Brazil plays an important role with regard to the emission of aerosols into the atmosphere. Ecosystems such as the Amazonian tropical forest and Brazilian Cerrado are undergoing sweeping changes. These human activities promote an increase in the levels of gases and particles emitted into the atmosphere. To determine how these activities have affected the emission of coarse and fine particulate matter (PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$), atmospheric aerosol samples were collected continuously from June 2004 to April 2005 in the Cuiaba Basin of south-central Mato Grosso, Brazil. Aerosols were sampled using stacked filter units. Filters were analysed for particulate mass, black carbon and for concentrations of seventeen elements by Particle Induced X-Ray Emission. The results revealed that Mg, Al, Si, S, K, Ca, Ti and Fe were the major components detected in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$. The high enrichment factors observed in PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ suggest that anthropogenic activities were the predominant source for elements such as Cu, Zn and Pb. These data indicate that most of the aerosols measured in the Cuiaba Basin originate from land conversion and biomass burning. These patterns are similar to those observed in other Cerrado and Amazonian forest areas that are undergoing rapid and spatially extensive land-cover change.
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INTRODUCTION

Particulate material (PM) from the atmosphere comprises a mixture of materials that occur naturally or originate from anthropogenic activities. PM consists of fine (PM$_{2.5}$; particles measuring < 2.5 µm in diameter) and coarse (PM$_{10}$; < 10 µm) particles in both liquid and solid phases. Particulate material is a mixture that can include smoke, soot, dust, salts, acids and metals. PM$_{10}$ is an important component in air pollution and can be associated with health$^{1,2}$ and environmental quality problems such as a reduction in visibility$^3$ and changes in radiation and energy balance.$^4$ Recently, in the developed world (Europe and North America), modern technology has promoted a reduction in PM concentration,$^5$ while in other developing countries such as China and India, atmospheric PM concentration has been increasing due to intensive energy use – a problem that Brazil may face.

The story of aerosols in the Brazilian Amazon is largely a tale of two seasons. The significant inter-annual variation in aerosol properties is almost entirely a function of the intensity of the biomass burning during the dry season.$^6-10$ Studies investigating the elemental composition of aerosols in the region have shown that the emission of Black Carbon during the dry season, composed of soot mostly from combustion, is associated with elements known as burning emission tracers such as S, K, Cl, Ca and Zn.$^{11,12}$ Focus during the wet season has illustrated the importance of vegetation aerosol emissions, which act as cloud condensation nuclei (CCN), effectively controlling cloud formation and precipitation, and aerosol aging and humidification processes have been examined to improve regional precipitation models.$^{10,13-16}$

Here we describe the seasonal variations in atmospheric aerosol concentration in the woodland/savannah (Cerrado) region of South-central Mato Grosso, Brazil. Cerrado in this region has been radically changed by human activities such as burning, cattle ranching, and agriculture.$^{17-21}$ By the early 1990s, an estimated 55-67% of the Cerrado region had been converted for human use, but more recent accounts suggest that as much as 80% of the Cerrado has been lost to pasture or cropland expansion.$^{22}$ Because much of the aerosol loading in the Amazon Basin is due to human activities, based on the present study findings, the authors conclude that biomass burning in the dry season represents the major source of both PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ in the Cerrado.

EXPERIMENTAL

Area of study

Daily samples of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ were collected continuously at Fazenda Miranda (Farm), located approximately 15 km from Cuiabá, a city of approximately 0.6 million inhabitants and the capital of the state of Mato Grosso. The Fazenda Miranda is located in the Western-Central region of Mato Grosso state, Brazil (15°43’ S and 56°04’ W, altitude of 180.0 m) (Figure 1). The semi-arid climate predominates in the Cerrado region (woodland/savanna), having two clearly defined seasons (hot and rainy summer and dry winter with moderate-low temperatures). Precipitation averages 1420 mm/year,$^{23}$ and >70% of rainfall occurs between November and March. During our study, the winds were predominantly from the Northwest with a mean velocity of 1.58 m/s, similar to that observed during other years.$^{24}$ The mean temperature was 26.7 °C and the mean relative air humidity was 66%, similar to the long-term (30-year) average reported for the region.$^{21}$ However, during the study period precipitation measured during the wet season – from November to April – was 1125 mm, which is lower than the long-term average.$^{21}$ The vegetation cover is a mixture of cattle pasture, grass-dominated Cerrado, upland woodlands, forests, and riparian vegetation near rivers and streams.$^{25}$

Sampling

Particulate matter samples were collected between June 2004 and April 2005 using stacked filter units (SFU)$^{26,27}$ Filter units were
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installed at a height of 2.8 m above ground level and samples were collected at a flow rate of 16 L min$^{-1}$. The SFU system used two 47 mm polycarbonate filters with an 8 µm pore size to collect samples of particles with an aerodynamic diameter of between 2.5 and 10 µm, and porosity of 0.4 µm to collect samples of particles with an aerodynamic diameter of less than 2.5 µm.$^{11,28}$ Particles larger than 10 µm were retained at the filter inlet. Filters were replaced three times per week – with two sampling periods of 48 hours each and one period of 72 hours.

