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ABSTRACT - Studies were conducted to estimate parameters and relationships associated with sub-processes in soil seed banks of oilseed rape in Gorgan, Iran. After one month of burial, seed viability decreased to 39%, with a slope of 2.03% per day, and subsequently decreased with a lower slope of 0.01 until 365 days following burial in the soil. Germinability remained at its highest value in autumn and winter and decreased from spring to the last month of summer. Non-dormant seeds of volunteer oilseed rape did not germinate at temperatures lower than 3.8 °C and a water potential of -1.4 MPa o.d. The hydrothermal values were 36.2 and 42.9 MPa o.d for sub- and supra-optimal temperatures, respectively. Quantification of seed emergence as influenced by burial depth was performed satisfactorily (R² = 0.98 and RMSE = 5.03). The parameters and relationships estimated here can be used for modelling soil seed bank dynamics or establishing a new model for the environment.
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INTRODUCTION

An undesirable characteristic of oilseed rape (Brassica napus) cultivars is that dehiscent pods result in frequent seed shattering following maturity and desiccation, and thus, the shattered seeds will enlarge the soil seed bank of volunteer oilseed rape. Volunteer oilseed rape is known as a weed in crop production fields, such as those of winter wheat (Gruber et al., 2008; Colbach et al., 2008; Gruber et al., 2010). Gulden et al. (2003) indicated that the average seed bank additions of oilseed rape equalled approximately 100 kg ha⁻¹, or 3,000 viable seeds m⁻². This value is 20 times greater than the normal
seeding rate of 4 to 5 kg ha\(^{-1}\) for the crop, which can easily lead to the establishment of volunteer oilseed rape populations (Lawson & Friesen, 2006). Simard et al. (2002) reported that in Europe, oilseed rape seeds persist in the soil for at least 5 years, and volunteer plants were observed in the field up to 10 years after production (Knott, 1993; Lutman & López-Granados, 1998). This duration was up to 4 years for volunteer plants in western Canada (Thomas & Leeson, 1999).

Models can be used to determine how the effects of oilseed rape seed banks on cropping systems can be managed. For example, models are an essential tool to test and evaluate a wide range of possible tillage strategies interacting with different rotations for the management of oilseed rape volunteers (Colbach et al., 2008). Various models have been developed to predict the persistence (Begg et al., 2006; Middelhoff et al., 2011), seed bank evolution and emergence (Colbach et al., 2008), fecundity and gene flow (Colbach et al., 2001a, b; Gruber & Clauperin, 2007) and seed bank dynamics (Gonzalez-Andujar & Fernandez-Quintanilla, 2004; Pekrun et al., 2005) of volunteer oilseed rape. Colbach & Debaeke (1998) showed the need to use mechanistic models instead of empirical models. In mechanistic models, the life-cycle is split into sub-processes to account for the biological and physical effects of cropping systems interacting with the biological (e.g., structure of the crop) and physical environment (e.g., soil structure) of weeds (Colbach & Debaeke, 1998; Colbach et al., 2007). These models cannot be extrapolated for use in conditions and cropping systems other than those where they had been developed (Colbach et al., 2007). Therefore, it is necessary to measure a series of major seed bank lifecycle variables for each environmental condition. Here, we considered three subprocesses to quantify the soil seed bank of oilseed rape.

