Comparison of continuous intravenous infusion of tramadol and tramadol-lidocaine-ketamine in the sevoflurane requirement in dogs
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The objective of this study was to compare the influence of continuous intravenous infusion of tramadol alone, or tramadol combined with lidocaine and ketamine, on minimum alveolar concentration of sevoflurane (MACsevo) of dogs undergoing an ovariohysterectomy (OHE). We used 28 healthy dogs of various breeds and age, randomly divided into two groups according to the infusion given: TRA (tramadol alone) or TLK (tramadol, lidocaine and ketamine). The patients were premedicated with acepromazine and midazolam, and then anesthesia was induced with propofol and maintained with sevoflurane. Fifteen minutes after induction, the patients received their loading dose of treatment. Then, the continuous infusion was then set to 1.3mg/kg/hour of tramadol with or without 3mg/kg/hour of lidocaine and 0.6mg/kg/hour of ketamine, diluted in a 500mL bag of saline solution at an infusion rate of 10mL/kg/hour. The Dixon method was chosen to determine the MACsevo and a skin incision was used as a noxious stimulus. An unpaired Student’s t-test was used to identify statistically significant differences between the treatments. These differences were considered significant when p<0.05. The MACsevo of the TRA group was 1.22±0.15 vol% and the MACsevo of the TLK group was 0.85±0.22 vol%. We conclude that TLK infusion decreased the MACsevo by 30.22% compared to tramadol alone, demonstrating that the combination of drugs was effective in reducing MACsevo in dogs.
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de solução de 500mL a uma taxa de infusão taxa de 10ml/kg/hora. O método de Dixon foi escolhido para determinar a MACsevo e a incisão na pele foi utilizada como o estímulo nocivo. O teste t de Student não pareado foi utilizado para identificar diferenças estatisticamente significativas entre os tratamentos. Estas diferenças foram consideradas significativas quando p<0.05. A CAMsevo do grupo TRA foi de 1,22±0,15vo% e a CAMsevo do grupo TLK foi de 0,85±0,22vol%. Conclui-se que a infusão de TLK diminuiu a CAMsevo em 30,22% em relação ao tramadol isolado, o que demonstra que a combinação de agentes analgésicos foi eficaz na redução do requerimento de sevofluorano em cães.

TERMOS DE INDEXAÇÃO: Tramadol, tramadol-lidocaína-cetamina, sevofluorano, caninos, analgesia, anestesia, CAM, inalatório.

INTRODUCTION

Drugs of different classes can be utilized together to move a patient through the various aspects of anesthesia, which are analgesia, amnesia, muscle relaxation and blockage of autonomic reflexes, thus achieving and maintaining homeostasis during a medical procedure (Orosz et al. 2012). This technique offers multimodal control in pain management (Ilkiw 1999).

The administration of analgesic agents via continuous intravenous (IV) infusion during the perioperative period is becoming a good choice method because it allows for increased pain control and decreases the requirement for inhalant anesthetics to maintain anesthesia (Matsubara et al. 2009, Muir 2010, Fajardo et al. 2012).

Continuous infusion of lidocaine and ketamine has been widely used in veterinary medicine to ensure effective analgesia because when combined, these drugs act on different receptors present in the pain pathways. This effect may be increased by adding an opioid, as they are capable of promoting sedation in addition to being potent analgesics (Koppert 2004). Studies have shown that the combination of ketamine, lidocaine along with morphine or fentanyl in a continuous infusion decreased the minimum alveolar concentration (MAC) of inhalational anesthetic agents in horses (Muir 2010, Villalba et al. 2011) and dogs (Wilson et al. 2008, Aguado et al. 2011).

Ketamine is a noncompetitive antagonist of the N-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) receptors, but has some effect on opioid and muscarinic receptors and calcium channels (Kawamata et al. 2000).

Lidocaine is a local anesthetic widely used for local blockage of pain and can be used IV. Lidocaine acts on the internal surface of the sodium channel, preventing the transmission of the action potential by the axon, causing stabilization in a standby state. It has effects on G protein-coupled receptors and NMDA receptors (Skarda & Tranquilli 2007). Muir et al. (2003) demonstrated that lidocaine reduces the need for isoflurane in dogs by 29% when used as part of an IV continuous infusion. Valverde et al. (2004) found an 18% reduction with the same drug.