Particulate mass

The mass of the particulate material was determined gravimetrically, by weighing the filters before and after sampling using a Mettler electronic micro-analytical scale accurate to the nearest 1 µg. Before weighing, the filters were kept in 50% relative humidity at a temperature of 20 °C for 24 hours. Electrostatic charges were controlled using a radioactive source. Filter masses were measured twice, both before and after sampling, and the difference in mean filter mass before and after sampling corresponded to the mass of the particulate material deposited during the sampling period. This same process was conducted for unused filters, representing blanks used to determine changes in the mass of clean filters that might have occurred due to the filter weighing and handling process. The concentration of black carbon was determined using a reflectance technique, calibrated with a Monarch soot carbon standard, on a smoke stain reflectometer manufactured by Diffusion Systems.

Analysis of chemical elements

The elemental concentrations were determined by the PIXE (Particle Induced X-ray Emission) method, where an energy beam of 1.7 MeV protons was generated by a particle accelerator at LAMFI (Materials Analysis Laboratory by Ion Beam) of the University of São Paulo, Institute of Physics, using a Tandem Pelletron (NEC, model 5SDH). The method quantified concentrations for up to 17 trace elements (Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Br and Pb). Detection limits are typically 5 ng m$^{-3}$ for elements in the range $13 < Z < 22$ and 0.4 ng m$^{-3}$ for elements with $Z > 22$.

Statistical analysis

Factor analysis (FA), using Principal Component Analysis with VARIMAX rotation, was applied to the data of elemental concentrations to identify the main emission sources of atmospheric aerosols measured in the region. Factor analysis explains the variance or covariance of an extensive set of data and reduces the original set of variables to a lower number of uncorrelated principal components preserving the information in the original database. These principal components were then used to identify the main emission sources of atmospheric aerosols. Only components with an eigenvector $>$ 1.0 were retained.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aerosol time series

Atmospheric concentrations of particulate matter (PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$) proved higher in the dry season (June-October) than during the rest of the year (Figure 2). Dry season PM$_{10}$ concentrations ranged from 9 to 61 µg/m$^3$ while PM$_{2.5}$ were from 4 to 20 µg/m$^3$. In contrast, wet season levels of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ ranged from 4 to 35 µg/m$^3$ and 2 to 11 µg/m$^3$, respectively. Thus, wet season concentrations of PM were roughly half the levels observed during the dry season. Wet deposition, a function of precipitation, can help clean the atmosphere in the wet season,$^{29}$ but monthly fire records were correlated with PM concentrations.$^{10}$ These dynamics indicate that the timing and frequency of fire activity in the Legal Amazon, which increases during the dry season, was an important source of atmospheric PM.$^{4,10}$

Figure 1. Map of Brazil with the State of Mato Grosso highlighted in dark grey in the centre of the map. Mato Grosso is in the southern portion of the Legal Amazon Basin (boundaries depicted by the bold-black line in the map). Inset: Map of Mato Grosso with the location of the sampling site (Fazenda Miranda) indicated by the red dot
Aerosol concentration time series of four elements (Zn, Si, Br and P) that represent urban, soil, fire, and biogenic sources respectively, had differing dynamics during the study period (Figure 3). Temporal patterns for Zn, which is indicative of an urban pollution source,\textsuperscript{31,32} show relatively large day-to-day variations that lacked a consistent seasonal pattern and likely reflect high-frequency (i.e., daily) variations in synoptic weather patterns. By contrast, Si and Br, which are indicative of soil and biomass burning, respectively\textsuperscript{12,33}, displayed larger variation and magnitude during the dry season. Most of the variation in Si was due to the PM$_{10}$ fraction, which is likely indicative of soil dust that is re-suspended as the soil dries and the wind speed increases during the season. In contrast, much of the temporal variation in Br was due to variation in the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction, which is presumably indicative of the fine particles released as a result of biomass burning.\textsuperscript{12,33} For biogenic constituents such as P, temporal variations in the PM$_{10}$ fraction were substantially larger than for the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction (Figure 3). While seasonal variations for P were not as large as for Si or Br, atmospheric contributions of P were higher during the wet season when plant productivity is greater.\textsuperscript{11,34}
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**Figure 2.** Time series of the PM$_{10}$ (sum of fine and coarse particulates; grey-bars) and PM$_{2.5}$ (black-bars) concentrations for Fazenda Miranda from June 2004 until April 2005. Gaps on the graph represents points without data collection.
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**Figure 3.** Average monthly time series of aerosol concentrations that represent urban (Zn), soil (Si), fire (Br), and biogenic (P) sources measured at the Fazenda Miranda between June 2004 and April 2005.
Seasonal Variations in elemental concentrations of PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ at Fazenda Miranda

