A SENSITIVE AND ROBUST LC-MS/MS METHOD WITH MONOLITHIC COLUMN AND ELECTROSPRAY IONIZATION FOR THE QUANTITATION OF EFAVIRENZ IN HUMAN PLASMA: APPLICATION TO A BIOEQUIVALENCE STUDY
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An LC-MS/MS method has been developed for the determination of efavirenz (EFZ) in human plasma using hydrochlorothiazide as internal standard (I.S.). An ESI negative mode with multiple reaction-monitoring was used monitoring the transitions m/z 313.88→69.24 (EFZ) and 296.02→204.76 (I.S.). Samples were extracted using liquid-liquid extraction. The total run time was 2.0 min. The separation was achieved with HPLC-RP using a monolithic column. The assay was linear in the concentration range of 100 – 5000 ng mL\(^{-1}\). The mean recovery was 83%. Intra- and inter-day precision were < 9.5% and < 8.9%, respectively and accuracy was in the range ± 8.33%. The method was successfully applied to a bioequivalence study.
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INTRODUCTION

Efavirenz, (S)-6-chloro-4-(cyclopropylethynyl)-1,4-dihydro-4-(trifluoromethyl)-2H-3,1-benzoxazin-2-one,\(^1\) is a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor\(^2\) and is the first anti-HIV drug licensed for once-daily use (Figure 1). This is because of its long half-life, which means effective concentrations are maintained for long periods.\(^3\)

![Figure 1. Molecular structure of efavirenz (A) and I.S. (B)](image)

Several methods are described in literature for the quantification of efavirenz in biological fluids by high performance liquid chromatography with ultraviolet detection (HPLC-UV),\(^4-22\) HPLC with fluorescence detector,\(^23\) liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry detection (LC-MS),\(^24\) liquid chromatography with mass spectrometry in tandem detection(LC-MS/MS)\(^25-29\) and matrix assisted laser desorption/ionization with time-of-flight in tandem detection (MALDI-TOF/TOF).\(^30\) When sample throughput is an important issue, such as in pharmacokinetic applications, the development of rugged methods with short analysis times becomes an important consideration.\(^31-33\) The purpose of this study was to develop and validate a fast and sensitive bioanalytical method, used for the quantification of efavirenz in human plasma samples with respect to their selectivity, sensitivity and capacity for high-throughput analysis of samples in complex matrices.

It was successfully applied to a bioequivalence study of two formulations of 600 mg efavirenz tablets. Recently, HPLC method\(^34\) was used for its high sensitivity and selectivity. But the long analysis time (10 min) may not meet the requirement for high throughput and speed in biological specimen analysis of pharmacokinetic (PK) studies. With a comparative sensitivity with those reported (LOQ on-column was 0.5 pg), the chromatographic run time was 2.0 min, which was only one fifth of that reported.\(^35\) The method was fully validated and applied to a live subject PK study in 30 volunteers after oral administration of coated efavirenz tablets (600 mg).

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemical and reagents

Efavirenz reference standard was acquired from Cristália (99.97%) and hydrochlorothiazide pharmacopeial reference standard, used as internal standard (I.S.) was acquired from the Instituto Nacional de Controle de Qualidade em Saúde (INCQS, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil). HPLC-grade methyl tert-butyl ether, acetonitrile and isopropyl alcohol used were from J.T. Baker (Phillipsburg, NJ, USA) and the water was purified using a MilliQ system from Millipore (Billerica, MA, USA).

LC-MS/MS instruments

LC-MS/MS consisting of a low pressure quaternary gradient system (LC 10ADvp), an autosampler (SIL 10ADvp), a degasser (DGU-14A), a system controller (SCL 10Avp) all from Shimadzu (Kyoto, Japan), and a Quattro- LC triple quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an electrospray ionization source for mass detection and all the control and data processing was achieved with the Masslynx Software v3.5 (Micromass, Manchester, UK).

