Efficacy of bacterin-, outer membrane protein- and fimbriae extract-based vaccines for the control of Salmonella Enteritidis experimental infection in chickens
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The efficacy of three vaccines was evaluated in chickens for the control of experimental infection with Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) phage type 4. The vaccines were produced with bacterin, outer membrane proteins (OMP) and fimbriae crude extract (FE). The chickens were vaccinated intramuscularly with two doses of each vaccine at 12 and 15 weeks of age. The chickens were then orally challenged with 10^9 CFU/chicken Salmonella Enteritidis phage type 4 at 18 weeks of age. Fecal swabs were performed for the recovery of shedding SE, and SE was recovered from the liver and spleen. Additionally, antibody titers were measured in the serum by micro-agglutination test. The results indicated that the vaccine produced with bacterin yielded better results and resulted in reduction of fecal shedding and organ invasion by SE after oral challenge, although no vaccine was 100% effective for the control of SE experimental infection.
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INTRODUCTION

Salmonella spp., responsible for large economic losses, are a serious global public health issue. Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) is often isolated from human foodborne poisoning outbreaks, and a great majority of cases are associated with the consumption of products of avian origin, particularly eggs (Humphrey 2006). Salmonella contamination typically occurs by the oral route. These bacteria quickly infect lymphoid tissues, including Peyer’s patches and enterocytes in the intestinal mucosa (Revolledo et al. 2006). The mechanisms of invasion have not yet been fully elucidated; however, it is known that Salmonella can infect CD18-expressing macropha-
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Materials and Methods

Chickens

Ten chickens of 12-week-old SPF (specific pathogen free) White Leghorn female chickens obtained from Laboratory Bio Vet (Vargem Grande Paulista, SP, Brazil) were used in this study. The chickens were free of Salmonella spp. and were given commercial diet and water ad libitum. The animals in these experiments were maintained according to the Committee on Care and Use of Laboratory Animal Resources of the School of Veterinary Medicine of University of São Paulo (FMVZ-USP), Brazil, protocol #2671/2012.

Bacteria

Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) phase type 4 (PT4) strain 10/22 was isolated from chicken and was used for immunogenic vaccine preparations. SE PT4 strain 15/10, which is resistant to nalidixic acid (Nal²100μg/mL), was used as the challenge strain. These strains belong to the Laboratory of Ornithopathology, FMVZ-USP, and were stored in Luria Bertani broth containing 20% glycerol at -80°C.

Vaccine preparation

Bacterial culture and extracts. SE PT4 strain 10/22 and SE PT4 strain 15/10 Nal² were grown on MacConkey agar at 37°C for 24 hours. One colony was transferred to CFA (Colonization Factor Agar) broth, pH 7.4, and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The bacterial suspension was then transferred to Roux bottles containing

Salmonella spp. and were stored in Luria Bertani broth containing 20% glycerol strains belong to the Laboratory of Ornithopathology, FMVZ-USP, Brazil, protocol using the micro-agglutination test (Williams & Whittemore 1971). The test was performed in U-bottom microplates with 100μL serum diluted in 100μL 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4. The sera were serially
diluted at a ratio of 1:2. Next, 100µL of antigen that was obtained by concentration of the bacterial pellet was added. The optical density was 0.190, which was measured with an ELISA reader (Multiskan Reader, Vienna, VA, USA) at 540 nm. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The MA test results were read with the aid of inverted mirror, and reaction were interpreted as follows: positive reaction, a well revealing no antigen button forming an agglutination shaped mesh-like in U-shaped micro plate button; negative reaction, a well with a large distinct button corresponding to the negative control (Williams & Whittemore 1971).

