Effect of irrigation and nitrogen fertilization on agronomic traits of sweet corn
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INTRODUCTION

Brazil is highlighted as a major corn producer, with a great potential for also producing sweet corn (Zea mays var. saccharata Sturt) (Ferreira et al. 2011). Sweet corn is quite popular in temperate countries, such as the United States, Canada and European nations, but not in Brazil (Borin et al. 2010). The lack of improved varieties and knowledge about cultivation techniques under tropical conditions contribute to the low interest on sweet corn in Brazil.

The sweet corn crop cycle lasts from 90 to 100 days (Teixeira et al. 2009), allowing its production throughout the year (Zárate et al. 2009). It can be grown under a monocrop or intercrop system, and it is an alternative for small and medium farmers (Rocha et al. 2011). Almost all its production is destined for human consumption, either processed or in natura (Araújo et al. 1999, Pereira et al. 2009).

Irrigation and nitrogen fertilization are management practices that have positive results for the corn crop. This study aimed at evaluating the effect of nitrogen fertilization and irrigation on agronomic traits of sweet corn. Two experiments were carried out in two crop seasons (winter/spring and summer/autumn), in a split-plot design, with the main plots consisting of four irrigation levels (50 %, 75 %, 100 % and 125 % of the crop evapotranspiration - ETc) and subplots consisting of four nitrogen doses (0 kg ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 200 kg ha⁻¹ and 300 kg ha⁻¹), applied at the V3 and V8 stages, via urea, in a randomized blocks design experiment, with four replications. Leaf nitrogen content, root depth, plant height, stem diameter, ear yield and water use efficiency were evaluated. In the winter/spring season, nitrogen fertilization did not affect yield, while in the summer/autumn season the dose that maximized yield was 300 kg ha⁻¹. Sweet corn showed better results when irrigated with replacements of 50 % and 125 % of ETc, respectively in the summer/autumn and winter/spring seasons.
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The instability of water regimes may restrict the development of corn crops, but the proper use of irrigation and nitrogen fertilization may improve yield and minimize risks during the production process (Borin et al. 2010).

A great amount of nitrogen is absorbed by sweet corn, and its availability affects yield (Okumura et al. 2011). This fact induces producers to use fertilizers in larger quantities, expecting to increase yield. However, Farinelli & Lemos (2010) argue that the increase of nitrogen fertilizer doses reduces its efficiency and, as a result, the economic and environmental damages are increased. The increase of efficiency can be achieved by identifying the doses that maximize the fertilization effect.

This study aimed at evaluating the effect of irrigation and nitrogen fertilization on the agronomic traits of sweet corn grown in two crop seasons, in the northeast of Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted at the Universidade Federal de Mato Grosso do Sul (UFMS), in Chapadão do Sul (18°46’24”S, 52°37’25”W and 820 m of altitude), Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil. The climate is classified as humid tropical. Air temperature, relative humidity and rainfall averages, during the experimental period, are shown in Figure 1.

The soil of the experimental area was classified as clayish red-yellow Dystrophic Latosol (Oxisol), with density of 1.21 g cm⁻³, field capacity water content of 0.2632 dm³ dm⁻³ and plant permanent wilting point of 0.1887 dm³ dm⁻³. The soil chemical properties were evaluated before each harvest in laboratory (Table 1).

Two experiments with sweet corn were conducted in two distinct seasons: winter/spring (August 17th to November 24th, 2012) and summer/autumn (March 3rd to May 31st, 2013).

The experiments were arranged in a split-plot randomized blocks design, with four replications, where irrigation regimes were the plots (50 %, 75 %, 100 % and 125 % of the crop evapotranspiration - ETc) and nitrogen doses (0 kg ha⁻¹, 100 kg ha⁻¹, 200 kg ha⁻¹ and 300 kg ha⁻¹) the subplots. Experimental units consisted of 2.5 m long (0.5 m of border) and 4.8 m wide (0.8 m of border).
plots, resulting in a total area of 12.0 m² and useful area of 8.0 m².

