RESUMO.- [Expressão de CD14 e dos receptores do tipo toll 2 e 4 por neutrófilos lácteos provenientes de glândulas infectadas por Corynebacterium bovis.] O presente estudo objetivou avaliar alterações na expressão de CD14, e dos receptores do tipo toll (TLR) 2 e 4 na superfície de neutrófilos lácteos provenientes de glândulas mamárias infectadas por Corynebacterium bovis. O presente estudo utilizou 23 quartos negativos no exame bacteriológico, sem alterações na prova de fundo escuro e com contagem automática de células somáticas menor que 1x10^5 células/mL, e 14 C. bovis infectados quartos. A identificação de neutrófilos, assim como a porcentagem de neutrófilos lácteos que expressaram CD14, TLR2 e TLR4 foram avaliadas por citometria de fluxo utilizando anticorpos monoclonais. A porcentagem de neutrófilos que expressaram TLR2 e TLR4 nos quartos mamários infectados por C. bovis não diferiu dos quartos mamários sadios, assim como na expressão de TLR4. No entanto, a intensidade de fluorescência do TLR2 na superfície dos neutrófilos foi menor nos quartos mamários infectados por C. bovis. A porcentagem de neutrófilos que expressaram CD14 e a intensidade de fluorescência da molécula de CD14 foi menor na superfície dos neutrófilos lácteos dos quartos infectados por C. bovis.
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INTRODUCTION

*Corynebacterium bovis* is one of the most common bacteria isolated from milk samples that are submitted for the identification of intramammary infection (IMI)-causing pathogens around the world (Haltia et al. 2006, Tenhagen et al. 2006, Souza et al. 2009). Despite its high prevalence, *C. bovis* is regarded as a minor pathogen with limited clinical significance (Huxley et al. 2004) and has previously been regarded as a commensal organism (Brooks & Barnum 1984). This bacterium colonizes the teat canal region but can also be isolated from the teat cistern, gland cistern and mammary parenchyma (Benites et al. 2003). Furthermore, although the mean milk somatic cell count (MSCC) recorded for milk samples from which *C. bovis* is isolated is relatively low, the value is higher than the mean MSCC recorded for samples yielding no growth (Djabri et al. 2002).

*C. bovis* is of interest to mastitis researchers because of the observation that quarters infected with this bacterium are significantly less likely to become infected with other, more pathogenic bacteria (Brooks & Barnum 1984, Sordillo et al. 1988, Lam et al. 1997, Blagitz et al. 2013), although no consensus has been reached (Zadoks et al. 2001, Reyher et al. 2012). With this concept in mind, it should be noted that polymorphonuclear neutrophil leukocytes (PMNLs) provide the first cellular line of defense against invading mastitis pathogens and are the main source of resistance during the early stages of bacterial invasion (Schukken et al. 2011, Souza et al. 2012).

The recognition of microbial pathogens is an essential element of the initiation of innate immune responses, such as inflammation, and is mediated by germline-encoded pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) that recognize molecular structures that are broadly shared by pathogens, known as pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). Upon PAMP recognition, PRRs initiate a series of signaling programs that execute the first line of host defensive responses that are necessary for killing infectious microbes. Toll-like receptors (TLRs) are the best-characterized PRRs and recognize a wide range of PAMPs. These receptors are either expressed on the cell surface or associated with intracellular vesicles (Schukken et al. 2011, Souza et al. 2012). In most cases, fully efficient microbial recognition by TLR2 and TLR4 requires the critical activity of a co-receptor, CD14. In fact, in the absence of CD14, cellular responses to most microbial components, activated via TLR2 or TLR4, are particularly low (LeBouder et al. 2003). CD14 is also expressed in milk neutrophils (Paape et al. 1996). Nonetheless, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study that compared the expression of CD14, TLR2 and TLR4 in milk PMNLs in *C. bovis*-infected and uninfected quarters. Thus, the aim of this study was to investigate the expression of CD14, TLR2 and TLR4 on the surface of milk neutrophils in *C. bovis*-infected quarters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study used 37 mammary quarters from 13 clinically healthy Holstein dairy cows that were collected at different lactation stages from a commercial herd. From this sample, we selected 23 culture-negative control quarters from 10 dairy cows with no abnormal secretions in the strip cup test and MSCC lower than $1 \times 10^5$ cells/mL, which is the threshold for the MSCC described by Bansal et al. (2005) for uninfected quarters. Additionally, 14 *C. bovis*-infected quarters from eight dairy cows were included.

First, the strip cup test was performed to identify the presence of dots, flakes or otherwise obviously abnormal secretions. Predipping was then performed, and the first three milk streams were discarded. Teat ends were scrubbed with cotton moistened with 70% ethanol, and single milk samples from individual mammary quarters were aseptically collected into sterile vials for bacteriological analysis. Lastly, milk samples were collected for a somatic cell count (SCC) and an evaluation of the expression of CD14, TLR2 and TLR4 by milk neutrophils. We emphasize that this research complied with the Ethical Principles in Animal Research and was approved by the Bioethics Commission.

