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**Abstract**

**Objective:** to evaluate the relationship between body composition of preschool children suffering from excess weight and birth weight (BW).

**Methods:** probabilistic sample, by conglomerates, with 17 daycare centers (of a total of 59) composing a final sample of 479 children. We used Z-score of Body Mass Index (zBMI) ≥ +1 and ≥ +2, respectively, to identify preschool children with risk of overweight and excess weight (overweight or obesity). The arm muscle area (AMA) and the arm fat area (AFA) were estimated from measurements of arm circumference, triceps skin fold thickness.

**Results:** the prevalence of risk of overweight was 22.9% (n=110) and excess weight was 9.3% (n=44). The risk of overweight and excess weight in children did not show correlation between BW and AFA, but it did with adjusted arm muscle area (AMAa) (rP= 0.21; p= 0.0107). The analysis of the group with excess weight alone also showed a positive correlation between BW and AMAa (rP= 0.42; p= 0.0047).

**Conclusion:** among overweight children, lower BW is associated with a lower arm muscle area in early preschool age, regardless of the fat arm area presented by them.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Birth weight reflects, among other things, intrauterine growth and nutritional status of the newborn, being regarded as a separate indicator of health that can influence the growth and development of the child, in the medium and long term, also affecting the health conditions in adulthood. In this sense, overweight and obesity, described as having an increasing prevalence in the population and now also affecting very young children, even in families of lower socioeconomic status, in several studies, have been linked to birth weight. Other factors that would be determinant of risk of excess weight are significant catch-up growth in the first 2 years of life and body composition. The latter would modify the body’s ability to deal with glucose metabolism, especially when there is reduction in muscle mass.

Barker et al. proposed several hypotheses to explain the observed association between IUGR, commonly measured by low birth weight, and the reduced
lean body mass that these children may have. The first hypothesis is that, in situations of stress during pregnancy, the development of vital organs like the brain would be favored at the expense of muscle tissue. The second suggests that fetal hypoglycemia due to insufficient glucose supply would cause a reduction in insulin secretion that, in turn, would stimulate protein breakdown. The last hypothesis is that poor fetal nutrition would reduce the concentration of insulin-like growth factor type 1 (IGF-1), thereby undermining the growth of muscle mass.

Singhal et al., in a study of adolescents, showed that an increase of the order of one standard deviation in birth weight was associated with 2% to 3% increase in lean body mass without corresponding increase in fat mass. Since muscles are important “organs” for glucose metabolism, “metabolic programming” that results in a reduction in muscle mass would be able to cause insulin resistance.

This would be one possible mechanism by which low birth weight due to IUGR would be associated with increased risk of developing chronic noncommunicable diseases in adulthood.

Considering the importance of early detection of risk for overweight/obesity, and its possible relation with a lower birth weight and lower lean mass, this study was conducted to evaluate the relationship between birth weight and body composition in preschool children with excess weight.

METHODS

Cross-sectional study with preschoolers aged 2 to less than 4 years, enrolled and attending daycare centers in the city of Taubaté, São Paulo, Brazil. The sampling was probabilistic and random, by clusters, from a list of 59 daycare centers provided by the Department of Education and Culture of the City of Taubaté, in the state of São Paulo, Brazil, so that 17 were randomly selected to compose a final study sample.

In order to calculate the size of the sample, an expected prevalence of at least 25% for excess weight was used, estimated to have an accuracy of 5% for a level of significance (α) of 0.05 and test power (1-β) 0.80, leading to a required minimum sample size of 528 preschoolers.

The sample of randomly selected daycare centers included a total of 534 children. The data collection period was from September of 2008 to March of 2010. All children in the sample had the consent of parents or guardians to participate in the study. Of the initial sample, 55 children (10.3%) who had a low birth weight or whose weight at birth was above 4.5 g were excluded, changing the total number of children in the final sample to 479.

From a total of 479 children and estimating a confidence interval of 95% (for 80% statistical power), it was found that the final sample would discriminate 4-percentage point differences, which was considered adequate for the study, with no need to replace the 55 children excluded, corresponding to 10.3% of the initially selected sample.

Birth weight (BW) and birth length (BL) of these children were collected through standardized forms, derived from three sources: the register of the Municipal University Maternity Hospital; the child’s card, which is attached to the daycare center’s enrollment forms; and, if necessary, information provided by mothers and/or guardians of the child, in order to diminish the losses caused by the lack of this information. Retrieving information on gestational age was not possible and therefore no assessment of appropriateness of weight and birth length was performed. In the analysis, we chose to operationalize birth weight (in grams), birth length (in centimeters) and weight:length ratio at birth (g/cm) on an ongoing basis.

All children underwent anthropometric assessment in their own daycare centers, recording weight, height, arm circumference (AC) and triceps skin fold thickness (TST). The measurements were performed by nutritionists and medical students previously trained in accordance with the standards of the World Health Organization (WHO).