The soil preparation consisted of plowing and harrowing. Soil acidity was corrected according to Sousa & Lobato (2004) and fertilization at sowing was carried out based on soil chemical properties (Sousa & Lobato 2004) (Table 1). Fertilization at sowing consisted of 60 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅, 60 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O and 30 kg ha⁻¹ of N for the first season (winter/spring), and 120 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅, 60 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O and 30 kg ha⁻¹ of N for the second crop (summer/autumn). The nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium sources were respectively urea, single superphosphate and potassium chloride.

The sweet corn was sown on August 17th, 2012 (winter/spring), and February 03rd, 2013 (summer/autumn), spaced 80 cm between rows, with density of 75,000 seeds ha⁻¹. The hybrid used was the Tropical Plus® (Syngenta), which has high yield potential, 90-110 days cycle, light yellow grain color, thin pericarp, sweet flavor and resistance to major diseases.

The sidedress nitrogen fertilization was divided and applied in the V3 and V8 phenological stages (Magalhães & Durães 2006). The urea was applied in the plant rows, next to the drip tapes, which were turned on after fertilization to minimize volatilization losses. At V3, potassium fertilization was also applied at 80 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O.

A drip irrigation system was used, with the following equation applied to calculate the actual irrigation required to treat 100 % of the ETc:

\[
AIR_{\text{LOC}} = \sum_{i}^{\text{day 1}} \frac{\text{ET}_0 K_c K_s K_l - P_E}{K_c}
\]

where: \( AIR_{\text{LOC}} \) = actual irrigation required in localized irrigation systems (mm); \( \text{ET}_0 \) = reference evapotranspiration (mm day⁻¹); \( K_c \) = crop coefficient (dimensionless); \( K_s \) = soil moisture coefficient (dimensionless); \( K_l \) = location coefficient (dimensionless); \( P_E \) = effective rainfall in the period (mm).

The Penman-Monteith methodology was used to calculate the reference evapotranspiration (ET₀). Crop coefficients (\( K_c \)) were 0.7 for the stage I (1st to 20th day after planting) and 1.1 for the stage III (51st day to harvest) (Bernardo et al. 2008). The \( K_c \) daily values for the stage II (21st to 50th day) were obtained using the linear weighting between the values from the stages I and III. Soil moisture coefficients (\( K_s \)) and location (\( K_l \)) were established according to Bernardo et al. (2008).

The aboveground phenotypic evaluations were performed when the plants were at full male flowering. Ten plants were randomly sampled within the useful area of each plot. The evaluations were:

- a) leaf nitrogen content: the central third from ten opposite leaves below the ear were collected (Carmo et al. 2012) and evaluated according to Silva (2009);
- b) plant height: length (cm) from the ground level to the highest leaf insertion point, using a tape measure (Carmo et al. 2012);
- c) stem diameter: diameter of the second internode (largest diameter), measured by a caliper (Carmo et al. 2012).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Ca + Mg</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>Al</th>
<th>H + Al</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>P (Mel)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter/spring</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>113.0</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer/autumn</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>52.0</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>38.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Cu</th>
<th>Fe</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Zn</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>CEC</th>
<th>BS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter/spring</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>47.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer/autumn</td>
<td>0-20</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>43.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>20-40</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>37.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

OM = organic matter; CEC = cation exchange capacity; BS = base saturation; Mel = Mehlich 1 method.
The corn ears were harvested at the R3 phenological phase (November 24th, 2012, for the winter/spring, and May 31st, 2013, for the summer/autumn), in the early morning hours (Kwiatkowski & Clemente 2007).

The yield comprised all corn ears from the useful area of each experimental unit, which were subsequently weighed (kg plot⁻¹), with values extrapolated to t ha⁻¹ (Carmo et al. 2012).

After harvest, longitudinal trenches were opened following the plant lines up to the last roots of the sweet corn, plus the excavation of 20 cm to confirm the absence of roots. Roots were measured (cm) from the ground surface to the last root exposed, with a tape measure.

The water use efficiency was determined by the ratio between sweet corn yield and amount of water used in each treatment.

