STABILITY-INDICATING COMPARATIVE METHODS USING MEKC AND LC FOR DETERMINATION OF OLMESARTAN MEDOXOMIL
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A stability-indicating method using MEKC was validated for the analysis of olmesartan medoxomil in tablets. Successful separation was achieved using a fused silica capillary (40 cm x 50 μm i.d.); background electrolyte consisted of a combination of 10 mmol L⁻¹ borate buffer and 5 mmol L⁻¹ anionic detergent sodium dodecyl sulfate (95:5; v/v) pH 6.5; hydrodynamic mode at 50 mBar for 5 s; 25 kV separation voltage at 25 °C; and column temperature 25 °C with detection at 257 nm. The proposed method, validated following ICH guidelines, was applied to the determination of this antihypertensive with good results compared with an LC method.
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INTRODUCTION

Olmesartan medoxomil (OLM, Figure 1a) is a prodrug that is rapidly and completely de-esterified to the active metabolite olmesartan (OL, Figure 1b) by both arylesterase and albumin during gastrointestinal absorption.¹,² It is a selective angiotensin II receptor blocker approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2002 for the treatment of hypertension, used either alone or in combination with other drugs. OLM is also reported to be effective in animal models of atherosclerosis, liver disorders, and diabetic nephropathy.³ This drug, practically insoluble in water and slightly soluble in methanol, is considered a weak basic compound (pKa = 4.3), and is chemically known as 5-methyl-(2-oxo-1,3-dioxol-4-yl) methyl-4-[(1-hydroxy-1-methylethyl)-2-propyl-1-[2’(1H)-tetrazol-5yl]1,1’biphenyl(-4-y)ethyl]-1H-imidazole-5-carboxylate.⁴

Figure 1. Chemical structures of olmesartan medoxomil (a), olmesartan (b), and potassium diclofenac (c)

An official technique for OLM quantification in solid dosage forms has not yet been described in the literature. However, methods for determination of this drug isolated in biological fluids (plasma and urine), such as LC coupled with fluorescence and tandem mass spectrometry,⁵,⁶ or combined with other drugs⁷,⁸ have been reported. Different methods using LC for determination of this antihypertensive to indicate OLM stability have been reported,¹⁰-¹³ but CE (Capillary Electrophoresis) has not been previously used for this purpose. CE has many advantages over LC with respect to separation efficiency, consumption of reagents and samples, analysis time and simplicity of instrumentation.¹⁴,¹⁵ Nevertheless, LC remains the predominant technique in pharmaceutical analysis, but extensive use of CE will generate complementary and alternative methods. On the other hand, the main component and structurally related degradants and impurities in pharmaceutical formulations have similar chemical properties and thus make resolution difficult. However, the greater separation efficiency of CE renders this type of pharmaceutical analysis possible.¹⁶ CE is actually a family of techniques with distinct applicability for the separation of compounds of different chemical character, size, and structural features. In micellar electrokinetic chromatography (MEKC), largely used in the analysis of neutral pharmaceuticals, a surfactant is added to the running buffer in a concentration higher than its critical micelle concentration, generating a pseudo stationary phase, in which solute partition takes place.¹⁷

Currently, there are published methods using CE for the determination of OLM in coated tablets,¹⁸ its degradation kinetic,¹⁹ and combined with other drugs,²⁰,²¹ but no methods are available using MEKC. Thus, the goal of this study was to develop and validate a stability-indicating method using MEKC for the determination of this drug in solid pharmaceutical dosage forms, according to the current International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines,²²,²³ performing a comparison with the previously validated LC method, thereby contributing to the development of new alternatives techniques for the quality control of pharmaceutical formulations.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chemical and reagents

The OLM reference substance (RS) was supplied by Sequoia Research Products (Oxford, UK), and potassium diclofenac internal standard (DICL, IS) was purchased from Fracionata (São Paulo, Brazil). One batch of Benicar® (Sankyo Pharma, Brazil) tablets, containing 20 mg of OLM per dose was obtained from commercial sources within their valid shelf life. The excipients contained in the dosage form (cellulose microcrystalline, low substitution hyprolose,
lactose monohydrate, hypromelose, magnesium stearate, talc, titanium dioxide, hypromelose) were all of pharmaceutical grade and acquired from different distributors.

