GROWTH STIMULATING INFLUENCE OF FOLIAGE APPLIED BRASSICA WATER EXTRACTS ON MORPHOLOGICAL AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF BREAD WHEAT UNDER DIFFERENT FERTILIZER REGIMES

ABSTRACT - This study was conducted to explore the growth stimulating effect of foliage applied brassica water extract on growth and productivity of bread wheat (cv. Punjab 2011) at low and high fertilizer doses. The brassica water extract (5%) and the commercial growth regulator benzyl amino purine (BAP) (5 ppm) were applied alone and in combination at 30 and 45 days after sowing (DAS) under low fertilizer dose (125 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N and 90 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P) and high fertilizer doses (225 kg ha\(^{-1}\) N and 150 kg ha\(^{-1}\) P). Application of the brassica water extract (5%) significantly improved morphological traits such as crop growth rate, leaf elongation, leaf area index, plant height and number of productive tillers under both fertilizer regimes. Similarly, growth regulator benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) application enhanced the growth and yield components of wheat. However, maximum grain yield (6.20 t ha\(^{-1}\)) was recorded with combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) under the high fertilizer dose followed by individual application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP where 5.39 and 5.94 t ha\(^{-1}\) grain yields were recorded. Biological yield also showed an almost similar trend under the influence of the allelopathic water extract of brassica and BAP. Economic and marginal net benefits of 1521.6 and 237.0 USD ha\(^{-1}\) were respectively achieved with the application of the brassica water extract under the lower and higher fertilizer applications, respectively. The foliage applied 5% brassica water extract and BAP (5 ppm) was the most effective and had a stimulating impact on the growth and productivity of wheat.
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aplicação do regulador do crescimento benzil amino purina (5 ppm) gerou aumento nos componentes de crescimento e produção de trigo. No entanto, o rendimento máximo de grãos (6,20 t ha\(^{-1}\)) foi registrado com a aplicação combinada do extrato aquoso de Brassica (5%) e BAP (5 ppm) sob dose elevada de fertilizante, seguido por aplicação individual de extrato aquoso de Brassica (5%) e BAP, tendo sido registrado rendimento de grãos de 5,39 e 5,94 t ha\(^{-1}\). O rendimento biológico também mostrou tendência quase semelhante sob a influência do efeito alelopático do extrato aquoso de Brassica e BAP. Foram obtidos benefícios econômicos líquidos e marginais de 1.521,6 e 237,0 USD ha\(^{-1}\) com a aplicação do extrato aquoso de Brassica nas doses inferior e superior de fertilizante, respectivamente. A aplicação foliar a 5% do extrato aquoso de Brassica e BAP (5 ppm) foi a mais eficaz e exerceu impacto estimulante sobre o crescimento e a produtividade do trigo.

**Palavras-chave:** fertilizantes, extratos aquosos com efeito alelopático, reguladores de crescimento de plantas, Brassinolide.

**INTRODUCTION**

Allelopathy is responsive to the release of secondary metabolites known as allelochemicals. These allelochemicals released by plants, bacteria, viruses and fungi influence the growth of plants across their vicinity either positively or negatively (Farooq et al., 2011a; Abbas et al., 2017a). These allelochemicals are byproducts of different physiological processes; they are released into the environment and play a vital role in determining nutrient dynamics, soil chemical characteristics, mycorrhizae, microbial activity, plant diversity, succession, invasion and climax of natural vegetation (Bhowmik and Inderjit, 2003; Bhadoria, 2011; Farooq et al., 2011a; Al-Sherif et al., 2013; Koocheki et al., 2013; Abbas et al., 2017a).

Plant materials (roots, shoots, leaves and flowers) have been used in the form of water extracts to investigate their effect on different field crops (Iqbal et al., 2017). The inhibitory effect of allelochemicals is well explored and previously it was the only known dimension of allelopathy. Several authors extensively explored the inhibitory potential of different allelopathic crops and trees for weed management (Cheema et al., 2004; Iqbal et al., 2007; Jamil et al., 2009; Farooq et al., 2011b). However, these allelochemicals also have potential to promote crop growth (Abbas et al., 2017b; Shah et al., 2017) and induce resistance against abiotic stresses when applied at lower concentrations (Farooq et al., 2011a, b). For instance, seed treatment with moringa water extract increased sorghum germination, maize radical length and wheat hypocotyl length by 29.0, 77.8 and 14.5% respectively (Phiri, 2010). These secondary metabolites at low concentrations help to induce germination by breaking seed dormancy (Nickell, 1982), promote root growth by improving moisture availability and temperature regulation (Mackay and Barber, 1985), enhance mineralization of nutrients and improve their uptake (Barber, 1984). Furthermore, physiological processes such as seed germination, root growth, chlorophyll accumulation, photosynthesis, transpiration, leaf expansion, translocation and genetic encodings (Gamalero and Glick, 2011), process of mitosis as a result of tissue formation and ultra-structure are affected positively.