For sample extraction, a Jouan M23i refrigerated centrifuge (St. Herblain, France) was used. Samples were stored at -70 °C in a REVCO freezer (Asheville, NC, USA) until analysis.
Chromatographic conditions

Chromatographic separation was performed on an Onix C18 column (50 x 4.6 mm I.D., monolithic). Isocratic elution mode of the analytes from the column was achieved with a mobile phase consisting of acetonitrile/water (50:50 v/v + 5% of isopropl alcohol) at a flow rate of 1.5 mL min⁻¹. The column was kept at 35 °C. Before use, the mobile phase was filtered through a 0.45 µm nylon membrane. The injection volume was 5 µL and the analysis time was 2.0 min.

Mass spectrometer conditions

The HPLC eluent was split 1:10 to 150 µL min⁻¹ into the mass spectrometer. The mass spectrometer was operated using an electrospray source configured to negative ion mode (ESI-) and acquisition was done using multiple reaction-monitoring (MRM). Nitrogen (UHP N₂) served as desolvation gas at 389 L h⁻¹. The dwell time was 0.5 s for each transition, the inter-channel delay and the inter-scan delay were 0.1 s. The ion transitions selected for MRM detection were: m/z 313.88→69.24 and 296.02→204.76 for EFZ and IS, respectively.

Preparation of calibration curve standards and quality control (QC) samples

The stock solutions of efavirenz (1.0 mg mL⁻¹) and the internal standard stock solution (1.0 mg mL⁻¹) were made in acetonitrile:water (1:1 v/v). The working solutions of efavirenz were prepared from 1000 to 5000 ng mL⁻¹. The internal standard working solution was also prepared in mobile phase at a concentration of 3000 ng mL⁻¹.

The calibration curves for efavirenz were prepared in human plasma at nine concentration levels of 100, 200, 400, 800, 1000, 1500, 2500, 3500 and 5000 ng mL⁻¹. Quality control samples were also prepared in human plasma at the following concentrations: 100, 300, 2000 and 4000 ng mL⁻¹ (low, medium and high quality controls respectively). All calibration samples were prepared by adding 100 µL of efavirenz stock solution to 9900 µL of human plasma, taken from a pool of 6 volunteers. Drug-free plasma for the preparation of standards and QC was obtained from Hemocenter of Pernambuco, HEMOPE (Recife, Pernambuco). The concentration of efavirenz stock solution used was adjusted to give the dilution of the 80-125% range.

Sample preparation

A 25 µL aliquot of the IS solution (hydrochlorothiazide 3 µg mL⁻¹) and 1000 µL of methyl tert-butyl ether were added to 100 µL of plasma sample. The sample was vortex-mixed for 1 min. The organic and aqueous phases were separated by centrifugation at 2000 x g for 5 min. The upper organic phase was transferred to a glass tube and evaporated at 40 °C under a stream of nitrogen. The residue was redissolved in 400 µL of mobile phase, of which 200 µL was transferred into 250 µL glass vials and placed in the autosampler for analysis. The injection volume was 5 µL.

Study design

The bioequivalence studies were conducted using a two-way crossover experimental design, open-label, balanced, two-period (a three weeks washout interval between dose was used), two-sequence, randomized study in 30 healthy volunteers from 18 to 45 years of age. All volunteers were required to sign an informed consent form, and the clinical protocol had the approval of the Ethics Committee of the Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (UFPE). Blood samples (8 mL) were collected from an indwelling catheter (BD Angiocath, Becton, Dickinson and Company, Franklin Lakes, New Jersey) in the antecubital forearm vein. Samples were obtained before each dose and at 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5, 3, 3.5, 4, 5, 6, 8, 10, 12, 24, 36, and 48 h after dosing. After collection of each sample, the catheter was flushed with 1 mL of heparinized saline (Blausiegel Ind. E Com. Ltda., Cotia, Brazil) 10 IU/mL. Blood samples were immediately transferred to two 4-mL EDTA-containing tubes (ZheJiang GongDong Medical Technology Company, Ltd., Taizhou, Zhejiang, China) and centrifuged at room temperature for 5 min at 1350 g (JOUAN SA, Saint-Herblain, France). Plasma was transferred to cryogenic tubes with disposable polypropylene tips and stored frozen at −70 °C until analyzed.

