Clinical management of incidental findings on pelvic adnexal masses
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SUMMARY

Due to widespread use of pelvic and transvaginal ultrasound in routine gynecological evaluation, the incidental finding of adnexal masses has led to discussions about management in asymptomatic patients regarding the risk of ovarian cancer. Transvaginal ultrasonography remains the modality of choice in the evaluation of suspicious characteristics. The combined analysis of ultrasound morphological parameters with Doppler study, serum carcinoantigen 125 and investigation of a symptom index may improve diagnosis. Surgical approach should be considered whenever there are suspicious images, rapid growth of cysts, changes in the appearance compared to the initial evaluation or when the patient has symptoms. Future studies on genetic and molecular mechanisms may help explain the pathophysiology of ovarian cancer, improving early diagnosis and treatment.
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INTRODUCTION

Due to the wide use of pelvic and transvaginal ultrasound for routine gynecological evaluation, during the reproductive years and after menopause, the incidental finding of adnexal masses has been observed in a growing proportion of women, leading to discussions on the approach to be used in asymptomatic patients (B). One of the main concerns of the gynecologist is the identification of risk markers for the development of ovarian cancer, which may lead to early surgical treatment and prevent progression of the disease during the expectant conservative treatment.

Approximately 255,000 new cases of ovarian cancer are diagnosed each year worldwide, causing approximately 140,000 deaths in the period, which puts ovarian cancer in 7th place among the causes of cancer death among women (A). In Latin America, the incidence of this cancer is at 8,100,000 women; in 2012, 6,190 new cases of ovarian cancer were estimated in Brazil, with an assessed risk of 6:100,000 (B).

Women with ovarian cancer usually have nonspecific symptoms, such as mild abdominal pain, bloating, changes in defecation frequency, and urinary symptoms, making early detection very difficult (A). Thus, the diagnosis is delayed in three out of four patients, leading to advanced stage of disease and extra-pelvic spread, which limits the effectiveness of therapy and jeopardizes the survival of these women (C). Unfortunately, due to the low positive predictive value of potential tests for population screening, such as carcinoantigen 125 (CA-125) and ultrasound, there is currently no screening strategy for ovarian cancer (A).

The objective of this review is to present the current evidence and recommendations for clinical management of incidental adnexal masses and to identify malignancy suspicious signs in asymptomatic women.

PHYSIOLOGICAL AND MOLECULAR ASPECTS

A major problem in diagnostic clarification of incidental findings on ultrasound is the characterization of the malignant potential of the lesions. Ovarian cancer, being a heterogeneous disease, is composed of different types of tumors derived from different cell lines with dif-
ferent behaviors and clinical-pathological characteristics (C). There are three main categories which are responsible for almost all malignant tumors: surface epithelial-stromal tumors, sex cord-stromal tumors and germ cell tumors, so that epithelial ovarian carcinomas account for 90-95% of all cases of malignant disease (C). Germ cell tumors and sex cord-stromal tumors account for the remaining 5 to 10% and, due to their unique characteristics, they require specific management that will not be included in this review.

Based on a series of morphological and molecular genetic studies, a dualistic model was proposed, for teaching purposes, which divides the multiple types of epithelial ovarian cancers into two groups, called type I and type II, considering common characteristics of the different tumors that make up each group (C). Type I tumors are clinically indolent, with low histologic grade, and are usually diagnosed at early stages of disease, when the lesion is still confined to the ovary (C). Their histological subtypes have a distinct cell line ranging from benign cystic tumors and their corresponding carcinomas, often presenting an intermediate stage, the so-called borderline tumors, supporting the hypothesis of a continuous progression in the development of these tumors (C). Low-grade serous tumors, low-grade endometrioid tumors, clear cell tumors and mucinous carcinomas are all part of type I (C).

Opposite to the different morphological features observed in type I tumors, morphological differences among type II tumors are more subtle, resulting in a considerable overlapping of diagnoses made by different pathologists (C). Type II tumors have rapid evolution and are characterized by the presence of papillary or glandular vegetation and the presence of solid areas, with diagnoses of high-grade serous tumors, high-grade endometrioid tumors, mixed mesodermal tumors (carcinosarcomas) or undifferentiated carcinomas, depending on their predominant pattern (C). Type II tumors are highly aggressive and almost always diagnosed in advanced stages of the disease. They are responsible for approximately 75% of all epithelial ovarian carcinomas, with relatively similar morphological characteristics, and a uniformly poor prognosis (C).

