Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia – a review
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Summary

Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia (WM) is a lymphoproliferative disease of B lymphocytes, characterized by a lymphoplasmocytic lymphoma in the bone marrow and by IgM monoclonal hypergammaglobulinemia. It was first described in 1944 by Jan Gösta Waldenström, reporting two patients with oronasal bleeding, lymphadenopathy, anemia, thrombocytopenia, high erythrocyte sedimentation rate and serum viscosity, normal radiography and bone marrow infiltrated by lymphoid cells.

The WM is a rare disease with a typically indolent clinical course, affecting mainly individuals aged between 63 and 68 years. Most patients have clinical signs and symptoms related to hyperviscosity resulting from IgM monoclonal gammopathy, and/or cytopenias resulting from bone marrow infiltration by lymphoma. The differential diagnosis with other lymphomas is essential for the assessment of prognosis and therapeutic approach.

Treatment of patients with asymptomatic WM does not improve the quality of life of patients, or increase their survival, being recommended, therefore, their follow-up. For the treatment of symptomatic patients, alkylating agents, purine analogs and anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies are used. However, the disease is incurable and the response to therapy is not always favorable. Recent studies have shown promising results with bortezomib, an inhibitor of proteasomes, and some patients respond to thalidomide. In patients with relapse or refractory to therapy, autologous transplantation may be indicated.

The aim of this paper is to describe in detail the current knowledge on the pathophysiology of WM, main clinical manifestations, diagnosis, prognosis and treatment.
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Introduction

Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia (WM), described in 1944 by Jan Gösta Waldenström, is a lymphoplasmacytic lymphoma (LPL) characterized by IgM monoclonal hypergammaglobulinemia and bone marrow infiltration.¹

LPLs are rare and indolent cancers of mature B-lymphocytes, which predominantly involve the bone marrow and, less commonly, the spleen, lymph nodes, peripheral blood and other organs.¹

Epidemiology

WM has an estimated incidence of 3 cases/million/year, accounting for about 2% of all hematological cancers.² There is a higher incidence in individuals aged between 63 and 68 years.³ Approximately 60% of patients are men, and it is more common in caucasian individuals.³ The average survival is 5 years,³ however, approximately 10% of patients survive up to 15 years.⁴ As the disease is mainly diagnosed in old age, about 50% of patients die due to comorbidities not related directly to WM.³

Etiology

Its etiology is unknown, but several studies suggest a possible causal relationship with autoimmune diseases, exposure to environmental factors and chronic antigenic...
stimulation, such as infection with the hepatitis C virus (HCV). Despite the high incidence of HCV infection in these patients, a statistically significant association between HCV infection and WM has not been found.5

In relation to familial predisposition, an association is estimated in 20% of cases.6,7 In first degree family relations there is a high risk of developing lymphoproliferative diseases, which is twenty times higher for WM/LPL.8

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY
It is believed that WM originates in memory B-lymphocytes.9,10 These lymphocytes descend from B-lymphocytes that proliferate in the germinal centers of lymph nodes (post-germinal center B-lymphocytes), accumulating all the genetic changes that occur in these centers. Thus, in most cases, the neoplastic B cells present somatic hypermutation in the genes coding the hypervariable regions of the immunoglobulin heavy chains (VH genes).11,12 However, in some cases, the neoplastic B-cells are derived from B-lymphocytes which have undergone somatic mutation outside of germinal centers.13 In other cases, there is no evidence of somatic mutations in the VH genes, which may indicate that they are derived from pre-germinal center B-lymphocytes, such as “virgin” B-lymphocytes.12

In relation to the mechanisms involved in the pathophysiology of WM, the blocking of immunoglobulin isotype switching and the role of cytokines is noteworthy.

