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INTRODUCTION

Mercury is an extremely toxic pollutant that is currently emitted mainly by low level industrial sources. It is distributed globally through the atmosphere, from where it precipitates on the surface of the Earth, reaches the aquatic organisms, accumulates in fish and finally affects the health of human populations. Mercury is the most toxic of all elements and, so far, it has shown no beneficial biological function.

Mercury contamination from gold mining was considered to be one of the worst environmental problems affecting the Amazon ecosystem. Nevertheless, in recent years, there have been numerous indications that, in the Negro River Basin (Amazon), naturally occurring mercury leaching from soil is the major pathway to mercury enrichment in the region. Extensive weathering and leaching cycles during a major part of the year due to flooding lead to the decrease of mercury ions in soils, constantly replaced by atmospheric deposition, and in an increase of the mercury concentration in Negro River waters. Deforestation and agricultural practices increase leaching and erosion of soils. The acceleration of these processes, combined with the natural release of mercury into aquatic ecosystems, are important factors leading to high mercury content in predatory fish in this area.

Given the high levels of mercury in the soils of the northern Amazon and the health risks associated with the element, an insight into the adsorption of mercury in this matrix is extremely important. Data on the sorption of inorganic Hg (II) in soils are available in the literature but few deal with Amazonian soils, which are very rich in organic matter. It is important to note that experimental work is usually done at very high mercury concentrations that may occur at contaminated sites, but are unlikely in natural environments.

The purpose of the present paper is to evaluate the effect of pH, ionic strength and dissolved organic matter on mercury (II) adsorption in two different Amazon top soils, in batch experiments using relatively low concentrations (µg L-1) of mercury solutions. The adsorption of mercury on soils cannot be explained only by equilibrium reactions as transport phenomena and chemical reactions are often experimentally inseparable. Kinetic studies were also performed to predict mercury transport and fate in soils and to support possible environmental management decisions.

EXPERIMENTAL PART

Materials and methods

Soils

Two different types of soils, sampled near Iara Lake (1º 20.010' S, 62º 03.809'W, humic gley soil), and Carvoeiro 2 (1º 23.615'S, 61º 58'W, hydromorphic alluvial), were collected from the so called “igapó”, or seasonally flooded forest, during the dry season, both in a black water river basin, with different organic matter contents. The two types of soils were collected from the A horizon (0-10 cm) and their principal characteristics are listed in Table 1. After air drying up to 15 days, soil samples were thoroughly mixed and passed through a 2 mm sieve before use.

Granulometric determination in soils was made by the pipette method, based on the Stockes Law, where small particles settle with constant speed in water or other fluids. Density was measured using the ring procedure, where non-deformed soil samples are withdrawn with a stainless steel ring of known volume and weighed upon received in the laboratory.
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Table 1. Negro river basin, soil characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Organic matter/%</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>Sand/%</th>
<th>Silt/%</th>
<th>Clay/%</th>
<th>Hg(II)/µg kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Density/g cm⁻³</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iara</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvoeiro 2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>17.8</td>
<td>40.2</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reagents

All chemicals used were of analytical-reagent grade. Milli-Q quality water (Millipore, USA) was used throughout. NaCl (Mallinkrodt) was dissolved in water to obtain a 1000 mg L⁻¹ stock solution. A 5% stock solution of Humic acid (HA Aldrich) was prepared by dissolving the HA in water. Mercury chloride (Merck) was used from a 1000 mg L⁻¹ stock solution. Nitric acid (HNO₃) solution (0.01 mol L⁻¹) was prepared by dilution of concentrated acid (Mallinkrodt) and NaOH (Merck) was dissolved in water to obtain a 0.01 mol L⁻¹ solution. The 10% (w/v) SnCl₂ (Nuclear) solution was made by dissolving the salt in 10% (v/v) HCl (Mallinkrodt) solution. Appropriate dilutions of stock solutions were made using Milli-Q water.

All the glassware used for dilution, storage and experiments was cleaned with Extran detergent, thoroughly rinsed with tap water, soaked overnight in a 20% HNO₃ solution and finally rinsed with Milli-Q water before use.

