INTRODUCTION

The description of Müllerian anomalies has greatly improved understanding of genital embryology. Since the description by McBean and Brumsted1 of a woman who had a septate uterus with cervical duplication and longitudinal vaginal septum, the number of reports of similar cases has increased considerably and challenged the classical theory of Müllerian development. The unidirectional caudal to cephalic fusion of the Müllerian ducts is incompatible with the presence of two cervices and a single unified uterine fundus, and reinforces the alternative bidirectional theory.2 We report the case of a woman with this rare anomaly. Our observations are discussed in the light of available literature concerning diagnosis and management of similar cases.

Case report

A 19-year-old nulligravida presented with severe dysmenorrhea since menarche at 12 years of age. She complained of cyclic urinary and intestinal pain. One year before, she was attended by a general practitioner who had prescribed an oral contraceptive and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory but had not diagnosed any genital anomaly. Although the pain had improved, she came to our institution for a second opinion. She had regular menses with normal menstrual bleeding; she denied difficulty initiating intercourse but complained of deep dyspareunia since her first sexual relations six years earlier.

Physical examination revealed a longitudinal vaginal septum beginning at the inferior third of the vagina and extending to the cervix. The longitudinal septum was attached to the medial anterior portion of the double cervix. There was no difficulty performing manual examination. Transvaginal ultrasound demonstrated a normal uterine contour and two endometrial cavities separated by a complete septum. Diagnosis was confirmed by magnetic resonance imaging, which revealed a single uterus with two endometrial cavities and two cervices associated with the vaginal septum. There were no abnormalities in the urinary tract.

The patient was taken to the operating room for a procedure involving both endoscopic and vaginal surgical approaches. The vaginal septum was resected, and the two cervices were unified using a monopolar electrode to avoid excessive bleeding. The uterine septum was then resected through hysteroscopy. Laparoscopy showed a normal uterine fundus without indentation and a 5mm focus of endometriosis in the cul-de-sac was excised.

The patient's postoperative recovery was uneventful, and she was discharged from hospital the day after surgery. We prescribed conjugated estrogen for 21 days and medroxyprogesterone acetate for the last 10 days of this course. After the hormone therapy, the patient had normal menstrual bleeding with mild pain. Thereafter, cyclic combined oral contraceptive pills were started. Hysteroscopy performed two months postoperatively revealed a normal uterine cavity.

DISCUSSION

Septate uterus with cervical duplication and a longitudinal vaginal septum is a rare congenital malformation. Since it was first described in 1994,1 published reports suggest that the true incidence of the anomaly is more common than initially believed3.

SUMMARY

We present the case of a 19-year-old nulligravida woman with severe dysmenorrhea since menarche; she was found to have a longitudinal vaginal septum, cervical duplication and two endometrial cavities, separated by a complete septum. Diagnosis and management of this unusual Müllerian anomaly are discussed in the context of a literature review.

Patton et al. reported 16 patients with cervical duplication and a longitudinal uterine and vaginal septum; none had been diagnosed correctly before referral. The most common misdiagnosis (10 cases) was didelphys uterus.

The unique characteristic of this malformation, a cervical duplication in the middle of a unified vagina and uterus, even in the presence of a complete septum, indicates clearly that it initiated during development in the middle portion of Müllerian ducts and extended cranially and caudally, as proposed by Musset et al. in 1967. Location of fusion initiation may vary among individuals, and this variability could explain the wide variety of uterine malformations observed.

Patients described in literature have presented with a variety of symptoms, including severe dysmenorrhea and infertility; some have been asymptomatic (Table 1). Interestingly, the great majority of patients, such as the present one, did not have difficulty with initiation of intercourse. Diagnosis is made by careful examination, followed by imaging. Magnetic resonance provides good cervical imaging and is the best noninvasive method for differentiating septate, bicornuate and didelphys uterus. Most physicians, however, still use a combined approach with hysteroscopy and laparoscopy to confirm diagnosis.

The best approach to management which should provide relief of symptoms and preserve reproductive ability is controversial (Table 1). Resection of the vaginal septum is easy and commonly performed. Hysteroscopic resection of a uterine septum using a minimally invasive approach (improving obstetric outcomes) is the gold standard according to most authorities.

There have been different methods reported to distend or indent the septum for the hysteroscopic incision, including use of metal probes, Foley catheters, or plastic dilators. In our case, as we also unified a double cervix, we used this as a point of reference in the beginning of the uterine cavity to extend the resection with a monopolar electrode. The union of the two cervices is another area of controversy. Some authors believe that cervical manipulation increases risk of cervical incompetence and that there is a risk of problematic bleeding during surgery. Others

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Main symptom(s)</th>
<th>Imaging exams</th>
<th>Resection of vaginal septum</th>
<th>Resection of uterine septum</th>
<th>Cervix unified</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>McBean et al.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Metrorrhagia</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balasch et al.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Infertility, oligo-amenorrhea and dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>US, IVP[S,R1]</td>
<td>1 case</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergün et al.6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Spontaneous abortion, dysmenorrhea and dyspareunia</td>
<td>US, HSG, IVP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pregnancy and cerclage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharara et al.7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infertility</td>
<td>MRI, US, HSG</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Giraldo et al.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infertility</td>
<td>HSG, MRI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wai et al.9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No symptoms</td>
<td>MRI, IVP, H, L</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Tompkins</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hundley et al.10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Pelvic pain and dyspareunia</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chang et al.3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>US, IVP, MRI</td>
<td>1 case</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spontaneous pregnancy (1 case)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patton et al.4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Dyspareunia and Obstetric complications</td>
<td>US HSG (10), MRI (6)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic (11), Tompkins (5)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>2 patients: no attempt to conception in 14 cases: 14 pregnancies, 3 abortions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pavone et al.12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infertility</td>
<td>MRI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spontaneous pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hur et al.13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Malodorous vaginal discharge</td>
<td>US, MRI, IVP</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Badalotti et al.14</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>US</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Spontaneous pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caliskan et al.15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Infertility and menorrhagia</td>
<td>US, HSG and MRI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pregnancy (IVF). No evidence of cervical incompetence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Dysmenorrhea</td>
<td>US, MRI</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hysteroscopic</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N: number of reported cases; US: pelvic ultrasound; IVP: intravenous pyelogram; HSG: hysterosalpingography; MRI: magnetic resonance imaging; H: hysteroscopy; L: laparoscopy; IVF: in vitro fertilization.
prefer to unify the cervices to facilitate surgery and decrease the likelihood of recurrent symptoms\textsuperscript{6,15}. In patients with a complete uterine septum extending into the cervix, Parsanezhad et al. demonstrated that resection of the cervical septum made the hysteroscopic metroplasty easier, faster, and safer than preserving it\textsuperscript{17}. In addition, this procedure did not increase the risk of cervical incompetence.

**CONCLUSION**

The presence of this unusual anomaly provides information relevant for our understanding of embryology. Because of the rarity of this condition, there is not yet sufficient evidence to establish consensus regarding management. Resection of the vaginal septum is easily performed; hysteroscopic resection of uterine septum requires more experience and is generally indicated, particularly in women with poor reproductive outcomes. The union of the two cervices remains controversial.
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