Most malignant cells in WM express surface IgM and IgD, suggesting an intrinsic incapacity to switch isotypes.15 This “block” may be related to the absence/dysfunction of the activation-induced cytidine deaminase (AID) enzyme, which is involved in somatic hypermutation and the immunoglobulin isotype switching process.11,13

Although isotype switching is rarely seen in WM, according to some studies it is possible that it occurs ex vivo and in vivo. Kriangkum et al.11 demonstrated that AID may be induced ex vivo, by stimulation with CD40L and interleukin-4 (IL-4). Another study showed the possibility of isotype switching occurring in vivo.14

Mast cells and various cytokines play an important role in the development of the disease.15 Cytokines may be important for angiogenesis, increased bone resorption, proliferation, survival of malignant cells, and secretion of monoclonal IgM.

In WM, malignant B-lymphocytes express the receptor CD27,13 which can be found in the membrane of memory B-lymphocytes and in soluble form (sCD27) in high concentrations in the serum.16 sCD27 activates bone marrow mast cells by binding to CD70. Activated mast cells secrete growth and survival factors for B-lymphocytes such as CD40L and APRIL (proliferation-inducing ligand),15 which may contribute to lymphoplasmacytoid differentiation of malignant cells in the bone marrow.

CLINICAL SYMPTOMS
The clinical presentation of WM varies. Most of the patients present clinical signs/symptoms related to IgM hypogammaglobulinemia and/or LPL infiltration in organs and tissues, especially bone marrow. However, some patients do not exhibit any clinical symptoms when diagnosis is made.16

Blood hyperviscosity determines hemorheological changes and is one of the most important characteristics of WM; however, it is observed in less than 15% of patients upon diagnosis. The large size of the monoclonal IgM molecule and its high concentration contribute to increased blood viscosity and vascular resistance, compromising the blood flow to oxygenate tissues.17

The main clinical manifestations associated with the hyperviscosity syndrome are bleeding (epistaxis, bleeding gums and gastrointestinal bleeding), ocular changes (papilledema, blindness, blurred vision and retinal changes: hemorrhage, exudates, dilatation and segmentation of the retinal veins, venous thrombosis), neurological changes (headache, dizziness, syncope, deafness, ataxia, diplopia, drowsiness and even seizures) and cardiac changes (heart failure).18

The symptoms of hyperviscosity generally manifest when the concentration of monoclonal IgM is greater than 5000 mg/dL or when the serum viscosity reaches 4-5 cP (reference range: 1.4 to 1.8 cP). However, the serum viscosity is not always proportional to the concentration of IgM and its relationship to symptoms is not linear.16

Type I cryoglobulinemia (monoclonal IgM cryoglobulinemia) is associated with lymphoproliferative diseases such as WM, and is detected in approximately 20% of patients, while symptomatic in only 5% of cases.19 The precipitation of monoclonal IgM cryoglobulin is also responsible for some clinical symptoms, such as Raynaud’s phenomenon, acrocyanosis, purpura and necrosis of body regions most exposed to the cold. It is also responsible for the development of distal symmetrical sensorimotor polyneuropathy or multiple mononeuropathy with axonal degeneration.20,21

Monoclonal IgM can cause platelet dysfunction by binding to IIa and Ib glycoproteins on the surface of platelets or due to nonspecific interactions with platelets.9 It may also neutralize the activity of several coagulation factors (fibrinogen, prothrombin, factors V, VII, VIII, IX, X, and Von Willebrand factor),9,22 triggering hemostatic
disorders that are the source of hemorrhagic manifestations.

Monoclonal IgM may exhibit “cold agglutinin” activity, binding to erythrocyte antigens at a temperature lower than physiological temperature, determining the development of chronic cold antibody hemolytic anemia. This monoclonal immunoglobulin is generally IgM kappa, which often interacts with I/i antigens on the surface of erythrocytes.23,24 Anemia manifests in less than 10% of patients and is generally associated with “cold agglutinins” levels above 1:1000.25 The reduction in the temperature of blood flowing through the peripheral blood vessels favors the binding of IgM “cold agglutinins” to the surface of erythrocytes.26 This agglutination of erythrocytes in peripheral blood vessels is responsible for Raynaud’s phenomenon, acrocyanosis and livedo reticularis, which is reversible when large blood circulation resumes.

