Survival analysis of female dogs with mammary tumors after mastectomy: epidemiological, clinical and morphological aspects¹
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Mammary gland tumors are the most common type of tumors in bitches but research on survival time after diagnosis is scarce. The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between survival time after mastectomy and a number of clinical and morphological variables. Data was collected retrospectively on bitches with mammary tumors seen at the Small Animal Surgery Clinic Service at the University of Brasília. All subjects had undergone mastectomy. Survival analysis was conducted using Cox's proportional hazard method. Of the 139 subjects analyzed, 68 died and 71 survived until the end of the study (64 months). Mean age was 11.76 years (SD=2.71), 53.84% were small dogs. 76.92% of the tumors were malignant, and 65.73% had both thoracic and inguinal glands affected. Survival time in months was associated with age (hazard rate ratios [HRR] =1.23, p-value =1.4x10⁻⁴), animal size (HRR between giant and small animals =2.61, p-value =0.02), nodule size (HRR =1.09, p-value =0.03), histological type (HRR between solid carcinoma and carcinoma in a mixed tumor =2.40, p-value =0.02), time between diagnosis and surgery (TDS, with HRR =1.21, p-value =2.7x10⁻¹⁵), and the interaction TDS*follow-up time (HRR =0.98, p-value =1.6x10⁻¹¹). The present study is one of the few on the subject matter: Several important covariates were evaluated and age, animal size, nodule size, histological type, TDS and TDS*follow up time were identified as significantly associated to survival time.  
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right and left, for evaluating metastases. Animals with mammary hyperplasia or cutaneous neoplasias at the mammary region, such as lipomas and hemangiomases, were not included in the study.

This study was approved by the University of Brasilia Animal Use Ethics Committee (UnBDoc nº 29425/2009). Clinical and populational data were collected from medical records and as a quality control procedure, all the information collected was checked for errors.

With respect to tumor site, affected glands were classified into three groups: thoracic only (if affected glands were either cranial thoracic, caudal thoracic or cranial abdominal), inguinal only (if affected glands were either caudal abdominal or inguinal), and both thoracic and inguinal (if some were thoracic and some were inguinal).

Tumor histopathology was conducted by the UnB Veterinary Pathology Laboratory. Malignant tumors were classified (Cassali et al. 2013) into solid carcinoma (SC), tubulopapillary carcinoma (TPC; in which group were also included tubular carcinoma and papillary carcinoma), carcinoma in a mixed tumor (CMT), and fibrosarcoma (FS). Carcinomas were further characterized into Grade I, Grade II and Grade III. Evaluated the presence of tumor cell emboli in blood vessels and lymphatics, surgical margins and axillary lymph node when removed and/or inguinal lymph node. Benign tumors included adenomas, fibroadenoma (FA) and benign mixed tumors (BMT).

Almost all authors report that at least 50% of the tumors are malignant while others report percentages varying from 26% to 73% (Pérez Alenza et al. 2000). Carcinomas are the most prevalent types among malignant tumors (Allen et al. 1986, Lana et al. 2007).

Approximately 60% of the tumors originate in abdominal and inguinal mammary glands, probably because these glands respond more actively to estrogen stimulus given its large parenchymatous tissue content. The appearance of these tumors in bitches under the age of two is rare, but for bitches over six years old, the incident increases substantially (Moulton 1990).

Treatment for mammary tumors involves mastectomy with clear margins. The procedure can be regional or radical depending on the spread of the tumor, and it can also be unilateral or bilateral if one or two sides are affected, respectively (White 2003). Surgery may lead to a cure in the initial stages of the disease but, in more advanced stages, the occurrence of metastasis is very common (Kurzman & Gilbertson 1986).

In the present study we investigated the relationship between survival time after regional and/or radical mastectomy and a number of clinical and populational variables.

INTRODUCTION

Mammary gland tumors are the most common type of tumors in female dogs (Egenvall et al. 2005), representing about 52% of all tumor cases (Allen et al. 1986), with an annual incidence rate of 205 per 100,000 (Lana et al. 2007). Research on canine mammary tumors has grown over the past few years not only because of its increasing incidence rate of diagnosis in veterinary medicine (Lana et al. 2007), but also because these tumors represent valuable models for breast cancer in humans (Cavalcanti & Cassali 2006, Sorenmo et al. 2009, Uva et al. 2009).

