INTERACTION OF CHALCONES WITH CT-DNA BY SPECTROPHOTOMETRIC ANALYSIS AND THEORETICAL SIMULATIONS
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INTRODUCTION

Chalcones are open chain molecules precursors of flavonoids and isoflavonoids, found spread in edible plants. Because they are easily accessible through Claisen Shmidt condensation, a great variety of derivatives are available. They have also shown potential in pharmaceutical and biological applications. It is known that chalcone derivatives display a role in the treatment of complex diseases such as cancer, among others, where the DNA is considered as the target for the action of these kinds of compounds. This action is commonly explained as the inhibition of the DNA replication and transcriptions through interactions. However, not conclusive associations between these DNA-Drug interactions and toxicity have been found. This research focuses on the capacity of a chalcone’s family to interact with DNA. Therefore, the binding constants for each compounds with Calf Thymus DNA [CT-DNA] were determined by spectrophotometric titration at room temperature. In addition, the effect of increasing the chalcone’s concentration over the relative viscosity of CT-DNA at room temperature was assessed. On the other hand, with the aim to find the optimal DNA-chalcone configurations, as well as consistently predict their binding, a computational work was undertaken. To accomplish these goals within a reasonable time framework, an empirical scoring function (AScore) and a docking engine (ShapeDock) were performed using the ArgusLab package. The results of viscosity and docking measurement provided structural insights which suggest that chalcones bind with DNA via interaction as well as intercalation. The presence of interactions is also evidenced by the spectrophotometric study which showed luminescence quenching of the chalcones upon interaction with CT-DNA.
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EXPERIMENTAL

Docking

The docking procedure is envisaged as a complex optimization
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or an exhaustive search process since it involves many degrees of freedom. The ultimate goal is to find the optimal ligand/DNA configurations, and to predict their binding free energy. To computationally accomplish this key objective within a reasonable time framework, an empirical scoring function (A Score) and a docking engine (ShapeDock) were employed in the ArgusLab program.20

The A Score is based on the deconvolution of the total DNA-ligand binding free energy into different components:

$$\Delta G_{\text{binding}} = \Delta G_{\text{vdW}} + \Delta G_{\text{hydrophobic}} + \Delta G_{\text{H-bond}} + \Delta G_{\text{H-bond(chg)}} + \Delta G_{\text{deformation}} + \Delta G_{\text{angular}}$$

The dissected terms account for the van der Waals interaction between the ligand and the DNA ($\Delta G_{\text{vdW}}$), the hydrophobic effect ($\Delta G_{\text{hydrophobic}}$), the hydrogen bonding between the ligand and the protein ($\Delta G_{\text{H-bond}}$), the hydrogen bonding involving charged donor and/or acceptor groups ($\Delta G_{\text{H-bond(chg)}}$), the deformation effect ($\Delta G_{\text{deformation}}$), and the effects of the translational and rotational entropy loss in the binding process ($\Delta G_{\text{angular}}$).

The 3D structures of chalcones were first constructed using ArgusLab, then were optimized using Austin Model 1 [AM1], 200 maximum iteration, followed by conjugate gradient minimization to a RMS energy gradient of 0.01 kcal/mol.21 Candidate conformations of Chalcones interacting to their target structures, the DNA complex, were proposed using ArgusLab.22,23 Here, docking was carried out with a set of Chalcones. (Figure 1)

**Chemicals**

*Synthesis of Chalcones, general methodology*: Chalcones were prepared by adding dropwise a solution of the corresponding substituted benzaldehyde, (7.34 mmol in ethanol, 20 mL) to a stirred mixture of 2-hydroxyacetophenone solution (7.34 mmol, in ethanol, 20 mL) and potassium hydroxide solution (2 g in 10 mL distilled water). The mixture was allowed to react overnight, and then, distilled water (200 mL) were added and neutralized with hydrochloric acid. Then, the mixture was extracted four times with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The combined organic fractions were concentrated in vacuum, dissolved in ethanol, and allowed to crystallize.

We have previously described the synthesis of the following compounds: 2,3,4'-trimethoxy-2'-hydroxy-chalcone (1) which was obtained as orange crystals (58%), mp. 129–130°C; 2,4-di-methoxy-2'-hydroxy-chalcone (2)1,7,24 obtained as yellow crystals (58%), mp. 107 – 109.8 °C; 3'-bromo-3,4-di-methoxy-chalcone (3)25 obtained as yellow crystals (73%), mp. 117–120 °C; The following compounds were prepared as previously reported in the literature. Spectroscopic data were identical to those previously reported.

2,5,4'-trimethoxy-2'-hydroxy-chalcone (4) obtained as orange crystals (75%) mp. 107 - 108 °C and 4'-methoxy-2,4-dichloro-chalcone (5)26 obtained as white crystals (69%), mp. 136.1 - 137.4 °C.

