Isthmocele: an overview of diagnosis and treatment
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SUMMARY

An isthmocele, a cesarean scar defect or uterine niche, is any indentation representing myometrial discontinuity or a triangular an-echoic defect in the anterior uterine wall, with the base communicating to the uterine cavity, at the site of a previous cesarean section scar. It can be classified as a small or large defect, depending on the wall thickness of the myometrial deficiency. Although usually asymptomatic, its primary symptom is abnormal or postmenstrual bleeding, and chronic pelvic pain may also occur. Infertility, placenta accrete or praevia, scar dehiscence, uterine rupture, and cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy may also appear as complications of this condition. The risk factors of isthmocele proven to date include retroflexed uterus and multiple cesarean sections. Nevertheless, factors such as a lower position of cesarean section, incomplete closure of the hysterotomy, early adhesions of the uterine wall and a genetic predisposition may also contribute to the development of a niche. As there are no definitive criteria for diagnosing an isthmocele, several imaging methods can be used to assess the integrity of the uterine wall and thus diagnose an isthmocele. However, transvaginal ultrasound and saline infusion sonohysterography emerge as specific, sensitive and cost-effective methods to diagnose isthmocele. The treatment includes clinical or surgical management, depending on the size of the defect, the presence of symptoms, the presence of secondary infertility and plans of childbearing. Surgical management includes minimally invasive approaches with sparing techniques such as hysteroscopic, laparoscopic or transvaginal procedures according to the defect size.
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INTRODUCTION

The World Health Organization recommends as ideal a cesarean section (CS) rate of 10-15% of all births.1 However, the percentages of CS delivery in South America (42.9%), Latin America (40.5%), North America (32.3%) and Europe (25%)2 are well above this number. This has led to a worldwide discussion about the complications and consequences of the procedure, which are also increasing in number.3 Some of them, such as scar dehiscence, placenta praevia and accreta are already established and studied. Others, however, are only recently gaining more importance,4 which is the case of the cesarean scar defect, isthmocele or niche.

The isthmocele is a myometrial defect resembling a pouch on the anterior wall of the uterine isthmus, over a previous cesarean scar.5,6 This defect contrib-
utes to pathologic changes that may predispose the emergence of symptoms like menorrhagia, abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB), pelvic pain, dysmenorrhea, cesarean scar pregnancy and secondary infertility.

Guidelines for diagnostic criteria and treatment of isthmocele are still unclear. Currently, the treatment options include conservative treatment based on combined estrogen and progesterone therapy and hysteroscopic, laparoscopic, or transvaginal surgical repair.

The objective of this review is to present an overview of the current literature on isthmocele, approaching its classification, predisposing factors for the niche development, clinical symptoms, diagnostic methods, and the current treatment options, focusing on minimally invasive approaches.

**DEFINITION, CLASSIFICATION, AND PREVALENCE**

**a. Definition**

There is no universal definition of isthmocele or standard characterization that clearly indicates its location and size. Several authors have proposed definitions in an attempt of establishing a universal concept. Overall, most studies refer to isthmocele, cesarean scar defect, niche or diverticulum as a myometrial discontinuity or a hypoechoic triangle in the myometrium of the anterior uterine wall at the site of hysterotomy presented in transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) or sonohysterography (SHG) examination in non-pregnant women. Other studies, nonetheless, describe the pouch as a myometrial thinning, an anechoic defect >1mm or a defect of the myometrium of >2mm at the place of a cesarean scar. Even so, the defect usually presents abundant and ectatic vessels, covered in smooth mucosa and menstrual blood often fills the pouch. Gubbini has described that the size of the defect varies according to the site of the CS, which relates to the stage of labor, uterine cervix changes, and the surgical technique.

**b. Classification**

Some authors classified the findings according to the size of the defect: a large defect is described as a myometrial reduction of >50% of the wall thickness or even >80% by some authors. A large defect may also be classified as residual myometrium (RM) <2.2mm by TVUS and <2.5mm by SHG. For management purposes, Marotta et al. adopted the cutoff of RM <3mm as a large defect and a RM ≥3mm as a small defect. These radiologic findings can be found incidentally in the absence of symptoms or be associated with clinical symptoms. Therefore, they can also be classified as asymptomatic or symptomatic when presenting AUB, pelvic pain, and infertility, for example.

