INTRODUCTION

Dissolution test has emerged in the pharmaceutical field as a very important tool to characterize drug product performance. Therefore the dissolution studies are used not only to assess batch-to-batch consistency of drug release from solid dosage forms, but they are also essential in several stages of formulation development, for screening and proper assessment of different formulations. Moreover, the in vitro dissolution studies are relevant to predict the in vivo performance of a drug release and have been used as a tool to estimate the bioavailability of the drug.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\)

Rupatadine, chemically (8-chloro-6,11-dihydro-11-[1-[(5-methyl[3-pyridinyl] methyl]-4-piperidinylidene]-5H-benzo[5,6]-cyclohepta[1,2b]pyridine), is a new drug which represents a second generation of H\(_1\)-antihistamine that inhibits both platelet activating factor (PAF) and histamine (H\(_1\)) effects, through its interaction with specific receptors. It is rapidly absorbed (T\(_{\text{max}}\) = 0.75-1 h) after oral administration, and is subjected to extensive presystemic metabolism, with hepatic cytochrome P450 (CYP) 3A4 as the main enzyme involved in its biotransformation. Clinically is indicated for the management of diseases with allergic inflammatory conditions, such as seasonal and perennial rhinitis, and chronic urticaria.\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\)

The literature describes validated liquid chromatography tandem-mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) methods, with detection performed by positive atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) and gradient elution for LC analysis, for the simultaneous determination of rupatadine and its two active metabolites in human plasma after liquid-extraction and enzymatic hydrolysis, supporting pharmacokinetic studies.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Moreover, sensitive isocratic LC-MS/MS methods with positive electrospray ionization (ESI) were developed and validated for the quantitation of rupatadine in human plasma without determination of the main active metabolites,\(^7\) and with determination of the metabolite desloratadine.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) For the analysis of rupatadine in tablet dosage forms, a reversed-phase liquid chromatography (RP-LC) method was developed and validated over the concentration range of 0.5-400 µg/mL, using a C\(_{18}\) analytical column and isocratic elution with UV detection at 242 nm.\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) Furthermore, stability-indicating methods were performed by micellar electrokinetic capillary chromatography (MEKC),\(^7\)\(^-\)\(^9\) and high-performance thin-layer chromatography (HPTLC),\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^6\) and applied for the quantitative and qualitative analysis of rupatadine and its degraded products in pharmaceutical dosage forms.

At the moment rupatadine is not described in any pharmacopoeia and there are no specifications for the dissolution test. Therefore, the aim of the present study was to develop and validate a dissolution test condition for rupatadine tablets, using a RP-LC method for the quantitative analysis of the drug in the dissolution medium, contributing to improve the quality control and to assure the therapeutic efficacy of the pharmaceutical product. Moreover, perform quantitative analysis of rupatadine by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS), demonstrating correlation and advantages of this technique for the dissolution rate studies.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemicals and reagents

Rupatadine fumarate reference substance (purity, 99.4\%) was purchased from Sequoia Research Products (Oxford, UK). The Rupafin® (Biosintética, Brazil) tablets containing 10 mg of rupatadine base were obtained from commercial sources within their shelf life period. HPLC-grade acetonitrile was obtained from Tedia (Fairfield, USA). Hydrochloric acid (HCl), monobasic potassium phosphate, sodium acetate and ammonium acetate were purchased from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). All chemicals used were of pharmaceutical or special analytical grade. For all the analyses, ultrapure water was purified using an Elix 3 coupled to a Milli-Q Gradient A10 system (Millipore, Bedford, USA). The 0.01 and 0.1 M HCl, sodium acetate USP buffer (pH 4.5), and monobasic potassium phosphate USP buffer (pH 6.8) were prepared according to the directions in USP 32.\(^4\) The pharmaceutical formulation excipients (magnesium stearate, microcrystalline cellulose, lactose, pregelatinised starch, ferric oxide yellow and red) were obtained from different local distributors.

Apparatus

A Vankel VK7010 (Vankel Technology Group, Cary, USA) dissolution testing station multi-bath (n = 8) was used integrated with a VK 8000 dissolution sampling station, VK type bidirectional peristaltic pump and VK 750D digitally controlled heater/circulator.

A Shimadzu LC system (Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) was used equipped with a SCL-10A VP system controller, LC-10 AD\(_{vp}\) pump, DGU-14A degasser, CTO-10A\(_{vp}\) column oven, SIL-10AD\(_{vp}\) autosampler and a SPD-M10A VP photodiode array (PDA) detector. The detec-
tor was set at 242 nm and peak areas were integrated automatically by computer using a Shimadzu Class VP V 6.14 software program.