(1) **Germinability cycle:** An important part of improving weed control practices is learning how to best manipulate seed dormancy, which controls weed-seed behaviour in arable soil (Pekrun et al., 1997a, b; Chuah et al., 2004; Gulden et al. 2004; Batlla & Benech-Arnold, 2007). Benech-Arnold et al. (2000) suggested that certain environmental factors (temperature and water potential) modify the dormancy level of seeds, whereas other factors (light, nitrates, and temperature fluctuation) act to terminate dormancy. It has been indicated that secondary dormancy in oilseed rape is influenced by darkness in combination with osmotic stress and hypoxia (Pekrun et al., 1997b; Momoh et al., 2002). Gulden et al. (2004) also showed that the role of temperature was approximately threefold more important for seed dormancy development than osmotic potential. Hypoxia may also induce secondary dormancy in oilseed rape seed to a lesser degree (Pekrun et al., 1997b; Momoh et al., 2002). The most accurate way to determine the viability and germinability of seeds in the soil is to bury them, wait for various periods of time, dig up samples, and check for viability and germinability (Baskin & Baskin, 2006). Researchers are using this method to evaluate viability and determine dormancy/non-dormancy cycles (Pekrun et al., 1997a; Masin et al., 2006; Franke et al., 2007; Colbach et al., 2008). Reports on the dormancy and germinability cycle of oilseed rape have provided contradictory results: Pekrun et al. (1997a) found no clear indication of oilseed rape displaying a dormancy cycle, whereas Colbach et al. (2008) demonstrated during the evaluation of their model that the secondary dormancy cycle of oilseed rape improved model predictions. These results demonstrate the need to conduct further studies focusing on the possibility of a germinability cycle in oilseed rape.

(2) **Germination modelling:** Non-dormant seed germination rates and percentages are dependent on temperature and water potential (Gardarin et al., 2010b, 2011). A variety of mathematical functions have been used to describe the relationship between germination rate and temperature (Soltani et al., 2006, 2008). Marshall & Squire (1996) showed that base temperature \(T_b\) was approximately 3°C for oilseed rape germination. Squire (1999) indicated that early percentiles, up to the 50\(^{th}\) percentile in two oilseed rape cultivars (Rocket & Martina) and up to the 20\(^{th}\) in a third one (Comet), responded to temperature similarly during all sowings, such that the inverse time to the emergence of a percentile (emergence rate) increased exponentially with temperature above an intercept of -1°C. The
advantage of these functions is that they include parameters that are meaningful from a biological point of view, such as cardinal temperatures and maximum inherent rates of germination or emergence. Thermal time (TT) and hydrot ime (HT) models have also been used to describe the effect of temperature and water potential on seed germination and dormancy (Bradford, 2002). Additionally, temperature and water potential have been successfully combined into a hydrothermal time model (HTT) (Gummerson, 1986; Bradford, 1995, 2002). Using this model base values (ψ_b and T_b), TT, HT and HTT would be calculable, which can be used in seed bank population dynamic models (Colbach et al., 2006; Sester et al., 2007). There is no information available regarding HTT models of oilseed rape.

(3) Emergence: Burial depth can influence weed seedling emergence by affecting the availability of soil moisture, O_2 and light (Benvenuti, 2007). Germination also decreases with seed depth, even if moisture, O_2 and light are the same (Colbach et al., 2006; Sester et al., 2007; Gardarin et al., 2010a). A failure to emerge can result from insufficient germination or from pre-emergent mortality. As heterotrophic seedling growth in the soil depends on seed reserves, buried seeds in deeper soil layers will require more seed reserves and cannot emerge from a threshold burial depth. Gruber et al. (2010) studied oilseed rape emergence as affected by sowing depth in a pot experiment, but they did not quantify the relationship between these parameters. The effect of sowing depth on oilseed rape emergence has been satisfactorily modelled in GENESYS (Colbach et al., 2008). However, it is necessary to examine the effect of burial depth on oilseed rape emergence for possible differences between cultivars and environmental conditions.

Information regarding soil seed banks of oilseed rape volunteers is scarce, as indicated above. Therefore, the aims of this research were to split the life-cycle of the oilseed rape volunteer seed bank into three sub-processes: (1) to investigate the seasonal dormancy/non-dormancy cycle of buried seeds, (2) to model seed germination on the response to temperature and water potential and (3) to quantify the effect of burial depth on seedling emergence.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Seed longevity and germinability – study 1

