Evaluation of tear production in juvenile opossum using three different methods
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The establishment of parameters for tear production in different species is important for better understanding eye’s health and is one of the components of the ophthalmic semiotic technique. Particularities derived from the anatomophysiology of non-domestic species induce the search for more reliable methodologies. The aim was to evaluate and compare tear production of white-eared opossum (Didelphis albiventris) and Brazilian common opossum (Didelphis aurita) by three different methods. Fifteen individuals of each species, juveniles, healthy, of both sexes, with 60 to 90 days of life, were physically restrained. Phenol red thread test (PRTT), endodontic absorbent paper point tear test (EAPPTT) and modified -Schirmer tear test (mSTT) were performed. PRTT was the most difficult to perform because of the wire malleability, while EAPPTT was more feasible for both species. The median ± semi-quartile range for PRTT were 19.79±2.61mm/15” and 5.22±2.92mm/15”, for EAPPTT were 16.25±1.82mm/min and 10.93±3.04mm/min, and for STTm were 0±1.63mm/min and 0±1.63mm/min for white-eared opossum and Brazilian common opossum respectively. There was no difference between the right and left eye neither sex. A significant difference was obtained for the same test to different species. No significant correlation was found between the tests for both species. The description of tear production parameters for juvenile white-eared opossum and Brazilian common opossum may be used as a tool, which will allow the early diagnosis of ocular diseases.
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INTRODUCTION

The opossums, genus *Didelphis*, are marsupials with oval ears and prehensile tail, widely distributed in South America, which have nocturnal, omnivorous and opportunistic habits, and record of occurrence in a peri-urban environment (Cáceres & Monteiro-Filho 1998, Cáceres 2000, Astua de Moraes et al. 2015, Costa et al. 2015).

These animals were described as an experimental model for eye studies due to their immature condition at birth (Mcmenamin & Krause 1993) and it often is referred to rehabilitation centers due to the death of the progenitor (Cáceres 2000). Ulcerative lesions and bacterial conjunctivitis are common in opossum; however, there are few descriptions on ophthalmic parameters of marsupials (Mcmenamin & Krause 1993, Cáceres 2000).

Quantitative measurement of tear film is one of the stages of the ophthalmic semi-technique, and the composition and adequate volume of tears are responsible for the ocular surface homeostasis (Ghaffari et al. 2012, Trbolova & Ghaffari 2012). Schirmer tear test (STT) is considered the gold standard for the quantitative evaluation of this fluid, with reports for humans, domestic and wild animals (Beech et al. 2003, Trost et al. 2007, Ghaffari et al. 2012, Trbolova & Ghaffari 2012). However, modifications were suggested to species of marsupials (Mcmenamin & Krause 1993, Cáceres 2000).

As alternative to animals with small eyes or decreased basal and reflex tear production, the phenol red tear test (PRTT) was used (Araujo et al. 2017). It is a cotton thread impregnated with phenol red dye, sensitized in contact with the normal pH of the skin. Its use was described in birds, which had small eyelid and required rapid physical restraint (Hida et al. 2005, Holt et al. 2006, Trost et al. 2007, Blackwood et al. 2010, Lange et al. 2012). The endodontic absorbent paper point tear test (EAPPTT) was used in wild species, among them mammals, reptiles and birds (Lima et al. 2010, Lange et al. 2012, 2014, Huaringa et al. 2015, Oriá et al. 2015b, 2015c, Rajaei et al. 2015, 2016a, 2016b, Monção-Silva et al. 2016a, 2016b, Araujo et al. 2017). This test is composed of a strip of absorbent material, with a small width, and the reading is performed by measuring the moist portion after 60 seconds (Lange et al. 2012).

The objective of this study was to evaluate and compare tear production in two species of juvenile opossums (*D. albiventris* e *D. aurita*) using PRTT, EAPPTT and mSTT.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The study was approved by the Biodiversity Authorization and Information System (27489), the Ethics and Animal Welfare Committee of the Federal University of Bahia (73/2016) and conducted according to the precepts described by the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmology (ARVO).

Fifteen healthy juveniles white-eared opossums (7 males, 8 females) and fifteen Brazilian common opossums (8 males, 7 females), aged 60-90 days, from the Wild Animals Triage Center and the Getulio Vargas Zoobotanical Park (Salvador, Bahia, Brazil) were used in this research. Age was estimated based on phenotypic characteristics (D’Andrea et al. 1994, Shaw & Renfree 2006). These animals were rescued after being found in peri-urban regions near the dead progenitor or in conditions requiring health care. Before this study, the animals were submitted to physical examination and palpebral reflexes, pupillary light reflexes, and menace responses of both eyes, by the local veterinary staff to exclude individuals with indications of systemic changes or gross abnormalities in the eye or periocular region. After collection, the selected animals were submitted to the fluorescein test (Ophthalmos®, São Paulo, Brazil) to exclude specimens with corneal lesions. The data were collected with opossum physically restrained, and tests were performed with a 48-hour interval between 09:00 and 10:00 am. The ambient humidity and temperature were 60 to 63% and 28 to 29°C, respectively.