**c. Prevalence**

The exact prevalence of isthmocele is unknown and is related to the method used to assess the defect. In a recent systematic review, Tulandi and Cohen have found that the prevalence of isthmocele ranges from 24% to 70% in TVUS examination and from 56% to 84% in SHG in women with 1 or more previous CS. When compared with asymptomatic patients, the prevalence is higher in those with symptoms, ranging according to the literature, from 19.4% to 84%, with postmenstrual spotting as the main symptom.

**ETIOPATHOGENESIS AND RISK FACTORS**

Several risk factors have been related to the development of the isthmocele; however, there are few associations proven to date. Ofili-Yebovi et al. first presented an association between isthmocele and multiple previous CS, retroflexed uterus, and failure to identify all CS scars during repeat CS of multiple CS, later corroborated by several authors. Tulandi and Cohen also reviewed predisposing factors recently, stating that even though several risk factors have been linked to isthmocele, multiple CS is the principal risk factor for its development. Although demonstrating inconclusive results, Bij de Vaate et al. hypothesized in a systematic review that duration of labor, dilatation, stage of the presenting part, and a lower position of the CS hysterotomy may be potential predisposing factors for the development of a niche. A CS conducted in active labor and cervical dilatation >5cm is related to larger isthmoceles. The association of different uterine sutures and the prevalence of isthmocele is still unclear. Although the single-layer myometrial closure appears to increase the risk of isthmocele development when compared to double-layer closure, it is not significantly associated with larger defects.

Concerning the etiology, four hypotheses have been postulated by Vervoort et al. on causes of isthmocele, depending mostly on surgically induced factors and patient factors. The first hy-
Isthmocele is associated with obstetric complications such as placenta accrete, placenta praevia, scar dehiscence, uterine rupture, and ectopic pregnancy in cesarean delivery. In addition, a high prevalence of isthmocele and patients with cervical dilatation >5cm, longer duration of labor (>5h) or lower station. 3,6,16,22 The second hypothesis is related to surgical technique, concerning an incomplete closure of the uterine wall. 11 The improper closure, or even no closure, of the deeper muscular layer, usually unintentional or related to non-perpendicular sutures and endometrial saving techniques, may lead to an irregular myometrium closure, thus causing the development of isthmocele. 11,23

The third hypothesis relates to early adhesion development in the hysterotomy scar and the anterior abdominal wall, pulling the edges of the wound and impairing the healing due to those counteracting forces on the uterine scar. 11,23 This mechanism is even more exuberant in a retroflexed uterus, in which those counteracting forces are increased, potentially decreasing blood flow to the healing tissues. 23,24

The final hypothesis involves patient factors, suggesting the presence of an individual/genetic predisposition contributing to an impaired wound healing, poor hemostasis, inflammation or adhesion formation, which may influence isthmocele development. 11

**Clinical Symptoms**

In general, most isthmoceles are asymptomatic, being found incidentally on ultrasound. 23 However, over the last decades, with the rising rates of CS, there has been an increase of sequelae reported after this procedure. Symptoms including abnormal uterine bleeding, postmenstrual spotting, dysmenorrhea, pelvic pain, and infertility 12,13,20 have now been associated with isthmocele. Obstetric complications of isthmocele were described in the literature, such as placenta accrete, placenta praevia, scar dehiscence, uterine rupture, and ectopic pregnancy in cesarean scar defects. 3,24

**Gynecologic Complications**

Abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB), mostly characterized as postmenstrual bleeding, is the main symptom related to the presence of an isthmocele, being present in 28.9% to 82% 12,13,22,25 women with isthmocele. 4