Type II cryoglobulinemia (mono and polyclonal) is characterized by the deposition of monoclonal IgM-polyclonal IgG immunocomplexes at the level of blood vessels, with consequent activation of the complement.17 The main clinical manifestations are vasculitis, purpura, arthralgia, digital necrosis, Raynaud’s phenomenon, peripheral neuropathy in lower limbs, renal impairment (proteinuria, hematuria, nephrotic syndrome), and liver impairment (hepatomegaly, liver dysfunction).

Around 20% of patients may be experiencing neurological symptoms at the time of diagnosis. The most frequent neurological disorder is a demyelinating distal symmetrical sensorimotor peripheral neuropathy, which manifests itself slowly and progressively, causing paresthesia and asthenia. About 50% of these patients have myelin-associated glycoprotein antibodies (MAG anti-antibodies).27 These are generally monoclonal IgM kappa and are often involved in demyelinating neuropathies.

Monoclonal IgM can also connect nonspecifically to multiple antigens of the peripheral nerves, triggering axonal impairment.20,28

The biological function of various tissues and/or organs may be altered by the formation and deposition of monoclonal IgM aggregates, however, the clinical manifestations related to their deposition are not frequent.

The deposition of monoclonal IgM in the basal membrane of the epidermis is associated with bullous skin disease.29 If it occurs at the level of the dermis it contributes to the formation of papular-nodular lesions on the surface (Macroglobulinemia cutis).30,31 Some patients may have chronic urticarial erythema, fever and arthralgia (Schnitzler syndrome).32

The deposition of monoclonal IgM in the lamina propria and/or submucosa of the intestine can be associated with diarrhea, malabsorption and gastrointestinal bleeding.33

Renal failure is not very common; however, monoclonal IgM may accumulate in the renal glomeruli, forming subendothelial deposits that clog glomerular capillaries.34 In this case, there may be moderate but reversible proteinuria, being the majority of patients asymptomatic.

In primary or light chain amyloidosis (AL amyloidosis), amyloid fibrils may be deposited in the heart, kidneys, liver, lungs and peripheral nerves.20 Cardiac and pulmonary involvement is more frequent in patients with amyloidosis associated with monoclonal IgM.35,36 AL amyloidosis may be related to the development of symmetrical or asymmetrical sensory-motor polyneuropathy. Patients experience pain, the sensation of “electric shocks” and thermal sensitivity in the lower limbs. AL amyloidosis may further affect the autonomic nervous system, causing diarrhea, hypotension, impotence and bladder dysfunction.28

The deposition of amyloid A protein (AA amyloidosis) has been documented, although rare,37,38 and may occur in the kidneys and intestines, causing nephrotic syndrome and intestinal malabsorption.39

IgG and IgA hypogammaglobulinemia may occur simultaneously with monoclonal IgM hypergammaglobulinemia, which can contribute to recurrent respiratory tract infections, but its cause is not well understood and could be associated with alterations in the development of plasma cells and/or the production of immunoglobulins.40

LPL primarily involves the bone marrow, but the disease can reach the lymph nodes, spleen and liver, among other organs. Lymphoplasmocytoid/plasmacytic infiltration is responsible for asthenia, fatigue, recurrent fever, night sweats, weight loss, cytopenia, lymphadenopathy and organomegaly.37

Fatigue is one of the most common symptoms and is often associated with normocytic normochromic anemia; around 80% of symptomatic patients have moderate to severe anemia.27 Anemia is not only due to the change in medullary erythropoiesis. Other factors may contribute to its aggravation, such as gastrointestinal bleeding, hyperhemolysis, and hyperviscosity itself, which may cause a decrease in the erythropoietin synthesis.41 It should be noted that false anemia may be observed in some patients caused by the high concentration of monoclonal IgM, which contributes to the increase in plasma volume and consequent hemodilution.
Extramedullary infiltration is uncommon, and may affect the articular and periarticular structures, gastrointestinal tract, lungs, kidneys, skin, eyes and central nervous system.17,27,42