The phenol red tear test (Zone-Quick®, Oasis Medical, California, USA) was performed by inserting the cotton thread into the lower conjunctival sac of both eyes and maintained for 15 seconds (Fig.1A). Immediately after the removal, the reading was performed using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo®, São Paulo, Brazil).

**Fig.1.** Quantitative measurement of tear production of *Didelphis aurita*. (A) Phenol red tear test, (B) endodontic absorbent paper point tear test, (C) modified Schirmer tear test.
Table 1. Evaluation of tear production in juvenile white-eared opossum (Didelphis albiventris) and juvenile brazilian common opossum (Didelphis aurita) by three different methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specie</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Median (±S-IQR)</th>
<th>CI</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>White-eared opossum</td>
<td>PRTT</td>
<td>19.79 (±2.61)²</td>
<td>18.53 - 22.16</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAPPTT</td>
<td>16.25 (±1.82)³</td>
<td>14.96 - 17.57</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mSTT</td>
<td>0.00 (±1.63)³</td>
<td>0.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazilian common opossum</td>
<td>PRTT</td>
<td>5.22 (±2.92)²</td>
<td>3.91 - 8.06</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EAPPTT</td>
<td>10.93 (±3.04)²</td>
<td>7.95 - 11.87</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mSTT</td>
<td>0.00 (±1.63)³</td>
<td>0.00 - 3.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

S-IQR = Semi-interquartil range, CI = confidence interval, PRTT = phenol red tear test, EAPPTT = endodontic absorbent paper point tear test, mSTT = modified Schirmer tear test; a,b,c,A,B Difference between uppercase and lowercase letters show statistical difference between the same test for the species studied (P<0.05); P value for the distribution of values for a given test in the same species.

The endodontic absorbent paper point tear test (Roeko color®; Color size 30, Langenau, Germany) was inserted into the lower conjunctival sac and maintained for 60 seconds (Fig.1B). Immediately after the removal, the reading was made using a digital caliper (Mitutoyo, São Paulo, Brazil).

The modified Schirmer tear test (Ophthalmos®, São Paulo, Brazil) was performed with the strip cut in half using a No. 15 scalpel blade, to reduce its width from 5.0mm to 2.5mm (Conceição et al. 2011). The modified strip was inserted into the lower conjunctival sac and maintained for 60 seconds. After this period, the strip was removed and read immediately (Fig.1C).

Statistical analysis was performed with the IBM® SPSS® software, version 20.0, for the Windows® operating system (IBM Corporation, Somers, New York, USA). Quantitative data were assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. The Mann-Whitney and Wilcoxon tests were used for comparison between the PRTT, EAPPTT and mSTT, sex, and right and left eye. Spearman correlation was used to verify association between the variables. The level of significance was 5%.

RESULTS

Difficulties were found to execute the tests due to the size and proximity of the vibrissae to the eye: when the strips leaned against the vibrissae, the animals reacted with palpebral incursion, which removed the test from the conjunctival sac. When compared, the execution of PRTT, despite having a shorter execution time, proved to be more difficult due to the excessive malleability of the wire. EAPPTT was easier to manipulate due to its relative stiffness, which made it easier to access the lower conjunctival sac. mSTT was considered intermediate compared to previous tests related to its use. Data for STT, PRTT and EAPPTT of both species, were not normally distributed by Shapiro-Wilk test (P>0.034). No significant differences were found in the comparison between the right and left eye and between sex (P>0.05). The medians of three evaluation methods are shown in Table 1. According to Mann-Whitney, there was a statistical difference when compared the same test between two species (P<0.05), except for mSTT. No significant correlations were found between tests for both species (P>0.05).

DISCUSSION

Reports of tear production evaluation in opossum species were not found until now by the authors, although diseases in these animal’s eyes were reported (Cáceres 2000). Once the establishment of tear production parameter is relevant for an adequate ophthalmic semiotechnique, such as the detection of differences between healthy and diseased eyes, this study presents 3 different methods, which provides information on values and adequacy of the methodology for the species, mainly in case of animals with a small eyelid cleft.

The choice of the most suitable test for a given species should be guided by the possibility of acquiring the results associated with minimum stress (Montiani-Ferreira et al. 2006). To obtain data on tear production, caution should be taken in the manipulation prior to the tests, since contact with the vibrissae during manipulation induces the flashing protective reflex. As reported in horses (Hendrix 2005, Grant et al. 2013), this can cause changes in the dynamics of the tear in the conjunctival sac (Tsubota & Nakamori 1995).

PRTT-related performance difficulties were not reported in equines and cats, although these animals have abundance in vibrissae. Possibly, due to the size of the eyelid cleft (Sindak et al. 2010, Oriá et al. 2015a). When comparing different methods of tear production evaluation in an experimental model, EAPPTT is reported as a method that causes less discomfort to the ocular surface (Lima et al. 2010). In the present study, no differences were observed in the behavior of the animals in relation to the tests. However, features regarding execution have been reported, and it was pointed out that the use of EAPPTT allowed less contact with the vibrissae. For cats, Schirmer tear test caused greater discomfort (Oriá et al. 2015a), when compared the three tests. For opossums, mSTT has been considered easier than PRTT for being more rigid strip, but even the modified method was wider than the EAPPTT, causing more difficulties for execution.