Experimental design

The influence of the pH, the NaCl and HA concentrations on mercury (II) adsorption in soils was investigated in batch experiments, where 30.0 ± 0.2 mg of soil were suspended in 25.0 mL of Hg (II) solutions. All experiments were carried out using Pyrex erlenmayers and performed in duplicate, whereas total mercury determination was made in triplicate (relative error < 1.0% for all experiments). The inter-action between Hg (II) species in the aqueous phase with binding sites at the soil surface as a function of pH can be explained by looking at both the aquatic chemistry of mercury species and the soil characteristics. In terms of the solid surface, the decrease of Hg (II) adsorption onto soil particles at pH 9 can be explained by two factors: a) a higher pH favours the leaching of organic matter from the solid phase thus resulting in a decrease of surface adsorption sites in the soil, and b) this organic matter, when present in the aqueous phase, can enhance the concentration of dissolved Hg (II) complexes, inhibiting adsorption. This fact was more evident for Iara soil (24.7% soil organic matter) than for Carvoeiro 2 soil (10.1%).

Kinetic studies were performed using different Hg (II) concentrations (24; 39; 83; 117 and 193 µg L⁻¹ for Iara soil and 8; 11; 26; 48; 97 and 109 µg L⁻¹ for Carvoeiro 2 soil) in soil suspensions for 90 min in a rotary shaker at 140 rpm and at room temperature. Preliminary experiments of adsorption kinetics indicated that a period of 90 min was sufficient to attain equilibrium for all of the Hg (II) solutions. At the end of the shaking period, samples were filtered using 0.45 µm acetate cellulose membrane (Micro Separations Inc., MSI) and after filtration the pH was fixed at 5.0 for all experiments. The initial and final total mercury concentrations were determined in the aqueous phase. All the obtained values of total Hg concentration in adsorption experiments were corrected from blanks performed under the same conditions but in the absence of adsorbent species when using either NaCl, NaOH, HCl or HA. The initial mercury concentration was determined just before starting the shaking step. Adsorption was calculated from the difference between the initial concentration of Hg in solution and the equilibrium concentration.

Instruments

Total mercury was determined after the oxidation step using a 0.02 mol L⁻¹ BrCl solution, where the excess of BrCl was eliminated by adding 30% (v/v) hydroxylamine chloride. The aqueous phase was analysed using Cold Vapour Atomic Absorption Spectrometry (CV AAS) (Buck Scientific 400-A) coupled to a Flow Injection Analysis System (FIA)². Though total mercury concentration was measured, previous experimental data (not presented here), showed that Hg (II) (or reactive mercury) concentration and total mercury concentration were identical. In this study, we will refer to Hg (II) in all experiments.

Dissolved organic matter (DOC) was determined using a Shimadzu, Model TOC-5000 total organic carbon analyser.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Influence of the pH

The amount of Hg (II) adsorbed (here expressed as Q, in µg Hg g⁻¹ soil) by the Iara and Carvoeiro 2 soil samples as a function of pH is shown in Figure 1. In the pH range 3.0-5.0, maximum adsorption occurred for both types of soils, yielding a ratio (R) which is the ratio between the amount of metal adsorbed by the soil and the amount of Hg initially added, of 95.9%, and 93.5% for Iara and Carvoeiro 2, respectively. At pH 9.0, the R value decreases to 37.2 and 46.2% for these two samples. The interaction between Hg (II) species in the aqueous phase with binding sites at the soil surface as a function of pH can be explained by looking at both the aquatic chemistry of mercury species and the soil characteristics. In terms of the solid surface, the decrease of Hg (II) adsorption onto soil particles at pH 9 can be explained by two factors: a) a higher pH favours the leaching of organic matter from the solid phase thus resulting in a decrease of surface adsorption sites in the soil, and b) this organic matter, when present in the aqueous phase, can enhance the concentration of dissolved Hg (II) complexes, inhibiting adsorption. This fact was more evident for Iara soil (24.7% soil organic matter) than for Carvoeiro 2 soil (10.1%). The results obtained are in agreement with the ones published by Yin et al.¹².

![Figure 1. Influence of the pH on the amount of Hg (II) adsorbed by (a) Iara soil; (b) Carvoeiro 2 soil](image-url)
It has been observed that Hg (II) species can be reduced in the presence of naturally occurring organic material\textsuperscript{13}. Rocha \textit{et al.}\textsuperscript{13} found that the Hg (II) reduction by Negro River soil humic substances required almost 40 h to be completed. Schnoor\textsuperscript{14} pointed out that Hg (II) reduction is usually slow and may be not taken into account.