Salmonella shedding

Cloacal swabs were obtained from all birds daily for 7 days after challenge, and also at day 14 and day 21 after challenge. On the 21st day after challenge, all of the birds were sacrificed by cervical dislocation. The cecum, spleen and liver were removed aseptically for bacteriological examination. The swabs were cultured in 9mL tetrathionate (Difco, Detroit, ML, USA) and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The broth culture was streaked on XLT4 agar (Difco, Detroit, ML, USA) containing 100mg/mL of Nalidixic acid (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) followed by incubation for 24 h at 37°C. Each organ was macerated in sterile plastic bags and then added to the tetrathionate broth at a ratio of 1:10. The samples were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C. An aliquot (0.1mL) of each sample was cultivated on XLT4 agar containing 100 mg/mL Nalidixic acid and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. The broth culture was streaked on XLT4 agar (Difco, Detroit, ML, USA) containing 100mg/mL of Nalidixic acid (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) containing 100mg/mL of Nalidixic acid (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) followed by incubation for 24 h at 37°C. Each organ was macerated in sterile plastic bags and then added to the tetrathionate broth at a ratio of 1:10. The samples were then incubated for 24 h at 37°C. An aliquot (0.1mL) of each sample was cultivated on XLT4 agar containing 100 mg/mL Nalidixic acid and incubated for 24 h at 37°C. After incubation, representative black colonies that were characteristic of Salmonella were confirmed biochemically and serologically (Ferreira et al. 2003).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney U test using SPSS software for Windows, version 9.0 (SPSS Chicago, Illinois, USA). The differences between groups were considered significant when p<0.05.

RESULTS

All groups were positive for Salmonella Enteritidis (SE). The groups varied in the number of bacteria and days until bacterial detection after the oral challenge, as determined by the cloacal swabs (Table 2). However, in groups T7 (0.8mg/bird fimbriae) and T8 (bacterin/0.5mL/bird), significant reductions (p<0.05) in the elimination of SE in the feces compared to the positive controls were observed. Group T7 (0.8mg/bird of FE) recovered from infection by 30%, which was the lowest rate. Groups T3 (1 mg OMP / bird) and T8 (bacterin/0.5mL/bird) recovered from infection by 40%. The reduction of fecal excretion in these groups was statistically significant (p<0.05) compared to the positive control group that was orally challenged but not vaccinated. The highest rate of recovery (70%) was in Group T4 (2 mg/bird of OMP).

Re-isolation of SE from the organs of the orally challenged birds was positive for all groups and was dependent on the number of birds that recovered from infection and the type of organ. Groups T4 (2mg/bird/0.5mL of OMP), T5 (4mg/bird/0.5mL of OMP) and T8 (bacterin/0.5mL /bird) scored 10% re-isolation rates, which were the lowest rates observed and were statistically significant (p<0.05) compared to the positive control groups. Groups T3 (1mg/bird/0.5mL of OMP) and T7 (0.8 mg/bird/0.5mL of FE) scored 30% SE isolation rates; these rates were the highest (Table 3). Of the harvested organs, the cecum had highest number of SE isolations (n=7).

The micro agglutination tests indicated that all of the chickens vaccinated with different treatments and then challenged were confirmed as Salmonella Enteritidis recovered from cloacal swabs of chickens vaccinated with different treatments and then challenged.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Vaccine</th>
<th>Days after challenge</th>
<th>N* positive/ total birds</th>
<th>% positive</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Non-vaccinated, non-challenged</td>
<td>- - - - - - - -</td>
<td>0/10</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Non-vaccinated, SE-challenged</td>
<td>3* 2 1 1 3 - 6 -</td>
<td>9/10</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>OMP 1 mg/bird</td>
<td>3 - - - - - - -</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>OMP 2 mg/bird</td>
<td>7 - - - - - - -</td>
<td>7/10</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T5</td>
<td>OMP 4 mg/bird</td>
<td>4 2 1 1 1 - 2 2 -</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T6</td>
<td>FE 0.2 mg/bird</td>
<td>4 1 1 - - 3 1 4 -</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T7</td>
<td>FE 0.8 mg/bird</td>
<td>1 1 - - 1 - 1 -</td>
<td>3/10</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T8</td>
<td>Bacterin 10^7 UFC/bird</td>
<td>2 1 1 - 1 1 1 -</td>
<td>4/10</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The number of birds from which Salmonella Enteritidis was re-isolated when the cloacal swabs were collected and analyzed.
highest levels of antibody titers in the vaccinated birds. In Group T8 (bacterin/0.5mL/bird), the highest mean antibody titers were detected 21 days after the first vaccination and 14 days after the second vaccination.

The highest mean antibody titers after the oral challenge were achieved during the second week, except for Group T6 (0.2mg/bird/0.5mL of FE). In this group, the peak antibody titer occurred during the third week. Group T7 (0.8mg/bird/0.5mL of FE) displayed the highest mean titer, and Group T6 displayed the lowest.

**DISCUSSION**

Different types of salmonella vaccines have been used in chickens to immunize and protect against excretion of salmonella; however, complete protection against the elimination of highly infectious serotypes immediately after challenge has not yet been achieved (Nassar et al. 1994, Freitas Neto et al. 2008, Atterbury et al. 2009, Revolloco & Ferreira 2010).