The mean concentrations for each of the fractions – PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ – were segregated into black carbon (BC) and 17 trace elements for the wet and dry season periods (Table 1). Mg, Al, Si, S, K, Ca, Ti and Fe were the main elements detected in PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$, representing 97.9% and 98.6%, respectively, of all elements measured during the dry season, and 94.8% and 94.3% during the wet season. High concentrations of Al, Si, Ca and Fe are commonly found in crustal elements, and were detected at the greatest proportions in the PM measurements for the dry season (Table 1). The percentage increase in typical soil elements Al, Si, Ca and Fe was likely due to soil dryness during the dry season and the characteristic rise in mean wind speed which typically occurs during the dry season and that increases soil dust resuspension. Typical crustal elements such as Al, Si, Ca, Ti and Fe predominated in the PM$_{10}$ aerosol fraction. The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ values (Table 1) were 0.17, 0.16, 0.11, 0.21 and 0.20, respectively, for the dry season, and 0.20, 0.18, 0.14, 0.21 and 0.23, for the wet season. The PM$_{2.5}$/PM$_{10}$ ratios for BC, S, and K, which are most closely associated with biomass burning, were 0.80, 0.74 and 0.53, for the dry season; and 0.83, 0.77 and 0.60, for the wet season, respectively.

Enrichment factor

In an attempt to understand potential sources of the atmospheric elements measured in the present study, we calculated an enrichment factor (EF) quantifying the contribution of each element in the atmosphere relative to the crust. The enrichment factor (EF) was calculated as:

$$\text{EF} = \frac{(X/\text{Al})_{\text{atmosphere}}}{(X/\text{Al})_{\text{crust}}}$$

where $(X/\text{Al})_{\text{atmosphere}}$ and $(X/\text{Al})_{\text{crust}}$ refer to the ratio of the concentration of $X$ to Al in the atmosphere and $X$ to Al in average crustal material, respectively. Al is typically used as a reference because it makes up over 8 percent of average crustal material. Taylor and McLennan’s average upper continental crust composition was used as the elemental composition of the crust material. Elements with EF close to 1.0 have a strong natural component while elements with high enrichment factors tend to be of artificial origin.

In the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction, Si, Ca, Ti and Mn had EFs closer to 1.0 during both wet and dry seasons (Figure 4), suggesting they were attributed mainly to soil dust. Cu, Zn and Pb had higher EFs (Figure 4) that might be associated with the influence of urban emissions of aerosols from Cuibá, which has over 0.6 million inhabitants. P was another element that had a high EF value during the wet season in PM$_{2.5}$, although previous studies have shown that such enrichment was derived not from anthropogenic but biogenic natural emissions from plants and regional vegetation. In general, the EFs of PM$_{2.5}$ were higher than those of PM$_{10}$ during both wet and dry seasons (Figure 4).

Sources of particulate matter

The hierarchical clustering and principal component analyses were applied to the PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ fractions to assess the correlations between different elements and identify the main sources of atmospheric aerosol emissions in the region. Nineteen variables (PM, BC, Mg, Al, Si, P, S, Cl, K, Ca, Ti, Cr, Mn, Fe, Ni, Cu, Zn, Br and Pb) were included in this analysis, and analyses were divided into seasons due to the different seasonal trends observed in these constituents.

