PREOPERATIVE AND PATHOLOGICAL STAGING OF NSCLC: RETROSPECTIVE ANALYSIS OF 291 CASES

RIAD NAIM YOUNES*, FABIO AUGUSTO BARROS SCHUTZ2, JEFFERSON LUZ GROSS3

Study conducted at the Department of Thoracic Surgery, Hospital AC Camargo and Hospital Sírio-Libanes, Sao Paulo, SP

SUMMARY

OBJECTIVE. The objective of this study was to evaluate the accuracy of preoperative clinical staging with computed tomography in predicting the correct pathological stage.

METHODS. Medical records of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients treated, from 1990 to 2005 were reviewed. Clinical stage was based on routine preoperative clinical and imaging evaluation. Positron emission tomography was not routinely performed. Suspected lesions, that would preclude a surgical resection, were pathologically confirmed. The pathological stage was based on final postoperative or biopsy pathological assessment. A correlation table between clinical and pathological stages was generated. Cohen’s kappa index, sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and accuracy were calculated.

RESULTS. Records of 291 patients were reviewed. Clinical stages Ia, Ib, IIa, IIb, IIIa, IIIb and IV were found respectively in 8.9%, 31.9%, 0.3%, 18.6%, 25.4%, 11% and 3.8%. Pathological staging was different from clinical staging in 33% (15% were upstaged and 18% downstaged). Sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values and accuracy for clinical staging were 78%, 69%, 82%, 64% and 67%, respectively. Cohen’s kappa index was 0.574 (P < 0.001).

CONCLUSION. Preoperative clinical staging presents limited efficacy for the correct staging of NSCLC patients from this sample of Brazilian population.
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INTRODUCTION

Lung cancer is one of the most common cancers worldwide. According to the last world estimate, more than 1,300,000 new cases occurred in 2002. A total of 215,020 new cases were expected in the United States in 2008. Lung cancer is the second most common cancer for both men and women. It was also estimated that in 2008, lung cancer would be the leading cause of death from neoplasia (161,840 deaths) in the United States, accounting for approximately 30% of all cancer deaths. In Brazil, according to the Instituto Nacional do Câncer (INCA), it was estimated that 27,270 new cases of lung cancer would be diagnosed in 2008.

Lung cancer remains a highly lethal disease. The five-year overall survival for all stages is approximately 15%, whereas patients submitted to surgical resection present a five-year overall survival rate of approximately 40%. Younes et al. (2004) reported in a Brazilian population, a five-year overall survival of 28%. Despite all efforts to attain correct clinical staging and subsequent radical surgical resection, overall survival rates for lung cancer, according to stage, are significantly lower than those observed in other primary malignant tumors. One of the largest retrospective Brazilian series, with 737 patients, showed overall median survival of 95, 78, 73, 23, 16 and 10 months, respectively, for stages Ib, IIa, IIb, IIIa, IIIb and IV. In Brazil, median overall survival, in a population with metastatic lung cancer outside of clinical trials, was reported as being 9.7 months.

The correct staging of patients is essential, as cancer treatment is directed according to the stage of the disease. Patients with advanced disease could be spared from unnecessary invasive procedures (i.e. thoracotomy) and patients with true early disease would not be spared from a potentially curative treatment. Important considerations in the preoperative assessment of patients with lung cancer include correct staging of the tumor (location and anatomical relation to bronchi, invasion of the thoracic wall and mediastinal structures and pleural implants) and the lymph nodes (presence or absence of involved lymph nodes and their correct location). Pathological stage has been proven to be the most important prognostic factor in lung cancer. However, this can only be accurately determined, usually after pathological confirmation. The correct clinical staging is also important for the...
appropriate decision about complementary treatments (neoadjuvant or adjuvant) to surgical resection. Therefore, efforts for the improvement of clinical staging should be made.

Extensive involvement of mediastinal lymph nodes, as well as the detection of pleural effusion and/or pleural implants or distant metastatic disease, usually precludes radical surgical procedures. Therefore, all efforts must be made for the correct selection of patients that are candidates for radical surgery. Preoperative staging is routinely carried out through chest and abdominal computed tomography (CT), bone scan, magnetic resonance image (MRI) of the brain, bronchoscopy and mediastinoscopy. Recently, Positron Emission Tomography (PET)-CT has been used to stage patients with lung cancer. Despite the recent advances in clinical staging, there is no absolute concordance between clinical and pathological stage. The latter is more accurate, but can be only determined after surgery. Santos et al. (2007) published a Brazilian series of 92 patients, submitted to surgical resection (clinical stages from Ia to IIb), comparing clinical and pathological stages. It was observed that the concordance between clinical and pathological stage was low (approximately 60%), and patients were more often downstaged at preoperative evaluation. The difficulty in predicting lymph node metastases with computed tomography is presumed to be responsible for the low concordance, especially in Brazil, a country with high incidence of infectious diseases like tuberculosis.