Pharmacokinetics and statistical analysis

Efavirenz plasma concentrations were analyzed as a function of time. The following pharmacokinetic parameters were obtained for each formulation: The maximum plasma concentration (Cmax), the amount of time that a drug is present at the maximum concentration in plasma (tmax), area under of the curve from time zero to the last time point at which concentrations were measurable (AUC₀⁻∞), and area under of the curve from zero to infinite (AUC₀⁻∞). Cmax and Tmax were obtained directly from the original data set, and AUC₀⁻∞ was calculated using the linear trapezoidal rule. AUC₀⁻∞ was calculated as AUC₀⁻∞ + Ct/ke, where Ct was the last measured concentration and ke (elimination rate constant) was calculated using linear regression analysis of the log-linear portion of the plasma concentration–time curve. The plasma half-life (t1/2) was calculated as ln2/ke.

Values for Tmax were compared using the Wilcoxon rank sum test. Applying a noncompartmental model to the log-transformed data, efavirenz Cmax, AUC₀⁻t, and AUC₀⁻∞ were compared by ANOVA for a crossover design, taking into account the effects of formulation, period, sequence, and subject.

The ratios and 90% confidence intervals (CIs) of Cmax, AUC₀⁻t, and AUC₀⁻∞ were calculated for both formulations, and 2 one-sided t tests were used to evaluate whether the 90% CIs of the geometric mean ratios (test:reference) for these parameters met the Agência Nacional de Vigilância Sanitária (ANVISA) and Food and Drug administration (FDA) criterion for bioequivalence (ie, were within the 80-125% range).

All pharmacokinetic and statistical analyses were performed using WinNonlin Professional Software version 5.1 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, California).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

LC-MS/MS

LC optimization

LC-MS optimization was achieved by varying the percentage of organic solvent (methanol or acetonitrile) and ammonium acetate in mobile phase used to improve electrospray ionization in negative ion mode. The ionization efficiency was higher in the presence of acetonitrile. A high content of acetonitrile in the mobile phase (80%, v/v or higher) afforded short run times and better capillary spray characteristics, with easier desolvation of the mobile phase, but separation of analytes from interfering substances could not be achieved with this percentage of the organic modifier. The isopropyl alcohol was added to peak shape. For this reason, acetonitrile/water (50:50 v/v + 5% isopropl alcohol) was adopted as mobile phase since it represented the best compromise between separation efficiency and stability of the MS signal.
MS/MS optimization

Figure 2 shows the EFZ negative ion electrospray product ion mass spectra. Efavirenz and IS. produced deprotonated precursor ion, [M - H] at m/z 313.88 and 296.02, respectively. The base peak of EFZ and IS. as observed from their respective product ion spectra were at m/z 69.24 and 204.76, respectively. The source temperature was optimized at 110 °C, desolvation temperature was 300 °C, and desolvation gas flow was 389 L h\(^{-1}\). The capillary voltage was set at 3.2 kV, while optimized cone voltage values for EFZ and IS. were 27 and 37 V, respectively. The collision energy was optimized for EFZ (40 V) and 23 V for IS. The multiplier was set at 800 V and argon was used as the collision gas at a pressure of 1.88 x 10\(^{-3}\) psi in the collision cell.

**Figure 2.** Negative ion mode electrospray mass spectra of efavirenz (Product ion)

Method validation

**Selectivity**

Selectivity (Figure 3A) was assessed by comparing the chromatograms of 7 different blank human plasma samples (including lipemic, hemolysed and plasma spiked with the anticoagulant used in bioequivalence study, EDTA) with the corresponding spiked plasma. The matrix effect was evaluated by monitoring the MS signal during an infusion of a standard EFZ solution, while injecting a blank human plasma sample. No significant ion suppression effect was observed in retention time of the analyte and IS. (Figure 3B).

**Linearity**

The quality of bioanalytical data is highly dependent on the quality of the standard curve and the calibration model used to generate it.\(^{35,36}\) The calibration curve of EFZ was linear over the range from 100.000 to 5000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\) (100.000, 200.000, 400.000, 800.000, 1000.000, 1500.000, 2500.000, 3500.000 and 5000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) for the LC-MS/MS method \(y = 0.9792 (± 0.0331) x + 0.0377 (± 0.0761), r^2 = 0.994587 (± 0.002640), n = 3\). For the quantification of efavirenz, a linear least-squares regression with a weighting factor of 1/x\(^2\) was used. Table 1 shows the results obtained with linearity test.