*Brassica napus* contains several allelochemicals such as glucosinolates (Agerbirk and Olsen, 2012), thiocyanates, isothiocyanates (Romanowski and Klenk, 2000) and brassinolide (Grove et al., 1979). Brassinolide affects the various developmental processes e.g., seed germination, growth, flowering and senescence. Some studies suggest that brassinosteroids and their derivatives improve the growth and productivity of crops both under normal and stressed conditions (Arora et al., 2008). Up to 20 to 60% increase in crop yield has also been attained by genetic manipulation of brassinosteroids (Divi and Krishna, 2009). Foliar application of 28-homobrassinolide improved the growth and yield of wheat, rice, brassica, potato and groundnut when applied at lower concentration at different growth stages (Ramraj et al., 1997). Kwak et al. (2009) reported that brassinolide supplementation at a lower concentration on tobacco plant increased the length of adventitious roots; however, higher concentrations interfered with root initiation and caused cluster formation that further hindered root differentiation. Brassinolide in higher concentrations affected the shape of rice seedlings and decreased leaf sheath size as compared with plants which received lower concentration of brassinolide (Chon et al., 2008). However, some other studies also have been reviewed suggesting beneficial role of brassinolide
in mediating several abiotic stresses such as heavy metal and herbicide induced oxidative stress in plants (Rehman et al., 2018; Shahzad et al., 2018; Sharma et al., 2018).

Upon release of allelochemicals into the rhizosphere, they regulate solubilization, mobilization, release and chelation of mineral nutrients (Jabran et al., 2013). Moreover, allelochemicals reduce nutrient losses and improve nutrient use efficiency. Recently, a lot of work has been under progress regarding the role of allelopathy in improving growth promotion through application of crop residues, mulching as well as cultivation of companion crops. However, growth behavior of wheat crop in response to the brassica water extract under different fertilizer regimes has been rarely studied. Because of their diverse mode of action on the physiology and morphology of plants and nutrient dynamics, the current study was aimed at examining the influence of allelopathic water extracts of brassica on wheat growth and yield, compared with Benzyl amino purine (BAP) (a plant growth regulator) under different fertilizer regimes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Experimental site and plant growth conditions

This study was conducted between 2012 and 2013 at Agronomic Research Area, University of Agriculture Faisalabad Pakistan. The experimental site lies between latitude 31.25°N and longitude 73.09°E. The experimental soil was sandy clay loam in nature with pH 8.2, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.37 dSm⁻¹, organic matter (OM) 0.64%, total nitrogen (N) 0.04%, available phosphorus (P) 8.0 ppm and available potassium (K) 170 ppm. The climate of experimental site was semi-arid with an average rainfall of 346 mm and weather data during the course of experiment is given in Table 1. The experiment was designed in a randomized complete block design with a split-plot arrangement with four replications.

Treatments

Wheat was fertilized with two rates, (low fertilizer dose (F1), 125 kg ha⁻¹ and 90 kg P ha⁻¹) and (high fertilizer dose (F2), 225 kg N ha⁻¹ and 150 kg P ha⁻¹. Urea (46% N) and DAP (46% P₂O₅) were used as a source of N and P, respectively. All of the phosphorus and half of the nitrogen were applied as a basal dose, while the remaining half of the nitrogen was applied at the time of second irrigation (booting stage). For foliar application treatments, five treatments were employed such as no treatment as control (T1), water spray (WS) (T2), 5% brassica water extract (BWE) (T3), 5 ppm benzyl amino purine (BAP) (T4) and BWE (5%) + BAP (5 ppm) (T5). Foliar application treatments were applied at 30 and 45 days after sowing (DAS) of wheat.