The main related groups of elements for the dry season PM$_{2.5}$ fraction were PM, BC, P, Cl, K, Ca, Cu, Zn, Pb; Ti, Mn and Fe; S and Br, where factor analysis identified three factors with eigenvalues > 1 that explained 75% of the cumulative variance in dry season PM$_{10}$ emissions (Table 2). The first group (Factor 1) explained approximately 41% of the variance in the dry season PM$_{10}$ fraction and included elements related to emissions from fire and biogenic sources. However, the presence of BC points clearly to an association with dry season PM$_{10}$ concentrations of Al, Si, Ca, Ti and Fe...
The second group (Factor 2) explained an additional 21% of the variance and contained elements associated with soil dust, while the third factor explained approximately 13% of the variance and comprised S and Br, again associated with biomass burning (Table 2).

For the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction, factor analysis identified four main factors with eigenvalues > 1 that explained 77% of the cumulative variance (Table 2). The first group (Factor 1) explained approximately 25% of the variance in PM$_{2.5}$ emission and contained Al, Si, Ti and Mn, which represent the contribution of the crustal material. The second group (Factor 2) explained an additional 24% of the cumulative variance and contained Ca, Fe, Cu, Zn and Pb, primarily associated with anthropogenic emissions from the urban area of Cuiabá. The third group (Factor 3) explained 20% of the cumulative variance and comprised predominantly PM, BC, Cl, K and Br, associated with biomass burning. Lastly, the fourth group (Factor 4) explained the final 8% of the cumulative variance and consisted primarily of P, suggesting a natural (plant and vegetation) emission (Table 2).

Factor analyses for the wet season identified four principal factors for the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction with eigenvalues > 1 that explained 67% of the cumulative variance in PM$_{2.5}$ emissions (Table 3). The first factor explained 42% of the variance and was composed of PM, Al, Si, Ti, Fe and Pb, with Al, Si, and Fe associated mainly with soil dust. The second group (Factor 2) explained 10% of the variance in PM$_{2.5}$ and consisted primarily of Cu and Zn, which are associated with contributions from urban emissions. The third factor explained 8.1% of the variance, and included Cl and Br, which are associated with biomass burning. Lastly, the fourth group explained only 1% of the variance in wet season PM$_{2.5}$ emissions and was composed of P and Mn, suggesting biogenic emissions.

For the PM$_{10}$ fraction, factor analysis identified four factors with eigenvalues > 1 that explained approximately 68% of the cumulative variance (Table 3). The first factor explained 42% of the variance and was composed of PM, Al, Si, Ti, Fe and Pb, with Al, Si, and Fe associated mainly with soil dust. The second group (Factor 2) explained 10% of the variance in PM$_{10}$ and consisted primarily of Cu and Zn, which are associated with contributions from urban emissions. The third factor explained 8.1% of the variance, and included Cl and Br, which are associated with biomass burning. Lastly, the fourth group explained only 1% of the variance in wet season PM$_{10}$ emissions and was composed of P and Mn, suggesting biogenic emissions.

Table 2. Factor loadings and communalities for the Factor Analysis of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ element concentrations for Fazenda Miranda during the dry season. Also shown are the eigenvalues for each factor, the percent of the variation explained by each factor, the cumulative variation explained by the factors combined, and the inferred source of each factor.

| Variable | PM$_{2.5}$ | | | PM$_{10}$ | | |
|----------|------------|----|-----|---------|----|
|          | Factor 1   | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Factor 4 | Comm. | Factor 1 | Factor 2 | Factor 3 | Comm. |
| PM       | 0.46       | 0.23     | 0.81     | 0.03     | 0.92   | 0.71     | 0.51     | 0.35     | 0.89   |
| BC       | 0.49       | 0.52     | 0.56     | 0.12     | 0.84   | 0.86     | 0.20     | 0.11     | 0.80   |
| Al       | 0.87       | 0.20     | 0.20     | -0.18    | 0.87   | 0.54     | 0.54     | 0.23     | 0.64   |
| Si       | 0.74       | 0.08     | 0.23     | 0.36     | 0.74   | 0.54     | 0.49     | 0.43     | 0.71   |
| P        | 0.21       | 0.25     | 0.15     | 0.85     | 0.86   | 0.70     | 0.23     | 0.16     | 0.57   |
| S        | 0.30       | 0.34     | 0.12     | -0.41    | 0.39   | 0.07     | 0.19     | 0.84     | 0.74   |
| Cl       | 0.42       | 0.30     | 0.70     | 0.05     | 0.75   | 0.75     | 0.17     | 0.33     | 0.70   |
| K        | 0.28       | 0.52     | 0.60     | 0.08     | 0.72   | 0.81     | 0.12     | 0.26     | 0.74   |
| Ca       | 0.13       | 0.82     | 0.27     | -0.22    | 0.81   | 0.67     | 0.42     | 0.27     | 0.69   |
| Ti       | 0.87       | 0.20     | 0.15     | -0.01    | 0.82   | 0.05     | 0.90     | 0.08     | 0.82   |
| Mn       | 0.77       | 0.43     | 0.23     | 0.19     | 0.86   | 0.45     | 0.66     | 0.41     | 0.80   |
| Fe       | 0.45       | 0.60     | 0.30     | 0.25     | 0.72   | 0.35     | 0.79     | 0.04     | 0.75   |
| Cu       | 0.27       | 0.73     | 0.07     | 0.11     | 0.63   | 0.75     | 0.38     | 0.18     | 0.73   |
| Zn       | 0.02       | 0.79     | 0.30     | 0.05     | 0.72   | 0.83     | 0.28     | 0.32     | 0.87   |
| Br       | -0.01      | 0.12     | 0.93     | 0.03     | 0.89   | 0.54     | 0.00     | 0.61     | 0.67   |
| Pb       | 0.43       | 0.73     | 0.12     | 0.33     | 0.84   | 0.85     | 0.29     | -0.12    | 0.82   |