Seeds were collected from oilseed rape (cv. Hayola 401) fields around Gorgan throughout May 2009. The seeds were buried at the Research Farm of GUASNR or stored in the laboratory (20 ± 5 °C, 75% RH, dark) on 23 Sep 2009. One thousand oilseed rape seeds and 10 g of incorporated soil (dry silty clay loam) were placed in nylon bags of 4 x 8 cm with a pore size of 10 µm. A total of 12 nylon bags were used, and one of them was exhumed each month. Each nylon bag was placed in a nylon basket to protect seeds from predation. Finally, the nylon baskets were buried at a soil depth of 30 cm. After burial, bags with seeds were exhumed monthly for the duration of the experiment. After exhumation, the sample (containing soil and seeds) was placed on a screen with two mesh sizes (1 and 2 mm diameter) and washed with water. The oilseed rape seeds isolated through direct seed extraction were tested for viability. Within 24 h after exhumation, germination tests were initiated. Germination tests were conducted in an incubator at 20 °C under two conditions: darkness and light. Seeds stored in the lab were also evaluated in germination tests under the same conditions in the dark. For seeds stored both in the lab and at the farm, three replications were conducted, each consisting of 50 seeds for each treatment in which seeds were germinated. Seeds were placed on moist filter paper (Whatman No. 40, 15 cm diameter) in Petri dishes. The dishes were moistened with 10 mL of water to condition the seeds. Dark conditions were implemented by wrapping aluminium foil around each Petri dish. After 14 days, the germinated seeds were counted, and all remaining seeds were evaluated for dormancy by probing the seeds with fine-tipped forceps; obviously dead seeds were removed, and seeds appearing firm were considered as viable (apparently viable seeds).

Viability (%) and germinability (%) were calculated using the following equations (Masin et al., 2006):

\[ \text{Viability} \% = \left( \frac{\text{apparently viable seeds}}{\text{buried seeds}} \right) \times 100 \quad (\text{eq. 1}) \]
Germinability (%) = (germinated seeds/ apparently viable seeds) × 100

(eq. 2)

The percentage of germinated seeds determined in the germination tests was considered as 'germinated seeds' here.

Volunteer oilseed rape longevity was quantified using the percentage of viable seeds. Viability over time was described using a non-linear regression, as follows:

\[ \text{Viability}(\%) = y_{\text{min}} - b_1(x - x_o) \quad \text{if} \quad x < x_o; \]
\[ \text{Viability}(\%) = y_{\text{min}} - b_2(x_o - x) \quad \text{if} \quad x \geq x_o \]

(eq. 3)

where \( x \) is the time (day) after burial, and \( b_1, b_2 \) (percent per day) and \( x_o \) (day) are model parameters showing the slopes and the turning point, respectively, in a non-linear regression. Viability decreased with two slopes that can be separated by a turning point during burial.

Germinability was quantified following the equation below (Colbach et al., 2008):

\[ \text{Germinability}(\%) = \cos(3\pi \cdot \text{day}/365+c) \cdot (1-b) + b \]

(eq. 4)

These equations were implemented using SAS (SAS, 2000).

**Seed germination modelling – study 2**

Seeds were collected from oilseed rape (cv. Hayola 401) fields around Gorgan during May 2009. Four replicates were conducted, each consisting of 50 seeds, in which seeds were germinated at constant temperatures ranging from 5 to 35 °C with 5 °C increments in 7 incubators under dark conditions. There were five water potentials, 0, -0.2, -0.4, -0.6 and -0.8 MPa[^1], in each temperature. Water potentials were maintained with solutions of polyethylene glycol 8000. Before seed placement, filter paper was soaked in Petri dishes containing an osmotic solution for the desired water potential for 24 h. Seeds were observed twice daily and considered germinated when the radicle was approximately ≥2 mm long. Estimates of the time taken for cumulative germination to reach 50% of its maximum in each replicate (D50) were interpolated from the germination progress curve versus time. Germination rate (R50 1/h) was then calculated according to Ellis et al., (1986) and Soltani et al., (2001, 2002):

\[ R50 = 1/D50 \]

(eq. 5)

To quantify the response of the germination rate to temperature and to determine cardinal temperatures for germination, the following model was used:

\[ R50 = f(T) \cdot R_{\text{max}} \]