Preparation and application of the brassica water extracts

Water extract of *Brassica napus* was prepared according to Cheema and Khaliq (2000). Air dried plant herbage of *Brassica napus* was chopped with a fodder cutter into 2-3 cm pieces and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Max. temp.</th>
<th>Min. temp.</th>
<th>Average temperature (°C)</th>
<th>Average relative humidity (%)</th>
<th>Total rainfall (mm)</th>
<th>Sunshine (hours)</th>
<th>Potential evapotranspiration (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nov</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dec</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>81.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jan</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>77.6</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>81.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>61.2</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apr</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>26.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>May</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: (Agromet Bulletin) Agricultural Meteorology Cell Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad, Pakistan.
kept under cover to avoid possible leaching by rainwater. Chopped brassica material was soaked in water (1:10 w/v) for 24 h at room temperature. Extracts were sieved, packed and labeled as 100% brassica water extract. The volume of the spray (300 L ha⁻¹) was determined by calibration as described by Rao et al. (1987). Foliar application was done with a Knapsack hand sprayer fitted with a T-Jet nozzle maintaining a pressure of 207 KPa.

**Measurement of growth traits**

To determine the length and width of the 5th and 7th leaves, ten plants were randomly tagged and periodic data were taken with the help of a meter ruler. Leaf area index (LAI) was calculated with the ratio of leaf area to land area. From each plot, 1m² area was harvested to determine dry matter accumulation. Samples were weighed for fresh weight, dried under sunlight and placed in an oven at 70±5 °C up to constant weight. Crop growth rate (CGR) was measured by using the following formulae given by Hunt (1978) and it was measured with an interval of 15 days after 60 DAS.

\[ HI = \frac{\text{Grain yield}}{\text{Biological yield}} \times 100 \]

At physiological maturity, twenty plants from each replication were randomly selected for plant height, which was measured with the help of a meter rod from the soil surface to the top of the spike excluding the awns.

**Determination of yield and yield contributing traits**

Number of spikelets per spike and number of grains per spike were counted from 5 randomly selected plants in each experimental plot. Grain yield and biological yield per replication were measured separately and then an average was taken.

**Statistical Analysis**

The significance of variance (p≤0.05) was determined after analyzing the data collected using Fisher’s analysis of variance technique with computer software Statistix 9 under a split-plot design. Differences among treatment means were compared by using the least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% (Steel et al., 1997).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Growth and related traits**

Application of a plant growth regulator (BAP) significantly improved the crop growth rate of wheat. In the low fertilizer dose (F1), the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) improved the growth of wheat. All treatments were alike for CGR until 65 DAS. Maximum crop growth was recorded with application of the brassica water extract (5%) alone and with combination of the brassica water extract (5%) + BAP (5 ppm) (Figure 1). In the high fertilizer dose (F2), the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) substantially improved the growth of wheat; however, maximum crop growth was recorded with application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) alone and with combination of the brassica water extract (5%) + BAP (5 ppm), respectively (Figure 1). The interaction was found to be non-significant.

**Length of fifth and seventh leaves**

Application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) significantly improved the length of 5th leaf of wheat. In the low fertilizer dose, all treatments improved the length of 5th leaf in comparison with control. Similarly, application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm)
continuously improved the length of the 5th leaf. In the high fertilizer dose, the combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) improved the length of the 5th leaf from 30 DAS and continued to improve the length of the 5th leaf. However, all other treatments did not improve the length of 5th leaf and were alike the control treatment (Figure 2). The length of the 7th leaf was substantially improved by application of the brassica water extract (5%). None of the other treatments improved the length of the 7th leaf and were alike the control treatment in the low fertilizer dose. However, in the high fertilizer dose, the combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and the growth regulator BAP (5 ppm) substantially improved the length of 7th leaf of wheat while all other treatments did not improve the length of the 7th leaf and were alike the control treatment. (Figure 3). Moreover, the interaction between the allelopathic water extracts and the fertilizer was non-significant.

**Leaf area index**

Leaf area index (LAI) was substantially improved by application of the brassica water extract (5%). In the low fertilizer dose, LAI was significantly improved by application of the brassica water extract (5%) alone and when the brassica water extract (5%) was combined with BAP.
The brassica water extract (5%) alone and the combination of the brassica water extract (5%) + BAP (5 ppm) improved LAI until 90 DAS; later on, it started to decline towards maturity (Figure 4). In the high fertilizer dose, the allelopathic brassica water extract improved the LAI of wheat. Application of the brassica water extract (5%) and the combination of the brassica water extract (5%) + BAP (5 ppm) improved LAI until 90 DAS. (Figure 4).