The presence of isthmocele may predispose a deposit of blood and menstrual debris within the defect, associated to reduced contractility of the uterus due to fibrotic tissue around the scar, slowing the drainage of the menstrual flow and causing AUB. 13,26 Pathology findings of free erythrocytes in the scar tissue suggesting a recent hemorrhage lead Morris 7 to propose that the blood could also be produced in situ, also causing intermittent spotting. Regardless of the source, the presence of blood in the isthmocele is also associated with a higher mucous secretion, which could contribute to postmenstrual AUB. 6

Also, an association between the isthmocele size and postmenstrual bleeding has been established. 20 Postmenstrual spotting is more frequent in patients with larger defects than in patients with smaller defects. 32

Dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain have also been described in isthmocele in studies over the last decade. Wang et al. 20 stated a correlation concerning the isthmocele size and pelvic pain and dysmenorrhea. Morris 7 suggested that those pain complaints were related to inflammatory infiltration, fibrosis and anatomic disruption of the lower uterine segment.

Although these symptoms of AUB, dysmenorrhea and pelvic pain are a common complaint in the gynecological office, isthmocele has grown as a differential diagnosis in women who underwent a CS. 11 Tulandi and Cohen 6 found an increase from 63.8% to 82% in the rate of isthmocele in women presenting postmenstrual bleeding who underwent TVUS or SHG due to gynecologic symptoms. Therefore, if a patient with previous CS presents any of the symptoms such as above, symptomatic isthmocele should be part of the differential diagnosis and thus investigated. 23

The association between isthmocele and secondary infertility has been reported in the literature with a high prevalence. 5,8 The presence of blood in the isthmocele could affect the cervical mucus and sperm quality, obstruct sperm transport and make embryo implantation more difficult, therefore impairing fertility. 27,28 Several studies have evaluated the fertility outcomes after isthmocele treatment, 28...
demonstrating that the repair of the defect is associated with high rates of restoring fertility.6

**Obstetric Complications**

The presence of an isthmocele is associated with an increased risk of complications during pregnancy, including placenta previa, accrete/increta/percreta, scar dehiscence, uterine rupture, and cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy.24 The risk of an isthmocele becoming deficient is related to multiple CS.14,17 The overall rate of uterine rupture during a posterior pregnancy does not exceed 2%, however, in larger defects, this risk increases to 5%.24 It appears that scar thickness in ultrasonographic assessment has no practical use as a prognostic marker of uterine rupture.14,24

One of the rarest obstetric complications, the cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy, occurs when the embryo is implanted in the myometrium of the cesarean scar defect. Over the last decades, there has been a rise in the prevalence of the cesarean scar ectopic pregnancy, as well as the CS and therefore isthmocele rates.29

**DIAGNOSIS**

There are no definitive criteria for the diagnostic of isthmocele.4,8,19 Various imaging methods including ultrasonography, sonohysterography, hysteroscopy, and magnetic resonance imaging can be used to assess the anterior uterine wall and diagnose isthmocele.18

Transvaginal ultrasound (TVUS) is the initial and most usual method described to assess the integrity of the uterus wall in non-pregnant patients.8,38 Because the principal symptom is postmenstrual bleeding, the early proliferative phase best shows the deposit of blood within the isthmocele, allowing its identification even without the necessity of saline or gel infusion4 and there is minimal chance of pregnancy.23,30 The defect has been described on TVUS as an anechoic triangle defect in the myometrium with the base communicating to the uterine cavity, or a deformity (wedge, shape, concavity or sacculcation) on the anterior isthmus.22,31

The prevalence of isthmocele in sonohysterography (SHG), when compared with TVUS, appears to be higher (56%-84% against 24%-70%). Nevertheless, SHG is more sensitive than TVUS,4,12,13,16,17,32 and the defect seems larger or deeper by SHG.12 Therefore, the saline infusion sonohysterography (SIS) is more sensitive and specific for the identification of isthmocele26 by filling the defect and providing contrast.8 When compared to the TVUS, SIS presented better results by detecting more defects and more often classifying them as larger on average of 1 to 2mm.32 Gel instillation sonography (GIS) also presents a higher prevalence in detecting the defect when compared to TVUS (49.6% against 64.5%).18 Furthermore, similarly to SIS, the defect shown on GIS was larger and the RM smaller comparing to TVUS only.12 This effect on prevalence and defect size diagnosed by SHG is a consequence of a pressure increase inside the uterus, which causes an enhancing on the defect size.4

Hysteroscopy (HSG) can also assess the isthmocele; however, it cannot measure the myometrial thickness, which is a limitation of this method. Moreover, if blood or mucus is accumulated in the isthmocele, HSG may not clearly identify the defect.23

Using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) allows determining the RM thickness of the isthmocele on the sagittal T2-weighted views. Nevertheless, Marotta et al.18 found that RM measurements in MRI were related to those assesses through TVUS.