Recent studies have shown that the morphological differences between type I and type II tumors are mirrored by distinct genetic-molecular characteristics. In general, it can be said that type I tumors are genetically more stable, exhibit a characteristic pattern of mutations in genes such as KRAS, BRAF, ERBB2, PTEN, CTNNB1 and PIK3CA, and occur in specific histological types. Type II tumors, in turn, exhibit more morphological and molecular homogeneity. They are genetically unstable and have a high frequency of mutations in the TP53 gene (> 80% of cases), also with CCNE1 gene amplification (C). These results suggest that different types of ovarian carcinomas develop through different molecular pathways explaining differences in behavior and clinical-pathological.

Laboratory and Image Aspects

In case of incidental finding of adnexal mass pelvic, transvaginal ultrasonography remains the modality of choice for evaluating suspicious characteristics (A). In the presence of any abnormality detected during a screening test or when there are doubts about the interpretation of the images obtained, a second opinion from a sonographer with extensive experience in oncology imaging is recommended (B).

Several scoring systems based on ultrasound morphology of adnexal cysts have been proposed to differentiate benign lesions from malignant adnexal masses (B). These scoring systems are based on specific parameters such as surface, thickness of the wall, and cyst echo-genicity; cyst volume; presence, thickness and number of septa; presence, size and number of vegetation, and presence and size of solid areas within the cyst.

According to the classification of the International Ovarian Tumor Analysis Group (IOTA Group), abnormal adnexal morphology can be classified as follows:

- Unilocular cyst: ovary has a single cyst, thin-walled, anechoic measuring over 10mm in diameter. This includes cysts without septa or papillary and also those with incomplete septa, papillae measuring less than 3mm and irregular walls;
- Multilocular cyst: ovary has a cyst with at least one complete septum or multicystic;
- Unilocular solid cyst: ovary has a single cyst, thin-walled, with no septa, and at least one solid or papillary component measuring 3mm or more;
- Multilocular solid cyst: ovary has a cyst with a minimum of one complete septum, along with a solid area or papillary structure of 3mm or more;
- Solid mass: ovary has a mass with over 80% solid area.

According to this classification, findings consistent with solid mass and multilocular solid mass have the highest probability of malignant changes, followed by findings of unilocular solid mass and multilocular cyst, while the unilocular cyst has the lowest probability of malignancy (B). All proposed scoring systems appear to exhibit an acceptable level of sensitivity and specificity, ranging
from 83.5 to 92% and from 63 to 97%, respectively\(^6\),\(^1\)\(^1\)\(^-\)\(^1\)\(^4\)\(^8\)\(^1\)\(^1\) (B). The choice of the scoring system may, therefore, be based on the preference of the observer\(^1\) (A).

The malignancy risk index (MRI) is a rule of clinical prediction that includes CA-125 and menopause status in its assessment, as well as ultrasound morphology\(^9\) (B). The sensitivity and specificity of this index, when using a cutoff level of 200, are described at approximately 79.2 and 91.7%, respectively\(^6\),\(^9\) (A)/(B). As an autonomous examination, CA-125 is not recommended to differentiate benign and malignant adnexal masses, since several benign conditions may increase its serum levels\(^3\),\(^4\) (B)/(A). Since the incidence of ovarian cancer compared with benign gynecological conditions is lower in women who are premenopausal, the CA-125 is of limited use to track the population. In the early stages of ovarian cancer, only 50% of cases have elevated CA-125 levels, and the interpretation of these values should be done carefully\(^4\),\(^1\)\(^6\) (A). With a threshold of 35 U/mL, CA-125 has an overall sensitivity of 78.7% and specificity of 77.9%\(^3\) (A).

When conventional ultrasound examination is not satisfactory, three-dimensional ultrasonography (3D) can be recommended as it offers superior sensitivity and specificity, described in the literature around 93.5 and 91.5%\(^1\)\(^7\)-\(^1\)\(^9\) (B). Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) may be appropriate for analysis of adnexal cysts to help clarify the potential for malignancy with a sensitivity and specificity of 91.9 and 88.4%, respectively\(^2\),\(^\)\(^0\),\(^\)\(^2\)\(^1\) (B). In cases where there is suspicion of extra-ovarian involvement, computed tomography (CT) is the most useful technique with a sensitivity of 87.2% and specificity of 84.0%\(^4\),\(^2\)\(^2\),\(^2\)\(^3\) (A)/(B).