The symptoms of malabsorption, diarrhea, obstipation or bleeding may indicate involvement of the gastrointestinal tract.43-46 Some patients have cellular infiltration at the pulmonary parenchymal level,47,48 being coughing the most common symptom, followed by dyspnea and chest pain. Renal infiltration has also been reported,49 as well as cutaneous infiltration (maculopapular lesions, plaques or nodules)7,50 and infiltration of periorbital structures, such as the tear gland and retro-orbital lymphoid tissue (ocular tremors).51,52

The infiltration of malignant cells in the central nervous system is responsible for a rare neurological disorder called Bing-Neel syndrome. Associated symptoms are nystagmus, diplopia, vertigo, memory loss, mental confusion, motor dysfunction and eventually coma.17,53

**Diagnosis**

Table 1 presents the diagnostic criteria for WM. The detection of IgM monoclonal gammopathy is important for diagnosis, but the serum concentration presents a great variability between individuals.16

For diagnosis, a bone marrow biopsy is crucial to assess the extent of neoplastic infiltration, the infiltration pattern and cellular morphology. In WM, medullary infiltrate consists of a monoclonal cellular population of small B-lymphocytes, in different maturation stages: small lymphocytes, lymphoplasmacytoid lymphocytes and plasma cells.

The level of differentiation of the infiltrate is variable, ranging from lymphoplasmacytoid (47% of cases), consisting of small cells and plasmacytoid lymphocytes, to lymphoplasmacytic (42%), with predominantly small lymphocytes and plasma cells. The polymorphic state (11%) is characterized by a broad spectrum of these many cells.

A high number of mast cells in the medullary infiltrate is frequent, and this finding may help in the differential diagnosis.

LPL may eventually evolve into a more aggressive form of lymphoma such as as diffuse large B-cell lymphoma.54 This evolution is accompanied by worsening of clinical symptoms, with development of profound cytopenia, organomegaly and extramedullary cellular infiltration.54

In the peripheral blood, plasmacytoid lymphocytes are sometimes observed, but leukemic symptoms are rarely observed.

Immunophenotyping should be interpreted simultaneously, verifying its consistency with the results of a bone marrow biopsy. In practice, IgM monoclonal gammapathy associated with the expression of IgM on neoplastic B-lymphocytes with a CD19+, CD20+, CD5-, CD10-, CD23-, sIgM+ (monoclonal) and intertrabecular pattern of bone marrow infiltration is sufficient for the diagnosis of MW16 (Table 1). Nevertheless, the phenotypic characteristics are not always typical and in about 10-20% of cases positivity for CD5, CD10 or CD23 has been described.17,55,56 Other common phenotypic features which are not specific but may be useful for the differential diagnosis with other lymphoproliferative diseases are the expression of sIgD, CD22, CD79a, PAX5, Bcl2, FMC7, CD25 and CD27 and the absence of expression of BCL6, CD103, CD138, CD56 and CD75. Some of these markers are particularly useful for studying the bone marrow infiltrate in the bone biopsy through immunohistochemical and other studies for the immunophenotypic characterization of B-lymphocytes by flow cytometry.

**Table 1. Clinical and laboratory characteristics of Waldenström’s macroglobulinemia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>ICMWG Consensus Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy of varying concentration</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with serum concentration greater than 2.5 g/L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow biopsy: ≥10% infiltration by small B-lymphocytes with plasmacytoid/plasmacytic differentiation</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with bone marrow infiltration of 4% or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow biopsy: generally intertrabecular infiltration pattern</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with bone marrow infiltration of 4% or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunophenotyping of B-lymphocytes: CD19+, CD20+, CD5-, CD10-, CD23, sIgM+ (monoclonal)</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with bone marrow infiltration of 4% or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other immunophenotypic characteristics of neoplastic B-lymphocytes: sIgD, CD22+, CD79a+, PAX5+, Bcl2+, FMC7+, CD25+, CD27+, BCL6+, CD103+, CD138, CD56+, CD75</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with bone marrow infiltration of 4% or greater</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyto genetic studies: over 50% of cases present deletions (del) 6q</td>
<td>IgM monoclonal gammopathy with bone marrow infiltration of 4% or greater</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

sIgM – Surface immunoglobulin M.