Eigenvalues | 3.97 | 3.87 | 3.16 | 1.35 | 6.56 | 3.31 | 2.05 |
Variance %   | 24.82 | 24.18 | 19.72 | 8.45 | 41.02 | 20.66 | 12.80 |
Cumulative % | 24.82 | 49.00 | 68.72 | 77.17 | 41.02 | 61.67 | 74.48 |
Source       | Soil dust | Urban | Fire | Biogenic | Biogenic-Fire | Soil dust | Fire |

Figure 4. Enrichment factors for the PM$_{2.5}$ fraction (top panel) and the PM$_{10}$ fraction (bottom panel). Enrichment factors close to 1 indicate a natural source while enrichment factors > 1 indicate an anthropogenic source.
Table 3. Factor loadings and communalities for the Factor Analysis of PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$ element concentrations for Fazenda Miranda during the wet season. Also shown are the eigenvalues for each factor, the percent of the variation explained by each factor, the cumulative variance explained by the factors combined, and the inferred source of each factor.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>PM$_{2.5}$</th>
<th>Wet Season</th>
<th></th>
<th>PM$_{10}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Factor 1</td>
<td>Factor 2</td>
<td>Factor 3</td>
<td>Factor 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BC</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>-0.23</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eigenvalues</td>
<td>6.70</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variance %</td>
<td>41.87</td>
<td>10.15</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumulative %</td>
<td>41.87</td>
<td>52.02</td>
<td>60.11</td>
<td>66.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source</td>
<td>Soil dust</td>
<td>Urban</td>
<td>Fire</td>
<td>Biogenic</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

variance in wet season PM$_{10}$ emissions (Table 3). The first group explained more than half of the cumulative variance (43%) and comprised PM, BC, Al, Si, Ti, Mn and Pb, indicative of crustal material. The second factor explained a further 17% of the cumulative variance and consisted largely of P, suggesting a biogenic origin. The final factor explained an additional 8% of the cumulative variance, where the ratio of excess K in the fine fraction of aerosols is thought to be an indicator of biomass burning.

CONCLUSIONS

The PM$_{2.5}$ and PM$_{10}$ elemental compositions had high seasonal variations. In general, the mean concentrations of these elements in both fractions was higher during the dry season – when biomass burning intensifies and drier, windier conditions promote an increase in the dispersion of soil and dust. The concentrations of elements associated with biomass burning suggest that considerable biomass burning took place during the wet season.

The study of atmospheric particulate matter (PM$_{10}$ and PM$_{2.5}$) in this Cerrado-Pantanal transition area revealed the presence of a variety of chemical constituents, allowing characterization of different aerosol sources for the region. Notably, biomass burning and soil were major sources of atmospheric particulate matter during the dry season while biogenic sources appeared to be relatively more common during the wet season. Urban sources were an important source of PM$_{2.5}$ during both wet and dry seasons. These results are consistent with those reported for other savannah and tropical forest regions of Brazil with extensive land-cover change, and indicate that human activities generate large atmospheric particulate matter inputs.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors extend their thanks to the CNPq (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) for financial support, CNPq/457824/2013-1 approved under call Nº 68/2013 MCTI/CNPq/ FNDCT - Ação Transversal/LBA.

REFERENCES

12. Artaxo, P.; Martins, J. V.; Yamase, M. A.; Procópio, A. S.; Pauliquevis,