Survey of Salmonella spp. in beef meat for export at slaughterhouses in Brazil
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The aim of the present study was to investigate the presence of Salmonella spp. in samples collected from beef meat at three points of the slaughter line (after skinning, washing and cooling) at three slaughterhouses in Brazil that export meat. Detection was based on ISO 6579:2002 and confirmed by PCR and qPCR. The isolates were typified using slide agglutination tests and PFGE. The antibiotic sensitivity profile was determined using the disk diffusion method. Contamination was detected in only one slaughterhouse. The overall frequency of contamination by Salmonella spp. was 6.7% of carcasses (6/90) and 2.6% of carcass surface samples (7/270). All isolates were confirmed by PCR and qPCR. The serological analysis and the PFGE showed a single profile: Typhimurium. The strains demonstrated 100% susceptibility to ampicillin, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin and tetracycline. Positive carcasses after cooling pose a direct risk to consumers, since the meat is considered ready to be marketed after this process.

INDEX TERMS: Salmonella spp., beef meat, slaughterhouses, Brazil, serotype, antibiotic resistance, salmonellosis, skinning, cooling, carcass, cattle.

RESUMO.- [Pesquisa de Salmonella spp. em carcaças bovinas durante o processamento em abatedouros-frigoríficos exportadores.] O objetivo deste trabalho foi investigar a presença de Salmonella spp. em amostras coletadas de carcaças de bovinos, em três pontos da linha de abate (após a esfola, lavagem e refrigeração) de três frigoríficos exportadores no Brasil. A detecção foi realizada pela ISO 6579:2002, e confirmada por PCR e qPCR. Os isolados foram tipificados por testes de soroaglutinação e PFGE e avaliado o perfil de sensibilidade aos antibióticos pelo método de difusão em disco. A contaminação foi detectada em apenas um abatedouro-frigorífico. As contaminações das carcaças (n=90) e amostras de carne (n=270) por Salmonella spp. foram 6 (6,7%) e 7 (2,6%), respectivamente. Todos os isolados foram confirmados por PCR e qPCR. A análise sorológica e o PFGE mostraram um único perfil: Typhimurium. As cepas apresentaram 100% de susceptibilidade à ampicilina, cefotaxima, ciprofloxacina, cloranfenicol, gentamicina e tetraciclina. As carcaças positivas após a refrigeração apresentam um risco direto para o consumidor, uma vez que, após este processo, a carne está pronta para ser comercializada.

TERMOS DE INDEXAÇÃO: Salmonella spp., carcaças bovinas, abatedouros-frigoríficos, sorotipo, resistência a antibióticos, salmonelose, carne bovina, esfola, resfriamento, bovinos.

INTRODUCTION

Beef is one of the most important foods in the human diet and has a considerable impact on the economies of different countries (Sans & Combris 2015). Brazil has been the largest
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exporter of beef in the world since 2008, and reached an export volume has reached 1.6 million tons, with gross revenues of approximately US$ 7.1 billion, which represents 28% of the international trade (Brasil 2015). Brazil exports to more than 170 countries in various regions of the world, such as Latin America, Middle East, Russia, the European Union and Africa (ABIEC 2016).

The state of Mato Grosso do Sul in the central western region of the country is the fourth largest cattle producer in Brazil and second in the number of slaughtered cattle, with an annual harvest of 3.9 million heads of cattle and an accumulated carcass weight of 849,000 tons (IAGRO 2018). With regard to beef exports, Mato Grosso do Sul represents 9.4% of the country’s total, exporting mainly to Hong Kong, China, Egypt, Russia, Iran, Venezuela, Chile, Italy, Vietnam and the Netherlands (Brasil 2016).

