On the etiology of an outbreak of winter dysentery in dairy cows in Brazil
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Winter dysentery (WD) is a seasonal infectious disease described worldwide that causes a marked decrease in milk production in dairy cows. In the Northern hemisphere, where the disease is classically recognized, bovine coronavirus (BCoV) has been assigned as a major etiologic agent of the disease. Nonetheless, in the Southern hemisphere, an in-deep etiological survey on WD cases had not been carried out. This study aimed to survey for BCoV by nested-RT-PCR, rotavirus by polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) and ELISA, bacteria by classical bacteriological methods and PCR for virulence factors and parasites by sugar flotation test on fecal samples of 21 cows from a farm during an outbreak of WD in São Paulo state, Southeastern Brazil. BCoV was detected in all 21 samples, while rotavirus was detected in two symptomatic cows. Escherichia coli, Yersinia intermedia, Providencia rustigianii, Proteus penneri, Klebsiella terrigena and Enterobacter agglomerans were detected in samples from both asymptomatic and healthy cows in different associations. The study of E. coli virulence factors revealed that the strains isolated were all apathogenic. Cysts of Eimeria sp. and eggs of Strongyloidea were detected at low numbers in four of the symptomatic cows, with one co-infection. These results suggest BCoV as the main etiologic agent of the cases of WD in Brazil, a conclusion that, with the clinical and epidemiological patterns of the disease studied herein, match those already described elsewhere. These findings give basis to the development of preventive measures and contribute to the understanding of the etiology of WD.
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RESUMO.- [Sobre a etiologia de um surto de disenteria de inverno em vacas leiteiras no Brasil] Em vacas leiteiras, a disenteria de inverno (DI) é uma doença infecciosa sazonal mundialmente relatada que ocasiona uma marcada queda na produção de leite; no hemisfério Norte, onde a doença é classicamente reconhecida, o coronavírus bovino (BCoV) tem um importante papel como agente etiológico. Entretanto, em vacas leiteiras, a disenteria de inverno (DI) é uma doença infecciosa sazonal mundialmente relatada que ocasiona uma marcada queda na produção de leite; no hemisfério Norte, onde a doença é classicamente reconhecida, o coronavírus bovino (BCoV) tem um importante papel como agente etiológico. Entretanto, no hemisfério Sul, pesquisas etiológicas de profundas em casos de DI nunca foram realizadas. Este estudo objetivou a pesquisa de BCoV utilizando nested-RT-PCR, rotavírus utilizando eletroforese em gel de poliacrilamida (PAGE) e ELISA, bactérias com métodos bacteriológicos clássicos e PCR para fato-
Winter dysentery (WD) is an acute epizootic infectious disease with a seasonal occurrence and worldwide distribution that affects adult cattle, with a higher prevalence amongst dairy cows. The disease has already been associated with bovine coronavirus (BCoV), torovirus, rotavirus, bovine viral diarrhea virus, Salmonella sp., Cryptosporidium parvum and Eimeria bovis (Campbell & Cookingham 1978, Koopmans et al. 1991).

The disease was formally first described by Horner et al. (1975) and has already been reported in countries such as France, Sweden, Korea, Japan, Canada, USA and Brazil (Jactel et al. 1990, Alenius et al. 1991, Milane et al. 1995, Fukutomi et al. 2001). The disease starts showing acute and sudden symptoms of enteric disease such as diarrhea and blood dysentery and marked decrease in milk production. The cows had been vaccinated against IBR, BVD and leptospirosis. During the outbreak, no neonatal calf diarrhea (CD) was noticed in the farm and, besides this outbreak, no diarrhea or dysentery occurred in the adult cows in any other month of the year. After three days of the onset of the symptoms, all cows recovered without treatment with no fatality.

Rectal fecal samples were collected at random from 21 cows (Table 1), representing 11% of the herd, being 18 symptomatic (age varying from 2 years and 2 months to 7 years and 2 months) and three asymptomatic cows (age varying from 5.5 to 6.5 years) during the course of the outbreak and stored under refrigeration until analysis.

Coronavirus detection

The 21 samples were prepared as 20% (v/v) suspensions in phosphate buffered saline solution 0.01M/BSA 0.1% pH 7.2 (PBS) and clarified by centrifugation (12,000xg/30min at 4°C). A nested-RT-PCR assay targeted to amplify a 136-bp fragment of group II coronaviruses RNA-dependent RNA-polymerase gene (RdRp) was applied as described by Brandão et al. (2005). Bovine coronavirus Kakegawa strain (Akashi et al. 1980) was used as positive and PBS as negative controls, respectively.