There have already been reported different methods of tear production quantification, in rabbits (Lima et al. 2015), parrots (Monção-Silva et al. 2016a), macaws (Monção-Silva et al. 2016b) and green-iguanas (Araújo et al. 2017). In addition, the present study reports the use of different methods of measuring tear production in animals with small palpebral fissure length, such as marmoset and tortoise (Lange et al. 2012, Oriá et al. 2015b). Modifications on Schirmer tear test were suggested for neonatal dogs due to palpebral cleft size (Silva et al. 2013). However, studies of tear production in marsupials are scarce, with reports for koalas and kangaroos, using Schirmer tear test (Herrington et al. 2000, Takle et al. 2010, Grundon et al. 2011).
Similar results obtained for PRTT in white-eared opossums were described for swine, 16±4.7 mm/15s (Trostd et al. 2007); owls (Megascops asio), 15±4.3 mm/15s (Harris et al. 2008); bats of the genus Pteropus, 20.23±1.28 mm/15s (Blackwood et al. 2010); chinchillas (Chinchilla lanigera), 14.6±3.5 mm/15s (Lima et al. 2010); marmoset (Callithrix penicillulata), 13.27±5.4 (Lange et al. 2012); and parrots (Amazona amazonica), 21.9±2.3 mm/15s (Monção-Silva et al. 2016a).

Despite the phylogenetic proximity, the Brazilian common opossum presented reduced values in comparison to the white-eared opossum. A value close to that obtained in this species was also observed in Syrian hamster (Mesocricetus auratus), 5.57±1.51 mm/15” (Rajaei et al. 2016a).

Prior study with EAPPTT for marmosets obtained values of 9.32±3.09 mm/min, similar to values for Brazilian common opossum (Lange et al. 2012). Assessments in animals with small eyelid cleft, as saffron finch (Sicalis flaveola) obtained 5.10±0.26 mm/min; chestnut-bellied seed-finch (Sporophila angolensis), 4.11±0.34 mm/min; mouse (Rattus norvegicus), 6.18±2.06 mm/min; mice (Mus musculus), 4.39±1.45 mm/min (Lange et al. 2014), demonstrated values different to this study. White-eared opossum were obtained to parrots (Amazona amazonica), 14.9±1.65 mm/min (Monção-Silva et al. 2016a); New Zealand rabbits, 13.8±1.5 mm/min (Lima et al. 2015); english angora, 18.8±2.1 mm/min; and dutch rabbits, 16.9±1.7 mm/min (Rajaei et al. 2016b).

Results of mSTT were similar to those obtained in marmosets (Lange et al. 2012), red-eared tortoise (Somma et al. 2015) and prairie dog (Meekins et al. 2015), ranging from 0 to 7 mm, 0 to 5 mm, and 0 to 4 mm respectively. Null or negative value in this type of quantitative test may suggest non-validation for these species, which highlights the need for adaptations of the methodology. Studies on marsupials with the unmodified Schirmer tear test, such as koalas (Phascolarctus cinereus), found values of 10.3±3.6 mm/min and in red kangaroo (Macropus rufus), which is higher than those found in this study (Herring et al. 2000, Takle et al. 2010, Grundon et al. 2011).

Prior study with EAPPTT for marmosets obtained values of 14.6±3.5 mm/15s (Lima et al. 2010); marmoset (Callithrix penicillulata), 13.27±5.4 (Lange et al. 2012); and parrots (Amazona amazonica), 21.9±2.3 mm/15s (Monção-Silva et al. 2016a).

The three tests show feasible options for the evaluation of tear production in white-eared opossum and Brazilian common opossum, and the implementation of EAPPTT was less difficult due to the stiffness of the strip that allowed less contact with the vibrisae. White-eared opossums have greater tear production than Brazilian common opossum for the evaluated tests, except for the modified Schirmer tear test.

CONCLUSION

The determination of these parameters for different tests of the tear film on white-eared opossum (Didelphis albiventris) and juvenile Brazilian common opossum (Didelphis aurita) represent important aid in the diagnosis of ocular diseases.

REFERENCES


Acknowledgements.- The authors acknowledge Alberto Vinicius de Oliveira Dantas, Victor Pereira Curvelo and Ana Celly Lima Pinho from the Getúlio Vargas Zoobotanic Park and Fernanda de Azevedo Libório and Josiano Cordeiro Torezan from Triage Center for Wild Animals.

Conflict of interest statement.- The authors have no competing interests.

CONCLUSION

The determination of these parameters for different tests of the tear film on white-eared opossum (Didelphis albiventris) and juvenile Brazilian common opossum (Didelphis aurita) represent important aid in the diagnosis of ocular diseases.
Evaluation of tear production in juvenile opossum using three different methods