Despite being one of the most important items in the human diet and an industry with considerable potential for growth, meat and meat products are considered one of the main vehicles of pathogens to humans (Rhoads et al. 2009), causing foodborne illnesses. Microbiological contamination of cattle carcasses occurs mainly during processing and handling, such as skinning, gutting, cutting, packaging, storage and distribution (Jay 2000, Borch & Arinder 2002, Madden et al. 2004). Cross-contamination by pathogenic bacteria through utensils used during the handling of meat is an important factor in the development of foodborne illnesses (Perez-Rodriguez et al. 2010, Papadopoulou et al. 2012). According to the World Health Organization, Salmonella spp. is one of the most relevant pathogens in beef and its presence poses a risk to consumers (WHO 2005). Salmonella ser. Typhimurium has been reported to be the serovar involved in foodborne illnesses most frequently associated with the consumption of contaminated poultry, pork and beef (EFSA 2015).

The import market sets standards of quality and hygiene to be achieved by producing countries. In general, meat destined for foreign markets should be analyzed for the presence of pathogenic microorganisms and constraints to the marketing of these products may occur when microbiological criteria set by importing countries are not met (Wilhelm et al. 2011). The Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) of the European Union (EU) was created for the regulation of such products. When a risk to public health is identified, necessary measures are established, such as withholding, notification, seizure or rejection of these dangerous products. Through this warning system, health authorities in the EU have confiscated shipments of meat from Brazil, Argentina, Australia and the United States as the result of stiff control measures directed at pathogens, such as Salmonella, which has led to a degree of uncertainty among exporters and importers. From February 2016 to February 2018, two shipments of Brazilian beef were confiscated and notifications were issued regarding the presence of Salmonella spp. (RASFF 2018).

The United States requires daily testing for Escherichia coli and Salmonella spp., while the EU requires the enumeration of aerobic mesophilic microorganisms and enterobacteria in addition to the detection of Salmonella spp. (Commission Regulation-EC 2007). According to current microbiological standards of the Brazilian Health Regulatory Agency, chilled or frozen carcasses must be free of Salmonella spp. (Brasil 2001).

Quantitative and qualitative studies on microbiological safety are very important to the production of high quality meat products. The identification of potentially pathogenic microorganisms, such as Salmonella, in beef meat during slaughter operations contributes significantly to the implementation of quality monitoring programs and preventive measures, consequently reducing the risks to public health (Martinez-Chavez et al. 2015).

The aim of the present study was to investigate the presence of Salmonella spp. in cattle carcasses at different points in the slaughtering process at slaughterhouses in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil, that produce beef for export. For such, different diagnostic methods were employed and the antibiotic sensitivity of the isolated strains was determined.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples. Samples from beef meat were collected from three slaughterhouses registered with the Brazilian Federal Inspection Service and located in the state of Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil.

At each slaughterhouse, samples were taken from five carcasses per week for six consecutive weeks, as established by the EU for microbiological testing on carcasses (Commission Regulation-EC 2007) and stipulated in Circular 463 of the Brazilian International Trade Control Division, Department of Animal Product Inspection, which establishes control programs for slaughterhouses that export to the United States and EU member states (Brasil 2004).

Samples were taken from each animal using a non-destructive method at three different points of the slaughter line: after skinning, after washing and after cooling. Dehydrated, sterilized sponges (Speci-Sponge - Nasco, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) measuring 11.5x23.0cm and individually packed in sterile plastic bags (Whirl Pak, Nasco, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) were used for the collection of the samples. The sponges were hydrated with 10mL of 1% buffered peptone water (1% BPW) (HiMedia Laboratories, Mumbai, India) and rubbed onto the chest (100 cm²), flank (100 cm²) and rump close the occlusion of the rectum (200 cm²) using a sterile stainless steel mold measuring 10 x 10 cm (total sampled surface: 400 cm²).

The same carcass was tracked through each of the three stations at which samples were collected. The sponges were transferred to the plastic bags (Nasco, Fort Atkinson, Wisconsin, USA) and transported to the laboratory under refrigeration.

Strains from the microorganism reference collection of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (FIOCRÚZ-INCQS), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, were used as negative and positive controls for all techniques: Escherichia coli INCQS 00033 (ATCC 25922), Citrobacter freundii INCQS 00576 (ATCC 43864), Salmonella enterica subsp. enterica serovar Enteritidis INCQS 00258 (ATCC 13076) and S. enterica subsp. enterica serovar Typhimurium INCQS 00150 (ATCC1 4028).