(eq. 6)

where \( f(T) \) is a temperature (°C) function, and \( R_{\text{max}} \) is the inherent maximum rate of germination at the optimal temperature. Thus, \( 1/R_{\text{max}} \) indicates the minimum number of hours required for germination at the optimal temperature. Segmented functions (f(T)) were tested (Ritchie & NeSmith 1991; Soltani et al., 2006; Soltani et al., 2008):

\[ f(T) = \frac{(T - T_b)}{(T_o - T_b)} \quad \text{if} \quad T_b < T < T_o \]
\[ f(T) = \frac{(T_c - T)}{(T_c - T_o)} \quad \text{if} \quad T_o < T < T_c \]
\[ f(T) = 0 \quad \text{if} \quad T \geq T_c \quad \text{or} \quad T < T_b \]

(eq. 7)

where \( T \) is the temperature (°C), \( T_b \) is the base temperature, \( T_o \) is the optimum temperature and \( T_c \) is the ceiling temperature. The parameters were estimated by the least squares method using the non-linear (NLIN) regression (\( R_{50} \) as \( y \) and \( T \) as \( x \)) procedure in SAS (SAS, 2000).

A thermal time (TT °C day) model was fitted using the following equation at sub- (8) and supra- (9) optimal temperatures (Bradford, 2002):

\[ TT_{\text{sub}} = (T - T_b) \cdot t_g \]

(eq. 8)
\[ TT_{\text{supra}} = (T_c - T) \cdot t_g \]

(eq. 9)

where \( T \) is the actual temperature, \( T_b \) and \( T_c \) are the base and ceiling temperatures for germination, and \( t_g \) is the time from water addition to germination (g).

[^1]: Megapascal.
The following equation was used for the hydrotime model (Gummerson, 1986; Bradford, 1990, 2002):

\[ HT = (\psi - \psi_{bg}(g))t_g \]  
(eq. 10)

where \( HT \) is the hydrotime constant (MPa-day), \( \psi \) is the actual seed water potential (MPa), \( \psi_{bg}(g) \) is the base or threshold water potential (MPa) defined for a specific germination fraction \( g \), and \( t_g \) is the time (day) to radicle emergence of fraction \( g \) of the seed population.

When the sub-optimal temperature and \( \psi \) are both varied, germination rates can be described based on a combined hydrothermal time scale according to the hydrothermal time model (Gummerson, 1986; Bradford, 1995, 2002), which can be derived by combining equations (8) and (10):

\[ HHT = (\psi - \psi_{bg}(g))(T - T_b)t_g \]  
(eq. 11)

where \( HHT \) is the hydrothermal time constant (MPa °day), i.e., a combination of accumulated thermal time at temperatures above \( T_b \) and accumulated hydrotime at \( \psi \) levels above \( \psi_{bg}(g) \).

For the supra-optimal range of \( T \), equation (11) is modified as follows (Alvarado, 2000; Bradford, 2002; Rowse & Finch-Savage, 2003):

\[ HHT = \left\{ \psi - \psi_{bg}(g) + k_T(T - T_a) \right\}T_a - T_b)t_g \]  
(eq. 12)

where \( k_T \) is a constant (the slope of \( \psi_{bg} \) versus \( T \) line when \( T > T_a \)), and \( \psi_{bg}(g) \) represents the values of the \( \psi_{bg} \) distribution at \( T_a \).

All model fitting was undertaken in SAS (SAS, 2000) using a non-linear fitting procedure.

