of affective and sexual relationships (F4). Previous studies indicate that motivated, affective and collaborative people usually have good productivity in the ZSC (Grazziotin & Scortegagna, 2012, 2013). The confrontation of stress and the control of negative emotions (Pinto et al., 2016), the social interaction and the academic performance (Bolsoni-Silva & Loureiro, 2014; Feitosa et al., 2012) are also reported in adults with higher social skills scores. In the study by Gregoleti and Scortegagna (2017), elderly patients with chronic kidney disease when compared to those free of disease, presented a decrease in R, which for the authors may derive from deprivation of leisure and interests, and employment restrictions.

The elderly who indicated more economic and practical characteristics (D), also showed greater emotional expressiveness, which relates to, for example, dealing with unjust criticism and positive and negative feelings, presents with higher scores. Among older people, these findings may reflect the idea that many of them perceive that lifespan to achieve some goals is shorter, and therefore seem to express their feelings more intensely and set shorter and more practical goals for problem solving, which may helping to increase feelings of achievement and well-being (Scheibe & Carstensen, 2010; WHO, 2015).

In this study, elevated anxiety, misperceptions about reality, and negative affects such as anger (S-) have shown to move in the opposite direction of skills such as conversational and social develop (F3). However, these negative affects with distorted thoughts were also increased in elderly with greater sexual affective self-exposure (F4). This data
shows the possible breakdown of barriers and patterns pre-established by the elderlies, when affections and sexuality are displayed more directly. On these occasions and because of social stigmas, there may be increased anxiety, resentment and distorted perception of reality. This is because it is often assumed that advanced age implies only passivity, dependence. However, there is evidence that people of more advanced ages are changing this rigid framework, seeking to enjoy a new career, promulgating their experiences or reinvesting in love relationships (WHO, 2015).

The results confirm the hypothesis of this study, that the more adjusted the people in their relationships and the cognitive level, the greater the repertoire of social skills. Elderly individuals who showed higher productivity (R) and objectivity (D) in the ZSC were more likely to express positive or negative feelings (F1) and more social skills generally in the IHS-Del Prette (G). Those that denote a greater limitation in the production of ideas (A%) and, when they have negative affections, tend to distort reality (S-) showing less skill of social develop. The use of defensive and protective measures (PER and Cg) in relation to weaknesses and insecurities in relationships was shown to be increased in the elderly with more disposition to self-affirming behaviors and sexual affective self-exposure, respectively.

If, on the one hand, the hypotheses of this study were confirmed, on the other hand it is verified that the more traditional (XA%), more conventional and mainly more rigid (X+%), countered social skills (G1), especially that of assertiveness of confrontation (F2), not confirming one of the hypotheses of this study. In agreement with the literature (WHO, 2015), the authors understand that older people, mainly from Western cultures, due to feelings of fear, despair and insecurity may depart from the conventional, pre-set and judged standards, to meet their rights, desires and opportunities. Allied to this, to adapt to the declines due to the more advanced age, the elders often make a shift from negative to positive emotions, which can give them emotions of optimism and well-being, but can also make them more vulnerable to making mistakes (Scheibe & Carstensen, 2010).

For the lack of correlation between ZSC variables (Sum H, H, (H), GHR and COP ZF, M e DQ+,PHR, AG, Fd, Sum T, Isolation, An, Dd, X-%, Xu%, M-, 2AB+Art+Ay, p, PSV, DQv, MOR, Sum6 and WSum6) with the IHS1 (score G and F1, F2, F3, and F4), it should be considered that there may be small associations between introspective characteristics (self-reported) and behaviors (Mihura, 2012), in addition to the intrinsic differences of each instrument that can intervene in this result. Some discrepancies exist between what people say about themselves and how they act. Therefore, it is feasible to combine different methods in the evaluations, especially the use of instruments that allow indirect access to the psychic universe (Dao et al., 2008; Mihura, 2012).

As can be verified, the results found in this study met the proposed objective and evidenced indicators of convergent validity of interpersonal relationship variables and the cognitive triad of the ZSC with the IHS Del-Prette. It is possible to reaffirm the relevance of the two instruments in the psychological evaluation, since besides the results corroborate the hypotheses listed, they provided important subsidies for the psychic understanding of the elderly. However, it should be mentioned that these findings are based on a restricted sample and cannot be generalized for all the elderly, but the follow up of studies, especially with this emerging population and the inclusion of other ZSC variables, in different contexts, may favor this generalization. New research could verify the differences between groups of older people, with more social skills and with fewer social skills, and analyze partial correlations controlling the effect of age and schooling.
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