The objective of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of the preoperative clinical staging with computed tomography (CT), when compared to pathological staging, in a Brazilian patient population.

**Methods**

This is a retrospective review of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) patients submitted to surgical treatment at the Department of Thoracic Surgery at Hospital do Cancer, A. C. Camargo, São Paulo, Brazil, from 1990 to 2005. All patients had a confirmed histological diagnosis of NSCLC and had been submitted to routine staging and definitive surgical resection of the lung and systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection. Patients submitted to neoadjuvant chemotherapy or radiotherapy were excluded from analysis. The staging classification of the current analysis was carried out based on the criteria established by the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) of 2002. All patients were submitted to the same preoperative staging procedures. Imaging assessment included CT scan of chest and upper abdomen, bone scan scintigraphy and brain CT or MRI, and bronchoscopy. Blood tests included: whole blood count, coagulation tests, creatinine, urea, alkaline phosphatase, lactate dehydrogenase, bilirubins and others, according to clinical indication.

Clinical staging was considered to be the one based on preoperative imaging. Presence of suspected mediastinal lymph nodes (smallest diameter > 1 cm), which would eventually contraindicate surgical resection, was confirmed by direct biopsy. When distant metastatic disease was suspected, pathological confirmation through a biopsy procedure was necessary.

As a routine in our service, radical surgical procedure consisted of lung resection by lobectomy or pneumonectomy (segmentectomy or wedge resections were reserved for patients with poor cardio-pulmonary function) and systematic mediastinal lymph node dissection. Pathological staging was considered the gold standard for stage determination.

For statistical analysis a correlation table between clinical and pathological staging frequency was generated. Cohen’s kappa index was used to determine the power of concordance between clinical and pathological stages. Sensitivity, specificity, negative predictive value, positive predictive value and accuracy were calculated for clinical staging. Differences were considered significant for the value of p < 0.05.

**Results**

A total of 291 cases of non-small cell lung cancer submitted to surgery were reviewed. The baseline patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The correlation between clinical and pathological staging is shown in Table 2 and Figure 1. It was observed that 67% of the patients (n = 194) presented the same clinical and pathological stages. Therefore, 33% (n = 97) of patients had a clinical stage that was different from the pathological stage, with 15% being upstaged (n = 43) and 18% downstaged (n = 54) by clinical staging procedures. Patients that were upstaged were considered as having a false-positive preoperative staging and those downstaged as a false-negative preoperative staging.

Sensitivity and specificity attributed to preoperative staging were, respectively, 78% and 69%. Positive and negative predictive values were, respectively, 82% and 64%, and the accuracy was 67%.

Among patients with preoperative staging Ia (n = 26), 92% presented with the same pathological stage and only two patients (8%) presented a pathological stage higher than Ia (pathological stages Ib and Ila).

The patients with preoperative stage Ib represented the highest proportion of patients in the present study (n = 93). Preoperative staging was able to correctly predict pathological stage in 75% of cases. In the remaining stage Ib patients, a lower stage and a higher stage were observed at surgery, 5% and 20%, respectively. Discordant pathological stages were as follows: Ia = 5%, Ib = 4%, Ila = 11%, Ilb = 1% and IV = 4%. On the other hand, patients with preoperative stage Ila represented the lowest proportion of patients, with only one individual, and pathological stage was coincident with clinical stage.

**Table 1 - Baseline patient characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total patients included (n)</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male (%)</td>
<td>75.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female (%)</td>
<td>24.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age (range)</td>
<td>61.5 (25.4 - 81.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever smokers (n)</td>
<td>154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median pack/years (range)</td>
<td>40 (2.5 - 92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance status - n (%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>132 (45.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>48 (16.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;80</td>
<td>57 (19.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not specified in chart</td>
<td>54 (18.5%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Patients with preoperative stage IIb were the group that most often presented discordance with the pathological stage. Of the 54 patients with preoperative stage IIb, only 43% of patients had the same pathological stage. Patients presented with higher pathological stage in 40% (IIIa in 35% and IIIb in 5%), and 17% of patients presented with lower pathological stage (Ib in 15% and IIa in 2%).

In patients with preoperative stage IIIa (n = 74), 61% presented concordance with the pathological stage. The discordant pathological stages can be divided as follows: Ib in 19%, IIb in 11%, IIIb in 4% and IV in 5%. In clinical stage IIb (n = 34), 71% presented the same pathological stage. Patients that presented with different pathological stage were distributed as follows: Ib in 13%, IIa in 3% and IV in 13%.

