Biodegradation evaluation of bacterial cellulose, vegetable cellulose and poly (3-hydroxybutyrate) in soil
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Abstract

In recent years, the inappropriate disposal of polymeric materials has increased due to industrial development and increase of population consumption. This problem may be minimized by using biodegradable polymers, such as bacterial cellulose and poly(hydroxybutyrate), from renewable resources. This work was aimed at monitoring and evaluating degradation of bacterial cellulose, vegetable cellulose and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) using Thermogravimetric Analysis and Scanning Electron Microscopy. Controlled mass polymer samples were buried in pots containing soil. Samples were removed in 30 day intervals up to 180 days. The results show that the mass of the polymer increased in the first month when in contact with the soil but then it was degraded as evidenced by mass loss and changes on the sample surface.
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1. Introduction

The solid waste management is part of the current problem of large urban centers. In Brazil, the solid waste generation has grown 1.3% from 2011 to 2012, which is higher than the rate of population growth in the same period (0.9%). The amount of solid waste destined inadequately raised in the previous year, totaling 23.7 million tons (42%) that went to dumps or controlled landfills. Of the total solid waste generated in Brazil in 2012, 13.5% were plastic materials[1]. Although recycling is environmentally appropriate solution, only a small portion of the plastics has recycling potential. Thus, it is necessary a large number of landfills for proper disposal of these materials. Non-biodegradable plastics are an important portion of the solid waste disposed improperly and are responsible for severe environmental problems due to the long time for its decomposition[2-3]. In this context, there has been growing interest in the research and development of new biodegradable materials to new applications with minor cost and aiming to decrease environmental impact.

Among these materials, considered biodegradable, are bacterial cellulose (BC) and poly (3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) that were constituted by renewable sources[4-8].

For these materials been susceptible to biodegradation it is important that they contains specific chemical groups in its molecular chain to be able to break polymer chain and start the water diffusion, promoting hydrolysis and accessing the enzymatic system which are necessary conditions for biodegradation occurrence[9].

In general context, all polymers are biodegradable, but in different time scales. Biodegradable polymers are considered those who quickly degrade in a few months to two years[10]. Poly alkanoates (PHAs), constitute a class of biodegradable and biocompatible polymers that are produced from renewable resources such as sugars and fatty acids and exhibit thermoplastic properties[10].

Among the most studied PHAs is the poly (3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) homopolymer (Figure 1) that presents thermoplastic properties similar to isotactic polypropylene due to its crystallinity and melting point. PHB films have been widely used in special packing production and for biomaterials preparation[10]. In this context many studies are now in course in this area[4,11,12].

The other biodegradable polymer is the bacterial cellulose, which has gained attention of many researchers in the last years. Acetobacter xylinum (Gluconacetobacter xylinus) was first described by Brown in 1886. Acetobacter microorganisms are easily found in fruits, vegetables, vinegar, fruit juices and alcoholic drinks[13]. Regarding chemical structure, BC is similar to plant cellulose, however, it is obtained like highly hydrated hydrogel (99% water). BC is chemically pure, free of lignin, hemicellulose, and pectin. It shows a nanometric cellulose chains organized in a three-dimensional structural arrangement, which generates a highly crystalline system (60-80%) with excellent mechanical strength. The nanosized network wires promotes high surface area,
surprising absorption capacity and water retention, good elasticity as well as being easily molded\[^{14}\].

Due to its properties BC can be used in nature, like nanocrystals form or associated with other natural or synthetic polymers to obtain biodegradable materials\[^{15,16}\].

The vegetable cellulose is the most abundant natural polymer of glucose, being degradable by various bacteria and fungi present in the soil. For its biodegradation has been widely studied, using her as a comparative reference for biodegradation of other materials\[^{17}\].

The biodegradable materials are those that undergo a significant enough change in their mechanics, physical properties and their chemical structure under specific environmental conditions. These changes result in losses that are measurable by standard test methods.

Polymer degradation is an irreversible process that changes material structure and it is caused by abiotic factors as heat, humidity, water, light, mechanical strength and oxygen\[^{18}\]. When polymer are considered biodegradable and compostable materials they should be accorded with available standards as ASTM D 6400, EN 13432 and NBR 15448\[^{19}\].

In literature, there are many studies on materials biodegradability, particularly about blends or composites obtained from natural fibers or natural polymers incorporation in non-biodegradable matrix, in order to increase biodegradable degree of these materials or promoting their degradation into smaller time. In this sense there are studies in the literature on the thermo-mechanical behavior of blends and/or different polymer matrix composites with PHB, cellulose or wood and its derivatives incorporated\[^{20-23}\]. However, there are few studies on the behavior of these fillers and natural polymers individually and after soil degradation tests, which makes hard the overall understanding of this type of material. In this context, this work aims the evaluation of soil biodegradation process for bacterial cellulose, vegetable cellulose and poly (3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) using thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and Scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

### 3. Results and Discussion

TG curves for the PHB and bacterial cellulose, are shown in Figure 2. PHB film shows only one thermal degradation step while standard cellulose has two degradation steps.

Was an increase in thermal stability for all the samples in 30 and 60 days of degradation in soil.