**Plant height**

Analysis of variance (Table 2) showed that fertilizer dose significantly affected the plant height of wheat. Similarly, foliar application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) substantially affected the plant height of wheat. However, the interaction between the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and fertilizer dose was non-significant for plant height (Table 2).
Among the fertilizer doses, maximum plant height (99.56 cm) was recorded with the high fertilizer dose (Table 3). Maximum plant height (100.93 cm) was recorded with application of allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) followed by BAP (5 ppm), when plant height (100.75 cm) was recorded. Minimum plant height (96.62 cm) was recorded in control with no spray.

Yield parameters

**Numbers of productive tillers**

Foliar application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) significantly affected the number of productive tillers of wheat. Interaction between the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and fertilizer dose was non-significant. Among the fertilizer doses, maximum number of productive tillers/m² (317.35) was recorded with the higher fertilizer dose (Table 3). Among plant growth regulators, the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) improved the number of productive tillers of wheat. Maximum number of productive tillers (321.75) was achieved with the combined application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) followed by benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) alone, and about 311 productive tillers were recorded. Minimum number of productive tillers (253.8 m⁻²) was recorded in control with no spray.

**Number of spikelets per spike**

Application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) significantly affected the number of spikelets per spike of wheat. However, the interaction between the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and the fertilizer dose was non-significant for number of spikelets per spike of wheat. Among the fertilizer doses, maximum number of spikelets per spike (14.90) was recorded with the high fertilizer dose (Table 3). Moreover, the allelopathic brassica water extract (BWE) 5% improved the number of spikelets per spike of wheat. Maximum number of spikelets per spike (15.38) was found with BAP (5 ppm) followed by application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%), and about 15 spikelets per spike were recorded. Minimum number of spikelets per spike (13.25) was recorded in control with no spray.

**Table 3 - Influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts and fertilizer dose on different growth parameters of bread wheat**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Plant height (cm)</th>
<th>Productive tillers (m⁻²)</th>
<th>Spikelets per spike</th>
<th>Spike length (cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F1</td>
<td>F2</td>
<td>(Mean)T</td>
<td>F1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1=Control (no spray)</td>
<td>96.06</td>
<td>97.19</td>
<td>96.62</td>
<td>233.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3=BWE(5%)</td>
<td>100.19</td>
<td>101.67</td>
<td>100.93</td>
<td>274.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4=BAP(5 ppm)</td>
<td>99.99</td>
<td>101.51</td>
<td>100.75</td>
<td>281.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5=BWE+BAP</td>
<td>99.18</td>
<td>101.07</td>
<td>100.12</td>
<td>288.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (F)</td>
<td>98.76b</td>
<td>99.56</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>264.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where F1 = Low fertilizer dose (125 kg ha⁻¹ N and 90 kg ha⁻¹ P); F2= High fertilizer dose (225 kg ha⁻¹ N and 150 kg ha⁻¹ P); BWE= Brassica water extract; BAP= Benzyl amino purine.
**Spike length**

Table 2 shows that fertilizer dose did not affect the spike length of wheat. Similarly, application of the plant growth regulator (BAP) and the allelopathic water extract (5%) was also non-significant for spike length of wheat. In the same lines, the interaction between the fertilizer dose and the plant growth regulators was non-significant for spike length. None of the fertilizer doses improved spike length of wheat. Moreover, application of the plant growth regulator BAP (5 ppm) and the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) with both fertilizer doses did not improve spike length of wheat and were similar to control.

**Grains per spike**

The higher the number of grains per spike, the higher grain yield was. The data in Table 2 showed that number of grains per spike was not affected by fertilizer dose. However, the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) substantially improved the number of grains per spike. Additionally, the interaction between the plant growth regulator and the fertilizer dose did not affect the number of grains per spike of wheat. Among the fertilizer doses, maximum number of grains per spike (48.53) was recorded with the high fertilizer dose. Application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) increased the number of grains per spike of wheat. Maximum number of grains per spike (54.41) was achieved in the combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) followed by solo application of BAP (5 ppm) and about 50 grains per spike were recorded (Table 4). Minimum number of grains per spike (42.60) was recorded in control with no spray.

**Grain weight**

Analysis of variance (Table 2) showed that fertilizer dose substantially affected the 1000 grain weight of wheat. Similarly, foliar application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) also significantly affected the 1000 grain weight of wheat. However, the interaction between the fertilizer dose and the plant growth regulators was non-significant for the 1000 grain weight of wheat. Among fertilizer doses, maximum 1000 grain weight (48.92 g) was recorded with the high fertilizer dose (Table 4). Among plant growth regulators, benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) improved the 1000 grain weight of wheat. Maximum 1000 grain weight (49.05 g) was achieved with application of BAP (5 ppm) followed by the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%), and 48.28 g was recorded. Minimum 1000 grain weight (45.91 g) was recorded in control with no spray.

