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Bacteria of the genus Brachyspira can cause enteric diseases in poultry causing a decrease in productivity. The occurrence of this disease in chickens has already been verified in countries such as Australia, Italy, and the United States, but in Brazil, until now, epidemiological studies about Brachyspira sp. frequency were only carried out on pig farms. The objective of this study was to evaluate the presence of bacteria of the genus Brachyspira sp. through isolation and confirmation of the species Brachyspira pilosicoli, Brachyspira hyodysenteriae and Brachyspira intermedia using the qPCR technique. Samples from 110 hens aged from 35 to 82 weeks were collected, 40 were from commercial egg farms and 70 were from laying hens matrices. For the first evaluation, bacterial isolation was performed from the feces. Positive samples were submitted to qPCR to identify the three species proposed. Cecum fragments of the birds were collected and fixed in formaldehyde for histological evaluation and counting of goblet cells. Of the 110 samples, 48 characteristic isolates of Brachyspira (43.6%) were obtained and of these in qPCR 13 identified as B. hyodysenteriae (11.8%) and 5 all from the same farm as Brachyspira intermedia (4.5%), 2 samples were positive for both agents (1.8%) and 28 were not characterized by qPCR (25.5%). None histopathological lesions were observed in the chicken cecum and no significant statistical difference was noticed in the count of goblet cells of the positive hens. It can be evidenced by the occurrence of Brachyspira sp. in laying farms and hens in Brazil, with special relevance to Brachyspira intermedia that can be potentially pathogenic for these animals.
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was 72.4% in laying granges and 31% of these samples were pathogenic (Bano et al. 2008). In Australia the prevalence found was 42% in broilers and 68% in laying hens (Stephens & Hampson 2002); and recently in Argentina, 44% positivity was found in the evaluated laying hens (Illanes et al. 2016).

Due to the lack of data on the occurrence of AIS in Brazil, this study evaluated for the first time the occurrence of *Brachyspira* sp. in commercial birds and broiler breeders in western Paraná State, through selective anaerobic culture, and isolates were identified using the qPCR technique for *B. pilosicoli*, *B. intermedia* and *B. hyodysenteriae* species.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Samples.** This study was approved by the Animal Use Ethics Committee of the Palotina Sector of the “Universidade Federal do Paraná” (UFPR) under the protocol CEUA-Palotina 06/2017. One hundred and ten samples were collected, all in farms located in western Paraná. Forty samples of hens kept in cage were collected from two commercial poultry farms located in the district of Céu Azul, of the Californian style. One of the properties had four floors of cages and the birds were 82 weeks old, the other farm had no fences, only one floor of cages and birds were aged 35 and 40 weeks. This last property had also a pig grange. The other 70 samples were from broiler breeders coming from two breeders that had a rigorous biosafety scheme with separate nuclei and climate-controlled houses with a density of seven birds per m². Of these, 20 samples were from the Assis Chateaubriand city breeder, divided between two nuclei aged 47 and 59 weeks. The remaining 50 samples were from a Palotina city breeder, divided into five nuclei aged 46, 60, 65 and 67 weeks; one of the nuclei aged 60 weeks had a history of diarrhea at week 46. The birds were randomly selected throughout the house and then necropsied. Cecum segments were collected and immediately packed in an ice-cold isotherm box and sent to the laboratory for bacterial isolation. Cecum fragments were collected and fixed in 10% buffered formalin and further processed by the routine paraffin embedding technique recommended by Telosa et al. (2003) for periodic acid-Schiff (PAS) and hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining.