The LC-MS/MS experiments were performed on a triple quadrupole mass spectrometer (Micromass, Manchester, UK), model Quattro LC, equipped with an electrospray ionization (ESI) source. The data acquisition and analysis were performed using a Masslynx (v 3.5) software program.

**Preparation of reference solution**

The reference substance solution of rupatadine was prepared by weighing accurately 12.80 mg of the reference substance, transferred to individual 10 mL volumetric flask and diluted to volume with methanol, obtaining a concentration of 1 mg/mL of rupatadine base. The stock solution was stored at 2-8 °C protected from light, and daily diluted to an appropriate concentration (11.11 µg/mL) in the dissolution medium. The solution was filtered in a 0.45 µm membrane filter before injection in the column.

**Chromatographic conditions**

The RP-LC method applied for the analyses of the rupatadine release percent is described elsewhere.10 The elution was carried out on a Phenomenex (Torrance, USA) Gemini C$_{18}$ column (150 mm x 4.6 mm i.d., with a particle size of 5 µm and pore size of 110 Å). A security guard holder was used to protect the analytical column. The Shimadzu LC system was operated isocratically at controlled temperature of 30 °C using a mobile phase of ammonium acetate buffer (0.01 M, pH 3.0) with 0.05% of 1-heptanesulfonic acid/acetanilide (71.5:28.5, v/v) run at a flow-rate of 1.0 mL/min, and using PDA detection at 242 nm. The injection volume was 20 µL for both the reference substance and the samples.

**Dissolution testing conditions**

Rupatadine sink conditions are desirable, and were tested using 900 mL of 0.01 M HCl, sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5, phosphate buffer pH 6.8 and water, with an amount of the drug equivalent to three times the dose of the pharmaceutical formulation.

To evaluate possible adsorption of the drug and to remove insoluble excipients that may cause high background or turbidity of the samples solution,11 the filtration procedure was performed using a 10 µm Full Flow$_{TM}$ Filters (Vankel Technology Group, Cary, USA), with solutions at concentration of 11.11 µg/mL in dissolution medium.

The dissolution rate studies of rupatadine from tablets were performed on a paddle-stirrer type of apparatus by a semi-automated system. Drug release tests were carried out according to conventional dissolution procedures recommended for single-entity products12 in 900 mL of different media such as 0.01 M HCl (50 and 75 rpm), 0.1 M HCl (50 rpm), sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5 (50 rpm), monobasic potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.8 (50 rpm), and water (50 rpm), for 1 h. The media were degassed by heating followed by vacuum filtration. The temperature of the cell was maintained at 37 ± 0.5 °C by using a thermostat bath, and the glass dissolution vessels were covered to minimize evaporation. At each sample time interval (2.5, 10, 15, 30, 45 and 60 min), an exact volume of the sample (5 mL) was withdrawn from each flask and immediately replaced with an identical volume of fresh medium to maintain a dissolution sink condition. The concentrations of rupatadine in the dissolution medium were determined by the RP-LC method, and a correction factor was included in the calculations for the drug lost in the sampling. The cumulative percentage of drug released was plotted against time, in order to obtain the release profile and to calculate the in vitro dissolution data (n = 6). The dissolution profiles of rupatadine tablets were evaluated using the concept of dissolution efficiency (DE), calculated from the area under curve (AUC) and expressed as a percentage of the area of the rectangle described by 100% dissolution in the same time.23

**Validation of the dissolution method**

The method was validated using samples of pharmaceutical formulations with the label claim of 10 mg, and the quantitative analysis performed by RP-LC method demonstrating the capability for dissolution test purposes, by the determination of the following parameters: specificity, linearity, precision, accuracy, robustness and stability, according to the USP Pharmacopeia, and the ICH guideline.4,24 Specificity was evaluated by analyzing a sample containing only placebo (in-house mixture of all the tablet excipients). The concentration of the excipients was calculated for a medium weight of content (these concentrations were determined based in Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients).25 The samples of placebo were transferred to separate vessels (n = 3) with 900 mL of dissolution medium at 37 ± 0.5 °C, and stirred for 45 min at 50 rpm using paddle (USP apparatus 2). Aliquots were withdrawn and analyzed by the LC method.

Linearity was determined by constructing three analytical curves, each one with six reference substance concentrations of rupatadine, in the range of 1–30 µg/mL prepared in 0.01 M HCl. Three replicates of 20 µL injections of the reference solutions were tested in order to verify the repeatability of the detector response. The peak areas of the chromatograms were plotted against the respective concentrations of rupatadine to obtain the analytical curve. The linearity was evaluated by linear regression analysis by the least square method and by ANOVA statistical analysis.