Bacterial isolation. A total of 200mL of 1% BPW were added to each plastic bag containing the sponges. The mixture was homogenized in a stomacher (Lab-blender 400BA 6021, Seward Laboratory, London, England) for 60 sec and placed in Erlenmeyer flasks, which were incubated at 37±1°C for 18±2h. Detection of Salmonella spp. was performed according to the method recommended by the International Standardization Organization (ISO 2002), with modifications. After enrichment (with BPW), selection (with Muller-Kauffmann Tetrathionate-Novobiocin Broth and Rappaport-Vassiliadis Soya Peptone Broth) and differentiation (with Xylose-Lysine-Deoxycholate and Salmonella-Shigella agar) steps, suspected colonies isolated from standard cultivation media were subjected to further biochemical tests, which included indole,
urea, motility, lysine decarboxylation, H₂S production, methyl red, Voges-Proskauer, carbohydrate fermentation (Triple Sugar Iron), citrate and β-galactosidase.

**DNA extraction.** Prior to DNA extraction, the isolates were incubated in nutrient agar. The extraction of bacterial DNA for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) analyses was performed using the DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit (QIAGEN, Valencia/CA, USA), following the manufacturer’s instructions. The isolates of the following samples were used for DNA extraction:

- Samples biochemically compatible with *Salmonella* spp.
- Samples with inconclusive biochemical identification for *Salmonella* spp. (doubtful results for β-galactosidase and citrate)
- A random sample (n=85) selected from a total of 259 samples biochemically incompatible with *Salmonella* spp.

**End-point PCR.** End-point PCR for the confirmation of *Salmonella* spp. was performed based on the method described by Myint, et al. (2006) using *invA* primers (Skyberg et al. 2006) targeting *invA* gene. Amplions were separated by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward/CA, USA). The image was then recorded with the L-Pix Image EX photo documenter (Locus Biotechnology, Locus Brazil, Cotia/SP, Brazil).

**Real-time PCR.** For RT-PCR, primers and DNA probes for the TaqMan MGB system were designed with the Primer Express program (Applied Biosystems, Foster City/CA, USA) targeting *invA* gene. Amplicons were separated by 1.5% agarose gel electrophoresis in TAE buffer with GelRed (Biotium, Hayward/CA, USA) overnight (12-16h) at 37°C, essentially as described in the “One-Day (24-28h) Standardized Laboratory Protocol for Molecular Subtyping of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7, non-typhoidal *Salmonella* serotypes, and *Shigella* sonnei” by Myint, et al. (2006) using pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE). The bacterial isolates identified by biochemical methods, PCR and qPCR were sent to the National Reference Laboratory for Cholera and Bacterial Enteric-Infections of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil, for serotyping by slide agglutination using the Kauffmann-White scheme with O and H antisera.

**Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis.** The *Salmonella* spp. isolates identified by biochemical methods, PCR and qPCR were sent to the National Reference Laboratory for Cholera and Bacterial Enteric-Infections of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil, for serotyping by slide agglutination using the Kauffmann-White scheme with O and H antisera.

**Serotyping.** The *Salmonella* spp. isolates identified by biochemical methods, PCR and qPCR were sent to the National Reference Laboratory for Cholera and Bacterial Enteric-Infections of the Oswaldo Cruz Institute (Fiocruz), Rio de Janeiro/RJ, Brazil, for serotyping by slide agglutination using the Kauffmann-White scheme with O and H antisera.

A random sample (n=85) selected from a total of 259 samples biochemically incompatible with *Salmonella* spp.

**Antimicrobial susceptibility profile.** The antimicrobial susceptibility of the *Salmonella* spp. strain isolates was determined using the disk diffusion method recommended by the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI 2011), employing the following antibiotics: ampicillin, cefotaxime, ciprofloxacin, chloramphenicol, gentamicin and tetracycline.