**Bacterial isolation.** The protocol for bacterial isolation followed the recommendations by Neves (2012). Feces samples were seeded by plating with *Brachyspira* sp. (anaerobiosis agar (Neogen Co, MI, USA), 5% sheep blood, 6.25mg/μl rifampicin (Sigma-Aldrich Co, MO, USA), 800mg/μl of spectinomycin (Sigma-Aldrich Co, MO, USA), 25mg/μl of vancomycin (Sigma-Aldrich Co, MO, USA), 25mg/μl of colistin (Sigma-Aldrich Co, MO, USA). They were incubated in anaerobiosis jar with an anaerobic atmosphere generated with anaerobiosis media (Anaerobac®, Provac of Brazil, São Paulo, Brazil) at 42°C for three days or until evidence of hemolysis. The anaerobic environment was confirmed by an anaerobiosis indicator strip (Oxoid Anaerobic Indicator®, Thermo Fisher, MA, USA). Growth was considered positive when areas of strong and weak plaque hemolysis were evidenced; it was sometimes accompanied by white millimeter colonies, suggesting *Brachyspira* sp. These areas and/or colonies were carefully picked using a calibrated loop on anaerobic isolation agar plates (Neogen Co, MI, USA) containing 5% sheep blood and incubated anaerobically for three days at 37°C. W. After obtaining *Brachyspira* sp. these were collected with a calibrated loop and resuspended in 1.5mL of fetal bovine serum. This suspension was frozen at -20°C until qPCR was performed.

**qPCR (real time polymerase chain reaction).** DNA was extracted from all samples that showed growth for *Brachyspira* sp.
One hundred and ten samples were analyzed, 40 from commercial layers and 70 from matrices. Forty-eight samples were positive for *Brachyspira* sp. in isolation, being positive 47.5% of the commercial laying hens and 41% for the matrices, totaling 43.6% of the samples. This result was very close to that found by Illanes et al. (2016) in Argentina in laying hens; however, lower than the 68% found in Australia by Stephens & Hampson (2002) or the 72.4% in Italy by Bano et al. (2008).

Between the two pathogenic species that were identified by the qPCR technique, no sample was characterized as *Brachyspira pilosicoli*, a species of special importance for being zoonotic and already detected in birds in Argentina (Illanes et al. 2016). The birds analyzed were not on the farm that had no barriers to the entry of wild and domestic animals, which had no positive laying hen samples and in 35% of the hens. In the study by Medhanie et al. (2013), who assessed risk factors for colonization of *Brachyspira* sp. in hens, the presence of birds of different ages in the same farm indicates a higher probability of finding positive birds for *Brachyspira* sp., which could be observed in this farm.

Twelve-eight samples (58.4%) positive in isolation were not characterized among the three species surveyed; a possible cause is due to the large number of apathogenic *Brachyspira* species already identified in the bird intestine, including *B. innocens*, *B. murochii* and *B. pulli* (Ferberwee et al. 2008). Among the pathogenic species described in birds, *B. avinipulli* is reported in low field frequency, with few isolations and outbreaks reported in the literature (Phillips et al. 2005, Ferberwee et al. 2008), not being the target of this work.

In this study, as found by Phillips et al. (2005) and Illanes et al. (2016), there were positive birds raised in the cage system. Since the transmission route of *Brachyspira* sp. is due to fecal-oral contact, in this type of system birds have less exposure to excreta, which could reduce contamination, but birds can also be exposed to feces through flies and rodents (Medhanie et al. 2013). Among the 48 samples submitted to qPCR, two (4.1%), both from a commercial laying farm, presented positive samples for both *B. hydysenteriae* and *B. intermedia*. On this property, the California-type aviary may be associated with the good sanitary control measures adopted in the farm, which prevented the agent from entering and spreading.

Colonization by *B. hydysenteriae* was found in 15.7% of the positive laying hen samples and in 35% of the hens. In hens, the natural colonization by this species had already been verified by Ferberwee et al. (2008). Although this species is not associated with the occurrence of AIS, intestinal infection by *B. hydysenteriae* in swine can cause severe high-impact mucus-hemorrhagic diarrhea called swine

### Table 1. Number of positive culture samples for *Brachyspira* sp. of poultry and commercial breeders and identification of *Brachyspira* hydysenteriae, *B. pilosicoli* and *B. intermedia* species by qPCR techniques