*Salmonella* Enteritidis (SE), when experimentally inoculated by the oral route, colonizes the intestines of chickens, and a high percentage of the SE can usually be recovered in the feces over the following two weeks. The percentage of SE then declines, although some strains can persist in the intestinal tract for several months. SE shedding is also directly related to the dose and route of inoculation used for the experimental infection (Purchase et al. 2008, Barrow et al. 1990).

In this study, the vaccines did not prevent SE fecal excretion in birds when orally challenged; however, the 0.8mg FE/bird vaccine, the 1mg OMP/bird vaccine and bacterin induced significant reductions (p<0.05) in SE excretion in the feces compared to the control group. The results of this study are consistent with Gast et al. (1993), who studied laying chicken vaccinated with two SE bacterins, phage type 13a, followed by oral challenge two weeks after the second vaccination. The authors reported partial protection, with more than 50% of the vaccinated birds shedding *Salmonella* during the first week after challenge. The bacteria persisted in the bird droppings for only two weeks after the challenge, and after this period, no re-isolation was observed.

The vaccines used also reduced the number of SE isolations in the organs of the orally challenged birds; however, none of the vaccines completely eliminated the bacteria. The group immunized with OMP at concentrations of 2 and 4mg/bird showed the same reduction ratios of *Salmonella* as the group vaccinated with bacterin. However, these groups had high rates of SE re-isolation in the fecal swabs. Because these birds had already presented with an established intestinal microbiota, this could have been a factor for *Salmonella* infection (Revolloco et al. 2003). It is known that colonization of the intestinal tract with a mixture of bacteria, which can produce volatile fatty acids, plays an important role in the prevention of *Salmonella* infection (Mead 2000, Revolloco et al. 2009).

The activation of a *Salmonella*-specific immune response is important for the elimination of these bacteria. Because *Salmonella* are facultative intracellular pathogens that invade phagocytic cells of the liver and spleen, the activation of cell-mediated immunity is required to promote the destruction of *Salmonella*-infected cells. When these bacteria are found outside the eukaryotic cell, the antibodies can act by opsonization and phagocytosis, among other mechanisms, to eliminate the bacteria (Revolloco et al. 2006).

The relationship between the immune response and protection is demonstrated by the results obtained with bacterin, as the birds that received this vaccine displayed higher antibody titers than the birds that received the other vaccines. Bacterin also showed significant differences (p<0.05) in the reduction of SE recovery in both the feces and organs compared to the orally challenged control group.

Vaccines consisting of fimbriae did not induce high antibody titers in the birds. Similarly, vaccines consisting of outer membrane proteins at concentrations of 2 and 4 mg/bird also did not stimulate high antibody titers; rather, they did result in significant reductions in the isolation of SE in the organs of the orally challenged group (p<0.05) compared to the control group. Chart & Rowe (1991) demonstrated that antibodies against the outer membrane proteins of SE phage type 4 were not involved in the protection of experimentally infected mice after vaccination. The correlation between the levels of *Salmonella*-specific antibodies and protection against *Salmonella* colonization is not always applicable (Bouzoubka 1987, Gast & Beard 1992, Beal & Smith 2007).

Desmidt et al. (1998) evaluated the role of antibodies in the immune response of bursectomized birds and control birds against experimental infection with SE phage type 4 by examining fecal excretion and organ invasion by the bacteria. They found that fecal excretion was significantly lower in birds of the control group compared to the bursectomized group 13 days after inoculation, and SE re-isolation in the cecum decreased during the following weeks. They also observed reductions in the numbers of bacteria in the spleen and liver between 2 and 3 weeks. Antibodies for SE reached maximum titers two weeks after inoculation. These results indicate that SE elimination depends, in part, on humoral immunity. For SE elimination, the intestinal IgG/IgA response was more effective than the systemic response.
CONCLUSIONS

The results obtained under these experimental conditions indicate that the vaccines reduced the fecal excretion and organ colonization of Salmonella Enteritidis in poultry to different extents.

Bacterin was more effective at protecting the chickens than the fimbriae and outer membrane protein vaccines.

The vaccine protection was not 100% effective against SE experimental infection in chickens, but currently use is recommended to reduce SE shedding during production of chicken in the poultry production worldwide.
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