Almost all authors report that at least 50% of the tumors are malignant while others report percentages varying from 26% to 73% (Pérez Alenza et al. 2000). Carcinomas are the most prevalent types among malignant tumors (Allen et al. 1986, Lana et al. 2007).

Approximately 60% of the tumors originate in abdominal and inguinal mammary glands, probably because these glands respond more actively to estrogen stimulus given its large parenchymatous tissue content. The appearance of these tumors in bitches under the age of two is rare, but for bitches over six years old, the incident increases substantially (Moulton 1990).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study subjects were part of a retrospective study including 143 bitches with mammary tumors seen at the Small Animal Surgery Clinic Service at the University of Brasilia (UnB). All animals had undergone regional and/or radical mastectomy between January of 2004 and July of 2009. The bitches were reassessed, doing a detailed history, physical examination as palpation of axillary and popliteal lymph nodes, radiographic evaluation of the chest, every six months, in ventrodorsal projections and lateral-lateral projections and lateral-lateral...
With respect to clinicopathologic parameters, 65.73% of the cases had both thoracic and inguinal glands affected simultaneously. Malignant tumors were more prevalent, among which solid carcinoma (SC) was the most common type followed by carcinoma in a mixed tumor (CMT), tubulopapillary carcinoma (TPC) and fibrosarcoma (FS), showed in Table 1. Among benign tumors, benign mixed tumors (BMT) was the most frequent (16.78% of all cases), followed by adenoma (5.59%), and fibroadenoma (FA) (0.7%). Twenty nine bitches had more than one diagnosis. For analytical purposes they were classified as having the more malignant type diagnosed. Unilateral radical mastectomy was the most frequent (37.76%) treatment administered. Almost half of the animals had tumors smaller than 3cm (48.95%), while 22.38% had tumors between 3cm and 5cm, and the remainder 28.67% had tumors greater than 5cm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Age (in years)</th>
<th>Animal size</th>
<th>Nodule size</th>
<th>Histological type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D/N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Small (0-10 kg)</td>
<td>Médiun (10-20 kg)</td>
<td>Large (20-30 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MST (in months)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>30/75</td>
<td>21/36</td>
<td>6/12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HRR</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>(1.11, 1.37)</td>
<td>(0.62, 2.37)</td>
<td>(0.73, 5.00)</td>
<td>(1.19, 5.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>1.4x10^-4</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SC = solid carcinoma, CMT = carcinoma in a mixed tumor, TPC = tubulopapillary carcinoma, FS = fibrosarcoma.

Ten bitches were diagnosed with metastatic lung and/or axillary/inguinal lymph node during the study, and 8 of animals and 1 animal were diagnosed SC Grade III and Grade II, respectively. 1 animal was diagnosed with CMT Grade III and 1 animal was diagnosed with TPC Grade III.

Tests for the PH assumption under Cox's model showed a significant correlation between TDS residuals and time (p-value = 0.003), which implied non-proportional hazards. Models were adjusted for the interaction of TDS and time to event (death) to account for the time dependent hazard.

Subjects with FS or FA histological type were removed from the analyses because of the impossibility to reliably estimate its effects given that there were no deaths in these groups. Therefore survival analyses included 139 subjects.

Table 1 shows the results of the PH model adjusted by a number of clinical and populational covariates. Hazard rate ratios (HRR), 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and p-values as well as median survival time (MST) and number of deaths by number of observations per group (D/N) for the significant independent variables are presented.

After adjustment for other covariates, age was significant (p-value = 1.4x10^-4) with HRR estimated at 1.23 (95% CI: 1.11, 1.37) indicating that each additional year of age increases the hazard rate (HR) by 23%. The only significant comparison for animal size categories indicates that giant bitches had a 2.61 greater HR (95% CI: 1.19, 5.75, p-value = 0.02) than small ones. The HRR of 1.09 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.17, p-value = 0.03) for nodule size indicates a 9% increase in HR per 1cm increase in tumor nodule size. Histological type was only significant when comparing solid carcinoma to carcinoma in a mixed tumor: The HR for solid carcinoma was 2.40 (95% CI: 1.12, 5.17, p-value = 0.02), which means that solid carcinoma confers a 2.40-fold increase in HR compared to CMT (the reference group). A positive HRR for TDS and a negative HRR for TDS*FT (both terms being significant) imply that the longer the period between diagnosis and surgery, the greater the HR but the strength of this association declines with follow-up time. Although highly significant (p-value = 1.6x10^-3), the magnitude of the TDS*FT interaction effect was not large (HRR=0.98) and when this term was not incorporated to the model, the main effect of TDS was not significant.