 Seedling emergence – Study 3

The effect of burial depth on seedling emergence was studied at the greenhouse of GUASNR. Fifteen oilseed rape seeds, provided as in studies 1 and 2, were sown in plastic pots (15 cm in diameter and 40 cm deep) filled with a silty clay loam (28% clay, 62% silt, 10% sand) soil. The soil was obtained by excavations from a depth of over 0.5 m to avoid the presence of preexisting seeds capable of invalidating the real experimental data on emergence rates. Additionally, the farm where the soil was collected had never cultivated under oilseed rape. The pots were filled gravimetrically with the soil and packed with uniform strength to avoid differential resistance to seedling emergence. The seeding depths tested were 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 15, 20 and 30 cm. This study was performed under a completely randomised design with four replicates for each seeding depth. The experiments began on 1st Jan. 2010, and the mean temperature during the experiments was approximately 10 °C. The pots were observed daily for 45 days. Emerged seedlings were counted when a cotyledon appeared, and they were then removed. After 45 days, the soils in the pots were examined for intact seeds by sieving the soil. The seeds remaining in the soil were treated using 2000 ppm gibberellic acid (GA3) to break seed dormancy. Thereafter, germination tests were performed in an incubator with a temperature of 20 °C in the dark. By using this method, dormant seeds were separated from dead seeds.

Estimates of the time taken for cumulative emergence to reach 50% of its maximum for each replicate (D50) were interpolated from the emergence progress curve versus time, as described in equation (5).

\[ y = \begin{cases} y_{max} & \text{if } x < x_o; \\ y_{max} \left( \exp\left( -b(x - x_o) \right) \right) & \text{if } x \geq x_o \end{cases} \]  
(eq. 13)

where \( x \) and \( y \) are burial depth and seedling emergence, respectively, and \( b \) and \( x_o \) are model parameters showing the slope and the turning point in a non-linear regression, respectively, conducted in SAS (SAS, 2000).

The relationship between seedling emergence rate and burial depth was described using a polynomial model.

---

[1] Megapascal day
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Seed longevity and germinability

The initial germination percentage of buried seeds (initial germinability) was 94 ± 1.2%, and seed viability was 100%. After one month of burial, seed viability decreased to 39% with a slope of 2.03% per day and subsequently decreased with a lower slope of 0.01 until 365 days after burial in the soil (Figure 1). There were no replications performed for the buried bags, but the model provided a good description of the changes of viability over time ($R^2 = 0.99$). Examination of the seeds showed that 60% of the seeds in the nylon bags exhibited pre-emergent growth after one month of burial. These seedlings were not able to reach the soil surface because of mortality resulting from insufficient shoot length. Therefore, the main reason for decreased seed viability was fatal germination in the soil.

Seed germinability in the laboratory was between 90 and 97%, and there were no significant differences over time (Figure 2A). Germinability was reduced to 50 and 8% under light and dark conditions, respectively, after one month of burial (Figure 2A), showing secondary dormancy. There was a cyclical change in germinability over one year after burial (Figure 2B). Germinability remained at the highest level in autumn and winter: 96 to 100% germination in light and 68 to 100% germination in dark. Germinability decreased from spring to the last month of summer: from 69 to 84% for germination in light and 11 to 44% for germination in dark (Figure 2A, B). During the last two months of burial, germinability increased again to the highest level (Figure 2A, B). The effect of light on seed germinability was significant. Induced dormancy was eliminated by germination in light, especially in seeds exhumed in the first
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**Figure 1** - Seed viability of oilseed rape after burial at 30 cm depth of soil in Gorgan. Seeds were buried on 23 Sep 2009.
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**Figure 2** - Seasonal germinability of volunteer oilseed rape seeds after burial at 30-cm depth of soil (A). Seeds were buried on 23 Sep 2009. Model fitted to data from the germination test in dark (B). Mean daily air temperature on 23 Sep 2009 (C).
month of burial and in summer (Figure 2A). A cyclical change in germinability was observed for the seeds under dark conditions over the year (Figure 2B). The model seems to fit the germinability changes ($R^2 = 0.90$) satisfactorily and demonstrates the higher germinability of oilseed rape associated with the particular behaviour related to cyclical germination. The values for parameters $b$ and $c$ were 12.81 and 76.14, respectively. (Figure 2B, C) indicate the interaction of dormancy level and environmental factors. Warmer temperatures led to seed dormancy, and light was required for seed germination.