Tramadol is a synthetic analogue of codeine with central action and selectivity for mu (μ) opioid receptors. It blocks the re-uptake of the neurotransmitters norepinephrine and serotonin (Cagnardi et al. 2011). Its analgesic potency is similar to meperidine and five to 10 times less than morphine (Collart et al. 1993). It is the opioid with fewest side effects (Close 2005).

Sevofluorano is a halogenated inhalation anesthetic agent with MAC of 2.1 to 2.3 vol% in dogs and a coefficient of blood:gas solubility of 0.6, which ensures rapid induction and recovery from anesthesia (McKelvey & Hollingshead 2003). It works by depressing the action of the central nervous system, causing amnesia and immobility to noxious stimuli, but it does not enhance analgesia. Its elimination occurs through the lungs, and only 3% of the inhaled amount is metabolized in the liver (Duarte & Saraiva 2005).

MAC is a measure of the potency of an inhalated anesthetic, defined as the concentration of anesthetic at one atmosphere required to abolish movements in response to a noxious stimulus in half of the patients tested (Eger et al. 1965).

The method of sequential allocation dependent on the patient’s previous response (the up-and-down method) is a statistical method (Dixon 1965) widely used for the determination of a lethal dose of medication (LD50) and the anesthetic minimum volume (MAV) for local anesthetics. It is also used in clinical settings for the determination of MAC in human patients, as it significantly reduces the anesthetic risk by reducing the duration of anesthesia during each individual experiment.

There is little information regarding the use of a continuous infusion of tramadol combined with lidocaine and ketamine, however some studies have suggested that IV or epidural use of tramadol reduced the MAC of inhaled anesthetic agent and/or allowed adequate analgesia (Seddighi et al. 2009; Itami et al. 2013).

This study aimed to compare the effect of an IV continuous infusion of tramadol alone or TLK on the MACsevo in dogs undergoing elective ovariohysterectomy (OHE). Our hypothesis is that the combination of TLK can decrease the inhalant requirement compared to the use of tramadol alone.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement. The experiment was conducted at the Veterinary Hospital of Federal University of Mato Grosso (HOVET-UFMT), Cuiabá/MT, Brazil, in compliance with the Ethics Principles in Animal Experimentation, being approved by the Ethics Committee on Animal Experimentation (CEUA/UFMT) (Protocol #23108.047034/13-6).

Animals. We used 28 dogs, of various breeds, that were admitted for elective OHE to the HOVET-UFMT. All pet owners signed a consent form to participate in this study. The dogs received a physical examination, complete blood count and serum biochemistry and were considered healthy for participation. Only dogs between 1 and 6 years old (large breeds) or 8 (small and medium breeds) were admitted to participate in the study. After prior fasting (eight hours with no food and three hours with no water), dogs were premedicated with intramuscular acepromazine (0.05mg/kg) and midazolam (0.25mg/kg). After 30 minutes, the operative field and dorsal aspect of the forelimb were shaved. A catheter was inserted into the cephalic vein, through which a prophylactic dose of cephalothin (25mg/kg) and fluid therapy were administe-
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red. Propofol IV (5.7±0.4 mg/kg) was administered to effect over two minutes to induce anesthesia. Anesthesia was maintained with sevoflurane, in a calibrated vaporizer, diluted in a flow of 20mL/kg/minute of 100% oxygen through a circular semi-closed system. Controlled ventilation was performed with a tidal volume of 10-12mL/kg, respiratory rate of eight breaths per minute and airway pressure between 10 and 20cm H2O to maintain the carbon dioxide exhaled at 30-40mmHg. During anesthesia, patients were positioned in dorsal recumbency on a warming blanket to maintain body temperature.

Cardiovascular and respiratory variables were monitored throughout the anesthesia with a multiparameter monitor (PM-9000 Mindray Express, China). A capnography sensor and a side-stream anesthetic gas analyzer were attached to the connection between the endotracheal tube and the circle circuit allowing for measurement of expired sevoflurane, expired CO2 and the respiratory rate. A pulse oximeter sensor was placed on the animal’s tongue to check the oxygen saturation of hemoglobin. Electrodes were attached to the skin of the animals to monitor the electrocardiographic tracing and heart rate in the standard way for dogs. The systolic, diastolic and mean blood pressures were measured by oscillometry. This entailed placing a cuff that was sized to approximately 40% of the forelimb diameter (PetMAP, Ransey Medical, FL, USA). An esophageal thermometer verified the animal’s temperature.