The precision of the method was determined by repeatability and intermediate precision and was evaluated through the relative standard deviation (RSD%). Repeatability was assessed after subjected six tablets of rupatadine to the dissolution test conditions, on the same day and under the same experimental conditions. The intermediate precision of the method was assessed by carrying out the dissolution test of six tablets on two different days (inter-days), and also using another HPLC equipment (Shimadzu LC system, CMB-20A Prominence). The accuracy was evaluated by adding known amounts of the reference substance to the placebo sample in the dissolution medium at 80, 100 and 120% of the nominal assay of rupatadine, corresponding to the concentrations of 8.89, 11.11 and 13.33 µg/mL, respectively. The accuracy was calculated as the percentage of the drug recovered from the formulation matrix and also expressed as the percentage relative error (bias %) between the measured mean concentrations and added concentrations. Each concentration was prepared in duplicate and was injected in triplicate.

The robustness of an analytical procedure refers to its ability to remain unaffected by small but deliberate variations in method parameters and provides an indication of its reliability for the routine analysis. It was evaluated determining how the influence of deaeration affects the release of rupatadine from the tablets. The dissolution profiles obtained using deaerated medium were compared to those not subjected to the deaeration process.

To assess the stability of rupatadine in the dissolution medium, the samples were diluted in 0.01 M HCl, and tested after 24 h at room temperature and also kept at 37 ± 0.5 °C for 2 h. The stability of these solutions was studied comparing the chromatographic pattern with freshly prepared solutions.

**LC-MS/MS conditions**

The LC-MS/MS method was also performed on a Shimadzu LC...
system and a triathlon autosampler (Spark, Emmen, Holland) was used. The experiments were carried out on a reversed phase Phenomenex (Torrance, USA) Gemini C18 column (150 x 4.6 mm I.D., 5µ).

The LC system was operated isocratically at controlled temperature (35 °C) using a mobile phase of methanol: acetonitrile: 100 mM acetic acid (50:40:10, v/v/v). The flow rate was 0.8 mL/min (split 1:6) and the injection volume was 30 µL for both standard and samples. The triple quadrupole mass spectrometer, equipped with ESI source in the positive mode, was set up in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode, monitoring the transitions 416.1>282.1 and 315.1>242.1, for rupatadine and clomipramine (IS), respectively. For the optimization of mass spectrometer conditions, a mixed standard solution (1000 ng/mL) containing rupatadine and IS was directly introduced into the system and the following parameters were selected: capillary potential of 3 kV, a cone voltage of 30 V, a RF lens voltage of 0.5 V, a source temperature of 120 °C, and ESI probe temperature of 350 °C, respectively. For the quantitative analysis, the dissolution samples were diluted to 1 µg/mL before injection in the LC-MS/MS system, and the concentrations of the unknown solutions were calculated based on the analytical curve previously determined.

**Accelerated stability study**

Samples of rupatadine tablets were stored for six months under accelerated conditions of temperature and humidity (40 ± 2 °C/75 ± 5% RH) in a climatic chamber (Tecnal, Brazil).26-27 The quantitative analyses of the samples were performed by the previously validated RP-LC method,16 and the dissolution test by the method developed in the present study. Moreover, the rupatadine tablets were evaluated on the basis of weight variation, disintegration, hardness and friability tests, following the specifications,28 and the results were compared at the initial and final time points (0 and 6 months, respectively).

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Development of the dissolution test**

The dissolution test is widely used as *in vitro* test to determine the release rate of drug products and to assure the quality of solid dosage forms by the pharmaceutical industry and regulatory agencies.29 The sink conditions were determined and expressed as percentage of drug released.

The dissolution medium was selected based on the solubility data and the screening study. Rupatadine showed signals of instability in the 0.1 M HCl, with changes in the chromatographic pattern. The results showed that 0.01 M HCl was the best dissolution medium, since it provides the highest drug release percent and also ensured sink conditions. The dissolution profiles obtained with the different media tested are presented in Figure 1. The comparison of the dissolution profiles was performed using the concept of dissolution efficiency (DE), and also by the Tukey’s test, showing that phosphate buffer pH 6.8 medium was significantly different from the other media tested with low solubility. The calculated DE values are shown in Table 1.

The USP apparatus 2 (paddles) was chosen due to its acceptance as a standard procedure for tablets, and is frequently used at 50 or 75 rpm.20 Thus, non-significant difference was observed in the total drug release from the pharmaceutical formulation using 50 or 75 rpm, but the stirring rate of 50 rpm was selected as mild condition that allowed maximum discriminating power. Finally, the evaluation of the 10 µm Full Flow™ Filters demonstrated that there was no interference in the analysis, giving values within 98-102%.20,21 for the filtered samples compared to the centrifuged solutions.