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Positive by isolation</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
<th>qPCR*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. hydysenteriae</em></td>
<td><em>B. pilosicoli</em></td>
<td><em>B. intermedia</em></td>
<td><em>B. intermedia</em></td>
<td><em>B. hydysenteriae</em></td>
<td>Not characterized by qPCR*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial layers</td>
<td>19/40 (47.5%)</td>
<td>3/19 (15.7%)</td>
<td>0/19 (0%)</td>
<td>5/19 (26.3%)</td>
<td>2/19 (10.5%)</td>
<td>9/19 (47.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matrix</td>
<td>29/70 (41%)</td>
<td>10/29 (35%)</td>
<td>0/29 (0%)</td>
<td>0/29 (0%)</td>
<td>19/29 (65%)</td>
<td>0/29 (0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial layers+Matrix</td>
<td>13/48 (27%)</td>
<td>0/48 (0%)</td>
<td>5/48 (10.5%)</td>
<td>2/48 (4.1%)</td>
<td>28/48 (58.4%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>48/110 (43.6%)</td>
<td>13/110 (11.8%)</td>
<td>0/110 (0%)</td>
<td>5/110 (4.5%)</td>
<td>2/110 (1.8%)</td>
<td>28/110 (25.5%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*qPCR* real time polymerase chain reaction.
dysestery (Boye et al., 1998), a disease already evident in the region of this study (Garcia 2015). Thus, the positivity of samples for \textit{B. hyodysenteriae}, 13\% of all birds analyzed, should serve as a warning due to the proximity of swine and poultry granges in regions where agroindustry is prevalent. As verified by Backhans et al. (2011), swine, poultry and rodents may carry the same \textit{Brachyspira} species and serve as a source of dissemination.

The hemolysis evidenced in the cultures was of strong hemolysis for the 15 samples identified in the qPCR as \textit{B. hyodysenteriae}, a striking feature of the species in the isolation (Mappley et al. 2014). Strong hemolysis was also observed in five samples identified as \textit{B. intermedia}, which are routinely classified as having poor hemolysis similar to that previously reported by McLaren et al. (1997) in commercial layers such as this study. Among the 28 samples not identified by qPCR, 22 presented poor hemolysis, but six were strongly hemolytic five from the same matrix nucleus and a commercial laying sample. Strong hemolysis, similar to the decay for \textit{B. hyodysenteriae}, has already been observed in two new species, \textit{B. suanatina} and \textit{B. hampsonii}, which have also been identified in swine and wild birds (Råsbäck et al. 2007, Chander et al. 2012).

No histological changes compatible with \textit{Brachyspira} sp. as verified by Stephens & Hampson (2002), who evaluated experimentally infected hens were verified. In this study, the lack of lesions was associated with the fact that the analysis was performed several weeks after inoculation and there was time for resolution of possible lesions. Even after recovery and reestablishment of lesions, birds can eliminate \textit{Brachyspira} for long periods; \textit{B. intermedia}, a pathogenic species isolated in the present study, was already detected in hens' excreta nine months after the challenge (Dwars et al. 1990). In the study by Feberwee et al. (2008), evaluating naturally infected animals, found mild inflammatory alterations, but the animals analyzed by them came from farms that already presented signs compatible with AIS. In addition, the evaluated animals had pre-existing enteritis by other agents, which may be a predisposing factor for the pathogenicity of \textit{Brachyspira} sp. These conditions were not evidenced in the birds of this work, which may have motivated the lack of histological lesions.

The increase in the number of goblet cells is a change commonly observed in animals infected with \textit{Brachyspira} sp. (Jensen et al. 1996, Shivaprasad & Duhamel 2005, Feberwee et al. 2008), but this change was not observed. Isolation negative birds were compared with three groups: isolation positive birds, positive isolated with species \textit{Brachyspira} and \textit{B. intermedia} qPCR, in all comparisons goblet cell numbers showed no statistically significant changes. This fact can be explained by the lack of histological lesion in the intestine.

**CONCLUSION**

Bacteria of the genus \textit{Brachyspira} are present in broiler farms and laying hens in Western Paraná, Brazil. Pathogenic strains for poultry such as \textit{B. intermedia} and swine as \textit{B. hyodysenteriae} can be isolated from healthy poultry excreta, serving as a source of contamination and dissemination of these agents.
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