Total RNA from the fecal samples was extracted with TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen™) according to manufacturer’s instructions and 7µL of each extracted RNA re-suspended in DEPC-treated water were denatured at 95°C for 5min and added to cDNA mix containing 1 x First Strand Buffer (Invitrogen™), 1mM of each dNTP, 10mM DTT, 1µM of each primer [sense primer 2Bp 5’ ACTCARWTRA ATYTNAAATAYGC 3’ and anti-sense primer 4Bm 5’ TCACAYTTWG CATARTCCCA 3’ as described by Stephensen et al. (1999)] and 200U M-MLV Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen™) to a 20µL final reaction. Reverse transcription was carried out at 42°C/60min.

Next, 5µL of c-DNA were added to the PCR mix [1xPCR Buffer (Invitrogen™), 0.2mM of each dNTP, 0.5µg of each primer (2Bp and 48m), 1.5mM MgCl2, 25.25µL ultra-pure water and 1.25U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen™) to a 50µL final reaction] and submitted to 6 cycles of 94°C/1min, 54.8°C /1.5min and 72°C/1min, 36 cycles of 94°C/1min, 50°C/1.5min and 72°C/1min, followed by 72°C/10min for final extension.

The second round of amplification was carried out with 5µL of first PCR product added to the PCR mix [1xPCR Buffer (Invitrogen™), 0.2mM of each dNTP, 0.5µg of each primer (2Bp and 48m), 1.5mM MgCl2, 25.25µL ultra-pure water and 1.25U Taq DNA polymerase (Invitrogen™) to a 50µL final reaction] and submitted to 6 cycles of 94°C/1min, 40°C/2min and 72°C/1min, 36 cycles of 94°C/1min, 50°C/1.5min and 72°C/1min, followed by 72°C/10min for final extension.

An ultra-pure water-containing tube was used as nested negative control every three sample tubes, also added mix and submitted to thermocycler to monitor amplicon contamination. Furthermore, in order to avoid any laboratory contamination, each step (RNA extraction, reverse transcription and PCR, nested and electrophoresis) was carried out in separate rooms with separate materials.

Ten microliters of the nested PCR product was analyzed in 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis stained with 0.5mg/mL ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

Rotavirus detection

The fecal samples were searched for rotavirus 11-segmented RNA in PAGE (polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis) according to Herring et al. (1982). All samples were prepared as 20% suspensions (v/v) in TRIS (base) 0.1M pH7.3 and clarified by centrifugation (12,000xg/30min at 4°C). Total RNA was extracted with phenol/chloroform,
precipitated with ethanol and resolved in 3.5%/7.5% discontinuous polyacrylamide gel under 20mA for 2 hours and silver stained. The NCDV (Nebraska Calf Diarrhea Virus) rotavirus strain (Mebus et al. 1969, White et al. 1970) was included as positive and TRIS (base) 0.1M pH7.3 as negative controls, respectively.

As a parallel test, a double-sandwich ELISA for group A rotavirus detection (Gregori et al. 2000) was also applied to the same fecal suspensions, with the NCDV strain as positive and TRIS (base) 0.1M pH7.3 as negative controls, respectively.

**Parasitological examination**

Oocysts of Cryptosporidium sp. and *Eimeria* sp. and cysts of *Giardia* sp. and *Strongyloidea* eggs were searched in 20% suspensions of the 21 fecal samples in PBS (w/v) with the sucrose flotation test (specific gravity 1.205) with an optical microscope as described by Ogassawara & Benassi (1980).

**Bacteriological analysis**

Detection and identification of bacteria started with pre-culture of fecal samples in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) (Difco™) medium at 37°C for 24 h. Subsequently, for isolation of the bacterial genera and species from the enteric tract, Agar MacConkey and XLT4 media were used and incubated at 37°C for 24-48 hours as described by Krieg & Holt (1986).

The bacteria isolated were then submitted to biochemical identification, essentially, as described by Holt et al. (1994).

**Escherichia coli virulence factors**

All *E. coli*-positive fecal samples were surveyed for the presence of the virulence factors VTE, F18, K88, P987, K99, F41, LT, STA and STB by PCR according to the method described by Blanco et al. (2006).