Doppler investigation alone in the evaluation of adnexal masses is not recommended and should always be combined with a morphological evaluation\(^4\) (A). Meta-analysis studies on resistance index, pulsatility index and systolic peak velocity found sensitivity and specificity for each index at 77.2 and 89.8%, 80.6 and 79.9%, and 80.0 and 84.2%, respectively\(^4\),\(^1\)\(^7\)-\(^1\)\(^9\) (A)/(B). The evaluation of adnexal findings by Doppler study using resistance index, pulsatility index and maximum systolic velocity did not show diagnostic accuracy greater than that of conventional ultrasound. Also, due to the overlap of vascular parameters between malignant and benign masses, a firm diagnosis based on the assessment only by Doppler can be quite difficult\(^4\) (A).

**Good practices in treatment**

Ovarian cysts are relatively common finding on ultrasound, especially in postmenopausal women, with an estimated incidence of up to 21% in this population\(^1\) (B). Due to the potential morbidity of surgical treatments, clinical monitoring may be recommended since a large proportion of these cysts are associated with low risk for developing ovarian cancer\(^1\),\(^2\)\(^4\) (B).

In a multicenter clinical trial for the screening of ovarian cancer in the United Kingdom (The United Kingdom Collaborative Trial of Ovarian Cancer Screening – UKCTOCS), in which 48,053 postmenopausal women were analyzed, it was observed that the rate of morphological changes, according to IOTA classification, was 9.1% (95CI 8.8-9.3%). The absolute risk for any type of epithelial ovarian cancer (type I, borderline and type II) associated with adnexal morphological changes was 1.08% (95CI, 0.79-1.43%) for a period of 3 years, while in the subgroup of patients who had solid elements on ultrasound (solid unilocular, multilocular solid and massive) the absolute risk was 4.45% (95CI, 3.08-6.20%)\(^1\) (B). Despite the higher prevalence of type II epithelial tumors, ultrasonography presented, in this study, better diagnostic capacity for the detection of borderline and type I tumors, most likely due to the indolent nature of these lesions, which allows ultrasonography monitoring and the observation of pattern changes over time.

Valentin et al. analyzing 1148 unilocular cysts according to the IOTA classification, found an overall malignancy rate of 0.96% (95CI, 0.48-1.71%), with a higher prevalence of malignant lesions in postmenopausal compared to premenopausal women (0.54% (95CI, 0.17-1.25%) vs. 2.76% (95CI, 1.02-5.92%), p=0.009)\(^2\)\(^4\) (B). Personal history of breast cancer (18% vs. 2%, p=0.02) or ovarian cancer (18 vs. 0.6%, p=0.003) and the presence of hemorrhagic content in the cyst (18 vs. 2%, p=0.03) showed a positive association with malignant cysts. In 63.6% of malignant lesions diagnosed as unilocular cysts on ultrasound, papillary projections or other solid components were found in macroscopic inspection of the surgical specimen\(^2\)\(^4\) (B).

In another prospective study including 166 premenopausal women, Alcázar et al. found that 38.5% of adnexal cysts with benign aspect had spontaneous resolution in an average period of 40 months, 25.5% remained unchanged for a median of 88 months (36-192 months) and 20.8% were surgically removed due to increase in cyst size, change in appearance of the cyst, clinical suspicion of adnexal torsion, surgery combined with another procedure for uterine disease and the patient’s own decision. Only two cases of ovarian cancer were observed in this series, both epithelial type I, with an absolute risk of 0.9% (95CI, 0.01-3.08%)\(^2\)\(^5\) (B). According to the authors, expectant management for adnexal cysts with benign morphology seems to be a reasonable option in selected cases of asymptomatic premenopausal women.
Surgical approach should be considered whenever there are suspicious changes on imaging studies, the adnexal cyst presents rapid growth or change in its appearance from the initial assessment, or the patient experiences symptoms related to the cyst. Despite the lack of specific clinical symptoms, a recent study showed that the evaluation of a symptom index composed of abdominal bloating and/or increased abdominal size, pelvic and/or abdominal pain, and inability to eat normally and/or rapid feeling of fullness may exhibit a sensitivity of 78.3% and a specificity of 60.3% in discriminating malignant diseases (OR 5.5; 95CI, 2.7-11.3%) (B). In many cases, during preoperative evaluation, a discussion with a gynecological oncologist is recommended to analyze the therapeutic proposal.