**Statistical analyses.** The rates of positive samples in each evaluation period (after skinning, after washing and after cooling) were compared using the OpenBi software program (Dean et al. 2013). Fisher’s exact test with the mid-p method for matched pairs was used to determine associations between each two evaluation periods and the presence/absence of *Salmonella*.

**RESULTS**

Among the 90 samples from 30 carcasses at Slaughterhouse I, seven (7.7%) isolates from six carcasses were biochemically compatible with *Salmonella* spp.; three carcasses were positive after cooling, two were positive after skinning and after washing and one carcass had two positive samples (after skinning and after cooling). All carcasses analyzed at Slaughterhouses II and III were negative for *Salmonella* spp. (Table 2).

Fisher’s exact test with the mid-p method for matched pairs revealed no statistically significant associations (p>0.05) between each two evaluation periods and the presence/absence of *Salmonella* spp. However, a tendency toward an increase in differences regarding positivity was found in the comparisons after skinning/after washing, after skinning/after cooling and after washing/after cooling, as demonstrated by the decrease in p-values (Table 3).

Among 270 samples collected from the three slaughterhouses, seven isolates biochemically compatible with *Salmonella*, four inconclusive isolates and 85 isolates biochemically incompatible with *Salmonella* were tested using end-point PCR and qPCR. Four samples with conclusive biochemical profiles and 85 samples biochemically incompatible for *Salmonella* spp. were all negative in the end-point PCR and qPCR. The seven isolates biochemically compatible with *Salmonella* spp. were also confirmed by conventional PCR and pPCR and exhibited one profile after serotyping. Table 4 displays the positive carcasses, points of contamination and serotype of *Salmonella* spp.

These strains of *Salmonella* spp. were also analyzed by PFGE and exhibited 100% similarity (Fig. 1).

Regarding the sensitivity profile to antimicrobials, the strains of *Salmonella* spp. isolated from cattle carcasses demonstrated 100% sensitivity to gentamicin, ampicillin,

---

**Table 1. Primers and DNA probes for TaqMan MGB system targeting invA**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward</th>
<th>Reverse</th>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Expected amplicon size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>invA</td>
<td>CGG AGC AGG CGC TCA GT</td>
<td>GTA CAT GTG CTA GCA TAT GGC</td>
<td>NED-TGA GGA AAA AGA AGG GTC</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

In the present study, seven isolates of *Salmonella* spp. were recovered from beef meat. Two isolates (2.2%) were found after skinning, which is a critical point of the slaughtering process due to the possibility of contamination of the substrate surface by microorganisms present on the skin, hair and hooves of the animal (Lambert et al. 1991, Penney et al. 2007). After washing, only one carcass (1.1%) exhibited *Salmonella* spp. Indeed, the purpose of this step is to reduce the microbial load in slaughterhouses (Dickson 1988). According to Brazilian legislation, maintenance of a minimum of 0.2mg/L and a maximum of 2mg/L of free residual chlorine in water is mandatory (Brasil 2011). Surprisingly, however, four isolates (4.4%) were found after cooling, which may have been due to contamination during the movement of the carcasses into the cooling chamber. Low temperatures reduce the number of microorganisms, but do not cause complete obliteration (Michener & Elliott 1964). This contamination may have occurred indirectly through the instruments used for slaughtering, workers' clothes (Prasai et al. 1995, Rahkio & Korkeala 1996, Pordesimo et al. 2002, Podpečan et al. 2007) and the hands of meat handlers (Bell 1997, Gill & McGinnis 2003). The floor can also be an important source of contamination through the transfer of organic matter to the shoes of workers or the spreading of microorganisms by cleaning with water under high pressure (Barros et al. 2007).