**RESULTS**

The results from the microbiological and parasitological examinations in the 21 fecal samples are summarized in Table 1.

The nested-RT-PCR for coronavirus resulted positive in all 21 samples and no cross-contamination was detected after agarose gel electrophoresis of the negative controls reactions. No rotavirus or other segmented-RNA virus was detected with PAGE, but the ELISA for group A rotavirus resulted positive in two samples, both from symptomatic cows.

Bacteriological analysis revealed *Yersinia intermedia* (2 samples), *Providencia rustigianii* 2 samples), *Proteus penneri* (1 sample), *Klebsiella terrigena* (1 sample) and *Enterobacter agglomerans* (4 samples) as common findings in eight of the samples from symptomatic cows, with one *Y. intermedia/ E. agglomerans* and one *P. penneri/ K. terrigena* co-infection.

*Escherichia coli* was isolated from 11 samples, being 8 from symptomatic and three from asymptomatic cows, with one *E. coli/ Y. intermedia* co-infection in one of the last. The study of *E. coli* virulence factors revealed no virulence factors in 10 out of the 11 *E. coli* strains detected; one sample could not be processed for virulence factors due to insufficient amount.

Eggs of *Strongyloidea* sp. were detected in three and oocysts of *Eimeria* sp. in two out of the 21 samples, being one co-infection, all in symptomatic animals and at a low number.

**DISCUSSION**

An outbreak of dysentery in dairy cows from a farm located in Southeastern Brazil has been described with clinical, seasonal and microbiological findings compatible to those reported in cases of winter dysentery in the Northern hemisphere.

The clinical features of the enteric disease observed in the symptomatic animals studied herein, which included blood diarrhea with severe loss of enteric tissue and the marked decrease in milk production, are similar to signs considered

---

**Table 1. Virological, bacteriological and parasitological examinations on 21 fecal samples from cows during an outbreak of winter dysentery in Southeastern Brazil**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Dys(^a)</th>
<th>BCoV (PCR)</th>
<th>RoV (PAGE/ELISA)</th>
<th>Bacteriological examination</th>
<th><em>E. coli</em> virulence factors</th>
<th>Parasitological examination</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>96</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td><em>Yersinia intermedia</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>299</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Escherichia. coli</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>387</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Y. intermedia/ E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>587</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Providencia rustigianii</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>841</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Proteus penneri/Klebsiella terrigena</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td><em>Eimeria sp/Strongyloidea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>850</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>868</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td><em>Strongyloidea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>887</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>923</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Enterobacter agglomerans</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>934</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>938</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td><em>Strongyloidea</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>972</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>Y. intermedia/ E. agglomerans</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>978</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/P</td>
<td></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1005</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1174</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. agglomerans</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1275</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>P. rustigianii</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td><em>Eimeria sp</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9146</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9215</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. agglomerans</em></td>
<td>NT</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9219</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9224</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>N/N</td>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Dys=dysentery, P=positive, N=negative, NT= not tested, BCoV=bovine coronavirus, RoV=rotavirus.
for the clinical diagnosis of WD, mainly the acute onset of bloody diarrhea in adult cows (Takahashi et al. 1980, Dea et al. 1995) and the short lasting period of three days (Campbell & Cookingham 1978). These findings allow one to speculate that cows affected by WD in the Southern hemisphere will experience a similar clinical course observed in the Northern hemisphere in countries with a more well-defined winter.

Bovine coronavirus was detected in all 21 samples under study, including three from asymptomatic cows. The finding of BCoV in the three asymptomatic cows may be due to the fact that they were in the incubation period, which may be from 48 hours under experimental infection (Tråven et al. 2001) to up to a week under natural conditions (Campbell & Cookingham 1978). Accordingly, due to the high sensitivity of PCR (Forghani & Erdman 1994), these samples may have been positive prior to the clinical manifestations of BCoV infection.

All samples were negative to rotavirus by PAGE, but two out of the 18 symptomatic animals were positive to group A rotaviruses by ELISA. Group A rotavirus has been tentatively proposed as playing a major role on the etiology WD (Sato et al. 1997), but reports on adult bovine symptomatically infected by rotaviruses are scarce. Groups B and C rotaviruses have also been detected in fecal specimens of cows with WD, but at low frequencies (Parwani et al. 1996, Chang et al. 1997, Mawatari et al. 2004).