A bacterial analysis was performed by culturing 0.01 mL of each milk-quarter sample on 5% ovine blood agar plates. The plates were incubated for 72 hours at 37°C, followed by Gram staining, observation of colony morphology and biochemical testing (Oliver et al. 2004). A milk sample was considered culture positive when the growth of ≥4 pure *C. bovis* colonies was detected. Samples yielding no bacterial growth were regarded as culture negative.

The SCC measurements of milk samples were performed using an automated fluorescent microscope-based somatic cell counter (Somacount 300, Bentley Instruments®, Chaska, USA). The separation of milk cells was performed as described by Koess & Hamann (2008). Briefly, 1 L of milk was diluted with 1 L of phosphate-buffered saline (PBS; pH 7.4; 1.06mM NaHPO$_4$, 15.5.17mM NaCl, 2.97mM Na$_2$HPO$_4$, 7H$_2$O). After centrifugation at 1,000xg for 15 min, the cream layer and the supernatant were discarded. The cell pellet at the bottom of the container was then washed once with 30mL of PBS and centrifuged at 400xg for 10 min. The cells were placed in 1 mL of RPMI-1640 nutrition media (R7638; Sigma-Aldrich, USA) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Cultilab, Brazil) and counted in a Neubauer chamber. The cell viability was first evaluated by trypan blue exclusion. The milk cells were then diluted with the nutrition media and 1% fetal bovine serum to a concentration of $2 \times 10^5$ viable cells/mL.

Milk neutrophils were differentiated from other cells by indirect fluorescent labeling using 1µL of the primary unlabeled monoclonal antibody (mAb) anti-bovine granulocyte (CH138A; VMRD Pullman Inc. Corp®, Pullman, USA) that was incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Next, 1mL of PBS was added to the cell suspension, which was centrifuged at 400xg for 8 min. Lastly, a labeled secondary antibody was added and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark to visualize CH138A binding. Neutrophils were identified using flow cytometry based on their cytoplasmic granularity and CH138A positivity (Fig.1), as previously described by Piepers et al. (2009) and Blagitz et al. (2013). The secondary labeled mAb consisted of 1µL of fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) (M31501; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA).

The identification of PMNLs expressing TLR2 and TLR4 was performed using flow cytometry using the following monoclonal antibodies: 1µL of Alexa Fluor 647 mouse anti-human CD282 (MCA2061PE; AbD Serotec, Oxford, England) for TLR4 expression and 10µL of phycoerythrin (PE) mouse anti-human CD284 (MCA2061PE; AbD Serotec, Oxford, England) for TLR4 expression. For the identification of neutrophils expressing CD14 using flow cytometry, 1µL of the primary mouse IgG1 anti-bovine CD14 (MM61A; VMRD Pullman Inc. Corp®, Pullman, USA) and 1µL of a Allophycocyanin-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG1 antibody (A10541; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) were used. The anti-human CD282 (Ibeagha-Awemu et al. 2008) and CD284 mAb (Ibeagha-Awemu et al. 2008, Catalani et al. 2010) under use have been used.
previously shown to stain bovine cells. First, dot plots were gated for PMNLs (CH138A), as previously described. The PMNLs were first identified as aforementioned. Unlabeled primary mAb for the identification of CD14 or labeled mAb for TLR2 and TLR4 were then added to the cell suspension and incubated for 30 min at room temperature in the dark. Next, the isolated milk cell suspension was centrifuged at 400xg for 8 min, and in the tube in which CD14 expression would be assessed, the secondary labeled mAb for CD14 was added. Lastly, the isolated milk cell suspension was centrifuged at 400xg for 8 min, and 300μL of PBS was added to the cell suspension.

The expression of CD14, TLR2 and TLR4 on the cell surface of neutrophils was analyzed in gated CH138A-positive cells. The percentage of PMNLs expressing CD14, TLR2 or TLR4 was calculated as the number of fluorescent PMNLs divided by the total PMNL count and multiplied by 100. The median fluorescence intensities of CD14, TLR2 and TLR4 expression were estimated by the geometric median of the fluorescence divided by the number of PMNLs that expressed CD14, TLR2 or TLR4. In this assay, 20,000 milk cells were examined in each sample. FlowJo TreeStar Software (TreeStar Inc., Ashland, OR, USA) was used to analyze the data.

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software® (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). First, the homoscedasticity and the distribution of all variables were examined using normal probability plots and the Shapiro-Wilk test. All variables were log-transformed, as the distribution was not normal. To compare the differences between the groups, Student’s t-test for unpaired data was used for the data with normal distributions (SCC, percentage of neutrophils that CD14, and expression of TLR4 by milk neutrophils), and the Mann-Whitney rank test was used for the nonparametric data (percentage of milk neutrophils, SCC, percentage of neutrophils that CD14, and expression of TLR4 by milk neutrophils). The results are reported as the mean ±SD. The association between TLR2 expression by milk neutrophils, CD14 expression by milk neutrophils and the percentage of neutrophils (CH138+) was assessed by linear regression analysis. The significance was set at P≤0.05 (Sampaio 2010).