**Recovery**

The recovery of EFZ was evaluated with three quality controls (low, medium and high) by comparing the peak area ratios (peak area of the solution/peak area of the plasma sample extracted). Mean extraction recoveries of efavirenz at 300.000, 2000.000 and 4000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\) were 83 ± 3%, 79 ± 6%, 88 ± 9%, respectively (n = 6). Mean recovery of the internal standard (3000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\)) was 84 ± 2% (n=6).

**Figure 3.** Chromatograms demonstrating selectivity of the method. Samples of blank plasma (A) and plasma spiked with efavirenz at a concentration corresponding to the LLOQ and IS. with matrix effect experiment (B)
### Table 1. Results of precision and accuracy of concentrations levels of linearity test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal concentration (ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Determined concentration (ng mL(^{-1})) (Mean) (n=3)</th>
<th>Precision (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>97.263</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200.000</td>
<td>212.925</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400.000</td>
<td>431.140</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800.000</td>
<td>831.694</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000.000</td>
<td>1018.038</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1500.000</td>
<td>1466.842</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500.000</td>
<td>2549.049</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3500.000</td>
<td>3460.183</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5000.000</td>
<td>4913.297</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Precision and accuracy

The results for inter-assay precision and accuracy for the quality control samples at concentration levels of 100.000, 300.000, 2000.000 and 4000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\) for EFZ are summarized in Table 2. The intra-assay precision RSD varied from 2.8 to 9.3%. The inter-assay precision RSD for samples at the lower limit of quantification (LLOQ) was 7.4% and the intra-assay precision RSD varied from 4.6 to 8.7%.

Although several methods for the quantification of EFZ have been reported in the literature, the method described here has demonstrated to be precise and accurate for the determination of these analytes in plasma in accordance with international standards. The shorter analysis time achieved here would result in higher throughput in the quantification of samples.

### Stability studies

The stability studies were performed with low and high QCs concentrations. Table 3 lists data for benchtop, autosampler, freeze/thaw and storage stability. Benchtop stability was investigated to ensure that efavirenz remained stable in plasma samples at room temperature for a time period that covered sample preparation short-term stability. Two sets of plasma samples at concentrations of 300.000 and 4000.000 ng mL\(^{-1}\) for EFZ were left at room temperature (23 °C) for 6 h. The samples were then processed and analyzed. The results indicated that EFZ was stable for the entire period of the experiment. Due to the occasional need for delayed injection or re-injection of extracted samples, stability of EFZ in the final solution was evaluated in the autosampler at room temperature (23 °C). Two sets of plasma samples at concentrations of 300.000 and 4000.000 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of efavirenz were extracted, loaded into the autosampler and kept in the autosampler for 33 h before injection. The quantitative results indicated (Table 3) that EFZ was stable in the autosampler for at least 33 h. For freeze-thaw stability, QCs samples at two concentrations. The QCs were submitted to two freeze-thaw cycles, each cycle consisting of removing the QCs from the freezer, thawing them unassisted to room temperature, keeping samples at room temperature for 3 h and refreezing at – 70 °C. The samples were processed along with a standard curve and concentrations were determined. The results indicated that EFZ had an acceptable stability after three freeze-thaw cycles in human plasma. The storage stability at – 70 °C was also tested using QCs samples. The stability was closely monitored during validation and sample analysis periods, and no degradation of the compounds was observed. The 10-week stability data is also listed in Table 3. The results indicated that EFZ did not show evidence of significant degradation in plasma for at least 10 weeks when stored at – 70 °C.