Not surprisingly, all bacteria isolated from the fecal samples belong to the Enterobacteriaceae. *Klebsiella terrigena* is an opportunistic pathogen of animals found in unpolluted surface water and soils (Bagley 1985); *Enterobacter aglomerans* is found on different species of plants, most interestingly in grains (Zucker et al. 2000). Hence, for these two bacteria, the transmission may have occurred via contaminated water or food, but the low frequency of isolation of these suggests their role, if any, as secondary pathogens in the outbreak under study.

*Providencia rustigiani* and *P. penneri* are also opportunistic pathogens that may cause primary or secondary infections and which are more frequently associated infections of the urinary tract (Hickman-Brenner et al. 1983, Pignato et al. 1999). Their role as etiologic agents of enteritis, although suggested, has not been definitively established ( Muller 1986). Thus, in the cases studied herein, this finding might be regarded as fortuitous and a contamination of the feces with urine during sample collection as a source of *P. rustigiani* and *P. penneri* isolation can not be ruled out.

*Yersinia intermedia* is a pathogen contaminating water and food that infects humans and wild and domestic animals as well, frequently leading to gastrointestinal disorders (Punsalang et al. 1987, Sulakvelidze 2000). On the other hand, based on the low frequency of *Y. intermedia* isolation (2 isolates out of 18 symptomatic cows) and the simultaneous isolation of other bacteria (one *E. coli* and one *E. aglomerans* strain in each case), a determinant role of *Y. intermedia* in the pathogenesis in the clinical cases presented can not be assigned.

Regarding the *E. coli* strains detected in 11 out of the 21 samples, as no virulence factor could be evidenced after PCR analysis on 10 of these, such strains are suggestive of being non-pathogenic *E. coli* of the normal enteric microbiota of cattle and the failure to detect this bacteria in the remaining 8 cows can be attributed to the loss of the normal microbiota as a consequence of acute enteritis.

The exact species of *Eimeria* found in two samples could not be determined due to the low accuracy of differentiation of its oocyst under optical microcopy. In cattle, the most common species are *E. bovis* and *E. zuernii*, responsible for severe hemorrhagic diarrhea with substantial economic loss for the cattle industry, but these, contrary to what was found in the studied case, occur in calves 3 to 6 months old (Daugschies & Najdrowski 2005).

Strongyloidea, although causing enteric processes and disease in cattle, (Guimaraes et al. 2000), have never been reported as causing acute dysentery in cows and, most importantly, have been found at very low numbers in a restricted number of samples in the animals here studied and might thus be disregarded in an etiological view.

The cause of the seasonal pattern observed in WD might be the immunosuppression that cows may suffer during months of low temperature. For instance, as described by Crouch & Acres (1984), normal cows shed BCoV in their feces, but the excretion occurs mostly as immune-complexes, probably of the IgA-BCoV class, what avoids virus attachment and thus pathogenesis.

Nonetheless, in an immunosuppressed cow, secretory IgA would occur in lower titers and a chronic infected cow would have free BCoV in the gut capable to produce infection and cause enteritis.

Brazilian strains of BCoV detected in calves have been described in which an 18 nucleotide-long deletion was found in the hypervariable region of the envelope glycoprotein gene, indicating that two BCoV lineages occur in the country (Brandão et al. 2006).

Whether Brazilian strains of WD BCoV fall in only one or two of the described lineages of Brazilian BCoVs and whether these have differences in virulence when compared to CD BCoV remain to be answered.

Although some studies on the comparison among WD and CD strains of BCoV evidenced no major serological or genetic differences (Dea et al. 1995), others have suggested a strongest enzymatic activity of the hemagglutinin-esterase (HE) envelope proteins of some WD BCoV strains as the biological marker responsible for a high virulence in cows (Gelinas et al. 2001).

The present article is the first description of an in-deep microbiological/ parasitological survey of cases of WD in the Southern hemisphere with a clearly-defined clinical entity and might help to design preventive measures such as vaccination schedules to increase the herd immunity, early detection of BCoV-carrier animals and selection of specific treatments such as anti-viral therapies to chronically infected cows.

As a conclusion, an outbreak of winter dysentery in Brazilian dairy cows was studied in which bovine coronavirus can be assigned as the primary etiologic agent, with clinical and epidemiological features compatible to those described in countries were WD is classically described.
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