RESULTS

The mammary glands infected with Corynebacterium bovis showed a higher logarithmic SCC (5.38±0.66, P≤0.0001) and percentage of neutrophils (30.66±14.82%, P = 0.018) compared with the healthy quarters (4.02±0.65; 18.88 ±14.64%). Here, no significant difference in the median fluorescence intensity of TLR4 in milk neutrophils (75.64±27.61, P = 0.45) and the percentage of milk neutrophils that expressed TLR4 (20.06±28.96%; P = 0.18) was found between C. bovis-infected quarters and healthy quarters (85.01±40.45; 20.78±19.18%). Furthermore, the percentage of neutrophils that expressed TLR2 was not different between C. bovis-infected quarters 15.19±19.73%, P = 0.34) and uninfected quarters (17.25±13.26%).

Conversely, a lower median fluorescence intensity of TLR2 in milk neutrophils was observed in C. bovis-infected quarters (46.96±47.71; P = 0.03) compared with uninfected quarters (70.02±53.23) (Fig.2). The percentage of neutrophils that expressed CD14 was lower in C. bovis infected quarters (57.32±22.56) compared to the healthy ones (72.53±15.32; P = 0.008) (Fig.3). The median fluorescence intensity of CD14 by milk neutrophils was also lower in C. bovis infected quarters (183.8±156.3) compared to uninfected quarters (385.9±348.7; P = 0.01). A negative association between TLR2 expression by milk neutrophils and the percentage of neutrophils (CH138+) in C. bovis infection (r= -0.64; P = 0.01) was observed, which was not found in healthy quarters (r= -0.03; P= 0.86) by linear re-
gression analysis. Furthermore, a positive association between TLR2 and CD14 expression by milk neutrophils was observed in uninfected quarters (r=0.80; P<0.0001) and a tendency toward this association was observed in C. bovis infected quarters (r=0.50; P=0.07), although CD14 expression was not associated with percentage of milk neutrophils in uninfected (P=0.44) and C. bovis-infected quarters (P=0.76). Otherwise, the percentage of milk neutrophils that expressed CD14 was negatively associated with the percentage of neutrophils in uninfected quarters (r = -0.41; P=0.05), although no association was found in C. bovis-infected quarters (P=0.11).

DISCUSSION

The SCC and the percentage of neutrophils were higher in Corynebacterium bovis infected quarters, as previously described by others (Djabri et al. 2002). Although, in the present study we did not know when the intramammary infections occurred, as we worked with naturally C. bovis-infected quarters, as well as we did not assess the nucleotide polymorphism within the PRRs in dairy cows, the present study found significant alteration in TLR2 and CD14 expression by milk neutrophils. It is well known that many TLR2 ligands were found on C. bovis, a Gram-positive bacterium. TLR2 recognizes a broad range of different bacterial structures known as TLR2 ligands (i.e. peptidoglycan). In contrast, TLR4 ligands (i.e. lypopolysaccharides) are not present in C. bovis which can explain the no significant alteration in the expression of TLR4 by milk neutrophils (Schukken et al. 2011, Souza et al. 2012). Although, CD14 presumably acts with TLR4 in the recognition of lipopolysaccharide (Souza et al. 2012), in the last few years some researchers have pointed out to an interaction between CD14 molecule and TLR2, which may interfere in the probability of new infections.

This fact presumably leads to alteration of the recognition of a broad range of bacterial ligands by neutrophils. Besides this TLRs cannot only respond appropriately but also self-regulate host responses to invading pathogens. For instance, a TLR itself may be degraded, thereby making the receptor unavailable for subsequent ligand activation, or a TLR’s expression may be inhibited by anti-inflammatory cytokines (Miggin & O’Neil 2006). Furthermore, it was demonstrated that TLR2 release is modulated by cell activation, and soluble TLR2 (sTLR2) and the TLR co-receptor soluble CD14 (sCD14) may interact in the natural milieu. This fact resulted in the rapid down-modulation of cell-surface TLR2, thus avoiding an excessive proinflammatory response (LeBouder et al. 2003). The lack of an association of the percentage of milk neutrophils and the percentage of neutrophils that expressed CD14 in C. bovis-infected quarters reinforced the idea of a release of CD14 co-receptor during infection, as this association was encountered in uninfected quarters.

In addition, TLR activation has been shown to be linked to conditions of oxidative stress, especially considering the mechanisms by which inflammatory cascades are activated (Kim et al. 2006, Gill, Tsung & Billiar 2010). In particu-
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