Note: Immunophenotypic variations may occur. Other lymphoproliferative diseases, including marginal zone B-cell lymphoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia and mantle cell lymphoma should be excluded.
The assessment of the clinical status of patients involves several examinations and laboratory tests (Table 2).

When interpreting the results it is important to consider that some parameters could be altered because the monoclonal IgM may interfere in several measurements performed in automated analyzers, especially in the evaluation of HDL cholesterol, bilirubin, inorganic phosphate, LDL cholesterol, C-reactive protein, creatinine, glucose, urea, iron and calcium ions.27

**DIFFERENTIAL DIAGNOSIS**

It is fundamental to distinguish WM from other disorders that could be clinically confused with this disease. Differential diagnosis (Table 3) is important for the exclusion of neoplasms potentially secreting monoclonal IgM and which can also present lymphocytes with lymphoplasmocytoid differentiation in the bone marrow. This group includes marginal zone lymphomas,57 chronic lymphocytic leukemia (CD5+, CD23+), mantle cell lymphoma (CD5+, CD23), follicular lymphoma (CD10+) and multiple myeloma (CD138+, CD38+, CD56+).57

The differentiation between symptomatic WM, asymptomatic WM and IgM monoclonal gammopathy of undetermined significance (MGUS) is important since the latter patients present risk of progression to symptomatic WM of 1.5%/year.58,59 This differs from asymptomatic
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neoplasm</th>
<th>Immunophenotype</th>
<th>Pattern of marrow infiltration and cell morphology</th>
<th>Cytogenetic abnormalities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Waldenström's Macroglobulinemia</td>
<td>sIgM+ (kappa to lambda ratio 5:1), sIgD+, CD19+, CD20+, CD22+, CD79α+, PAX5+, Bcl2+, FMC7+, CD5+, Bcl6, CD10, CD23, CD25, CD27, CD103, CD138, CD56</td>
<td>Generally intertrabecular; Small lymphocytes with plasmacytoid differentiation</td>
<td>Most patients have a normal karyotype; The most frequent cytogenetic alterations are 6q21-23 deletions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Myeloma</td>
<td>clg-, sIg, CD19+, CD20+, PAX5+, CD38, CD79α, CD138, CD56</td>
<td>Nodular, diffuse, interstitial; Plasma cells with different degrees of maturation</td>
<td>t(11;14) (q13;q32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-cell chronic lymphocytic leukemia</td>
<td>slg’ (weak), CD43’ (weak), CD20+ (weak), CD19+, CD23+, CD5+, CD23+, CD10, CD79b/CD22, FMC7, Cyclin D1</td>
<td>Nodular, interstitial, diffuse or mix of all three; Small lymphocytes with dense nucleus, aggregated chromatin, no visible nucleoli and reduced cytoplasm</td>
<td>Del 13q14 (50% of cases); Del 11q (20% of cases); Trisomy of chromosome 12 (20% of cases); Del 17p; Presence of ZAP-70’ (Tyrosine Kinase of 70 Kda associated to the zeta chain of the T lymphocyte receptor complex)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
<td>slgM+, slgD+, lambda light chain restriction, CD19+, CD20+, CD5+, CD43+, FMC7-, Cyclin D1, CD10, Bcl-6, CD23</td>
<td>Variable infiltration pattern. Lymphocytes are small or medium in size with irregular nucleus</td>
<td>t(11;14) (q13;q32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follicular lymphoma</td>
<td>slg-, CD10+, CD19+, CD20+, CD21+, CD22+, CD79α, Bcl2+, Bcl6-, CD43+, CD5, CD23, CD43</td>
<td>Paratrabecular infiltration; Centrocytes (small cells with “cleaved nuclei and reduced cytoplasm) and centroblasts (large cells with round or oval nuclei, vesicular chromatin, and low basophilic cytoplasm)</td>
<td>t(14;18)(q32;q21) (70-95% of cases).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extramedullary marginal zone lymphoma, MALT</td>
<td>sIgM+ (generally), light chain restriction (generally), CD19+, CD20+, CD21+, CD5+, CD22+, CD23+, CD79+, CD43+, CD10, CD23, CD11c+ (weak)/CD11c-</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Trisomy 3 (60% of cases); t(11;18) (q21;q21) (25%-50% of cases); t(1;14) (p22;q22); t(11;18) (q21;q22); t(14;18) (q32;q21); t(3;14) (p13;q22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nodal marginal zone lymphoma</td>
<td>Most lymphomas have a similar immunophenotype to MALT lymphoma, others have a similar immunophenotype to splenic marginal zone lymphomas</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Trisomy 3; t(11;18) (q21;q21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Splenic marginal zone lymphoma</td>
<td>sIgM+, IgD’ (generally), CD19+, CD20+, CD22+, Bcl-2+, CD79α+, CD5+, CD23, CD10, CD43+, CD25, CD103, Cyclin D1</td>
<td>Nodular, interstitial</td>
<td>Chromosomal gains: 3q (30-40% of cases), 5q (28%), 12q (24%), 20q (24%), 9q (21%), 4q (17%); Trisomy 3 (17%); Del 7q; Del 6q and Del 17p – Genetic alterations associated with clinical progression of the disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