Similar results were reported by Gulden et al. (2004), but they did not investigate modelling of the germinability cycle in their study. Pekrun et al. (1997a) indicated that the germination of volunteer oilseed rape is mainly affected by light and temperature changes. Pekrun et al. (1997a) reported that there is no clear indication of oilseed rape displaying a dormancy cycle. However, Schlink (1994) observed a secondary dormancy cycle for oilseed rape, and Colbach et al. (2008) demonstrated during evaluation of their model that including the secondary dormancy cycle of oilseed rape improved model predictions. These results were similar to the ones presented in this study. Therefore, it appears that there is a dormancy/non-dormancy cycle dependent on burial depth and light conditions in the germination tests. Non-deep physiological dormancy (PD) is very common in buried weed seeds, and many of these species exhibit annual dormancy cycles in response to seasonal temperature changes (Baskin & Baskin, 2006).

**Seed germination modelling**

The germination rate and final germination percentage of volunteer oilseed rape were severely affected by reduced water potential (Figure 3; Table 1). Estimates of cardinal temperatures and $R_{\text{max}}$ are provided (Table 1); the estimates of $T_b$ ranged from 2.7 to 6.7 °C; $T_c$ varied between 20.6 and 27 °C; and the range of $T_o$ was between 33.3 and 42 °C. The median thermal time to germination ($TT_{(50)}$) increased from 29.5 °C d$^{-1}$ ($\psi = 0$ MPa) to 57.9 °C d$^{-1}$ ($\psi = -0.8$ MPa) at lower $\psi$ and sub-optimal temperatures (Table 1). Under supra-optimal temperatures $TT_{(50)}$ ranged between 16 and 22 °C d$^{-1}$. The $R^2$ values ranged from 0.91 to 0.97 in sub-optimal temperatures, and these values for supra-optimal temperatures were 0.98 to 0.99. The $R^2$ values showed a good fitness of the thermal time model under both sub- and supra-optimal temperatures.

The cumulative germination percentage and fitted hydrotime model for each temperature are shown in Figure 4. The predicted germination time courses at the various $\psi$ and temperature values generally fitted well with the observed germination data, with $R^2$ values between 0.98 and 0.99 (Table 2). The estimated values of $\psi_{b(50)}$, $\sigma_{\psi_b}$ and $HT_{(50)}$ differed under different germination temperatures (Table 2). The lowest $\psi_{b(50)}$ and highest $HT_{(50)}$ were observed at 5 °C. $\psi_{b(50)}$ increased from -3.1 MPa to -0.76 MPa with an increase in the germination temperature from 5 to 35 °C. $HT_{(50)}$ decreased from 37.3 MPa ·d (at 5 °C) to 1.3 MPa ·d (at 30 °C) with an increase of 35 °C (2.2 MPa ·day).

$HT_{(50)}$ parameters under sub- and supra-optimal temperatures are indicated in Table 3. The $R^2$ values were 0.94 and 0.96 for sub- and supra-optimal temperatures, which is indicative that the model generally accounted for a large part of the variation at a wide range of temperatures. $HT_{(50)}$ under sub-optimal temperatures was lower than under supra-optimal temperatures (Table 3).
The hydrothermal value and base value of the temperature and water potential are needed to model seed bank dynamics and the emergence of weed species (Colbach et al., 2006; Sester et al., 2007). Non-dormant seeds of volunteer oilseed rape did not germinate at a lower temperature of 3.8°C and a water potential of -1.4 MPa (o d). This result has been reported by Marshall and Squire (1996) for base temperature, but we could not find any reports on the base water potential of oilseed rape. The hydrothermal values were 36.2 and 42.9 MPa (o d) for sub- and supra-optimal temperatures. The mathematical models based on characterising the variation that occurs in germination times among individual seeds in a population can describe and quantify the effects of temperature and water potential on weed seed germination (Bradford, 2002).

There are many reports using HTT models to predict weed seed germination or emergence (Kebreab & Murdoch, 2000; Masin et al., 2005; Bair et al., 2006; Martinson et al., 2007; Schutte et al., 2008), but there was no information available on HTT parameters for volunteer oilseed rape.