When malignancy was used as an independent variable instead of histological type, the HRR for malignant vs. benign tumors was not statistically significant (p-value = 0.33).

None of the other covariates (type of surgery, type of affected glands, use of contraception or synthetic estrogens, nulliparity) were statistically significant.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study a careful characterization of bitches that had undergone regional and/or radical mastectomy due to mammary tumors was performed and the effect of clinical and populational covariates on survival time was estimated.

Survival analyses included 139 subjects and identified age, animal size (giant vs. small), nodule size, histological type (SC vs. CMT), time between diagnosis and surgery (TDS), and the interaction between time since diagnosis and follow-up time as significantly associated with survival time, after adjustment for other covariates. Significance of the interaction term indicates non-proportional hazards. Point estimates and confidence intervals (Table 2) provide the magnitude of the effect of these variables on survival time.
TDS and TDS*follow-up were the most significant covariates (p-values of 2.7x10^-15 and 1.6x10^-11, respectively) with a HRR of 1.21 for TDS (95% CI: 1.16, 1.27). Significance on the interaction term, even for a small effect such as the one observed (HRR =0.98), indicates non-proportional hazards. The interpretation for a positive and a negative HRR estimate for TDS and TDS*follow-up time, respectively, is that the longer the period between diagnosis and surgery, the greater the HR but the strength of this association declines with follow-up time. It is worth mentioning that when the interaction term was not incorporated to the model, the main effect of TDS was no longer significant, which implies that the effect of TDS was averaged out over follow-up time. Therefore, the inclusion of this interaction term was necessary.

Average age at diagnosis was 11.76 years (SD =2.7 1 years). The analyses of this study showed that age is a very significant factor on survival and, based on our data, each additional year of age increases the hazard rate (HR) by 23% (p-value = 1.4x10^-4).

Animal size was only available in four categories and the majority of the animals were of small (53.84%) or medium (25.17%) size. The only statistically significant comparison was between giant and small breed animals. Weight information was only available for the surviving subjects whose average was 11.66 kg (SD =10.12 kg), similar to what was reported in other studies (Sonnenschein et al. 1991, Itoh et al. 2004, Lana et al. 2007, Hsu et al. 2009). However, the average weight may differ significantly from non-surviving animals. Although not available for all animals, this study suggests that weight may also be associated with survival rates. Caution is warranted when studying animal weight in the context of tumor survival given that it seems that smaller dogs in general usually live more but on the other hand weight loss may occur as a consequence of the disease progression.

There seems to be much variation in terms of histological type (Allen et al. 1986, Pérez Alenza et al. 2000, Carvalho 2006, Terzian et al. 2007). Karayannopoulou et al. (2005) observed that simple carcinomas represented 64.7% of the cases and benign mixed tumors, 20% of the benign cases, similar to this study. Hsu et al. (2009) reported that complex carcinomas and simple carcinomas represented 44% and 38.5% of the cases, respectively. In the study of Andrade et al. (2008), 52.1% of the cases were benign mixed tumors, followed by simple carcinomas (30.4%).

Difference on survival time by histological type was only observed, in our data, when comparing SC to MTC with a HRR = 2.40 (95% CI: 1.12, 5.17, p-value = 0.02). The point estimate indicates that SC confers a 2.40-fold increase in the HR (compared to MTC).

When we grouped histological type by their malignancy status (malignant, benign), we found no statistically significant different on survival time, which was not surprising since the HRRs for the two types of benign tumors included in the survival model (A and BMT) was not significant for any comparison with any malignant tumors. The lack of association between malignancy status and survival is probably due to early diagnosis, which minimizes the occurrence of metastasis. In our study only 10.49% of animals had metastasis. The proportion of cases with malignant tumors (76.92%) observed in the present study was substantially superior to 50%, as reported by Allen et al. (1986), Carvalho et al. (2006) and Horta et al. (2014), but not so different than 83.3% and 86%, as reported by Dileepkumar et al. (2014) and Terzian et al. (2007), respectively.