**Experimentation**. The patients were randomly divided into two groups. Randomization was performed by drawing one of two pieces of paper with each treatment identification from a bag. The first group received TRA (tramadol) alone and the second group received TLK (tramadol + lidocaine + ketamine). After 15 minutes of induction, the patients received their loading doses of treatment: 2mg/kg of tramadol (Kukanich & Papich 2004) for both groups and 1mg/kg of lidocaine and 0.25mg/kg of ketamine (Dyson 2008) for TLK group, combined in a single syringe and administered manually over approximately three minutes. The continuous infusion was then set to 1.3mg/kg/hour of tramadol (Seddighi et al. 2009), with or without 3mg/kg/hour of lidocaine and 0.6mg/kg/hour of ketamine (Muir et al. 2003), according to the treatment group, diluted in a 500mL bag of saline solution at an infusion rate of 10mL/kg/hour, controlled by a linear infusion pump (Mindray SK 600, China). The solution was prepared right after the premedication was given to the patients.

At the end of the surgery, the vaporizer was turned off and the continuous infusion of the solution was terminated followed by the administration of meloxicam (0.2mg/kg) IV. Only one surgeon was responsible for conducting the OHE.

**Determination of sevoflurane MAC.** Dixon’s up-and-down method (1965) was chosen to determine the MAC of sevoflurane. In this method, the response, positive or negative, to the noxious stimulus is recorded only once for each animal, and the results are based on all animals tested. Thirty minutes after the initial bolus and initiation of infusion, and at least 20 minutes after the stabilization of the end-tidal concentration of sevoflurane (ETSevo), the skin incision was made to determine the MAC. The response to the stimulus was considered positive if the animal made any gross movement of the head or limbs (Ewing et al. 1993). The ETSevo values for each animal can be seen in Figure 1. Of the four animals in the TRA group that moved in response to noxious stimuli, all showed movements in the hind limbs. In TLK group two animals moved the hind limbs, one animal moved the forelimb and one of them moved both limbs. In none of the animals head movement was observed.

**DISCUSSION**

The combination of injectable drugs considerably reduced the need for sevoflurane to maintain anesthesia in dogs. For ethical reasons, we chose not to create a control group the response to pain stimuli between two consecutive animals — a positive response followed by a negative response, or vice versa — were defined as crossover values. The ETSevo tested in four crossovers, in which the same dog could not appear twice (ETSevo values from eight different dogs), were used to calculate the MACsevo in each group by mathematical averaging (Aguado et al. 201, Wadhwa et al. 2003).

The MAC values were adjusted to values at sea level (MACsl) using the equation: MACsl = (barometric pressure local/760mmHg) x MAC obtained.

After the MAC determination, when necessary, a bolus of propofol was given and the ETSevo was increased by 0.5 vol% to provide the necessary depth of anesthesia for the OHE surgery.

**RESULTS**

There were no statistical differences between the two groups when considering age, weight, body temperature, SpO2 or blood pressure values (Table 1). The MACsevo of the TRA group was 1.22±0.15 vol% and the MACsevo of the TLK group was 0.85±0.22 vol%. The combination of tramadol with lidocaine and ketamine decreased the MACsevo by 30.32% compared to tramadol alone (p<0.0001). These data provide 97.8% power to detect any difference between the groups.

The ETSevo values for each animal can be seen in Figure 1. Of the four animals in the TRA group that moved in response to noxious stimuli, all showed movements in the hind limbs. In TLK group two animals moved the hind limbs, one animal moved the forelimb and one of them moved both limbs. In none of the animals head movement was observed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>TRA (n=14)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4 ± 0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (Kilograms)</td>
<td>11.7 ± 1.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esophageal temperature (°C)</td>
<td>37.7 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SpO2 (%)</td>
<td>99.64 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial blood pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>86 ± 7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Several studies have evaluated the effect of drugs combinations administered by continuous IV infusion on the requirement for inhalational agents. Studies with sevoflurane have obtained different basal MAC: 2.2-2.3 vol% (Matsubara et al. 2009, Columbano et al. 2012) and 1.82-1.9 vol% (Wilson et al. 2008, Itami et al. 2011, Itami et al. 2013, Moran-Muñoz et al. 2014). This variation may be due to methodological differences, since various stimuli can be applied, such as electrical stimulation, surgical incisions, digital pressure, tail clamping or movement of the endotracheal tube (Ebert & Schmid 2004). Alternatively, the variation may be due to differences in the drugs used.