### Table 2. Precision and accuracy of efavirenz

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nominal conc. (ng mL(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Intraday (ng mL(^{-1})) (n=6)</th>
<th>Interday (ng mL(^{-1})) (n=24)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Day 1 (Mean (R.S.D. %))</td>
<td>Day 2 (Mean (R.S.D. %))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100.000</td>
<td>106.295 (7.23)</td>
<td>103.021 (8.73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300.000</td>
<td>324.372 (5.48)</td>
<td>330.082 (2.79)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000.000</td>
<td>1893.604 (9.24)</td>
<td>2145.503 (5.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000.000</td>
<td>4196.729 (5.77)</td>
<td>3810.731 (5.77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statistical analyses, pharmacokinetic parameters

Figure 4 shows the averaged plasma concentration-versus-time curves for efavirenz after administration of the reference and test formulations. The reference formulation was Stocrin® (Merck Sharp & Dohme) in a dosage of 600 mg EFZ administered as a coated tablet and the test formulations were administered at the same dose regimen and consisted also of coated tablets. Table 4 show the values of the main pharmacokinetic parameters used in the bioequivalence study: C\(_{max}\), AUC\(_{0-t}\), AUC\(_{0-inf}\), T\(_{max}\).

The mean Cmax for the reference product was 2.961 ± 1.032 µg mL\(^{-1}\) according to a recent publication. The plasma half-life obtained in this study was 45.485 h for reference formulation according the literature data.

The shortest confidence interval (90%) for the ratio of Cmax means was 36.1% (lower limit) and 45.3% (upper limit). Mean Cmax calculated by the method of least squares for the reference drug was 2.8 and 1.1 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for the test, resulting in a ratio (C\(_{max}\)test/C\(_{max}\)reference) of 40.4 and a test power of 94.3%. The area under the concentration-time curve from zero time to the time of the last sample collection, AUC(0-t), lower and upper shortest confidence limits (90%) of 61.9 and 79.1, respectively. The AUC(0-t) means calculated by method of least squares were 63.7 µg mL\(^{-1}\)h for the reference drug and 44.6 µg mL\(^{-1}\)h for the test drug resulting in a ratio (test/reference) of 70.0 and a test power for AUC(0-t) of 91.2%.

When the area under the concentration-time curve from zero to infinite (AUC0-inf) was calculated, the lower and upper shortest
### Table 3. Results of the degradation of efavirenz in biological matrix of stability studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stability test</th>
<th>Nominal conc. (ng mL⁻¹)</th>
<th>Precision (R.S.D.) (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (R.E.) (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bench top stability</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000,000</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autosampler stability</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>-6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000,000</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeze-thaw stability</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000,000</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42-days storage stability</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000,000</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solution stability</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4000,000</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>-3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **AUC**: Area under of the curve from time zero to infinite.
- **Cmax**: The maximum plasma concentration.
- **tmax**: The amount of time that a drug is present at the maximum concentration in plasma.
- **t½**: The plasma half-life.

### Figure 4. Averaged plasma concentration-versus-time curves for efavirenz after administration of the reference and test formulations

- **Pharmacokinetic Profile**: (n=30)

### Table 4. Pharmacokinetic parameter for test and reference formulations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pharmacokinetic parameter</th>
<th>Test formulation mean (R.S.D%)</th>
<th>Reference formulation mean (R.S.D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUC</strong>ₜ₀⁻inf (µg/mL h)</td>
<td>48.769 ± 18.8</td>
<td>66.887 ± 21.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AUC</strong>₀⁻t (µg/mL h)</td>
<td>68.788 ± 24.8</td>
<td>86.842 ± 31.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cmax</strong> (µg/mL)</td>
<td>1.225 ± 0.6</td>
<td>2.961 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>tmax</strong> (h)</td>
<td>7.00 ± 6.6</td>
<td>3.233 ± 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>t½</strong> (h)</td>
<td>49.173 ± 20.5</td>
<td>45.485 ± 20.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We have described a simple, rapid LC-MS/MS assay for the quantification of efavirenz in human saliva with high throughput. This method proved adequate for the determination of differences between antiretroviral agents. The new method was shown to be highly selective, sensitive, and precise, and can be easily adopted for high-throughput samples.
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**CONCLUSION**

We have described a simple, rapid LC-MS/MS assay for the quantification of efavirenz in human saliva, which showed acceptable precision and adequate sensitivity. Hence, it can be easily adopted for the high-throughput routine bioanalysis of efavirenz. Despite the negative result of the bioequivalence study (with test formulation differing significantly from the reference), the method proved adequate for the determination of differences between pharmaceutical formulations and demonstrated a robust scale for analysis of 1260 samples with high throughput.
A sensitive and robust LC-MS/MS method with monolithic column and electrospray ionization