The anthropometric measurements were performed at the daycare centers, on days previously scheduled. For weighing, a portable digital scale SECA ® (Seca ® 803) was used, with a capacity of 150 kg and 100 g precision. Children were weighed without shoes and in light clothing. Height was obtained using portable stadiometer WISO ®, wall mounted, standardized in centimeters and millimeters. The children’s height was measured without shoes and without hair ornaments; they were placed standing up, with arms outstretched at their sides, shoulders relaxed, heels put together, and aligned with the Frankfurt horizontal plane.

AC was obtained by a tape measure with a thickness of 0.5 cm, surrounding the arm, without pressing the midpoint of the nondominant arm between the acromion and the olecranon, with the child standing, and arms relaxed along the body.
The measurement of triceps skin fold thickness (TST) was taken with a LANGE® skin fold caliper, with constant pressure at the midpoint of the dorsal surface of the non-dominant arm in the triceps area. The fold of skin was measured twice, so that, if there was discrepancy between the two measurements, the procedure would be performed again. Thereafter, for purposes of analysis, the average of the recorded values was used for each child.

To point out the children at risk of being overweight, we used a cut off ≥ +1 for the Z score of body mass index (zBMI) and, in order to identify those with excess weight (overweight or obese), the cutoff ≥ +2 zBMI was used as the reference values adopted by the WHO (2006) and the guidelines of both the Ministry of Health (2009) and the WHO (2006). The arm muscle area (AMA) and arm fat area (AFA) were estimated based on mathematical formulas by Rolland-Cachera et al., using triceps skin fold and arm circumference measures. The correction of AMA (AMAa), used in this study, follows the proposition of Heymsfield et al. being proportionally adjusted to this population. For statistical analysis, Medcalc® (9.3.9.0 version) and SPSS® (15.0 version) softwares were used. Frequencies, proportions and means were calculated according to their relevance, with subsequent estimate of their associations. We opted to use the significance level of 0.05 and, where appropriate, confidence intervals (CI) of 95% were also estimated.

This study was in accordance with resolution CNS 196/96, and was approved by the Ethics Committee in Research of the University of (CEP/Unitau), no. 0002/05, and the Research Ethics Committee of the School of Public Health, at University of São Paulo (protocol n° 361/09).

**Results**

The mean age of the final sample was 3.3 years, with a standard deviation (SD) of 0.3 years. Of the total number of children assessed, 53.9% (n = 258) were male.

The prevalence of normal weight was at 67.8% (n= 325), with risk for overweight at 22.9% (n= 110) and excess weight at 9.3% (n= 44).

In the group of preschoolers at risk for overweight, overweight and obesity, we observed that 52% of children were female.

When comparing the group of preschool children with excess weight to those with normal weight or excess weight, it was found that there was no significant difference in terms of age (p= 0.645) and birth weight (p= 0.370).

The arm muscle area (AMA) (p = 0.002), arm fat area (AFA) (p <0.001) and zBMI (p <0.0001), as expected, showed significant differences between the groups at risk of being overweight or presenting excess weight.

In the final sample (n = 479), there was a positive correlation between birth weight and zBMI (rP= 0.23; p < 0.0001) and birth length (rP= 0.16; p= 0.0004), as well as the weight:length ratio at birth (rP= 0.20; p < 0.0001).

In 154 children at risk for overweight or excess weight, a positive correlation was observed between zBMI and AMAa (rP= 0.33; p < 0.0001) and AFA (rP= 0.74; p < 0.0001). Nevertheless, zBMI was not correlated with weight at birth (rP= 0.04; p= 0.62).

**Figure 1** shows the positive correlation between birth weight and AMAa (rP= 0.21; p= 0.0107), observed in the group of children at risk for overweight and excess weight. In both groups, there was a positive correlation between birth weight and unadjusted AMA (rP= 0.21; p= 0.0086), even though no correlation was observed between birth weight and AFA (rP= -0.13; p= 0.1082).

The analysis that only included the group of children with excess weight revealed a positive correlation between birth weight and both adjusted AMA (rP= 0.42; p= 0.0047) (Figure 2) and non adjusted AMA (rP= 0.43; p= 0.0033), even though there was no evident correlation between birth weight and AFA measures (rP= -0.20; p= 0.1854).
dren, adolescents and/or adults, but, with respect to the beginning of the preschool age, we found only two studies on the relationship between birth weight and lean mass - both conducted in developed countries.

Hediger et al. found that the arm muscle area (AMA) was lower in children born small for gestational age (SGA) when compared to large for gestational age (LGA). Similar results were observed in this study, i.e., lower birth weight was related to lower AMA. However, no association was found between birth weight and AFA, unlike the observations made by Hediger et al. that AFA was associated with birth weight, being lower in SGA. Still according to these authors, the weight at birth was more weakly associated with AFA compared with AMA.

Another study showed that children born SGA also had less lean body mass, despite having more fat mass compared with children appropriate for gestational age (AGA). Both groups (SGA and LGA) had similar weight gain and BMI.

A limitation of this study was that it was not possible to retrieve information on the gestational age of a part of the children included in the sample. But even though this has made almost impossible to compare this work in more detail with the aforementioned studies, the validity of the results observed in this study, as well as the trends indicated by it, were certainly not compromised.