Both sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) and analytical grade boric acid were acquired from Synth (São Paulo, Brazil). Purified water was obtained using a Milli-Q Plus® (Millipore, Bedford, USA). All other reagents and chemicals used were of pharmaceutical or special analytical grade.

**Instrumentation**

MEKC experiments were performed on an Agilent 3D CE apparatus (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) equipped with an autosampler, photodiode array (PDA) detector, temperature control system (4-60 °C), and power supply able to deliver up to 30 kV. Separation was carried out using a conventional fused silica capillary (40 cm × 50 μm i.d.) (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a detector window 100 × 800 μm, thermostated at 25 °C, and detection at 257 nm. At the beginning of each working day, the capillary was conditioned by rinsing with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide for 30 min, water for 15 min, and finally with the buffer solution for 15 min. To achieve high migration time reproducibility between injections, the capillary was conditioned with 0.1 M sodium hydroxide (3 min), water (1 min), and a running BGE solution (3 min). Samples were injected using the hydrodynamic injection for 5 s at 50 mBar and a constant voltage of 25 kV was applied during the analysis. Since electrolysis can change the electro-osmotic flux (EOF) and affect migration time, efficiency and selectivity, after every three injections the running electrolyte solution was replaced by a fresh solution. CE ChemStation software (version A 09.01) was used for instrumentation control, data acquisition, and analysis. The Ultrabasic potentiometer (Denver Instrument, São Paulo, Brazil) was used to determine the pH of all solutions and a 0.22 μm membrane filter Millipore (Belford, USA) was used to filter the solutions.

LC was carried out on a Shimadzu LC system (Kyoto, Japan) which consisted of a LC-10AD pump, SPD-M10ADVP photodiode array (PDA) detector, SLA-10ADVP system controller, DGU-14A degasser and an Rheodyne® 7725i manual injector with a 20 μL loop. Data were acquired and processed using CLASS-VP software (version 6.1). Elution was carried out on a Phenomenex® RP-18 column (250 x 4.6 mm i.d., particle size 5 μm) packed with the same material, mobile phase consisted of water:triethylamine:acetonitrile (40:0.3:60 v/v/v), pH adjusted to 6.3 with phosphoric acid), flow rate of 1.2 mL min⁻¹, detection at 257 nm at room temperature, and an injection volume of 20 μL.

Photodegradation was carried out in a photostability UV chamber (1.0 x 0.17 x 0.17 m) with mirrors, equipped with a UV-A lamp (Orion®, 352 nm, 30 W, 130 V) while UV cuvettes (Ultra Vette®, São Paulo, Brazil) were used as containers for samples. A dry air oven (Biomatic®, Porto Alegre, Brazil) was used for thermal stability studies.

**Preparation of standard solutions and pharmaceutical samples**

Stock solution of OLM RS (1000 μg mL⁻¹) was prepared by accurately weighing 10 mg of the drug and dissolving it in acetonitrile. Working solutions (2-100 μg mL⁻¹) were prepared by appropriately diluting the stock solutions with acetonitrile. DICL IS solution, previously prepared in methanol, was added to each flask at a constant aliquot of 50 μg mL⁻¹. The stock solution of OLM RS was prepared daily for each analysis, due to instability, and the DICL IS was stored at 8 ± 2 °C, protected from light, and diluted daily to an appropriate concentration with methanol.

Twenty units of tablets were weighed and average weight calculated. The samples were crushed to a fine powder and an amount of the resultant material, equivalent to 10 mg OLM from the pharmaceutical formulation, was transferred to a 50 mL volumetric flask. Subsequently, the volume was adjusted with acetonitrile and sonicated for 15 min and filtered through quantitative filter paper (Schleicher & Schuell, Dassel, Germany). Aliquots of this solution were diluted to give a final concentration of 50 μg mL⁻¹. The solutions were then filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane filter (Millipore®) prior to analysis. For quantitative purposes, DICL was chosen as the IS.

**Preparation of background electrolyte solution**

The optimized background electrolyte (BGE) aqueous solution was prepared by separate weighing of boric acid and SDS quantities, equivalent to 100 mmol L⁻¹, respectively. Posterior dilutions were done with boric acid solution to obtain 10 mmol L⁻¹ final concentration. The SDS solution was also diluted to 5 mmol L⁻¹, using 10 mmol L⁻¹ of previously prepared boric acid solution as the diluent. The pH was adjusted to 6.5 by adding sodium hydroxide 0.1 M, and the volume completed to 50 mL with the same diluent. The solution was prepared daily and filtered.