**Biological yield**

Application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) substantially affected the biological yield of wheat. However, the interaction between the fertilizer dose and the allelopathic water extract (5%) was non-significant for the biological yield of wheat. Among the fertilizer doses, maximum biological yield (15.26 t ha⁻¹) was achieved with the high fertilizer dose (Table 4). Among

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4 - Influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts and fertilizer dose on different yield parameters of bread wheat</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1 = Control (no spray)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 = Water spray</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3 = BWE (5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4 = BAP (5 ppm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5 = BWE+BAP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (F)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where F1= Low fertilizer dose (125 kg ha⁻¹ N and 90 kg ha⁻¹ P); F2= High fertilizer dose (225 kg ha⁻¹ N and 150 kg ha⁻¹ P); BWE= Brassica water extract; BAP= Benzyl amino purine.
plant growth regulators, the combination of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) improved the biological yield of wheat. Maximum biological yield (16.11 t ha⁻¹) was achieved with the combined application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) followed by solo application of BAP (5 ppm), and 15.17 t ha⁻¹ biological yield was achieved. Minimum biological yield (12.0 t ha⁻¹) was recorded in control with no spray.

**Grain yield**

The grain yield is the combined effect of all individual parameters of yield components under the particular set of ecological conditions. Analysis of variance (Table 2) showed that application of fertilizer dose significantly affected the grain yield of wheat. Similarly, foliar application of the brassica water extract (5%) significantly affected the grain yield of wheat. However, the interaction between fertilizer dose and the brassica water extract (5%) was non-significant for the grain yield of wheat. Among fertilizer doses, maximum grain yield (5.50 t ha⁻¹) was recorded when the high fertilizer dose was applied (Table 4). Among plant growth regulators, the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) increased the grain yield of wheat. Maximum grain yield (6.20 t ha⁻¹) was achieved in the combined application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) followed by BAP (5 ppm) alone, and 5.94 t ha⁻¹ grain yield was gained. Minimum grain yield (4.33 t ha⁻¹) was achieved in control with no spray.

In the present study, application of the allelopathic brassica water extracts (5%) improved the growth-related traits, including plant height, leaf area index, leaf elongation and dry matter accumulation under both fertilizer levels. The performance of the allelopathic water extracts in terms of growth improvement was better than water application alone (Figures 1-4). Application of allelochemicals is positively correlated with improvement in crop growth and development (Cohen and Meudt, 1983) and lower concentrations of phenolic compounds present in Brassica spp. stimulate the growth of target plants (Al-Sherif et al., 2013). Application of the brassica water extracts (5%) further improved crop growth as it contains glucosinolates (Agerbirk and Olsen, 2012), thiocyanates, isothiocyanates (Romanowski and Klenk, 2000) and brassinolide (Grove et al., 1979) which might have stimulated growth, chlorophyll accumulation, photosynthesis, transpiration, leaf expansion, translocation and genetic encodings (Rice, 1984; Gamalero and Glick, 2011). The growth-stimulating effect of the brassica water extracts on wheat seedling growth could have been due to several aspects which suggest that allelochemicals affect hormone synthesis and using them further triggers vital physiological aspects such as cell division, cell elongation, microscopic structure, membrane permeability and protein synthesis (Al-Sherif et al., 2013).

In the present study, improved performance of the fertilizer dose applied, in terms of crop growth rate, leaf area index, leaf elongation and plant height under the influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts (5%) and growth regulator benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) (Figures 1-4), might be due to the interaction between the fertilizer and the allelopathic brassica water extracts, as Jabran et al. (2013) showed a positive correlation between nutrients and application of allelochemicals. Furthermore, improved plant height under application of both the fertilizer and the allelopathic water extract (5%) is attributed to the allelochemicals as described by Sassa et al. (1992) and Jeyakumar et al. (2008). Moreover, Field and Osbourn (2008) stated that allelochemicals have the potential to modulate the physiological and metabolic functions of plants, which might have stimulated plant height (Table 3).