Speciation models used to investigate the predominant mercury species in the aqueous phase show that at very low pH (pH < 3), the dominant inorganic species are Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and HgCl\textsuperscript{+}, with HgCl\textsubscript{2} being the minor one. As an example, when Hg (II) = 50 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1} and Cl\textsuperscript{-} = 0.1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1} at pH 2, Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and HgCl\textsuperscript{+} represent 65 and 30\% respectively of total mercury present in the aqueous phase (Figure 2). HgCl\textsubscript{2} is poorly adsorbed by inorganic species\textsuperscript{23}. At low pH, the soil surface has high positive net charge\textsuperscript{24} so adsorption is not favourable for Hg\textsuperscript{2+} and HgCl\textsuperscript{+} cationic species. The high adsorption at pH 3-5 is mainly due to the strong Lewis acidic nature of Hg (II) (pK\textsubscript{OH,1} = 3.87 and pK\textsubscript{OH,2} = 2.77). In this pH range, the concentration of the species Hg(OH)\textsubscript{2} increases and predominates over all other species, including HgOH\textsuperscript{+} and HgClOH. An increase in pH produces a decrease in the positive surface charge, and the soil surface becomes less repulsive to cationic species, thus increasing adsorption. At pH > 6, adsorption of the Hg (II) species decreases, as Hg(OH)\textsubscript{2}, is the predominant metal species (Figure 2). In conclusion, at high pH, the dissolution of soil organic matter and its complexation with Hg (II) in the bulk solution seems to be the principal factor causing the decrease of Hg (II) adsorption by soils\textsuperscript{12}.

At this point it is interesting to mention that the natural Hg content in these soils were 281 and 107 µg kg\textsuperscript{-1} for \textit{Iara} and \textit{Carvoeiro 2}, respectively, which can be considered as high, when compared to pristine soils collected elsewhere.

\textbf{Influence of dissolved organic matter}

Natural organic matter, such as humic and fulvic acids contain large numbers of functional groups such as carboxylic, phenolic, and alcoholic moieties that interact with soil surface groups and with ions in solution. The binding of mercury in humic-rich environments has already been the subject of many studies\textsuperscript{25}. Laboratory experiments performed with iron rich soils containing organic matter indicated that the presence of humic acids increased mercury adsorption\textsuperscript{12,25,26}. Recent investigations suggest that Hg (II) strong binding capability towards humic acid is most probably due to sulfhydryl and thiophenolic groups\textsuperscript{27}. Therefore, the presence of natural humic substances in soils reduces the availability (thus the toxicity) of mercury leached from soils to the aquatic systems. One should also take into account that both complexation and reduction of Hg (II) to volatile Hg\textsuperscript{0} by humic substances can occur simultaneously in tropical soils\textsuperscript{11}, as already discussed earlier.

Results showing the influence of dissolved organic matter on mercury adsorption on both soils are shown in Figure 3. It can be observed that there is an inhibitory effect of DOC on the amount of Hg (II) adsorbed by both types of Amazon soils. Hg removal by soil diminished 76.8\% when DOC was 64.3 mg C L\textsuperscript{-1} and Hg (II) was 66.7 µg L\textsuperscript{-1} for \textit{Carvoeiro 2} soil and 83.1\% when DOC was 64.3 mg C L\textsuperscript{-1} and Hg (II) was 50.0 µg L\textsuperscript{-1} for \textit{Iara} soil compared to the results obtained in the absence of dissolved organic matter.

The efficiency of dissolved organic matter in decreasing Hg adsorption also depends on the amount of Hg present in solution. Figure 3a shows that Hg (II) removal by \textit{Iara} soil was 83\% when 50 µg Hg (II) L\textsuperscript{-1} and 64.3 mg C L\textsuperscript{-1} was added, but that Hg (II) removal by soil was 94\% when 25 µg Hg (II) L\textsuperscript{-1} and 64.3 mg C L\textsuperscript{-1} were used. As the source of DOC used in these experiments was not chemically characterised, further details on the microscopic nature of the interactions Hg-DOC remains unknown.

\textbf{Influence of chloride}

The influence of Cl\textsuperscript{-} on the Hg (II) adsorption in the two types of soil was investigated at a fixed pH of 5.0. The formation of mercury chloride complexes\textsuperscript{28} promotes a much lower interaction of Hg (II) at the soil-solution interface.

At neutral or higher pH values, only very small amount of Hg-chloride complexes can be formed, and the extent of the adsorption depends of the interaction of other forms of Hg with the soil surface and the complexation of Hg by the dissolved organic matter. The

![Figure 2. Mercury speciation as a function of pH (Hg\textsubscript{T} = 50 nmol L\textsuperscript{-1}; Cl\textsuperscript{-} = 0.1 µmol L\textsuperscript{-1})](image)

![Figure 3. Influence of DOC on the amount of Hg (II) adsorbed by (a) Iara soil; (b) Carvoeiro 2 soil](image)
Hg (II) adsorption by soils is not affected by an increase in chloride concentration when pH > 7. A plot of Hg species as a function of pH when [Cl−] = 100 mg L−1 can be observed in Figure 4, and helps to explain the above mentioned behavior.