Data were submitted to regression analyzes, testing the linear and quadratic models. The models were chosen based on the significance of the regression coefficients (t test, at 5 %), coefficient of determination (R²) and on the biological phenomenon. The program Sigmaplot v11.0 was used to perform the statistical analyses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effective rainfall was higher for sweet corn in the winter/spring season (Table 2), due to the fact that rainfall was concentrated in periods when the crop presented higher values for crop (Kc) and location (KL) coefficients (Figure 1).

The sweet corn grown in the winter/spring season (Table 2) showed higher water consumption because of two main reasons: a) greater water demand caused by higher daytime temperatures and lower air humidity (Figure 1), which coincided with the period when the sweet corn reached higher crop coefficient values; b) a longer crop life cycle during this season (99 days, whereas in the summer/autumn it was 90 days). Both crop cycles were within expectation: 90 to 100 days (Tan et al. 2009).

In both crop seasons irrigation regimes had a negative linear effect on leaf nitrogen content (Figure 2), probably due to the fact that sweet corn changes the carbon allocation to non-nitrogenous compounds, such as cellulose and lignin, rather than to proteins and amino acids. Chun et al. (2005) stated that the increase in carbon allocation from shoot to root formation, aiming at increasing surface area, results in a reduced nitrogen content in corn leaves. Franco et al. (2008) evaluated leaf nitrogen content of *Urochloa decumbens*, using two water levels (20 % and 60 % of the soil maximum water retention capacity), and observed an increase of 10.3 % in nitrogen content in the lower water level treatment, when compared to the higher one.

The nitrogen content in the sweet corn cultivated in the summer/autumn season was not affected by the increase in nitrogen doses. Two possible reasons for this are: a) data variability resulted in a low value for the coefficient of determination, consequently affecting the adjustment of the regression equation; b) a proximity to the optimum point of leaf nitrogen content was reached for sweet corn in that season, hindering the response to the application of higher nitrogen doses. Nascimento et al. (2012) report that the adequate nitrogen content for corn crop ranges from 2.7 % to 3.5 %.

In the winter/spring season, nitrogen doses were responsible for a quadratic effect on leaf nitrogen content (Figure 2). The nitrogen fertilization dose that maximized the leaf nitrogen content was 185.6 kg ha⁻¹. The reduction in leaf nitrogen content after this dose may be due to the fact that the use of nitrogen decreases as the doses applied increase, for exceeding the crop needs.

Table 2. Effective rainfall, actual irrigation required and total water depth applied in each treatment and crop season (Chapadão do Sul, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil, 2012/2013).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Season</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>50 % ETc</th>
<th>75 % ETc</th>
<th>100 % ETc</th>
<th>125 % ETc</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Winter/spring</td>
<td>Effective rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>258.8</td>
<td>220.6</td>
<td>185.1</td>
<td>185.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual irrigation required (mm)</td>
<td>111.3</td>
<td>167.0</td>
<td>222.7</td>
<td>278.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total water depth (mm)</td>
<td>370.1</td>
<td>387.7</td>
<td>407.8</td>
<td>463.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer/autumn</td>
<td>Effective rainfall (mm)</td>
<td>213.7</td>
<td>208.6</td>
<td>196.2</td>
<td>196.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Actual irrigation required (mm)</td>
<td>64.5</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>129.1</td>
<td>161.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total water depth (mm)</td>
<td>278.3</td>
<td>305.4</td>
<td>325.3</td>
<td>357.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2. Estimated leaf nitrogen content (LNC), root depth (RD) and plant height (PH), concerning irrigation depths (ID) and nitrogen doses (ND), in different crop seasons (Chapadão do Sul, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil, 2012/2013). * p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

