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Abstract

Neonates hospitalized in a neonatal intensive care unit are exposed to many painful and stressful procedures. Biobehavioral pain reactivity in preterm infants during the neonatal period may reflect the capacity of the central nervous system to regulate arousal and neurobiological organization. We review empirical studies on the effects of sex, gestational age, and neonatal illness severity on pain reactivity in children born preterm. A literature search was conducted using PubMed, Institute of Scientific Information Web of Science, PsycINFO, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature, and Scientific Electronic Library Online databases. Additionally, a special search was performed in online journals that publish pain studies including Pain, Early Human Development, European Journal of Pain, and Pain Management Nursing. The literature search covered the period from 2004 to 2009. Data were extracted according to predefined inclusion and exclusion criteria. Of the 18 studies reviewed, 16 analyzed gestational age, 13 examined neonatal illness severity, and eight focused on sex. Most of the studies analyzed more than one of these three variables. The majority of the studies found effects of gestational age \((n = 14)\) and neonatal illness severity \((n = 11)\) on pain responses. Only two studies found an influence of sex on infant pain responses. In conclusion, gestational age and neonatal illness severity influence pain responses in infants born preterm. Further studies should be conducted to examine the influence of sex on pain responses.
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Introduction

Studies on the neurobiology of pain have shown that the immaturity of sensory processing in newborns leads to lower thresholds for excitation and sensitization in the spinal cord, thus maximizing the central effects of tissue-damaging inputs (Fitzgerald & Walker, 2009). Early damage in infancy can lead to prolonged structural and functional alterations in pain pathways throughout the individual’s lifespan (Beggs & Fitzgerald, 2007).

Preterm neonates perceive painful stimuli and exhibit biobehavioral reactivity to pain reflected by facial activity (Stevens, Ridell, Oberlander, & Gibbins, 2007a), body movements (Holsti, Grunau, Oberlander, & Whitfield, 2004), physiological responses (Grunau, Haley, Whitfield, Weinberg, Yu, & Thiessen, 2007), and cortical activity (Bartocci, Bergqvist, Lagercrantz, & Anand, 2006; Slater et al., 2010).

Maturity of the preterm infant influences the responses to acute pain procedures during hospitalization in the neonatal intensive care unit (NICU; Holsti, Gunau, & Shany, 2011). In these neurophysiologically immature neonates with low gestational age, discriminating specific sensory changes caused by neonatal pain exposure from the more generalized effects of cumulative pain on multiple aspects of biobehavioral reactivity is challenging (Grunau & Tu, 2007). Extremely low-birth-weight infants present inconsistencies in behavioral and physiological pain responses and an inability to sustain responses over time. These inconsistencies reflect the poor regulation of central nervous system development (Stevens et al., 2007a). Gestational age and pain reactivity are highly correlated (Johnston, Fernandes, & Campbell-Yeo, 2011).

Additionally, preterm infants with a low gestational age present different levels of illness severity. A vulnerable preterm infant’s clinical status can be measured using a set of neonatal clinical risk indices including the Clinical Risk Index for Babies (CRIB; International Neonatal Network; Cockburn et al., 1993), CRIB-II (Parry, Tucker, & Tarnow-Mordi, 2003), Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology (SNAP; Richardson, Gray, McCormick, Workman, & Goldmann, 1993), Score for Neonatal Acute Physiology-Perinatal Extension II.
(SNAPPE-II; Richardson, Corcoran, Escobar, & Lee, 2001), Neonatal Medical Index (NNMI; Korner et al., 1993), Neonatal Therapeutic Intervention Scoring System (NTISS; Gray, Richardson, McCormick, Workman-Daniels, & Goldmann, 1992), and Apgar score during the first 5 min of postnatal age (Apgar, 1953). Clinical risk scores demonstrate better performance than the single birth weight variable in predicting mortality (Zardo & Prociunoy, 2003). CRIB and CRIB-II scores showed greater discriminatory ability than SNAPPE-II in a cohort of infants weighing less than 1,500 g at birth (Gagliardi et al., 2004). A CRIB index score ≥4 (cut-off) was a better predictor of mortality than birth weight and gestational age (Brito, Matsuo, Gonzalez, Carvalho, & Ferrari, 2003). As suggested by Gibbins et al. (2008a), neonatal illness severity has been scarcely explored with regard to infant pain responses.