**Method validation**

This method was validated using samples of pharmaceutical formulation of OLM with the label claim of 20 mg by determinations of the following parameters: specificity, linearity, LOD, LOQ, precision, accuracy, robustness, stability, and system suitability test, following ICH guidelines.²²⁻²³

**Forced degradation studies**

The stability-indicating capability of the method was determined by subjecting an OLM RS solution (1000 μg mL⁻¹) to accelerated degradation by acidic, basic, oxidative, thermal, and photolytic conditions to evaluate interference in the quantitation of the drug.

Solutions for acid and basic hydrolysis studies of the drug substance were prepared in a mixture of 0.01 M HCl - acetonitrile (70:30 v/v) and 0.01 M NaOH - acetonitrile (70:30 v/v), respectively. Both solutions were protected from light and stored at room temperature (23 ± 1 °C), for analysis after 24 h. The oxidative degradation was induced by storing the solution in a mixture of 3% H₂O₂ - acetonitrile (70:30 v/v), at room temperature (23 ± 1 °C) for 24 h, protected from light. For thermal degradation, the reference substance in powder form (dry heat) and in solution (moist heat) was exposed at 80 °C in an oven, for 24 and 1 h, respectively. Photodegradation was induced by placing the reference substance sample solid and solution in a glass dish and quartz cuvettes, respectively, which were then placed in a light chamber, and exposed to UV-A radiation (352 nm) for 48 h, at room temperature. Control samples were protected from light with aluminum foil and also placed in the light chamber and exposed concurrently.

Following the degradation period, all samples were prepared for analysis as previously described above and the specificity of the method was established by determining the peak purity of OLM in the degraded samples using a PDA detector.

**Specificity**

The method specificity was also investigated by observing all interferences encountered from OLM tablets excipients, as described in the Experimental section. Excipient concentration in this dosage form was based on the literature²⁵⁻²⁶ and calculated in relation to medium
weight. The electropherograms of excipient placebo solution (without drugs), OLM RS and DICL IS solutions were compared to verify the probable interference of the excipients on OLM quantitation.

**Linearity and limits of detection (LOD) and quantitation (LOQ)**

Linearity was studied by injecting solutions in the concentration range of 2-100 μg mL⁻¹ of OLM, and a fixed aliquot of an IS. RS solutions were prepared at eight concentrations (2, 5, 10, 50, 75, 100 μg mL⁻¹) and injected in triplicate every day, for 3 consecutive days. The ratio of peak area values of OLM RS and DICL IS was computed and analyised using linear least-squares regression parameters (correlation coefficient, slope, intercept). The LOD and LOQ values were mathematically determined through calibration curves. The aforementioned factors (3.3 and 10) were multiplied by the ratio of the residual standard deviation and the slope, according to guidelines.²²

**Precision**

The intra-day precision was analyzed through the preparation of 6 samples containing 50 μg mL⁻¹ of OLM tablets and DICL IS, injected in triplicate, on the same day (n = 6). Inter-day precision was tested by repeating the same procedure on 3 different days (n = 18) and comparing the results for the different days. The data on precision of tablets were expressed as percentage relative standard deviation (RSD%).

**Accuracy**

The accuracy of the method was evaluated by preparing synthetic excipients representative of OLM tablets, and spiking three samples with OLM RS at the concentration levels corresponding to 40 (low), 50 (medium) and 60 μg mL⁻¹ (high) (i.e. equivalent to 80, 100, and 120%), and a fixed aliquot of a DICL IS. The OLM was extracted from the excipients and determined. Each solution was prepared in triplicate and injected 3 times. The concentrations and recoveries were calculated against the added concentration.

**Stability of solutions**

Solutions of OLM RS, DICL IS, and OLM tablets were prepared as described in the Preparation section of standard solutions and pharmaceutical samples. The stability of these solutions was checked after 24 and 48 h and compared against freshly prepared solutions. The initial peak area was considered 100% and the recoveries on subsequent days were evaluated.