**Seedling emergence**

The seedling emergence percentage of volunteer oilseed rape was described well, as indicated (Figure 5A). The R² and RMSE values for the model were 0.98 and 5.03, respectively. Seedling emergence showed no change from a burial depth of 1 cm to 2.9 cm, exhibiting a value of 98.4%, but burial in deeper layers of soil from 2.9 cm to 10 cm led to a decrease in the seedling emergence percentage, as described by the model (Figure 5A). Seeds buried at a depth of 10 cm never emerged, and the seedling emergence of seeds buried at 8 cm was 15%. The seedling emergence rate was satisfactorily described by a polynomial model (R² = 0.98) (Figure 5B). According to this relationship, seeds of volunteer oilseed rape buried at soil depths of 1, 3, 5 and 8 cm would emerge approximately 10.6, 13.1, 13.3 and 15.4 days after burial, respectively.

Table 1 - Parameter estimates of the thermal time model, describing seed germination of volunteer oilseed rape at a range of water potentials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water potential (MPa)</th>
<th>Tb</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Tc</th>
<th>Rmax</th>
<th>Sub-optimal</th>
<th>Supra-optimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Final (%)</td>
<td>TT (50) R²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.73 ± 2.780</td>
<td>26.98 ± 2.239</td>
<td>41.48 ± 4.898</td>
<td>0.0342 ± 0.00371</td>
<td>77.2 ± 6.20</td>
<td>29.54 ± 1.162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>4.26 ± 2.938</td>
<td>25.71 ± 3.426</td>
<td>41.95 ± 7.558</td>
<td>0.0308 ± 0.00517</td>
<td>54.6 ± 7.30</td>
<td>28.98 ± 1.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>3.56 ± 3.482</td>
<td>25.52 ± 2.769</td>
<td>35.00 ± 1.766</td>
<td>0.0246 ± 0.00388</td>
<td>37.8 ± 6.40</td>
<td>36.51 ± 2.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>2.71 ± 4.016</td>
<td>26.46 ± 2.408</td>
<td>35.00 ± 1.608</td>
<td>0.0184 ± 0.00276</td>
<td>25.3 ± 5.90</td>
<td>52.78 ± 5.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>6.68 ± 2.522</td>
<td>20.66 ± 2.812</td>
<td>33.33 ± 2.363</td>
<td>0.0125 ± 0.00260</td>
<td>22.8 ± 5.21</td>
<td>22.8 ± 5.21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

At each water potential, seeds were germinated at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30, and 35°C. Tb, To, and Tc are the base, optimum and ceiling temperatures (°C). Rmax is inherent maximum rate of germination (L h⁻¹); Final (%) is mean germination percentage at each water potential; R² is the coefficient of determination of the regression thermal time model; TT (50) °C day is thermal time for 50% of maximum germination in sub and supra-optimal temperatures.
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Figure 4 - Germination time courses for oilseed rape seeds germinated at a range of water potentials and at 5, 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 and 35 °C. The symbols indicate the interpolation of observed germination data and the lines indicate the germination time courses predicted by the hydrot ime model, based on parameter estimates in Table 2.
seeds from soil depths of 8 to 30 cm (Figure 6). These seeds were dormant and could give rise to volunteers in subsequent years in farmers’ fields. Dormancy was first observed at a soil depth of 8 cm, where there were 10% ungerminated seeds, and increased to approximately 40% at a soil depth of 30 cm (Figure 6).

Quantification of seed emergence as influenced by burial depth was performed satisfactorily, and it was revealed that volunteer oilseed rape will not emerge from a burial depth greater than 10 cm. There is a number of reports on oilseed rape emergence as affected by seed depth: Gruber et al. (2010) reported that the emergence rates of oilseed rape were highest at soil depths of 1-5 cm, whereas they were clearly reduced at depths

Table 2 - Parameter estimates of the hydrotime model at seven germination temperatures describing seed germination of volunteer oilseed rape at a range of water potentials. \( \psi_{b(50)} \) is the median base water potential, \( \sigma_{\psi b} \) is the standard deviation in base water potential; \( HT_{(50)} \) is the hydrotime constant, \( R^2 \) is the coefficient of determination of the regression hydrotime model; Final (%) is the mean germination percentage at each temperature