Positive carcasses for *Salmonella* after cooling pose a direct risk to consumers, since the meat is considered ready to be marketed after this process. It is well established that a high percentage of foodborne illnesses are caused by the failure of consumers to prepare food in a hygienic manner. Indeed, a common practice in households is to use the same kitchen equipment for both raw meat and fresh raw salads and fruits. Such a practice may lead to the cross-contamination of pathogenic microorganisms from raw meat to fruits and vegetables, which are mainly consumed without further processing. More seriously, washing or disinfecting kitchen equipment may not be sufficient to avoid the cross-contamination.
of *S. enterica* Serovar Typhimurium to ready-to-eat foods (Gkana et al. 2016).

Among the seven strains serotyped in the present study, all were Typhimurium and exhibited a single pattern in PFGE. This result demonstrates a clonal relationship among these strains, indicating the presence of the same serovar; Typhimurium, probably from the same clone, and suggesting local contamination restricted to the slaughter room or a handler.

In the present investigation, some strains exhibited an inconclusive biochemical pattern for *Salmonella* spp. after culturing, pre-enrichment, selective enrichment differential plating and biochemical methods and were confirmed negative for *Salmonella* by the molecular tests. Biochemical tests identify *Salmonella* by the phenotypic profile, which, however, can exhibit variability due to the effect of environmental factors on gene expression and may lead to false-negative reactions or misinterpretations (Farber et al. 2001, Malorny et al. 2003, Settanni & Corsetti 2007).

Due to the short life of meat products, faster diagnostic methods are needed. There is considerable discussion about the applicability of PCR for the direct detection of *Salmonella* in meat products or carcasses, since the technique detects all viable, injured and dead bacteria (Malorny et al. 2003, Ibrahim et al. 2014) and the results may therefore not be valid, as injured or dead bacteria would not cause infection in the host. Thus, complementary diagnostic methods are often required, considering the possibility of false negatives due to inhibitory substances as well as false positives due to the detection of injured or dead bacteria (Wilson 1997). However, PCR from the isolates of *Salmonella* minimizes this error and increases the sensitivity of the technique, with the association of two or more enrichment broths with plating media resulting in a greater number of isolates of *Salmonella* (Busse 1995, Rall et al. 2005). Furthermore, PCR with isolates on selective plating saves two days in comparison to the traditional culture method as well as minimizes the labor expended and the possible misinterpretation of biochemical tests.

The increase in multi-antimicrobial-resistant *Salmonella* strains isolated from humans has been associated with the widespread use of antimicrobial agents in food animal production (Brown et al. 2017). The spread of antimicrobial resistance through the food chain is regarded as a major public health issue (Raufu et al. 2014). Thus, the analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility is crucial in the clinical and epidemiological context (Silley 2012). The antimicrobial sensitivity profile allows tracing the spread of multidrug-resistant strains (Olsen et al. 1993, Oueslati et al. 2016). In the case of *Salmonella*, Hur et al. (2012) reported an increase in Typhimurium and Newport multidrug-resistant serotypes. The multidrug-resistant *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium definitive phage type harbors a chromosomally encoded genomic island (*Salmonella* Genomic Island 1), which is typically responsible for resistance to ampicillin, chloramphenicol, streptomycin, sulfonamide and tetracycline (Hur et al. 2012) and has been isolated from human and pork samples, suggesting the transfer of resistance to humans via meat consumption (Van Boeckel et al. 2012). The strains of *S. enterica* serovar Typhimurium isolated in the present study demonstrated 100% susceptibility to ampicillin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline, suggesting that these isolates do not harbor the penta-resistant genomic island.

The prevalence of *Salmonella* spp. in beef is quite variable and depends on many factors, such as weather conditions, type of management, slaughter conditions and the storage and transportation of carcasses. Cross-contamination and incorrect handling practices are often associated with the contamination of beef, making it particularly difficult to identify the primary source of contamination (Perez-Rodriguez et al. 2010).

### CONCLUSIONS

*Salmonella* spp. was found in beef meat at only one of the slaughterhouses investigated and the frequency was low.

The occurrence of bovine carcasses contaminated with *Salmonella* spp. after cooling imposes a direct risk to consumers, since the meat is considered ready to be marketed after this process.
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