Regarding sex, a previous study found higher pain sensitivity (i.e., lower thresholds, greater discrimination, higher pain ratings, and less tolerance to noxious stimuli) in female than in male adults with differential cortical activation dependent on the type of situational variable (Derbyshire, 2008). However, little conclusive evidence has been provided in regard to sex differences in pain responses in children born extremely preterm, especially at an early age.

To better understand the factors that influence pain reactivity in preterm infants, it is useful to analyze the effects of individual variables such as gestational age, clinical health status, and sex. Concerning the relevance of the effects of individual variables to infant pain reactivity — recovery responses, the present review analyzed empirical studies published between 2004 and 2009 on pain reactivity in children born preterm, with a specific focus on the effects of sex, gestational age, and neonatal illness severity.

Methods

In 2009 we performed a systematic search of PubMed, PsycINFO, Institute of Scientific Information Web of Science, Latin American and Caribbean Health Sciences Literature, and Scientific Electronic Library Online databases. Moreover, an additional search was performed in some journals that usually publish pain studies including Pain, Early Human Development, European Journal of Pain, and Pain Management Nursing to ensure that as many papers as possible that met the inclusion criteria were included.

According to the objective of this review, the survey was performed with the following keywords: neonates and pain responses and sex; neonates and pain responses and gestational age; neonates and pain responses and severity of illness. The inclusion criteria were the following: empirical studies published in the last 5 years (2004–2009); studies published in English, Spanish, or Portuguese; and studies that included children born preterm. Exclusion criteria were the following: review studies; letters; psychometric studies; studies with samples that did not include preterm infants; and studies that did not analyze the association between pain responses and sex, gestational age, or illness severity.

A total of 95 studies were found that met the inclusion criteria. Of these 95 studies, the following studies were excluded: psychometric studies (n = 9); studies in which the results did not analyze sex, gestational age, or illness severity (n = 60); and studies in which the assessment did not occur during the painful procedure (n = 8). After applying these exclusion criteria, 18 studies remained and were analyzed in the present review.

Results

Study features

Of the total sample of 18 studies, eight analyzed sex, 16 analyzed gestational age, and 13 analyzed neonatal illness severity. Concerning the methodological designs of the studies, seven were cross-sectional, four were cross-over, and seven were longitudinal. Table 1 shows the associations between the study design and the study variables.

Table 1 also shows that only three of the 18 studies analyzed the three variables (i.e., sex, gestational age, and illness severity) simultaneously (Holsti et al., 2004; Allegaert, Devlieger, Bulckaert, Naulaers, Csaer, & Tibboel, 2005; Walker, Franck, Fitzgerald, Myles, Stocks, & Marlow, 2009). Two studies focused on only one variable (illness severity; Stevens et al., 2007b; Gibbins et al., 2008b). Thirteen studies analyzed two variables simultaneously: five studies analyzed gestational age and sex and eight studies analyzed gestational age and illness severity (Evans, McCartney, Lawhon, & Galloway, 2005; Grunau et al., 2005; Hermann, Hohmeister, Demirakça, Zohsel, & Flor, 2006; Stevens et al., 2007c; Rautava et al., 2007; Goffaux, Lafrenaye, Morin, Patural, Demers, & Marchand, 2008; Williams, Khattak, Garza, & Lasky, 2009; Hohmeister, Demirakça, Zohsel, & Flor, & Hermann, 2009).

The cross-sectional and cross-over design studies (n = 11) focused on clinical pain during the neonatal phase when the infants were hospitalized in the NICU (Holsti et al., 2004, 2005, 2006; Evans et al. 2005; Grunau et al., 2005; Bartocci et al., 2006; Stevens et al., 2007b,c; Gibbins et al., 2008a,c; Williams et al., 2009). One study was a prospective–longitudinal design that examined the association between clinical pain in the NICU and the later pain reactivity of the children at 6 months of age (Gibbins et al., 2008b). Two retrospective–longitudinal studies analyzed the association between history of clinical pain experience in the NICU and pain during later immunization during the first postnatal year (Allegaert et al., 2005; Rautava et al., 2007). Four retrospective–longitudinal studies analyzed the associations between previous neonatal
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Table 1. Study design, number of studies, references, and study variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Study variables</th>
<th>Illness severity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Evans et al. (2005)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Bartocci et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Stevens et al. (2007b)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5. Stevens et al. (2007a)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6. Gibbins et al. (2008c)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>7. Williams et al. (2009)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-over</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1. Holsti et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Grunau et al. (2005)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Holsti et al. (2006)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4. Gibbins et al. (2008c)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longitudinal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1. Allegaert et al. (2005)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Rautava et al. (2007)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Gibbins et al. (2008b)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retrospective longitudinal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1. Hermann et al. (2006)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Goffaux et al. (2008)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3. Walker et al. (2009)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n, number of studies; Y, yes; N, no.