**Cell culture**

HUVEC-derived endothelial cell line, (EA.hy926) was kindly provided by C-J Edgell and was grown in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle Medium (DMEM)-low glucose (GIBCO) supplemented with 10% heat-inactiva fetal bovine serum (FBS), 2 mmol L⁻¹ and 50 U mL⁻¹ penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma).

Human hepatocellular carcinoma HepG2 cells (American Type Culture Collection HB-8065) were grown in monolayer culture in DMEM-high glucose with 10% FBS and antibiotic-antimotic (GIBCO). All cell cultures were grown at 37 °C in a 5%: 95% CO₂ air atmosphere controlled.

**Cell viability assay**

Cell viability was evaluated using the 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide (MTT) colorimetric assay (Invitrogen, Eugene, Oregon, USA). Cell viability was quantified by the amount of MTT reduction27 HepG2 and EA.hy926 were exposed to different concentrations of chalcones for 48 hours. After treatment cells were co-incubated with MTT (0.5 mg mL⁻¹) for 4 hours, and then solubilized with an acidified (0.04 N HCl) isopropanol/dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) solution. Optical density was measured at 540 nm. All experiments were performed as triplicates. Data were expressed as percentage of survival cell. Cell survival (%) data were plotted and adjusted to a sigmoidal best-fit curve, where: IC₅₀ is the chalcone concentration to reach the half-maximal cell survival.

**Interaction of chalcones with CT-DNA by spectrophotometric titration**

Absorption spectroscopy is a useful tool to study the binding of drugs to DNA. Increments in DNA concentration result in shifts of absorption bands, which denote an effect of hyperchromism, resulting from a direct interaction between CT-DNA and the chalcones. These changes are similar to those small molecules that bind to double-stranded DNA through noncovalent interactions18,29

In this sense, the binding constants for the chalcone compounds with CT-DNA were determined by absorption titration at room temperature through the stepwise addition of a CT-DNA solution (10 μL, ~4×10⁻⁴ mol L⁻¹) to a solution of each chalcone (2 mL) of (1) 4.8×10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹, (2) 4.8×10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹, (3) 5.6×10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹, (4) 4.8×10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹ and (5) 4.8×10⁻⁵ mol L⁻¹ in buffer Tris/HCl and NaOH adjusted to pH 7.39.

UV-vis absorption spectra were recorded between 190-1000 nm and the titration was finished when the intensity of those two bands did not change significantly upon further addition of CT-DNA. The binding constant Kb was calculated by using the Scatchard equation:

$$\frac{[\text{DNA}]}{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_f)} = \frac{[\text{DNA}]}{(\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_f)} + \frac{1}{K_b (\varepsilon_s - \varepsilon_f)}$$

where [DNA] is the concentration of DNA, $\varepsilon_s$ is the molar absorption coefficient of the complex chalcone-DNA at given DNA concentration, $\varepsilon_f$ is the molar absorption coefficient of the solution of the free chalcone and $\varepsilon_f$ is the molar absorption coefficient of the chalcone when fully bound to DNA. A plot of [DNA]/($\varepsilon_s$-$\varepsilon_f$) vs [DNA] gives 1/($\varepsilon_s$-$\varepsilon_f$) as the angular coefficient. The $K_b$ is determined by a ratio between the angular and the linear coefficients.
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Viscosity

Hydrodynamic methods have been employed to test the binding mode of DNA to agents. In this sense, viscosity changes provide experimental advantages since viscosity is sensitive to length changes of DNA and the measures can reliably distinguish intercalation from groove binding. When intercalation is present, a planar ligand fragment is placed between adjacent base pairs, which induces lengthening of the helix. These interactions that result in the increase of the DNA length generate an increase of viscosity. On the other hand, a groove binder, typically carries out subtle changes and the DNA remains in the unperturbed form and not increase of the DNA length is observed, therefore this binding mode shows no increase of the viscosity of the DNA solutions.\(^{18,19}\)

In the herein work, the effect of the increasing concentration of chalcones over the relative viscosity of CT-DNA at room temperature was measured employing a viscosimeter Anton Par Lovis 2000M. The values for relative specific viscosity \((\eta/\eta_0)^{1/3}\), where \(\eta_0\) is the specific viscosity contributions of DNA in absence of compound and \(\eta\) is the viscosity in the presence of the complex, were plotted against \([\text{complex}] / [\text{DNA}]\) where \([\text{complex}]\) is the concentration of the chalcones and \([\text{DNA}]\) is the concentration of CT-DNA.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fact of inhibiting the replication process of DNA by action of drugs is the motivation of designing new and more efficient antitumor drugs. Moreover, their effectiveness depends on the mode and affinity of their binding ability to the DNA strands.\(^{13}\) It is for this reason that we employed two cell lines, which have different DNA replication rate, where HepG2 cells have a high rate of replication with respect to EA.hy296 cells. The interactions of chalcones with DNA may have a crucial relevance in its induction of tumor cell death. Our results showed a higher cytotoxic effect of chalcones in EA.hy296 cells compared to HepG2 cells (Table 1).