Standard cellulose thermograms, Figure 2a, demonstrated an initial weight loss of around 5% up to 150 °C corresponding to vaporization of bound or free water and, main decomposition step in the range of 334 to 370 °C for all samples (30, 60 and 90 days), Figure 2b, as described in literature\[^{24}\]. The behavior of cellulose thermal degradation has been extensively investigated\[^{25-28}\] and has been explained for many mechanisms that are not completely known because the complex nature of the reaction. In this sense some pseudo-mechanistic models have been used to explain this process. Generally, these models are based on the fact that, when cellulose is heated in a non-reactive environment, it decomposes to various pyrolysis products. These pyrolysis products can be conveniently grouped into three classes depending on their volatility: tars (mainly anhydro-compounds), char (non-volatile residue, with high carbon content) and gases (low molecular weight products as CO, CO\(_2\), and also water)\[^{29}\]. Cellulose thermal degradation can be described by a mechanism that involves two competitive reactions. The first step that produces carbonaceous residues by cellulose dehydration reactions and a second step that primarily involves reduction in the polymerization degree (depolymerization) and finally the decomposition\[^{24,26,28}\].

Initial bacterial cellulose, Figure 3a, the analysis demonstrated a degradation range of 327 to 370 °C however 30 and 60 days samples showed a degradation temperature between 340 and 370 °C, Figure 3b.

Analyzing TGA results for standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose (200-400°C magnification) there is a
small change on the initial temperature degradation when compared to the initial sample (0 days) to samples after 30 and 60 days. It is known that natural polymers such as cellulose, are hydrolyzed to monomers or oligomers and these are metabolized in the presence or absence of oxygen, but in the other hand metabolic route and final degradation products depend on the electron acceptors available. There are no TGA data in the literature that demonstrate the cellulose behavior after degradation tests. One can assume that this difference is due to the incorporation of some soil constituent in the sample that caused this observed behavior. Other additional analyzes are needed in order to be assigned to what should be the difference in observed.

The PHB initial sample showed T\text{onset} of around 276 °C and T\text{endset} of 290 °C, Figure 4. According the literature maximum degradation temperature characteristic for PHBs is 290 °C. Thermal degradation of polyesters has been accepted as occurring by intramolecular ester exchange.
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In the same way as for the standard cellulose and bacterial, there is small change in the thermal stability of the material. Moreover, for the sample after 60 days there is a relative increase in the residual mass. This result is unexpected since polyhydroxyalkanoate, as PHB, under aerobic or anaerobic conditions degrade to form carbon dioxide and water or methane and water, respectively. This relative mass increase in the 60-day sample can be assigned to any constituent present in the soil, but other additional tests are needed to elucidate what occurs during the experiments in soil.

Weight change results have demonstrated a mass increase in the first 30 days for all samples evaluated, where standard cellulose showed the highest percentage (80%). PHB, standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose mass increase (Figure 5) suggests that samples absorbed water, which facilitates the degradation process, according to the literature. Up to 60 days PHB has continued increasing its weight while standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose have lost mass. From 150 days it was verified significant loss mass for PHB (67%) as for standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose, 88 and 81%, respectively. Up to 180 days all polymer samples were almost completely degraded.

Weight change results are in agreement with those observed by thermogravimetric analysis. From 30 to 60 days there was an increase in the mass and thermal stability, and after 60 days has been the start of the biodegradation process.

SEM images for a PHB, standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose, are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7.

PHB (Figure 6a) and bacterial cellulose (Figure 7a) films before degradation presented smooth surface but with some...
reliefs. Standard cellulose film (Figure 6b) showed fibrous and agglomerated surface. PHB films after 30 days of soil degradation (Figure 6 a.1) exhibited differenced structures, with 60 days of degradation (Figure 6 a.2) the film already has holes and with 90 days (Figure 6 a.3) there has been greater superficial degradation, with the presence of larger holes and spongy surface, likely due to erosion, suggesting microbial adhesion.

Standard cellulose samples (Figure 6b) with degradation time (30, 60, 90 and 150 days) (Figures 6 b.1, 6 b.2, 6 b.3 and 6 b.4) fibers were separated and it was evidenced the highest degradation on 90 and 150 days.

Bacterial cellulose after 30 days of degradation (Figure 7 a.1) presented reliefs on its surface. With 60 days (Figure 7 a.2) it was verified fibrils between reliefs with more agglomeration. After 90 and 150 days (Figure 7 a.3 and 7 a.4) it’s possible observe surface degradation result of microorganism activity of the soil.

According Campos et al., since microorganisms are able to use surface polymeric components and its metabolism products their release aggressive metabolites such as organic acids and esterases on material surface damaging the area and accelerating the degradation. These morphological alterations caused by microbial colonization are one important factor for the break of polymer chains and for biodegradation. It is known that the enzymatic hydrolysis of biodegradable polyesters mainly occur through surface erosion mechanisms, as cited in the literature.

4. Conclusion

Thermogravimetric and weight change results showed that all samples had presented weight increase in the first month with degradation subsequent. Standard cellulose and bacterial cellulose thermograms evidenced similar thermal behavior, with the main degradation step on 327 to 370 °C, which is consistent with the literature. On the other hand, bacterial cellulose not presented weight loss to 150 °C corresponding to the vaporization of water. This can be explained by the nature of chemically pure BC, compared to standard cellulose. PHB analyses show a maximum degradation temperature on 290 °C, as reported in literature.

Although weight change results and TGA data showed unexpected results as an increase in the mass and thermal stability, SEM analyzes showed changes in surface in all samples over time. The results here presented are consistent
with the literature, because the polymers showed degradation. However, it is evident how difficult it is to understand the overall mechanism of degradation of blends or composites, it is imperative to know the individual degradation process of these natural polymers.
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