The MAC reduction found in this study is similar to the one reported by Itami et al. (2013), who obtained MAC reduction of 1.86 to 1.44 vol%, (approximately 22%) after the administration of tramadol in a single dose. In a study with rats (Wolf et al. 1999), it was observed that tramadol could reduce the MAC of isoflurane. Despite the known efficacy of tramadol as an intra- and postoperative analgesic with minimal cardiorespiratory adverse events (Vettorato et al. 2010, Cagnardi et al. 2011, Fajardo et al. 2012, Kogel et al. 2014), there is little information about its effects on the MAC of inhalational anesthetics.

The combination of tramadol with lidocaine and ketamine promoted a significant decrease in the sevoflurane MAC, by approximately 30% when compared to tramadol alone. Previous studies have shown that the use of IV infusions of lidocaine, ketamine, or both combined, are capable of promoting reduction of MAC of isoflurane and sevoflurane. Moran-Muñoz et al. (2014) demonstrated a reduction of sevoflurane MAC of 27% (from 1.82 vol% to 1.38 vol%) in dogs using lidocaine and ketamine. Similarly, Wilson et al. (2008) obtained a reduction of 22% to 39% using a lidocaine infusion at different doses. These authors also demonstrated a decrease in the MAC of sevoflurane by between 40% and 44% using infusions of ketamine in low and high doses in dogs. When the two drugs were combined, a reduction of approximately 63% in the MAC of sevoflurane was achieved.

Studies with lidocaine and ketamine have demonstrated similar reductions in the MAC of isoflurane in dogs; Gutierrez-Blanco et al. (2013) obtained a reduction of 22% with lidocaine; Valverde et al. (2004) found an 18% reduction; and Muir et al. (2003) obtained a 29% reduction. The use of these drugs, as well as reducing MAC, can offer other benefits such as improved sedation and anti-hyperalgesic effects (Gutierrez-Blanco et al. 2013).

The administration of acepromazine and midazolam as a premedication regimen may have influenced our MAC values. Most MAC studies only use the drugs to be evaluated, but because our experiment was being performed on animals in a veterinary hospital, we opted for the use of premedication. The acepromazine has a long plasma half-life (Hashem et al. 1992) and may have reduced the inhalational anesthetic requirement throughout the study. Heard et al. (1986) demonstrated a 34% reduction in halothane requirement in dogs that received acepromazine while Monteiro et al. (2016) achieved a reduction in the MAC of isoflurane by 33.3% in dogs premedicated with acepromazine and morphine. Midazolam administered by CRI showed a MAC reduction by 11% (Seddighi et al. 2011). However, both groups received the same premedication protocol.

We used a skin incision for determining the MAC of se-
voflurane. Although this is not the supramaximal noxious stimulus for dogs compared to electrical stimulation or clamping of the tail, it is a reliable method because it mimics the clinical situation. Aguado et al. (2011), using the same method, found lower isoflurane MAC than that reported by Muir et al. (2003), using the same doses of lidocaine, ketamine and morphine, but the proportional MAC reductions were similar.

Four crossovers were considered adequate for MAC estimation as it is reported that the MAC values obtained from four crossovers is nearly the same as the MAC values obtained from a larger number of crossovers (Paul & Fisher 2001).

The use of drugs infusions allows a reduction of the need for inhalational agents, and consequently decreases cardiorespiratory depression, ensures a constant level of analgesia, avoids peak plasma concentrations associated with intermittent administration, and allows the use of smaller doses, which should decrease side effects (Fajardo et al. 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

A continuous infusion of tramadol resulted in a sevoflurane requirement of 1.22 vol% in dogs premedicated with acepromazine and midazolam undergoing OHE.

The addition of lidocaine and ketamine to the tramadol infusion further decreased the sevoflurane requirement by 30.32% to 0.85 vol%.

We concluded that the combination of drugs was effective in reducing MACsevo in dogs.
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