Patients with preoperative stage IV, with suspected metastatic sites at the preoperative staging exams, represented 4% (n = 11) of the total number of patients. In this group, we observed that two patients (pathological stage IIb and IIIa) presented a pathological stage lower than IV when submitted to the diagnostic procedure of the suspected lesion.

The results of Cohen’s analysis showed a kappa index of 0.574 (95% confidence interval 0.538-0.610), with p < 0.001.

Discussion

This is the largest Brazilian series (291 patients), to evaluate the efficacy of preoperative clinical staging in non-small cell lung cancer patients treated at a single institution. All patients were submitted to the same staging and treatment procedures. We observed a correlation of 67% between preoperative and pathological staging.

The present study showed sensitivity and specificity of 69% and 78%, respectively. These values are compatible with those observed in medical literature.11-14 Lopez-Encuentra et al. (2005) published the largest series of patients. A concordance of 47% between clinical and pathological staging was found, being higher for stages Ia-Ib, with 75% concordance.15 They also found that downstaging was more frequent than upstaging, similar to what was found in our series. Another series, also demonstrated an overall concordance of 48%, with a higher rate in the earlier stages16

A published meta-analysis, with 42 studies analyzing efficacy of the CT staging, showed an accuracy of 79% for the detection of positive mediastinal lymph nodes (sensitivity of 79% and specificity of 78%).17 Other more recent studies have also demonstrated non-satisfactory, and even lower, sensitivities (63 and 64%) and specificities (57 and 62%), with an accuracy of only 59%.18, 19

In the present series a statistically significant kappa index of 0.574 was found. This result demonstrates moderate concordance between clinical and pathological staging for the entire cohort. This finding is in accordance with previous published series. Santos et al. (2007) stratified patients with clinical stage Ia, Ib and IIb only (n=92), and demonstrated a kappa index of 0.74, 0.33 and 0.41, respectively (8). In the present series, the concordance rate was higher for earlier stages (Ia and Ib) as shown in Figure 1.

In our series, we found that patients with earlier clinical stage had a higher concordance with pathological stage. Patients with Ia and Ib stage were found to have a more advanced pathological stage in only 8 and 20%, respectively. On the other hand, it is important to point out that 40% of patients with clinical stage IIb had in fact a more advanced pathological disease. These results highlight the importance of thorough mediastinal staging.
The retrospective nature of this series and the long time needed to collect the data limit a more comprehensive analysis. However, nowadays there is no enthusiasm for conducting a prospective study to evaluate the effectiveness of CT scan for NSCLC staging. Recent studies have shown that PET-CT scan can improve the efficacy of preoperative staging when added to CT scan. Unfortunately, PET-CT scan is not yet widely available in developing countries. An interesting and unanswered question is the effect of adding PET-CT scan to the CT scan in preoperative NSCLC staging on overall survival.

Most limitations of the correct preoperative clinical staging are related to the N stage. Several studies have demonstrated the low efficacy of the mediastinal staging with CT, when compared to definitive pathological staging.\cite{11-14} Therefore, the systematic lymph node dissection of the mediastinum must be carried out routinely in all lung cancer resections, whenever possible.\cite{20}

The T stage is important for clinical staging, and sometimes it is difficult to predict chest wall, pleural or mediastinal invasion, or even presence of other satellite nodules in the same lobe. These features are directly dependent on the resolution and technique of the CT scan used. However, considering the T stage alone, the concordance, between clinical and pathological stages was seen to be higher than in the N stage. It had been reported that the sensitivity and specificity of CT in distinguishing T3-4 from T1-2 was 63% and 84%, whereas in distinguishing N2 from N0-1 it was 52% and 69%, respectively.\cite{21} When the T stage is analyzed separately from the N stage, approximately 75% of patients had the same clinical and pathological T stage. On the other hand, 26% of patients classified as having clinically N0, in fact were found to have pathological N2.\cite{16}

Thoracoscopy has been suggested to be more accurate for predicting the T stage. In a small series (n = 50), of NSCLC patients with negative mediastinoscopy, it was observed that thoracoscopic examination ruled out malignant pleural effusions (T4 stage) in 14% patients with radiologically obvious effusions, and identified radiologically silent malignant pleural effusions in 6% patients. Thoracoscopy was able to correctly stage 88% of cases, whereas CT did so in 42%.\cite{22} In our series patients were not submitted to routine thoracoscopy for staging.