As for studies in later life, a survey among school children also found no relationship between birth weight and proportion of body fat mass, but noted a positive correlation between birth weight and lean mass in these children. Similar results were also observed in other studies with preadolescents and adults. These data suggest that other factors, such as weight gain in the first year of life and/or a genetic predisposition, would be associated with the development of a greater amount of body fat in childhood.

Regarding the Barker hypothesis, there seems to be consensus on the relationship between birth weight and lean body mass, regardless of age group, but the relationship with fat mass remains controversial.

All these facts, together with the results of this study reinforce the initial hypothesis that there is indeed a positive association between birth weight and arm muscle area of preschool children, which is indicative of the existence of this very association with lean body mass.

AMA, a measure to estimate lean mass, seems to be a more consistent indicator than the single use of BMI for assessing possible risks of overweight in childhood. The importance of this consideration is reinforced by the fact that it estimated lean mass, a measure that is not influenced by age, gender, or body size.

**Figure 2** Correlation between birth weight (BW) and adjusted arm muscle area (AMAa) of preschool children with excess weight (overweight or obese).

**Figure 3** Correlation between the adjusted arm muscle area (AMAa) and arm fat area (AFA) of preschool children at risk for overweight and excess weight.

**Discussion**

In this study, in preschoolers at risk for overweight or excess weight, birth weight was directly associated with the adjusted arm muscle area (AMAa), regardless of the arm fat area (AFA) displayed by the child, even if there was no association between birth weight and zBMI in the preschool age range.

Less lean body mass has been described in several studies as a risk factor equivalent to visceral fat for the development of changes in glucose metabolism and chronic noncommunicable diseases in adulthood. Many studies about the relationship between weight at birth and body composition have been conducted with children, adolescents and adults, but, with respect to the beginning of the preschool age, we found only two studies on the relationship between birth weight and lean mass - both conducted in developed countries.

Hediger et al. found that the arm muscle area (AMA) was lower in children born small for gestational age (SGA) when compared to large for gestational age (LGA). Similar results were observed in this study, i.e., lower birth weight was related to lower AMA. However, no association was found between birth weight and AFA, unlike the observations made by Hediger et al. that AFA was associated with birth weight, being lower in SGA. Still according to these authors, the weight at birth was more weakly associated with AFA compared with AMA.

Another study showed that children born SGA also had less lean body mass, despite having more fat mass compared with children appropriate for gestational age (AGA). Both groups (SGA and LGA) had similar weight gain and BMI.

A limitation of this study was that it was not possible to retrieve information on the gestational age of a part of the children included in the sample. But even though this has made almost impossible to compare this work in more detail with the aforementioned studies, the validity of the results observed in this study, as well as the trends indicated by it, were certainly not compromised.

As for studies in later life, a survey among school children also found no relationship between birth weight and proportion of body fat mass, but noted a positive correlation between birth weight and lean mass in these children. Similar results were also observed in other studies with preadolescents and adults. These data suggest that other factors, such as weight gain in the first year of life and/or a genetic predisposition, would be associated with the development of a greater amount of body fat in childhood.

Regarding the Barker hypothesis, there seems to be consensus on the relationship between birth weight and lean body mass, regardless of age group, but the relationship with fat mass remains controversial.

All these facts, together with the results of this study reinforce the initial hypothesis that there is indeed a positive association between birth weight and arm muscle area of preschool children, which is indicative of the existence of this very association with lean body mass.

AMA, a measure to estimate lean mass, seems to be a more consistent indicator than the single use of BMI for assessing possible risks of overweight in childhood. The importance of this consideration is reinforced by the fact that it estimated lean mass, a measure that is not influenced by age, gender, or body size.
**RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** avaliar a relação entre a composição corporal de pré-escolares portadores de excesso de peso e o seu peso ao nascimento (PN).

**Métodos:** amostra probabilística, por conglomerados, com 17 creches (de um total de 59), composta por 479 crianças. Para identificar os pré-escolares portadores de risco de sobrepeso e de excesso de peso (sobrepeso ou obesidade), utilizou-se o escore Z de índice de massa corpórea (zIMC) ≥ +1 e ≥ +2, respectivamente. A área muscular do braço (AMB) e a área gorda do braço (AGB) foram estimadas a partir das medidas de circunferência do braço e da dobra cutânea tricipital.

**Resultados:** a prevalência de risco de sobrepeso foi de 22.9% (n= 110) e de excesso de peso (sobrepeso ou obesidade) de 9.3% (n= 44). Juntais, as crianças com risco de sobrepeso e excesso de peso não mostraram correlação entre o PN e a área gorda do braço, mas, sim, com a área muscular do braço (r_p= 0.21; p= 0.0107). A análise só do grupo com excesso de peso também evidenciou correlação positiva entre PN e AMB (r_p= 0.42; p= 0.0047).

**Conclusão:** nas crianças com excesso de peso, um menor PN está associado a uma menor área muscular do braço no início da idade pré-escolar, independentemente da área gorda do braço que elas apresentam.

**Unitermos:** composição corporal, pré-escolar, peso ao nascer, excesso de peso.
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