cIg – cytoplasmic immunoglobulin, sIg, surface immunoglobulin; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue.
WM due to the lower concentration of monoclonal IgM (< 3 g/dL) and absence of bone marrow infiltration (<10%). The risk of progression from asymptomatic to symptomatic WM is 6%/year, and only 55% of these patients will show progression within 5 years.

**Prognosis of Symptomatic WM**

The International Prognostic Staging System for Waldenström Macroglobulinemia adopts five variables that correlate with poor survival of patients under treatment: age > 65 years, β2-microglobulin concentration > 3 mg/L, platelets count ≤100x10⁹/L, monoclonal IgM concentration > 7000 mg/dL, and hemoglobin concentration ≤11.5g/dL. The absence or presence of one or more prognostic factors categorizes the patient into 3 risk levels: low (0 - 1 risk factor, excluding age), intermediate (2 risk factors and age > 65 years) or high (more than 3 risk factors).

Based on the degree of risk, it is possible to estimate the average/overall survival. In patients at low risk, the average survival time is 12 years, and treatment should involve low toxicity, preserving quality of life. The use of this system in symptomatic patients that are candidates for treatment enables tailoring treatment to the patient, taking into account the estimated average survival.

In a recent study, high concentrations of lactate dehydrogenase (> 250 IU/L) were also seen as a poor prognosis factor, especially in high risk patients.

**Treatment**

Clinical decision to prescribe therapy takes into account different factors such as patient age, clinical manifestations, prognostic factors, quality of life and patient survival potential, the risk/benefit and cost/benefit of treatment, effectiveness and side effects.

The treatment of asymptomatic patients does not improve their quality of life and survival; biannual clinical observation is the recommended option in these cases if hematologic function is preserved. There is a study that suggests bimonthly/quarterly follow-ups during the first year after diagnosis and, if remaining stable, monitoring should be quarterly/half-yearly in the following years.

Patients with WM are candidates for treatment if they have clinical evidence of aggressive disease progression or if they have had clinical and laboratory manifestations associated with WM, such as lymphadenopathy or splenomegaly, symptoms of hyperviscosity, severe peripheral neuropathy, AL amyloidosis (resulting in tissue deposition of light immunoglobulin chains), cryoglobulinemia, autoimmune hemolytic anemia, hemoglobin concentration <10 g/dL and/or platelet count <100x10⁹/L. In fact, the choice of treatment is a critical option and should not be taken so as to limit future options, since all patients will inevitably present relapses after initial treatment, requiring treatment. Age, the presence of cytopenia, the need to control the disease and the possibility of autologous stem cell transplantation should be considered in the approach to treatment.