**Robustness**

Robustness of the proposed method was examined by evaluating the influence of small variations of the most important procedure variables such as buffer concentration (9.5 and 10.5 mmol L⁻¹), SDS concentration (4.5 and 5.5 mmol L⁻¹), BGE pH solution (6.2 and 6.7), voltage (28 KV), and temperature system (23 and 27 °C). Analyses were carried out with OLM RS and DICL IS solutions at 50 μg mL⁻¹, in triplicate. Only one parameter in the experiments was changed at a time, and the effects were studied based on RSD (%) values obtained among the parameters analyzed.

**System suitability test**

The system suitability test is an integral part of the analytical method and ascertains the suitability and effectiveness of the operating system. It was also carried out to evaluate the resolution and reproducibility of the system for the analysis to be performed, using 5 replicate injections of OLM RS and DICL IS solution containing 50 μg mL⁻¹.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

To obtain the optimum capillary electrophoresis conditions, some electrolyte solutions containing sodium phosphate, potassium phosphate, sodium tetraborate, and boric acid, respectively, were investigated adding the surfactant SDS, in the pH range 6.0-7.5. The best peak symmetry and resolution between the peaks were obtained using borate solution, at pH 6.5.

The effect of BGE concentration was studied in the range from 5 to 20 mmol L⁻¹, with constant 5 mmol L⁻¹ SDS concentration, at pH 6.5. Since the peak symmetry and the migration time increased with the increase of borate buffer concentration, the 10 mmol L⁻¹ borate solution was selected due to the low current and suitable peak parameters generated.

The influence of the SDS was also investigated in the concentration range from 5 to 50 mmol L⁻¹, with constant 10 mmol L⁻¹ borate buffer concentration, at pH 6.5. The OLM migration time and peak symmetry increased with increase in the concentrations, achieving better efficiency combined with shorter analysis time with 5 mmol L⁻¹ SDS.

The BGE pH solution containing 10 mmol L⁻¹ boric acid and 5 mmol L⁻¹ SDS was evaluated in the 6.0-7.5 range, and the pH 6.5 was selected with peak symmetry (around 1.84), since lower pHs resulted in an increase in migration time and peak width. The best parameters such as peak symmetry (around 1.84) and resolution were obtained with BGE solution containing 10 mmol L⁻¹ boric acid and 5 mmol L⁻¹ SDS (95.5, ν/θ), at pH 6.5. pH values lower than 6.5 resulted in a decrease in migration time and resolution between peaks, whereas pH higher than 6.5 led to an increase in migration time and peak width.

The effect of the voltage was investigated from 20 to 30 kV, and showed shorter analysis time along with better separation efficiencies at values up to 25 kV potential, which also showed best peak parameters and acceptable current (around 6.0 μA), without excessive Joule heating.

The capillary temperature was investigated within the 20 to 30 °C range, and a temperature of 25 °C was chosen due to the short analysis time. The sample solutions were hydrodynamically injected at 50 mBar changing the injection time from between 3 to 8 s, showing increased peak width and deformed shape after 5 s, thus the injection time selected was 5 s.

As reported, the MEKC method parameters were optimized to obtain the best peak area, resolution and symmetry, combined with short migration time, being achieved using a 10 mmol L⁻¹ solution of borate buffer and 5 mmol L⁻¹ SDS at pH 6.5, with detection at 257 nm. The use of low concentrations levels of BGE and SDS contribute to raising the capillary and the equipment validity, due to the lower current generated.²⁶

The use of an IS is recommended to compensate injection errors and minor fluctuations of the migration time thereby improving the quantitative analysis. Thus, several drugs including nimesulide, losartan, hydrochlorothiazide, and salicylic acid were tested. However, only DICL (Figure 1c), a non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drug, proved to be a suitable candidate with well-resolved peak in relation to OLM.

The electropherogram of OLM RS and DICL IS with migration times of 2.2 and 2.5 min, respectively, is shown in Figure 2a.

A stability-indicating method is defined as an analytical technique that accurately quantifies the active ingredients without interference
The stability-indicating comparative methods using MEKC and LC have been evaluated in this study. Linear regression of concentration versus peak area ratio plots resulted in a critical $\alpha = 0.05$ with no deviation from linearity ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 1.910^3 < F_{\text{critical}} = 4.6; \alpha = 0.05$). The LOD and LOQ were estimated to be 1.3 and 4.0 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating suitable sensitivity of the method.