**Figure 1.** Dissolution profiles of rupatadine tablets using 900 mL of 0.01 M HCl, acetate buffer (pH 4.5), phosphate buffer (pH 6.8), and water, with apparatus paddle at stirring rate of 50 rpm

**Table 1.** Dissolution efficiency (DE) values obtained from dissolution tests assessed with different dissolution media, apparatus paddle and stirring rate of 50 rpm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dissolution medium</th>
<th>DE ± RSD&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid 0.01 M</td>
<td>93.21 ± 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monobasic potassium phosphate buffer pH 6.8</td>
<td>71.73 ± 2.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium acetate buffer pH 4.5</td>
<td>87.65 ± 1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>88.51 ± 0.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>RSD = Relative standard deviation

Based on these results, USP apparatus 2 (paddle) at a stirring rate of 50 rpm was selected using 900 mL of 0.01 M HCl as the dissolution medium, for 45 min.

**Results of the dissolution method validation**

The specificity of the method towards the drug was established through the determination of the peak purity of the analyte using PDA detector which gave index in the range of 0.999-1. No interference from formulation excipients was found, showing that the peak was free from any coeluting peak, thus demonstrating that the proposed method is specific for the analysis of rupatadine (Figure 2).

The analytical curves constructed for rupatadine were found to be linear in the 1–30 µg/mL range. The value of the determination coefficient calculated ($r^2$=0.9999; $y = 37111.98x - 8510.96$, where, $x$ is concentration and $y$ is the peak absolute area) indicated the linearity of the analytical curve for the method. The validity of the three analytical curves was verified by means of ANOVA, which demonstrated significant linear regression ($p$<0.05) and non-significant deviation from linearity ($p$>0.05).

The precision evaluated as the repeatability showed RSD value of 1.57%. The RSD values obtained during the intermediate precision evaluation, on two different days and using another HPLC system, were 1.11 and 0.04%, respectively. The values were submitted to statistical analysis using Student’s *t*-test, showing non-significant deviation from linearity ($p$>0.05).

The accuracy was assessed from three replicate determinations of three different samples containing 8.89, 11.11 and 13.33 µg/mL of rupatadine, giving concentrations respectively of 8.76, 11.13 and 13.39 µg/mL, with RSD lower than 1.91%. The recoveries obtained with a mean value of 99.74% and bias lower than 1.46%, demonstrated that the method is accurate for its intended use.

Certain drug and formulations are sensitive to dissolved air in the dissolution medium,16 which was tested showing that there was
Table 2. Repeatability and intermediate precision of the dissolution method

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>% of rupatadine released</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>93.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>92.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>94.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>96.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>93.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>95.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>94.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSD&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>RSD = Relative standard deviation; <sup>b</sup>t critic for p = 0.05 (2.23)

The proposed discriminative dissolution method was also applied during an accelerated stability study (40 ± 2 °C/75 ± 5% RH) for 6 months. The method was applied to dissolution rate studies which were performed at 0, 3 and 6 months. The dissolution profiles were compared by the dissolution efficiency (DE), and the analysis of variance showed that the profiles were similar ($F_{cal} = 0.13 < F_{crit} = 3.59$) with non-significant differences ($p>0.05$), as shown in Figure 3. The rupatadine content was evaluated by the LC method, demonstrating significant decomposition according to ICH guidelines<sup>26</sup> for the batch tested (> 5%). The results of weight variation, disintegration, hardness and friability are in accordance with pharmacopoeal limits and specifications, as shown in Table 4.

Table 4. Accelerated stability study: assay and physico-chemical tests performed at the initial and final time points

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Assay&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Mean weight&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; mg ± SD&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Humidity (%)</th>
<th>Disintegration&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt; time (s)</th>
<th>Friability&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt; (%)</th>
<th>Hardness&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt; (N)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>99.15</td>
<td>98.44 ± 1.98</td>
<td>5.58</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>52.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>91.86</td>
<td>98.29 ± 1.90</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>50.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>SD = Standard deviation; <sup>b</sup>N = Newton Specifications: <sup>c</sup>Mean ± 7.5%; <sup>d</sup>maximum 30 min in water, <sup>e</sup>maximum 1.5%; <sup>f</sup>minimum 30 N.

**CONCLUSION**

The dissolution test developed for the analysis of rupatadine tablets by RP-LC method was validated showing to be specific, accurate, precise and linear, without any interference from the excipients. The LC-MS/MS analyses were also performed demonstrating to be a valid alternative with low time consumption for the dissolution studies. Moreover, the dissolution method was considered satisfactory since the stability of the drug in the dissolution medium and the filter suitability were guarantee. Therefore, the proposed method was successfully applied and suggested for the quality control and stability studies of rupatadine in pharmaceutical dosage forms, contributing to assure the therapeutic efficacy of the drug.
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