As is shown in Figure 5, increasing the chloride content of the solution resulted in a lower adsorption of Hg (II) at pH 5.0. The addition of Cl− anions promotes the formation of very stable (HgCl2) and negative charged complexes, especially HgCl3− and HgCl42− (Figure 4). Experimental results showed that the effect of chloride on Hg (II) adsorption also depends on the organic matter content of the soil. For Iara soil (24.7% organic matter), the Hg (II) removal decreases 20.0% when [Cl−] = 1000 mg L−1 whereas for Carvoeiro 2 soil (10.1% of organic matter), Hg (II) removal drops 38.0% in the same conditions. Similar results were also found by Yin et al.12.

Influence of the initial Hg (II) concentration

The effect of the initial Hg (II) concentration on the adsorption of Hg was investigated for Carvoeiro 2 soil (Figure 6). As shown, an increase in the initial Hg (II) concentration increased the amount of Hg (II) adsorbed by the soil and decreased the time needed to reach equilibrium.

Equilibrium isotherms

Equilibrium isotherms are tools used to evaluate a solid sorption capacity for any given adsorbate present in the aqueous phase. The most common models used in this type of experiments are the Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms, although other isotherms have been used29. However, it must be taken in account that these models do not reflect microscopic mechanisms of metal uptake at the solid-liquid interface, but have to be looked upon as mere mathematical models capable of describing the experimental data. Examination of the experimental data (Table 2, Figure 7a and 7b) suggest that the linear Freundlich isotherm is a good model able to mimic and reproduce Hg adsorption in soils, and that Iara soil showed a higher capacity for Hg binding (>Kf), as expected because of its higher soil organic matter content, compared to the Carvoeiro 2 sample.

Adsorption kinetics

Kinetics of Hg (II) adsorption on both types of soil for an initial Hg (II) concentration of 50 µg L−1 is shown in Figure 8. In the first 10 min, Q increases very fast, where 67 and 37 µg Hg (II) g−1 were adsorbed by Iara and Carvoeiro 2 soils, respectively; after this interval of time, adsorption is virtually constant, and Q reaches a plateau around these values.

Mechanisms of retention and release of soil contaminants are in most cases not instantaneous equilibria but rather time-dependant processes30. Therefore, in order to predict the transport and fate of Hg (II) in soils it is necessary to study the Hg (II) adsorption rate by soils. When the adsorption follows first order kinetics, it can be modelled using the Lagergren model31, expressed as:

$$\log_{10} (Q_e - Q) = \log_{10} Q_e - K_{ad} \cdot t / 2.303$$

where Q_e is the amount of metal ion adsorbed at equilibrium by the soil (µg g−1), Q is the amount of metal ion adsorbent at any time t by the soil (µg g−1) and K_{ad} is the adsorption rate constant (min−1). For the experimental data, plotting $\log_{10} (Q_e - Q)$ against $\log_{10} Q_e$ did not yield a straight line, as predicted by the Lagergren model.
suggesting that the adsorption rate does not depend only on the Hg (II) concentration. The existence of other related parameters, such as diffusion through soil intraparticle micropores, could be responsible for the poor model fitting.

Yin et al.\textsuperscript{12} proposed that the rate of Hg (II) adsorption by soils followed a pseudo-second order kinetics, and Vazquez et al.\textsuperscript{32} proposed that the rate of Hg (II) adsorption by \textit{Pinus pinaster} bark might be considered a pseudo second order reaction. In the pseudo second order model the rate of occupation of adsorption sites is proportional to the square of the number of unoccupied sites

\[ \frac{d\theta}{dt} = k' (1 - \theta)^2 \]

and the number of occupied sites is proportional to the fraction of the metal ion adsorbed

\[ \theta = k' X_{Hg} \]

where \( \theta \) is the coverage ratio with respect to the maximum number of sites \( X_{Hg \, max} \), and \( X_{Hg} \) is the fraction of Hg adsorbed \( (\mu g \, Hg \, adsorbed / \mu g \, Hg \, initial) \). When all sites are occupied, Equation (4) results

\[ k' = 1/X_{Hg \, max} \]

Differentiating Equation (4) and combination with Equation (2) results in:

\[ dX_{Hg}/dt = (k/k') (1- k'X_{Hg})^2 \]