The results of precision are summarized in Table 2. The low RSD (%) obtained for the intra-day (< 2.0%) and inter-day precision (1.7%) for tablets confirmed good precision of the MEKC method. The accuracy results for tablets showed good recovery and are listed in Table 2. Accuracy OLM results, at three levels by the standard addition technique, ranged from 98.4 to 101.5%, with RSD lower than 2.0%. These values confirmed good precision of the MEKC method.

The LOD and LOQ for both methods are given in Table 1. The calibration curves proved to be linear over the 2-100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ range. Linear regression of concentration versus peak area ratio plots resulted in an average of coefficient correlation ($r$) greater than 0.999. The validity of the assay was verified by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA), which demonstrated that the regression equation was linear ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 9.010^2 < F_{\text{critical}} = 2.9; \alpha = 0.05$).

According to results, the proposed method can be considered stability-indicating, since it was successful not only for separation, but also for evaluating, qualitatively and quantitatively, OLM in the presence of the degradation product formed. Furthermore, the degradation studies showed that this ester prodrug is susceptible to hydrolysis and oxidation, and therefore care must be exercised when manipulating the drug.

The specificity of the method for the drug was established through determination of the purity peak of the analyte and the IS in the working standard solution using a PDA detector. No interference from formulation excipients was found, showing that the peaks were free from any co-eluting peak, demonstrating that the proposed method is specific for the analysis of OLM.

The statistical parameters of the analytical curve and estimates of LOD and LOQ for both methods are given in Table 1. The calibration curves proved to be linear over the 2-100 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$ range. Linear regression of concentration versus peak area ratio plots resulted in an average of coefficient correlation ($r$) greater than 0.999. The validity of the assay was verified by means of analysis of variance (ANOVA), which demonstrated that the regression equation was linear ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 9.010^2 < F_{\text{critical}} = 2.9; \alpha = 0.05$).

The LOD and LOQ were estimated to be 1.3 and 4.0 $\mu$g mL$^{-1}$, respectively, indicating suitable sensitivity of the method.

The results of precision are summarized in Table 2. The low RSD (%) obtained for the intra-day (< 2.0%) and inter-day precision (1.7%) for tablets, confirmed good precision of the MEKC method.

The accuracy results for tablets showed good recovery and are listed in Table 2. Accuracy OLM results, at three levels by the standard addition technique, ranged from 98.4 to 101.5%, with RSD lower than 2.0%. These values confirmed good accuracy of the proposed method.

The stability of OLM RS, DICL IS, and OLM tablets solution in acetone/nitrite was determined by monitoring the peak area ratio of these solutions over a period of 2 days. The results showed that the peak area RSD (%) was lower than 2.0%, and no significant degradation was observed within 24 h, at both temperatures tested. After 48 h, an extra peak was observed.

The results of variables over the experimental range evaluated were within the acceptable deviation (RSD < 2.0%). There were non-significant changes in migration time and peak area ratios, as
well as in the electropherogram pattern compared to the optimized conditions, indicating that the proposed method is robust under the conditions tested (Table 2).

The results obtained on the system suitability test (Table 3) were satisfactory in accordance with the literature and ensure that the MEKC system generates reliable results. The parameters tested were within the acceptable range (RSD < 2.0%), indicating that the method is suitable for the analysis intended.

The MEKC method validated in this paper was applied in parallel with the LC method developed previously by our group. The results obtained for both these methods were compared statistically by ANOVA, using the $F$-test (Table 4), and detected no significant difference between the experimental values obtained for coated tablets by MEKC and by LC methods. The calculated $F$-value for tablets ($F_{\text{calculated}} = 2.1$) was found to be less than the critical $F$-value ($F_{\text{critical}} = 7.7$), at a 5% level of significance. This fact indicates that MEKC can be used as an alternative method to LC for quantifying OLM tablets with a high degree of reliability.

**CONCLUSION**

The proposed method using MEKC can be considered stability-indicating, due to its ability to detect OLM in the presence of its degradation product, yielding results comparable to LC. Therefore, the proposed method was successfully applied as an alternative tool for the quantitative analysis of OLM in a pharmaceutical dosage form, representing an improvement for quality control, and also contributing to assure therapeutic efficacy of the drug.
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