First-line therapy includes alkylating agents, purine analogs and monoclonal anti-CD20 antibodies. Treatment with alkylating agents may cause cytopenias and myelosuppression, and should be avoided in patients that are candidates for autologous transplantation. Purine analogs may be responsible for the development of myelodysplasia and acute myeloid leukemia.

The Mayo Clinic has developed a therapeutic approach adapted to the clinical characteristics of the patient. Most symptomatic patients are treated with Rituximab as monotherapy or combined with chemotherapy. Monotherapy is recommended in symptomatic patients with moderate hematological impairment, in patients with neuropathy associated with the IgM autoantibody, and in cases of hemolytic anemia resistant to corticosteroids.

Rituximab is an IgG1 anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody. The connection to the CD20 receptor on B-lymphocytes activates the complement cascade, leading to the formation of the membrane attack complex that induces cell lysis. This antibody also activates natural killer cells by binding to receptors for the Fc fragment of IgG (FcγR), leading to cell lysis. The fragments of complement component C3, together with rituximab, are recognized by the membrane of macrophages, binding to receptors for complement component C3 and FcγR receptors, respectively, and activating phagocytosis. The genetic polymorphism of FcγR receptors may condition the treatment response, and a correlation has been observed between polymorphisms at position 158 of the FcγRIIIa (CD16) receptor and the response to rituximab.

At the start of rituximab treatment, some patients have a paradoxical and often transient increase in serum concentrations of IgM (IgM flare), which can persist for up to 4 months and is not indicative of treatment failure. The underlying mechanism remains unclear, but two hypotheses have been proposed - release of intracellular IgM resulting from rituximab-mediated cell death and cell signaling mediated by binding to CD20.

In patients requiring urgent control of the disease, plasmapheresis is indicated if they have clinical manifestations of moderate to severe hyperviscosity, cryoglobulinemia and cytopenias caused by the action of the monoclonal IgM autoantibody. Usually 2 to 3 plasmapheresis sessions
are necessary to reduce the concentration of IgM from 30 to 60%. The sessions should be repeated daily until symptoms subside or until normalization of serum viscosity. Subsequent treatment should be started quickly, as the concentration of IgM will return to its initial level after 4 to 5 weeks.62 These patients should be treated with the de-xamethasone, rituximab and cyclophosphamide (DRC) combination regimen. The main reasons for choosing this regimen in these patients are the good treatment tolerance, reduced myelosuppression and the lack of toxicity for stem cells.3,65

In patients with relapses or who are refractory to therapy, the choice of treatment depends on the first-line treatment already utilized, the quality/duration of the response and other variables, such as age, tolerance to initial treatment, and also the possibility of the patient being a candidate for stem cell transplantation.63

The reuse of the first-line treatment is recommended if the response to initial treatment was maintained without maintenance for at least 12 months. Otherwise, another first-line agent or combination therapy should be used.63

In patients with short-term remission or resistance to initial treatment, therapy with a drug of different pharmacological class as monotherapy or combined is recommended. In association therapy, a regime using rituximab, fludarabine and cyclophosphamide is highlighted; however, the latter should be avoided in younger patients and candidates for autologous stem cell transplantation.53

The use of bortezomib (proteasome inhibitor) has proven promising, as well as alemtuzumab (anti-CD52 monoclonal antibody) datalidomida, enzastaurin (protein kinase C inhibitor), everolimus (inhibitor of mammalian target of rapamycin - mTOR) and perifosine (Akt inhibitor).62,64,72 Histone deacetylase inhibitors treatment agents, such as panobinostat (LBH589), new proteasome inhibitors, such as carfilzomib, human anti-CD20 monoclonal antibody, such as ofatumumab, and alkylating agents, such as bendamustine, also seem to be promising agents.72