Nodule size was also significantly associated with survival time with a HRR of 1.09 (95% CI: 1.01, 1.17, p-value = 0.03), indicating a 9% increase in HR per 1 cm increase in nodule size. When nodule size was categorized into smaller than 3 cm and greater or equal 3 cm, the HRR was 1.99 (95% CI: 1.09, 3.62, p-value = 0.024). Most of more recent studies agree that size confers prognostic significance (Sleckx et al. 2011, Sorenmo et al. 2011, Peña et al. 2012).

Although not associated with survival rates, the distribution of types of affected glands in this study (9.79% thoracic only, 24.48% inguinal only, and 65.73% both thoracic and inguinal glands affected) differed substantially from Galera et al. (2002) and Carvalho (2006), in which most cases (78.94% and 69.6%, respectively) had only inguinal glands affected.

Type of surgery was also not significantly associated with survival rates confirming findings from Itoh et al. (2004), in which the mortality rate for those treated with radical mastectomy (35.7%) was very similar to that for regional mastectomy (34.8%) among carcinoma cases. Yamagami et al. (1996) also found no statistical differences between surgery types as long as margins are clear and tumor site lymph nodes are removed.

Information on tumor differentiation was only available for some of the malignant cases. Survival analyses, restricted to these cases, showed no association between tumor differentiation and survival time. Despite the lack of significance (which could be due to smaller power to detect differences given the smaller sample size available), that was observed that 32.81% of the animals with moderately differentiated tumors survived for more than 24 months after diagnosis while no animals with poorly differentiated tumors survived for that long. Nardi (2007) observed that only 30% of the poor prognosis survived for more than 24 months after diagnosis.

Information on metastasis was only applicable to malignant cases and not significant on survival time. According to Lana et al. (2007), the risk of developing metastasis from a carcinoma within two years after mastectomy was 90% in poorly differentiated, 68% in moderately differentiated, and 24% in well differentiated cases. Karayannopoulou et al. (2005) reported somewhat different results: metastasis risk of 86.7%, 46.4%, and 0% in poorly, moderately, and well differentiated cases, respectively. In this study, 10.4% of the cases had metastasis, of which 87.5% had solid carcinoma and 12.5% had tubulopapillary carcinoma.

Most animals had metastatic carcinoma Grade III, being a solid carcinoma was the neoplasm that most frequently metastasized, therefore has a poor prognosis and showed that histological grade might be helpful to predict survival time. These findings are similar to that reported by Peña et al. 2012. Santos et al. 2013 showed similar percentages
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local recurrence and/or distant metastases for solid and tubulopapillary carcinoma.

Several other covariates were tested and found not to be significant including use of contraception or synthetic estrogens and nulliparity.

Only 39.86% of the animals had been castrated and among these, only one animal had the procedure before of the oestrous cycle. Among castrated animals (n=57), mean age at castration was 8.7 years with SD = 2.76 (Table 1), which is considered late in terms of mammary tumor prophyaxis. Early spaying has been shown to reduce the risk of developing mammary tumors (Schneider et al. 1969).

Despite the growth of research on canine mammary tumors several aspects of the disease still need to be addressed such as prognostic factors, although some has been described (Gama et al. 2008, Hsu et al. 2009, Morris et al. 2009). Studies that evaluated the association between several covariates on survival time are scarce. More studies, which include a greater number of animals in different places and in a greatest post operative period must be encouraged, to see the similarities and discrepancies.

CONCLUSIONS

The present study focused on characterizing bitches with mammary tumors and evaluating the effect of clinical and populational covariates on survival time after mastectomy.

There were identified several independent predictors of survival time including age, animal size, nodule size, histological type, time between diagnosis and surgery, and the interaction between TDS and follow-up.

A comprehensive characterization of risk and prognostic factors helps veterinarians and dog owners decide which therapeutic directions affected animals should be subjected to. Dog owners should be informed about mammary tumor prophyaxis and early diagnosis, which contributes to increased survival time if a tumor is developed.

More studies are needed to investigate new risk factors and elucidate the pathogenesis and progression of mammary tumors.

REFERENCES