This selectivity of chalcones could be explained by a lower rate of DNA replication observed in EA.hy296 cells, however both lines are tumor and have low IC\(_{50}\) experiments in primary cultures of normal cells are necessary to determine their selectivity only to tumor cells. The IC\(_{50}\) and Kd values for the chalcone’s family studied here were compared in both cell lines. To compare the effect of chalcones on HepG2 and EA.hy296 cells, a correlation between IC50 and Kd was established (Table 1). The docking computations suggest that the chalcones does interact with DNA via intercalation and that the chalcones exhibits affinity for double stranded DNA as shown in Figure 2, such interaction with the nucleic acids could inhibit cellular DNA synthesis during DNA replication.

According to this docking experiment, the complexes reasonably bind with DNA. The minimum energy obtained for a docked structure (Figure 2) suggest that the best possible conformation of the ligand

Table 1. Relationship between IC\(_{50}\) [μM] ; HepG2 , EAhy926 , Kb and ΔG [kcal/mol]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chalcone</th>
<th>EAh926 IC50 [μmol L(^{-1})]</th>
<th>HepG2 IC50 [μmol L(^{-1})]</th>
<th>ΔG [kcal mol(^{-1})]</th>
<th>Kb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>7.66</td>
<td>10.64</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>2.33E(^{+03})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.73</td>
<td>31.26</td>
<td>-4.06</td>
<td>2.33E(^{+04})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>8.27</td>
<td>-4.04</td>
<td>3.33E(^{+04})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>12.52</td>
<td>21.40</td>
<td>-3.98</td>
<td>3.75E(^{+03})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.92</td>
<td>26.18</td>
<td>-4.13</td>
<td>2.58E(^{+04})</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Molecular simulations of chalcones with DNA
interaction, is mainly through the aromatic ring being inside the DNA strand. It has been observed that the complex is stabilized by electrostatic hydrogen bonding with DNA bases, in addition to van der Waal’s and stacking–bond interactions between electron deficient chalcone ring and purine-pyrimidine bases. The binding energy values are presented in Table 1.

Chalcones can bind with double-stranded DNA in various binding modes on the basis of its structure. However, hypochromic effect could be attributed to the stacking interaction between the aromatic rings of the ligand framework and DNA base pairs as well. The hypochromism and bathochromic shifts may commonly vary in consistence with the strength of intercalative interaction of the complex with DNA helix as well as overall conformation of the DNA. The intrinsic binding constant of the chalcones with DNA was measured as $K_b$ (see Methods). The low value of the binding constant obtained here ($K_b = C1 \times 10^3, C2 \times 10^4, C3 \times 10^4, C4 \times 10^3, C5 \times 10^3$) suggests that the chalcones interacts with DNA double strand in an intercalated manner (Figure 3).

On the other hand, taking into account the viscosity measurements, on increasing the amounts of $C_4>C_5>C_1>C_2>C_3$ bound to DNA, the relative viscosity of the DNA increases steadily (Figure 4). The observed linear increase in viscosity as a function of chalcone content for all compounds across the range of the relation of chalcone-DNA concentrations, suggests the fact that the interaction of the compounds with the DNA leads to increase the length of the DNA chains, which is indicative of the classical intercalation model.\textsuperscript{18,19}

![Figure 3. Electronic titration spectra of chalcones with CD-DNA, a) C1, b) C2, c) C3, d) C4, e) C5](image-url)
Finally, the results of UV-vis spectroscopy, viscosity and docking measurement showed that chalcones binds with DNA via intercalation. It also suggests that the interactions of the chalcones caused a change in the conformation of DNA and thus an increase in intensity of the antitumoral activity was generally observed. Moreover, the results described in this study showed that changing the ligand environment could modulate the binding property of the chalcones with DNA.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Three different approaches (spectrophotometric analysis, viscosity and molecular modeling) were considered to study the interaction between a family of chalcones and DNA.

After satisfactory spectroscopic measurements of the DNA binding ability with the studied compounds, molecular docking calculations were performed to understand the preferred orientation of sterically acceptable complexes.

Furthermore, increase in viscosity measured in the viscosity studies of chalcones-DNA complexes, helped to corroborate that the chalcones and DNA can interact via intercalation.

In general, the experimental and theoretical calculations indicated the presence of interactions between the chalcone’s family with CT-DNA, which could explain the differences in cytotoxicity obtained in different cell types, since DNA replication is frequent in highly proliferating cells.
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