As a route to approach adnexal masses with suspected malignancy, laparoscopy seems to be a reasonable alternative to laparotomy, provided that the staging operation can be performed appropriately, where indicated, and in cases without disseminated disease (A). The choice between laparoscopy and laparotomy should be based on the patient and the surgeon’s preference and experience (A). Intraoperative pathological study by freezing, when available, is recommended for the diagnosis of suspicious adnexal masses and especially to assess the need to extend surgery. Freezing, although inconclusive in many circumstances, has a sensitivity around 89.2% and a specificity of 97.9% (A).

To improve the survival of patients with ovarian cancer at an early stage, comprehensive surgical staging with lymphadenectomy is recommended. Two large population-based studies found an improvement in disease-free survival after 3 years (p <0.001) and 5 years (p <0.001) in patients undergoing surgical staging with lymphadenectomy, compared to procedures performed without lymphadenectomy (B). Oksefjell et al. reported a statistically significant improvement in overall survival at 5 years in patients undergoing lymphadenectomy compared with those not undergoing the procedure (87 vs. 64%, p=0.02) (B).

Surgery to preserve fertility is an acceptable alternative in patients with low-grade malignant tumors and in the case of histologically well-differentiated tumors on initial surgical stages (FIGO grade I). Yinon et al. compared the recurrence rates of borderline tumors in 40 patients who underwent unilateral salpingo-oophorectomy with 22 patients treated only with cystectomy, and found no statistical differences (27.5 vs. 22.7%, p=0.8) (B). Likewise, in a study including 360 with borderline tumors, Park et al. did not find any statistical difference in terms of disease-free survival among patients undergoing radical surgery or with fertility preservation (p=0.651) (B).

**Conclusion**

Due to the low likelihood of ovarian cancer in incidental findings of adnexal pelvic masses, and because of the high rates of spontaneous resolution, ultrasound monitoring can be performed with good early diagnosis rates for borderline and type I tumors. The frequency of these revaluations should be established individually and according to the routine of each service. However, early screening of type II tumors remains a challenge.

Due to the fact that the risk of malignancy is increased in lesions containing septa and/or solid components, it is extremely important that the examiner performs a thorough search for papillary projections and solid elements in any adnexal cyst, even if it appears to be unilocular on ultrasound. Also, menopausal status assessment and the presence of personal and family history of gynecological cancers should always be taken into consideration, due to the increased risk of malignancy in these patients.

The combined analysis of morphological parameters on ultrasound and Doppler study, CA-125 levels, and the assessment of a symptom index composed of abdominal bloating and/or increased abdominal size, pelvic and/or abdominal pain, and inability to eat normally and/or rapid feeling of fullness may increase diagnostic rates. Even with all the current technology and knowledge on the subject, it is not clinically possible to fully differentiate benign and malignant lesions preoperatively. Thus, pathological analysis remains the gold standard for definitive diagnosis. The route to approach adnexal masses should be based on the patient and the surgeon’s preference and experience, provided that adequate staging can be performed, whenever indicated.

Despite numerous definitions and criteria to establish the best ovarian cancer screening method, the number of deaths from the disease has not fallen substantially in recent years (C). A better understanding of genetic and molecular mechanisms may be the key that will bring light to new knowledge about the development of ovarian cancer, its diagnosis and treatment.

**Resumo**

Manejo clínico dos achados incidentais de massas pélvicas anexas

Em virtude da ampla utilização da ultrassonografia pélvica e transvaginal na avaliação ginecológica de rotina, o achado incidental de massas anexas tem ocasionado discussões sobre a conduta em pacientes assintomáticas frente ao risco de desenvolvimento do câncer de ovário. A ultrassono-
grafia transvaginal continua a ser a modalidade de primei-
ra escolha na avaliação de características suspeitas. A
análise conjunta de parâmetros morfológicos ultrasson-
ográficos com o estudo Doppler, a pesquisa de CA-125 e a in-
vestigação de índice de sintomas pode incrementar as taxas
de diagnóstico. Abordagem cirúrgica deve ser considerada
sempre que houver crescimento rápido do cisto, mudanças em seu
aspecto em relação à avaliação inicial ou quando a pacien-
ta apresentar sintomatologia. Uma compreensão melhor de
mecanismos genéticos e moleculares pode auxiliar na elu-
cidação da fisiopatologia do câncer ovarian, aprimorando
seu diagnóstico e tratamento precoces.

**Palavras-chave:** cistos ovarianos, neoplasias ovarianas, doen-
cas dos anexos, ultrassonografia, antígeno CA-125.
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