pain history and later pain, which was assessed in a laboratory setting in children between 7 and 14 years of age (Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008; Walker et al., 2009; Hohmeister et al., 2009).

**Study findings**

Study results were organized into three blocks according to the main variables: sex, gestational age, and illness severity. Additionally, results were separated in each block according to type of pain including clinical pain, immunization pain, and experimental pain. Clinical pain included studies in which infant pain responses were assessed during the neonatal phase. Immunization pain included studies in which pain was assessed during immunization procedures during the first postnatal year in children born preterm. Experimental pain included studies that associated early pain experience during hospitalization in the NICU and later experimental pain responses in teenagers born preterm.

**Sex studies**

**Clinical pain**

In six studies that investigated clinical pain responses during the neonatal phase and sex differences, the majority of studies found no significant sex differences (Holsti et al., 2004, 2006; Gibbins et al., 2008a,c). Only two studies found differences between male and female preterm newborns (Bartocci et al., 2006; Holsti et al., 2005), showing more pronounced pain responses in males than in females.

In a cross-sectional study, Bartocci et al. (2006) found sex differences in infants during a painful venipuncture procedure for blood collection. The pain response was greater in male preterm neonates than in female preterm neonates born at 28–36 weeks of gestational age. Males showed a more pronounced increase in bilateral cortical activation compared with females, and this difference was greater in the left hemisphere when venipuncture occurred on the right hand.

In a cross-over study, Holsti et al. (2005) compared two groups of preterm infants with different gestational ages (<30 weeks vs. ≥30 weeks; gestational age, 24–32 weeks) during sessions of painful (i.e., heel lance) and stressful (i.e., clustered care) procedures. Two orders for data collection were established: clustered care after rest vs. clustered care after pain. Male infants maintained behavioral responses to stress cues assessed by the Newborn Individualized Developmental Care and Assessment Program (NIDCAP) into the recovery phase of the clustered care after pain (i.e., the heel lance procedure).

**Immunization pain**

Only one study analyzed sex differences in pain responses in preterm infants during the first postnatal year. Physiological and behavioral pain responses were analyzed during an immunization procedure in children born preterm (gestational age, 25–32 weeks) conducted 34–90 weeks postnatally (Allegaert et al., 2005). No correlations were found between sex and these pain responses.

**Experimental pain**

A retrospective–longitudinal study compared teenagers born preterm (n = 43; gestational age at birth, <25 weeks and 6 days) and teenagers born full-term when they were 11 years old. The painful stimulus procedures assessed mechanical and thermal nociception thresholds. Significant differences were found between male and female teenagers. Thermal
assessments was composed of four types of perception thresholds: cool, warm, cold, and hot. Males in the full-term control group were more sensitive to cold and hot than females, but the thresholds for cool and warm did not significantly differ between groups. The findings showed that sex in the extremely preterm group did not influence thermal pain thresholds.

Gestational age studies

Sixteen studies analyzed the effect of gestational age on pain responses. Fourteen studies found significant associations between gestational age and pain responses (Holsti et al., 2004, 2005, 2006; Grunau et al., 2005; Evans et al., 2005; Allegaert et al., 2005; Bartocci et al., 2006; Hermann et al., 2006; Rautava et al., 2007; Gibbins et al., 2008a; Goffaux et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2009; Hohmeister et al., 2009). Two studies found no significant effects of gestational age on infant pain responses (Stevens et al., 2007c; Gibbins et al., 2008c).