Winter/spring

LNC = 2.7E + 0** - 3.9E - 3**ID + 1.8E - 3*ND - 4.9E - 6*ND^2  
R^2 = 0.8750  
p < 0.0001

LNC = 3.7E + 0** - 6.5E - 3**ID  
R^2 = 0.5807  
p < 0.0001

RD = 1.6E + 2** - 4.9E - 1**ID  
R^2 = 0.6278  
p < 0.0001

RD = 1.3E + 2** - 5.7E - 1**ID  
R^2 = 0.8508  
p < 0.0001

PH = 1.4E + 2** + 6.2E - 1*ID - 2.8E - 3*ID^2  
R^2 = 0.8435  
p < 0.0001

PH = 2.1E + 2** - 1.7E - 1**ID  
R^2 = 0.4686  
p = 0.0075

Summer/autumn

LNC = 3.7E + 0** - 6.5E - 3**ID  
R^2 = 0.5807  
p < 0.0001

LNC = 3.7E + 0** - 6.5E - 3**ID  
R^2 = 0.5807  
p < 0.0001

RD = 1.3E + 2** - 5.7E - 1**ID  
R^2 = 0.8508  
p < 0.0001

PH = 2.1E + 2** - 1.7E - 1**ID  
R^2 = 0.4686  
p = 0.0075
(Fernandes & Buzetti 2005). The unused nitrogen can be lost as ammonia.

Regardless of crop season, the irrigation regimes had a negative linear effect on the depth of sweet corn roots (Figure 2). In treatments with lower water depths, probably plants deepened their roots, in order to search for water at deeper soil layers. Schlichting et al. (2015) also observed this trend in the growth of corn roots as a defense to water stress conditions.

The nitrogen doses had no effect on the depth of sweet corn roots (Figure 2). Soares et al. (2009) also found no difference for root depth in six corn cultivars, applying two nitrogen doses (zero and 6 mmol L⁻¹ of soil) in a Red Latosol. According to these authors, high nitrate concentrations can even reduce root growth, since this element inhibits the auxin flow to the roots.

The irrigation regimes had a quadratic effect on plant height in the winter/spring season (Figure 2). According to the regression equation, the water depth that maximized plant height was 111.3 % of ETc, with plants reaching 1.77 m. In the summer/autumn season, this effect was linear negative. Regardless of crop season, the nitrogen doses had no effect on plant height (Figure 2), as also observed by Valderrama et al. (2011). According to these authors, the crop response depends on the cropping history of the area, on weather conditions and nitrogen fertilization. The cultivar and plant density also influence this effect.

On the other hand, some studies have shown positive response in plant height with nitrogen fertilization (Silva et al. 2006, Khazaei et al. 2010, Pereira Júnior et al. 2012). The lack of response in this study may have occurred because the nitrogen availability in the soil was already at optimum levels for the crop. This hypothesis is supported by the lack of response on leaf nitrogen content (Figure 2).

The irrigation regimes had a quadratic effect on stem diameter, in the summer/autumn season (Figure 3). According to the regression equation, the water depth that maximized the stem diameter was 83.0 % of ETc, resulting in a stem of 19.89 mm. In the summer/autumn season, this effect was linear negative. Regardless of crop season, the nitrogen doses had no effect on plant height (Figure 2), as also observed by Valderrama et al. (2011). According to these authors, the crop response depends on the cropping history of the area, on weather conditions and nitrogen fertilization. The cultivar and plant density also influence this effect.

The irrigation regimes had a positive linear effect on ear yield, in the winter/spring season (Figure 3), showing that there was water restriction in treatments with lower water depths. This result suggests an irrigation regime of 125 % of the ETc for the winter/spring season.

In the summer/autumn season, the irrigation regimes had a negative linear effect on ear yield (Figure 3). Therefore, an irrigation regime of 50 % of the ETc is suggested in this season, in order to ensure higher yield and lower water and electricity loss. This response was not expected, but may be explained by the fact that rainfall in this crop season was concentrated at the beginning of the cycle. Therefore, treatments that received lower water depths had no water restrictions, and treatments with larger water depths had moisture contents near to field capacity, preceding rainfall, resulting in higher water percolation and possibly nutrient lixiviation.

Another possible explanation is based on the root system performance (Figure 2). The increase in irrigation reduced the roots’ depth, and consequently the soil volume explored by them, possibly decreasing the nutrients input by the sweet corn. This hypothesis has support on the lower leaf nitrogen content with increasing irrigation (Figure 2). The same effect was also observed in the winter/spring season, although in a milder way, as observed in the regression coefficients (Figure 2). According to the equations in Figure 2, the root depths in treatments irrigated with 125 % of the ETc were 60 cm in the summer/autumn season and 95 cm in the winter/spring.