In the present study, an overall increase in all the yield related attributes of wheat was recorded under the influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts (5%) and the growth regulator benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) except for spike length, which was non-significant under all the treatments (Table 3). Furthermore, the combined application of the allelopathic water extracts (5%) and benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) resulted in a higher number of productive tillers and number of spikelets per spike, which illustrates the synergistic effect of allelochemicals and benzyl amino purine ;as Mandava (1988) suggested, auxins mediate the effect of brassinosteroids through increasing tissue sensitivity to endogenous auxins, which might have improved the number of productive tillers in this study. Similarly, improvement in number of grains per spike and 1000 grain weight under the influence of the combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) might be due to the action of allelochemicals on the
translocation of photosynthates at the grain filling stage (Fujii and Saka, 1992; Sairam, 1994; Shahbaz and Ashraf, 2007; Sharma and Bhardwaj, 2007; Rehman et al., 2012).

Application of the allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5ppm) improved biological and grain yield under both fertilizer levels (Table 4). It is therefore substantiated that application of both BWE and BAP could lead to a mutual interaction which uplifted grain and biological yield either through their action on cell division, photosynthesis, respiration or source sink relationship (Rice, 1984; Gamalero and Glick, 2011).

An economic analysis was made to judge grain and straw yield in economic returns and returns from each treatment for final recommendation for adaptation of the methodology. The economic analysis (Table 5) revealed that there was an overall increase in net benefits in different treatments with the brassica water extract. These treatments were beneficial for maximum profit as compared to control. Among the treatments, the brassica water extract (5%) + benzyl amino purine (5 ppm) gave the maximum net benefits of (1708.7 USD ha⁻¹) with the high fertilizer dose (Table 5). Economic and marginal net benefits of 1521.6 and 237.0 USD ha⁻¹ were achieved by applying the brassica water extract under the high fertilizer application (Table 6). Use of the allelopathic water extracts of different crops along with commercial growth regulators can have promotive effects on target plants. It is quite clear from the above-mentioned results that the allelopathic brassica water extracts have a major impact on bread wheat growth and productivity, and they gave a net benefit of USD. 1708 ha⁻¹. Use of allelopathic water extracts is also safe, environment friendly and easy to operate at field conditions.

In conclusion, the foliage applied allelopathic brassica water extract (5%) significantly improved the growth and yield components; however, the combined application of the brassica water extract (5%) and BAP (5 ppm) applied at 30 and 45 DAS was the most effective in improving growth and grain yield of wheat, which caused the maximum net benefits of (1708.7 USD ha⁻¹).

**Table 5** - Economic analysis under the influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts and fertilizer dose on bread wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic indicators</th>
<th>Low fertilizer dose (F1)</th>
<th>High fertilizer dose (F2)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain yield</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>5.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted grain yield</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straw yield</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted SY</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total gross income</td>
<td>1766.8</td>
<td>1855.8</td>
<td>2132.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total cost including permanent and variable costs</td>
<td>653.8</td>
<td>673.1</td>
<td>699.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefit</td>
<td>1113.0</td>
<td>1182.7</td>
<td>1433.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where F1= Low fertilizer dose (125 kg ha⁻¹ N and 90 kg ha⁻¹ P); F2= High fertilizer dose (225 kg ha⁻¹ N and 150 kg ha⁻¹ P); T1= No application; T2= Water application; T3= Brassica water extract (5%); T4= Benzyl amino purine (5ppm); T5= Brassica water extract (5%) + Benzyl amino purine (5 ppm).

**Table 6** - Marginal analysis under the influence of the allelopathic brassica water extracts and fertilizer dose on bread wheat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economic indicators</th>
<th>Low fertilizer dose (F1)</th>
<th>High fertilizer dose (F2)</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>T3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost that vary</td>
<td>354.2</td>
<td>376.2</td>
<td>394.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Net benefit</td>
<td>1113.0</td>
<td>1182.7</td>
<td>1433.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal costs</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>115.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal net profits</td>
<td>69.7</td>
<td>251.0</td>
<td>-19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marginal rate of return (%)</td>
<td>317.0</td>
<td>1364.3</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where F1= Low fertilizer dose (125 kg ha⁻¹ N and 90 kg ha⁻¹ P); F2= High fertilizer (225 kg ha⁻¹ N and 150 kg ha⁻¹ P); T1= No application. T2= Water application; T3= Brassica water extract (5%); T4= Benzyl amino purine (5ppm); T5= Brassica water extract (5%) + Benzyl amino purine (5 ppm).
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