Clinical pain

In a cross-sectional study of 40 preterm infants (gestational age, 28–36 weeks), Bartocci et al. (2006) found that the increased magnitude of pain-induced cortical activation was negatively correlated with gestational age during a venipuncture procedure for blood collection. Additionally, increased cortical activation after the painful procedure was positively correlated with postnatal age.

Preterm and full-term newborns were studied in four different groups based on gestational age (G1, 23–27 weeks; G2, 28–31 weeks; G3, 32–35 weeks; G4, 36–38 weeks; Gibbins et al., 2008a). Less mature infants (G1 group) showed fewer changes in total facial activity responses from baseline in response to a heel lance procedure compared with the G2 and G3 groups. Infants in the G4 group had lower minimum, mean, and maximum oxygen saturation levels compared with infants in the other groups during three phases of blood collection (i.e., baseline, lance, and return-to-baseline phases). When the authors compared mean oxygen saturation differences between baseline and lance phases, infants born at 28–31 weeks of gestational age (G1 group) exhibited the greatest changes, and infants born at 32–35 weeks of gestational age (G3 group) exhibited the fewest changes. Concerning heart rate, G1 and G3 groups had significantly higher minimum, mean, and maximum heart rates during baseline compared with the two more mature groups (G2 and G4 groups). This heart rate difference was maintained during the lance and return-to-baseline (recovery) phases with the G1 and G3 groups presenting significantly higher heart rates compared with the G4 group.

According to the results of Holsti et al. (2004), infants born at a gestational age of <30 weeks (gestational age 25–30 weeks of gestational age) showed more behavioral responses (i.e., facial and body activity from the NIDCAP) during a heel lance procedure compared with older infants (gestational age 30–32 weeks of gestational age). Evans et al. (2005) found that, according to a multidimensional pain measure reflected by Premature Infant Pain Profile (PIPP) scores, infants born at 27–30 weeks and infants born at 33–36 weeks of gestational age showed significantly less physiological and behavioral responses during hospitalization in the NICU than infants born at 31–33 weeks of gestational age. The authors attributed the significantly higher PIPP scores in the transitional group to neurological changes that occur at approximately 31 weeks of gestational age.

In a set of cross-over studies, results showed relationships between stressful and painful procedures. A study by Holsti et al. (2005) found that infants born at an earlier gestational age (<30 weeks) were less able to flex their legs and bring their hands to their mouth compared with infants born at later gestational ages (≥30 weeks) during the handling phase (i.e., stress) of clustered care following a pain procedure. Moreover, during the recovery phase of both sessions (clustered care following rest and clustered care following pain), infants born at later gestational ages (≥30 weeks) continued to exhibit stability in their responses to NIDCAP cues and stress cues during the recovery phase following the clustered care and following rest procedure. The same authors published another study in 2006 and showed that infants born at an earlier gestational age (<30 weeks) presented higher facial responses and higher mean heart rates during the recovery phase when pain occurred after clustered care.

A study of the association between painful (i.e., heel lance) and nonpainful (i.e., diaper change) procedures found no differences in total facial activity between infants born at 23–25 weeks (incomplete, with 6/7 days) and 26–27 weeks (incomplete, with 6/7 days) of gestational age (Gibbins et al., 2008c). Each group had more total facial expressions during the procedural phase in both the diaper change and heel lance procedures, but the differences between procedures were not significant. Similarly, no differences in total body movements were found in infants born at 25 (incomplete, with 6/7 days) weeks of gestational age compared with the more mature gestational age group. When examining individual facial activity, greater activity was present in the infants born at 26–27 weeks (incomplete, with 6/7 days) of gestational age, but the differences were not significant. Moreover, no significant differences were found in individual body movements between the gestational age groups.

In conclusion, gestational age studies demonstrated that biobehavioral pain response patterns vary depending on the stratification of gestational age at birth in preterm infant samples. Less mature infants with a low gestational age had fewer behavioral responses and higher physiological responses. Additionally, lower gestational age was associated with higher cortical activation during acute pain experiences.
**Immunization pain**

Infants born preterm have higher minimum fundamental pain thresholds when they cry during painful immunization procedures in the NICU (Goffaux et al., 2008; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008; Stevens et al., 2007b,c; Williams et al., 2009), number of repeated heelstick procedures (Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008), SNAP-Perinatal Early Extinction (SNAP-PE; Stevens et al., 2007b; Gibbins et al., 2008b, SNAPPE-II (Hermann et al., 2006; Stevens et al., 2007b,c; Williams et al., 2009; Grunau et al., 2005, Neurobiologic Risk Score (NBRS) at discharge (Gibbins et al., 2008b), NTISS (Gibbins et al., 2008b; Evans et al., 2005), Neurobiologic Risk Score (NBRS) at discharge (Gibbins et al., 2008b), and Apgar score at the 5th minute (Rautava et al., 2007; Stevens et al., 2007b).