Integration of Equation (5) and combination with Equation (4) gives:

\[ X_{Hg} = k X_{Hg \, max} t/(1 + k t) \]

or

\[ t/X_{Hg} = 1/k X_{Hg \, max} + t/X_{Hg \, max} \]

A plot of \( t/X_{Hg} \) versus \( t \) gives a straight line in the slopes of \( 1/ X_{Hg \, max} \) and intercepts of \( 1/ k X_{Hg \, max} \). The fitting parameters for Hg (II) adsorption by the \textit{Iara} and \textit{Carvoeiro 2} soils are shown in Table 3. The high correlation coefficients values obtained yield estimative values of \( X_{Hg \, max} \) and the rate constant \( k \). One would expected that the adsorption rate to be proportional to the initial mercury concentration, however, results present in Table 3 for \textit{Iara} and \textit{Carvoeiro 2} soils show no proportionality. The pseudo second order model is based on the assumption that the rate limiting step is chemisorption involving valency forces caused by sharing or exchange of electrons between sorbent and sorbate. The existence of other processes such as intraparticle diffusion, mass transfer or ion interaction could be responsible for the lack of proportionality.

Table 3. Fitting parameters for pseudo second order rate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>( C_{Hg , ini} / \mu g , L^{-1} )</th>
<th>( X_{max} )</th>
<th>( k' , \mu g , Hg / min )</th>
<th>( r^2 )</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iara</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.971</td>
<td>0.594</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>2.851</td>
<td>0.0040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iara</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.969</td>
<td>0.177</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>5.460</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iara</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.930</td>
<td>1.579</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.441</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvoeiro 2</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.899</td>
<td>1.070</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.073</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carvoeiro 2</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>0.845</td>
<td>1.033</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although experimental data yield a good fit this simplified model, one must bear in mind that the model assumes that all adsorption sites are homogeneous, and does not consider the heterogeneous nature of soils.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Hg (II) sorption by Amazonian soils depends strongly on the pH. In the pH range 3.0-5.0 maximum adsorption occurred with both soils (>90%). There is an inhibitory effect of DOC on the extent of Hg (II) adsorption by the soils. The efficiency of the dissolved organic matter in decreasing Hg (II) adsorption also depends on the amount of Hg (II) added, being higher at lower Hg concentrations.

Increasing the chloride content in the aqueous solution results in a lower adsorption of Hg (II) at pH 5.0, which can be partially
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explained by assuming that chloride acts as a strong complexing agent for mercuric ions, thus promoting the formation of very stable and negatively charged complexes, especially HgCl\(_2\) and HgCl\(_3\)\(^-\). The effect of chloride on Hg (II) adsorption also depends on the organic matter content of the soil, where soils with higher organic matter content are less affected by this complexing agent.

The linear Freundlich isotherm yielded a good model for the Hg (II) adsorption in the two types of soils studied. Iara soil showed a higher capacity for Hg binding (larger \(K_F\)) than Carvoeiro 2 soil, in accordance to their organic matter contents.

The kinetics of Hg (II) adsorption on Iara and Carvoeiro 2 soils was very fast, as adsorption was nearly complete in the first 10 minutes of batch experiments. The Hg (II) adsorption by these two Amazonian soils followed a pseudo-second order kinetics.

Considering that the two samples were collected at the first 10 cm (A horizon), one can expect that any atmospheric mercury inputs to this region, estimated to be around 22 ug m\(^-2\) y\(^-1\) or approximately two Amazonian soils followed a pseudo-second order kinetics. Silva\(^3\) demonstrated that soils act as a natural filter of mercury deposited in the vast majority of waters from the Negro River basin.

Many investigations in Amazon tropical areas\(^6\) have demonstrated that soils act as a natural filter of mercury deposited from total precipitation due to adsorption and accumulation associated to iron and aluminum oxy-hydroxos. Silva\(^a\) demonstrated that soils from Negro River Basin accumulated around 13 t of mercury per year, where waters exported 2 t of mercury per year. This represents a retention capacity of around 87% of the total mercury deposited in the region, what is due to soil properties.

Recent studies\(^3,6\) have shown that deforestation decreases the soil retention capacity of mercury. Magarelli and Fostier\(^b\) have recently showed that, despite the strong adsorption into soils, mercury fluxes at the soil/atmosphere interface increases up to 20 times in deforested areas compared to soils with native vegetation. This behaviour reinforces the need for better understanding the mercury cycle in this region in terms to implement effective environmental management options for the Amazon. So, under the environmental point of view, following the trend recently observed in deforestation in the Amazon one would expect an increase in mercury concentration in the water bodies over to region.
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