Transplantation of hematopoietic stem cells is indicated in younger patients with multiple recurrences or who have been refractory to previous treatments.62 Autologous transplantation is associated with improved survival and long periods without disease progression, and should be considered in all candidate patients presenting relapse.3

The concentration of monoclonal IgM is one of the parameters most commonly used among the criteria for assessing response to treatment. However, this biomarker is not always reliable, since its concentration can be affected by the treatment itself.62

Taking into account the criteria for treatment response, complete response is observed when IgM serum levels normalize with complete disappearance of IgM monoclonal protein (by immunofixation), histological evaluation of the bone marrow shows no evidence of disease, and all symptoms, lymphadenopathy and/or organomegaly are resolved. Partial response is considered in a scenario of ≥ 50% decrease in the monoclonal IgM serum concentration, decreased lymphadenopathy/organomegaly and absence of new symptoms and/or signs of active disease on electrophoresis of serum proteins compared to the baseline values. A minimal response is observed when the reduction in electrophoresis of monoclonal IgM is <50 but ≥ 25%, and no new symptoms and/or signs of active disease are observed. The stable disease corresponds to cases in which the value of monoclonal IgM relative to baseline undergoes a reduction of ≤ 25% and increases ≥25%, with no progression of lymphadenopathy/organomegaly and cytopenias, and no significant clinical signs or symptoms. The disease is considered progressive when there is an increase in the detectable amount of protein electrophoresis and monoclonal IgM serum levels ≥ 25% (confirmed by a second assessment) or progression of complications resulting from the disease or symptoms attributed to WM.73

The concentration of sCD27 and assessment of the amount of monoclonal free light chains have been presented as potential biomarkers for laboratory monitoring of therapy.74,75 The investigation of alternative biomarkers is essential for a more reliable and less invasive clinical evaluation.

**RESUMO**

Macroglobulinemia de Waldenström – uma revisão.

A macroglobulinemia de Waldenström (MW) é uma doença linfoproliferativa dos linfócitos B, caracterizada por um linfoma linfoplasmocítico na medula óssea e por hipergamaglobulinemia monoclonal de tipo IgM. Foi descrita pela primeira vez em 1944, por Jan Gösta Waldenström, que descreveu dois doentes com hemorragia oronasal, adenopatias, anemia, trombocitopenia, velocidade de sedimentação eritrocitária e viscosidade sérica elevadas, radiografia óssea normal e medula óssea infiltrada por células linfoides.

A MW é uma doença rara com um percurso clínico normalmente indolente, atingindo principalmente os indivíduos com idades entre 63 e 68 anos. A maioria dos doentes apresenta sintomas e manifestações clínicas relacionadas com a
hiperviscosidade, resultante da gamopatia monoclonal IgM e/ou com as citopenias, resultantes da infiltração medular pelo linfoma. O diagnóstico diferencial com outros linfomas é essencial para a avaliação do prognóstico e a abordagem terapêutica.

O tratamento dos doentes com MW assintomática não melhora a qualidade de vida do doente nem aumenta a sua sobrevida, recomendando-se o acompanhamento clínico. Para o tratamento dos doentes sintomáticos, são usados agentes alquilantes, análogos das purinas e anticorpos monoclonais anti-CD20. No entanto, a doença é incurável e a resposta à terapêutica nem sempre é favorável. Estudos relativamente recentes mostram resultados promissórios com o bortezomibe, um inibidor dos proteossomas, e alguns doentes respondem à talidomida. Nos doentes com recidivas ou refratários à terapêutica, pode-se indicar o transplante autólogo.

O objetivo deste trabalho é descrever, de forma detalhada, o conhecimento atual sobre a fisiopatologia da MW, as principais manifestações clínicas, o diagnóstico, o prognóstico e o tratamento.

Palavras-chave: macroglobulinemia de Waldenström; hipergamaglobulinemia; IgM; linfócitos B; prognóstico.

REFERENCES