Eleven studies used data of illness severity based on clinical events including the following: high opioid exposure (Holsti et al., 2004; Allegaert et al., 2005), early sickness during the neonatal period (Holsti et al., 2004), number of invasive and painful procedures since birth (Holsti et al., 2004; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008), number of repeated heelstick procedures (Evans et al., 2005), length of stay in the NICU or hospitalization (Allegaert et al., 2005; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008, Hohmeister et al., 2009). Only three studies found no significant differences in pain thresholds with regard to the illness severity variable (Stevens et al., 2007c; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008).

Illness severity was assessed using several operational definitions. Nine studies used scores of clinical risk for mortality and morbidity to classify illness severity including the CRIB (Walker et al., 2009), SNAP-II (Holsti et al., 2004; Goffaux et al., 2008), SNAP-Perinatal Extension (SNAP-PE; Stevens et al., 2007b; Gibbins et al., 2008b), SNAPPE-II (Hermann et al., 2006; Hohmeister et al., 2009), NTISS (Gibbins et al., 2008b; Evans et al., 2005), Neurobiologic Risk Score (NBRS) at discharge (Gibbins et al., 2008b), and Apgar score at the 5th minute (Rautava et al., 2007; Stevens et al., 2007b).

Eleven studies used data of illness severity based on clinical events including the following: high opioid exposure (Holsti et al., 2004; Allegaert et al., 2005), early sickness during the neonatal period (Holsti et al., 2004), number of invasive and painful procedures since birth (Holsti et al., 2004; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008), number of repeated heelstick procedures (Evans et al., 2005), length of stay in the NICU or hospitalization (Allegaert et al., 2005; Hermann et al., 2006; Goffaux et al., 2008, Hohmeister et al., 2009), neonatal surgery and major procedures during NICU stay (Allegaert et al., 2005; Walker et al., 2009), number of days under mechanical ventilation (Allegaert et al., 2005; Rautava et al., 2007; Goffaux et al., 2008; Williams et al., 2009),
brain abnormalities and bronchopulmonary dysplasia (Rautava et al., 2007), and risk for neurological impairment (Stevens et al., 2007b,c; Gibbins et al., 2008b). Risk for neurological impairment was classified as high (perinatal asphyxia, intraventricular hemorrhage [IVH] grade III or IV or a syndrome or chromosomal anomaly), moderate (acute disease processes such as persistent pulmonary hypertension, severe meconium aspiration, meningitis, hydrocephalus, and necrotizing enterocolitis), and low (respiratory distress requiring ventilation and sepsis).

**Clinical pain**

Stevens, Gibbins, McGrath, and Franck published two cross-sectional studies in 2007 that compared three different groups of infants born between 25 and 40 weeks of gestational age according to high, moderate, and low risk for neurological impairment. The risk for neurological impairment was based on several factors including congenital syndrome/ chromosomal abnormalities (e.g., congenital trisomies), birth trauma (e.g., fractures, nerve injuries), extremely preterm birth (e.g., IVH, necrotizing enterocolitis [NEC]), and acquired illnesses with central nervous system involvement (Robertson, Svenson, & Joffres, 1998). The groups of neonates were stratified according to neurological impairment score (Stevens et al., 2007b). The group with the highest risk for neurological impairment underwent significantly more painful procedures (>15/day) and were administered less opioids than infants with minimal or no risk for neurological impairment.