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>( \psi_{b(50)} )</th>
<th>( \sigma_{\psi b} )</th>
<th>( HT_{(50)} )</th>
<th>( R^2 )</th>
<th>Final (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-3.11</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td>37.31 ± 21.543</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>5.20 ± 5.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>-1.50</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.85 ± 1.436</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>20.90 ± 14.850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>-1.64</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.05 ± 0.068</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>40.10 ± 16.116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>-1.42</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.230</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>73.33 ± 6.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>-1.30</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.80 ± 0.118</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>46.80 ± 12.398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>-0.97</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.35 ± 0.129</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>34.53 ± 15.340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.22 ± 0.673</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>18.20 ± 15.268</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 - Relationships between seedling emergence percentage (a) and seedling emergence rate (b) with burial depth in volunteer oilseed rape.

Table 3 - Parameter estimates of the hydrothermal time model, describing seed germination of volunteer oilseed rape, at the ranges of temperatures and water potentials. \( T_b \) and \( T_o \) are the base and optimum temperatures; \( \psi_{b(50)} \) is the median base water potential; \( \psi_{b(50)o} \) is the value of the \( \psi_{b(50)} \) at \( T_o \); \( k_T \) is a constant (the slope of the \( \psi_{b(50)} \) versus \( T \) line when \( T > T_o \)); \( HT_{T(50)} \) is the hydrothermal time constant; \( R^2 \) is the coefficient of determination of the hydrothermal time model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Sub-optimal</th>
<th>Supra-optimal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( T_b )</td>
<td>3.79 ± 0.151</td>
<td>3.38 ± 0.144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_o )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>26.23 ± 0.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{b(50)} )</td>
<td>-1.43 ± 0.033</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \psi_{b(50)o} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.23 ± 0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_T )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.042</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( HT_{T(50)} )</td>
<td>36.21 ± 1.006</td>
<td>42.93 ± 6.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R^2 )</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
of 0 and 7 cm, and emergence was completely inhibited at 12 cm. Colbach et al. (2008) showed that GENESYS can predict oilseed rape emergence as affected by seed depth. In this study, the same results were found for the cultivar and environment.

Overall, the seasonal pattern of seedling emergence and the persistence of buried seeds have been introduced as two important factors determining the success of weed species (Figueroa et al., 2007). It has been indicated that secondary dormancy in oilseed rape seeds is influenced by darkness in combination with osmotic stress, hypoxia and temperature (Pekrun et al., 1997a, b, 1998; Momoh et al., 2002; Gulden et al., 2004). In this study, it was observed that the dormancy level of volunteer oilseed rape increased during spring and early summer (study 1) and was affected by soil depth (study 3). In the present study, there was a dormancy/non-dormancy cycle in which volunteer oilseed rape was not exposed to light for germination and was buried at a soil depth of 30 cm. Therefore, it can be concluded that volunteer oilseed rape exhibits Type 1 non-deep PD (Baskin & Baskin, 2006), as germinability decreased from spring to summer.

This study employed modelling three sub-processes of the volunteer oilseed rape seed bank to show the life-cycle of volunteer oilseed rape in the soil seed bank. The parameters and relationships estimated can be used in models for soil seed bank dynamics (e.g., GENESYS by Colbach et al., 2001a, b; Sester et al., 2008; ALOMYSYS by Colbach et al., 2006), or they may be used to establish a new model for environmental conditions. These models have been satisfactorily used to study the effects of cropping systems on seed bank dynamics (Sester et al., 2007), germination and emergence, interacting with seed characteristics, tillage and soil climate (Colbach et al., 2006) and gene escape (Colbach et al., 2001a, b). The results of this study will also be useful for future studies of volunteer oilseed rape biology and ecology.

**Figure 6** - Fate of oilseed rape seeds at the different soil depths in a pot experiment in Gorgan.
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