CT scan estimates the probability of neoplastic involvement of lymph nodes through analysis of their size and/or location. Usually, the normal size of a mediastinal lymph node must not exceed 10mm on its smallest axis. However, some benign lymph nodes, especially in the subcarinal region, might reach 15mm. Any other lymph nodes larger than this size are suspicious for malignancy. However, it is important to remember that, in some mediastinal regions, such as the pericardial fat, lymph nodes are normally not detected. Therefore, any lymph node perceivable in these locations must be considered abnormal.

It seems obvious that the larger the lymph node, the higher is its probability of being positive. However, McLoud et al. (1992) observed that 37% of the lymph nodes between 2 and 4cm in size were simply hyperplastic, with no detectable metastases.\cite{19} Gdeo et al. (1997) reported 29% of false-positive findings at the CT, as well as 12% of false-negative results.\cite{18} In the present series, we also observed a 15% rate of false-positive CT assessments of the total number of patients, whereas the rate of false-negative CT assessments was 18%. Considering only the patients with IIIa or IIIb stages, which are usually due to N positive disease, we observed that the rate of false-positive CT assessments was 30% and 16%, respectively. These results emphasize the importance of pathological confirmation of any suspicious lesion, be it a mediastinal lymph node or any abnormality elsewhere.

Recent CT techniques seem to improve the sensitivity and specificity of the method. Use of the helical CT with 3-mm thick slices and 5-mm slice intervals, during the early and late phases of contrast enhancement, allows the observation of certain characteristics in the lymph nodes that might help in the detection of lymph node metastases. It has been demonstrated that, regardless of size, lymph nodes with central hypodensity or hyperdense nodularity or areas of hyperdensity in the perinodal fat tissue are capable of increasing the sensitivity and specificity to 97% and 100%, respectively, decreasing the chance of false-positive and false-negative lymph nodes. In comparison, when only size of the lymph node is taken into account, sensitivity and specificity obtained were only 52% and 93%, respectively.\cite{23}

The discordance of the M stage is usually due to suspected metastatic disease (e.g. liver and adrenal gland nodules) that are found to be negative for carcinoma after pathological assessment. It has been observed that PET-CT is able to detect distant metastatic disease in approximately 20% of patients thought to be M0 stage by CT.\cite{24} In the present series, PET-CT was not routinely performed. The PET-CT is also important for clinical staging of mediastinal lymph nodes.

A very important factor for imprecision of clinical staging, or stage migration observed in our series, as well was in other previous studies, was the N stage. Mediastinal lymph nodes could be affected microscopically and not seen on clinical staging. On the other hand, some patients have preoperative enlarged, albeit benign lymph nodes. This is particularly frequent in geographic regions with high granulomatous or inflammatory mediastinal disease prevalence,\cite{25} as it occurs in Brazil.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, preoperative clinical staging with CT scan presents a limited efficacy for the correct staging of patients, showing a significant proportion of false-negative and false-positive results. The use of modern tomography equipment and experienced radiologists can improve the sensitivity and specificity of the method. Finally, the PET-CT is promising for the improvement of preoperative clinical staging.
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**Resumo**

**Estadiamentos pré-operatório e patológico do CPNPC: análise retrospectiva de 291 casos**

**Objetivo.** O objetivo do presente estudo foi avaliar a eficácia do estadiamento clínico pré-operatório com tomografia computadorizada com o estadiamento patológico.

**Métodos.** Entre 1990 e 2005, foram revisados retrospectivamente os prontuários dos pacientes com câncer de...
pulmão não-pequenas células (CPNPC). O estágio clínico foi baseado em exames pré-operatórios de imagem. Tomografia por emissão de pósitrons não foi incluída na rotina de exames pré-operatórios. Lesões suspeitas, que contra-indicassem a ressecção cirúrgica curativa, foram confirmadas patologicamente. O estudo patológico foi considerado aquele baseado na análise patológica pós-operatória ou em biópsia de lesão suspeita. Foi gerada uma tabela de correlação entre estágio clínico e patológico. Foram calculados o índice kappa de Cohen, a sensibilidade, a especificidade, o valor preditivo positivo e negativo, e a acurácia.

**Resultados.** 291 prontuários de pacientes foram revisados. Os estágios Ia, Ib, Iib, IIa, IIb e IV foram encontrados em 8,9%, 31,9%, 0,3%, 18,6%, 25,4%, 11% e 3,8%, respectivamente. O índice kappa de Cohen foi de 0,574 (P < 0,001).

**Conclusão.** O estadiamento clínico pré-operatório apresenta eficácia limitada no estadiamento dos pacientes com CPNPC. Aceito para publicação: 18/02/10
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