In the first study, Stevens et al. (2007b) found that the low-risk group exhibited the greatest total facial reactions in the post-procedure phase of the heel lance procedure for blood collection than the moderate- and high-risk groups. Moreover, the moderate-risk group presented higher minimum and mean fundamental pain responses on the Neonatal Infant Pain Scale (NIPS) compared with the high-risk group. In the second study, infants at low risk for neurological impairment exhibited higher facial reaction scores than the moderate- and high-risk groups. Moreover, high-risk infants exhibited more changes in facial reactions from baseline in the heel lance phase than the other two groups. Infants at moderate risk for neurological impairment had higher mean fundamental crying frequencies in session 1 compared with high-risk infants. This group, in turn, had longer crying bouts in session 2 than moderate-risk infants.

**Experimental pain**

Of the four longitudinal pain studies, two assessed children who were born with low gestational age at 9- to 14-years of age during painful stimulus procedures (Walker et al., 2009; Hohmeister et al., 2009). Correlations were assessed to determine whether NICU stay influences later pain responses. Changes in the thermal perception of cold and hot were the most extreme in children born extremely premature and who underwent surgery during their NICU stay (Walker et al., 2009). Children born preterm who underwent surgery during childhood had greater externalizing coping strategies compared with children born preterm with no surgical history. Similarly, Hohmeister et al. (2009) found that pain catastrophizing was significantly correlated with illness severity/mortality risk (SNAPPE-II) and the duration of hospitalization in children with NICU experience born preterm at 9- to 14-years of age.

**Discussion**

The present review provided evidence that individual variables such as gestational age and illness severity influence pain reactivity in vulnerable children born preterm. The neurobehavioral maturity factor reflected by gestational age should be carefully examined with
regard to its impact on pain assessments. Infant samples in pain studies may be stratified based on gestational age at birth because this variable may cause substantial differences in pain responses. An infant expresses pain perception and the capacity of self-regulation based on the maturity level of the neurological system.

With regard to gestational age, 30 weeks of gestational age may be a relevant cut-off value when assessing differences in infant pain reactivity. Two studies found greater pain responses in the less mature infant groups (<30 weeks) than in the more mature groups (>30 weeks; Holsti et al., 2004, 2006). Two other studies with the same gestational age cut-off found decreased behavioral and physiological pain responses in preterm children born at later gestational ages after experiencing painful procedures, suggesting that infants born at >30 weeks of gestational age continued to show self-regulatory behaviors (Holsti et al., 2005; Gibbins et al., 2008a). Neurological changes and developmental physiological changes transition from sensitization to habituation with repeated pain at approximately 30 weeks of gestational age in human infants (Fitzgerald, 1995), possibly explain the inconsistent responses concerning this cut-off in gestational age. These results suggest that the responses of preterm infants after painful stimulation should be assessed to better understand the damped responses associated with habituation after cumulative painful stimulus. Furthermore, results showed that pain response assessment in low-gestational-age infants should be based on a multidimensional approach to better detect pain expression. Combined behavioral and physiological dimensions may bolster the evidence of pain reactivity in these infants. Ranger et al. (2007) suggested that age significantly affected the robustness of pain-related behavior including postnatal age at the time of assessment and gestational age at birth.

The longitudinal studies of Goffaux et al. (2008) and Hermann et al. (2006) demonstrated that gestational age is an important factor in physiological pain responses during pain experiences later in childhood. Children who were born more prematurely showed higher scores of thermal perceptual sensitization during pain threshold assessments than children born full-term. Preterm children at 11 years of age were more sensitive to the identification of thermal changes than full-term children (Walker et al., 2009). These results highlight the importance of not only comparing children born preterm and full-term but also analyzing gestational age stratified over medium-term consequences.

Illness severity also requires further investigation. The results showed inconsistencies with regard to the multiple definitions of infant illness severity assessment. Illness severity based on predetermined mortality/morbidity risk scores showed inconsistent results between this factor and infant pain responses (Holsti et al., 2004; Grunau et al., 2005; Evans et al., 2005). Holsti et al. (2004) showed that preterm infants with higher SNAP scores displayed more facial twitches, hands-on-face, and fistings in response to the NIDCAP than preterm infants who were physiologically more stable (Holsti et al., 2004). Moreover, Holsti et al. (2005) found that infants born with a very low birth weight and higher SNAP scores had significantly lower heart rate variability. Divergent results were found when NTISS scores were correlated with PIPP scores (Evans et al., 2005). A more clinically severe status (measured by NTISS) was associated with lower PIPP scores. These findings demonstrate the necessity of considering the influence of illness severity. The NIDCAP measures pain responses and stress behaviors. Pain responses were also measured by the PIPP, which is a multidimensional instrument that includes behavioral, physiological, and contextual components of pain assessment.