Heinemann et al. (2009), evaluating common corn in different locations in the Goiás State, Brazil, concluded that water deficit stress is not the main impediment to the development of corn crops in normal seasons. The actual concern occurs in soils that hinder root development by physical, chemical or biological factors.

The nitrogen doses had a positive linear effect on ear yield, in the summer/autumn season (Figure 3). According to Okumura et al. (2011), the increase of nitrogen doses increases the composition of amino acid, protein, chlorophyll and other essential enzymes that stimulate the sweet corn growth and development. The nitrogen response was related to the irrigation regimes, since the nitrogen fertilization increased yield in treatments with lower water depths. The highest yield was 20.4 Mg ha⁻¹, according to the regression equation, obtained with an irrigation
Figure 3. Estimate stem diameter (SD), corn ear yield (EY) and water use efficiency (WUE), according to irrigation depths (ID) and nitrogen doses (ND), in different crop seasons (Chapadão do Sul, Mato Grosso do Sul State, Brazil, 2012/2013).

* p < 0.05; ** p < 0.01.

Winter/spring

SD = 1.9E + 1

WUE = 4.0E + 0** + 9.4E - 3*ND - 4.6E - 5*ID - 2.1E - 5*ND2
R² = 0.4993  p < 0.0196

EY = 1.1E + 1** + 5.1E - 2**ID
R² = 0.6334  p = 0.0010

Summer/autumn

SD = 1.3E + 1** + 1.7E - 1*ID - 1.0E - 3*ID2
R² = 0.2983  p = 0.0230

WUE = 7.6E + 0** - 3.0E - 4*ID2 + 2.9E - 7*ID2 ND
R² = 0.9240  p < 0.0001

EY = 2.4E+1** - 8.3E - 2**ID + 9.5E - 5*ID ND
R² = 0.8192  p < 0.0001
regime of 50 % of the ETc and nitrogen fertilization of 300 kg ha$^{-1}$. This value exceeds the highest yield (19.5 Mg ha$^{-1}$) found by Carmo et al. (2012), applying 150 kg ha$^{-1}$ of nitrogen in a summer crop in Palmeiras, Goiás State, Brazil. In the winter/spring season, nitrogen doses did not increase yield (Figure 3), agreeing with Aguiar et al. (2012), which applied nitrogen doses from 0 to 144 kg ha$^{-1}$ to sweet corn grown in Gurupi, Tocantins State, Brazil.

The effect of nitrogen doses was lower than expected for some parameters, probably due to inadequate potassium levels or to the interaction between absorption and use of these two macronutrients (Costa et al. 2008). The reduction of potassium contents in the soil (Table 1) between the two crop seasons, and the fact that the potassium supplementation was not proportional to the nitrogen doses, in order to balance the interaction between these two elements, reinforces this hypothesis. This interaction is related to the activity of the nitrate reductase enzyme, which acts in the inorganic nitrogen incorporation (Silva et al. 2011).

Researches applying sidedress fertilization in corn at the V4 and V6 stages reached positive results (Repke et al. 2013, Rotili et al. 2014). Therefore, the methodology used in the present research, applying nitrogen at the V3 and V8 stages, suggests that the sidedress fertilization occurred too early or too late, influencing the effect of the nitrogen doses in the evaluated parameters. Thus, new researches should be developed to study this hypothesis.

The water use efficiency decreased as the water depth increased (Figure 3). This was already expected, since these factors are inversely proportional. In the summer/autumn season, the reduction in water use efficiency was higher also due to the reduced ear yield. In the winter/spring season, nitrogen fertilization had a quadratic effect on water use efficiency. According to the regression equation, the nitrogen dose that maximized this parameter was 168.4 kg ha$^{-1}$ (Figure 3). Nitrogen doses in the summer/autumn season had a positive linear effect on water use efficiency.

**CONCLUSIONS**

1. Sweet corn showed better results when irrigated with 125 % of the ETc in the winter/spring crop season and 50 % of the ETc in the summer/autumn.

2. In the winter/spring crop season nitrogen fertilization did not affect yield, while in the summer/autumn the nitrogen dose that maximized the sweet corn yield was 300 kg ha$^{-1}$.
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