Holsti et al. (2011) highlighted that, although using a pain scale that combines behavioral with physiological indices into a single score may be simple, clinicians and researchers should consider each domain of an index separately to ensure that the individual components of the pain response are adequately managed. When univariate behavioral scales are used, physiological recordings add important complementary information about specific pain responses.

Only one study correlated Apgar scores at the 5th minute with infant crying (Rautava et al., 2007). Infants with low Apgar scores reflecting more severe clinical status exhibited a shorter duration of crying during a painful procedure.

Assessment of illness severity included an examination of the risk for neurological impairment. Of the three studies that included risk for neurological impairment, two showed positive results (Stevens et al., 2007b; Gibbins et al., 2008b). When infants presented a higher risk for neurological impairment, higher NFCS scores were found during painful procedures (Stevens et al., 2007b). The same study found that infants with a low risk for neurological impairment had higher NFCS scores during a painful procedure than infants with moderate and high risks for neurological impairment. The contrasting results based on risk for neurological impairment may have occurred because of the stratification of the risk levels attributed on the investigation that compared the infants’ pain responses according to the three different levels for NI risk.

Another group of studies found significant results based on infant clinical events that occurred during hospitalization (Williams et al., 2009; Allegaert et al., 2005; Walker et al., 2009). Several correlations demonstrated that sicker infants needed more medical interventions during their NICU stay including painful procedures, mechanical ventilation, opioid exposure, surgery, and longer hospitalization. Mechanical ventilation and length of stay were both negatively associated with NIPS pain scores (Williams et al., 2009). Infants born preterm who stayed more days in the NICU exhibited a longer duration of crying during an immunization session at approximately 1 year of postnatal age (Allegaert et al., 2005). Children born preterm who underwent surgery during hospitalization
in the NICU presented more changes in thermal pain stimulus perception and more externalizing coping strategies at 11 years of age than preterm children who did not require surgery (Walker et al., 2009).

Neonatal illness severity requires further investigation to obtain a precise operational definition to better examine the effect of this variable on infant’s pain responses. The studies that assessed neonatal illness severity found associations with pain responses in children born preterm, but several tools were used to assess this variable. The methodological differences between these studies are a limitation that prevents reaching consistent conclusions regarding the effect of neonatal illness severity on pain responses. In addition, the correlation between gestational age, illness severity and cumulative pain exposure must be examined in the analysis of infants’ pain reactivity.

The sex factor also requires further investigation with regard to its effects on infant pain responses. Few studies found differences between male and female preterm infants in pain responses during a blood collection procedure (Bartocci et al., 2006; Holsti et al., 2005). The majority of studies showed that both male and female infants have similar patterns of pain responses, suggesting that sex has a unidirectional effect on pain responses (Holsti et al., 2004, 2006; Gibbins, et al., 2008a,c). Moreover, the study that found these differences assessed physiological responses based on brain images (Bartocci et al., 2006). Sex differences were found in responses to stress procedures where male newborns exhibited more stress responses to a manipulation procedure than females (Holsti et al., 2005). The findings of both studies showed sex differences in pain responses reflected by cortical activation as specific pain response and stress reactivity, respectively. Further investigations should assess the effects of sex on pain responses by considering both neurobiological activation and biobehavioral patterns.

In conclusion, gestational age and neonatal illness severity influence pain responses in infants during the neonatal phase and in children born preterm. The 5-year period for review of the literature may be a narrow window of time and thus excluded relevant studies published prior to this period. Further investigations should better examine the influence of sex on pain responses. Additionally, studies that assess the effect of illness severity on pain responses should be better developed and based on clinical neonatal illness severity scales to allow comparisons among studies. Future studies should address the relationships between gestational age, illness severity and cumulative pain exposure in pain reactivity and recovery in preterm infants.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for the research support of the State of São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) to BOV and for a grant from the National Council of Science and Technology Development (CNPq) to MBML.

References


Pain in preterm infants

*References of the studies focused in the review.


*References of the studies focused in the review.*