Hysteroscopy enables direct visualization and confirmation of the isthmocele.19,22 Usually described as a pouch or a discontinuity of the anterior uterine wall,6,22 hysteroscopy allows for visualization and potential treatment; however, it may not assess de RM thickness.

TVUS and SHG can both be performed in the office, are more affordable than MRI, less invasive than hysteroscopy and produce reliable measurements.23 If an isthmocele is suspected, several authors6,8,23 recommend SIS as a diagnostic study based on its greater sensitivity and specificity for planning surgery and research purposes.

6. Treatment

The treatment of isthmocele ranges from clinical management with expectant or pharmacological treatment, surgical treatment, and hysterectomy to sparing techniques including hysteroscopic, laparoscopic, laparotomic, or transvaginal procedures limited to the defect site.9 The decision to treat takes into consideration the size of the defect, presence of symptoms, secondary infertility and plans of pregnancy.8,19,23

In the case of incidental diagnosis of asymptomatic isthmocele and no plans for future childbearing, clinical observation and no surgical intervention are
usually recommended. In symptomatic women with either AUB, pelvic pain, or secondary infertility, the course of treatment depends upon the size of their defect. There are a great number of studies proposing different surgical approaches and techniques to the correction of the cesarean scar defect.9,18,23,33,34

Clinical Management

Expectant treatment is an option for women with small isthmoceles (RM≤3mm). However, in a recent study, Vervoort et al. randomized symptomatic women with small defects ≤3mm into expectant treatment or hysteroscopic resection, achieving a decrease in the number of postmenstrual spotting and spotting-related discomfort in women submitted to the procedure.

Clinical management has been described to have failed to reduce symptoms in most of the subjects treated with oral contraceptives, as observed by Thurmond et al. However, Tahara et al. presented a preliminary report with positive results in eliminating intermenstrual bleeding after three cycles of oral contraceptives in relatively higher doses. Despite the contrasting results, the current data present as the first choice of treatment for symptomatic isthmocele the resection of the defect due to its minimally invasive approach and good therapeutic results.5,13,19,37

Hysteroscopy

Hysteroscopic resection of isthmocele is a minimally invasive, non-time-consuming and low morbidity procedure, allowing visualization and repair of the defect.9 Despite the great variety of technique among the authors, the surgical technique overall consists of the resection of fibrotic tissue from the defect, presented like a flap underneath the triangular pouch. The resection of the niche edges setting the wall in continuity to the cervical canal improves the flow drainage and prevent the retention of menstrual blood.9,9 Fulguration of the base of the pouch, either globally or targeting visible vessels, enables the removal of the inflamed and congested tissue, preventing the in situ production of fluid and blood.8 In a systematic review, Abacjew-Chmylko et al. presented favorable outcome rates of hysteroscopic resection of 85.5%, ranging from 59.6% to 100%, completely solving AUB symptoms in 72.4% of the cases. Uterine perforation and bladder injuries are the major risks of the hysteroscopic procedure. Therefore, in order to reduce this risk, the resectoscope treatment by hysteroscopy is recommended to be performed if the remaining myometrial thickness is >3mm.18

Laparoscopy

A laparoscopic approach has been advocated for large defects (RM <3mm), in the presence of symptoms and desire to maintain fertility.18 Laparoscopic isthmocele repair consists in the resection of the isthmocele edges, in order to excise the scar tissue, closing the defect in two-layer sutures.4 Laparoscopy enables a better visualization to identify the defect, allowing repair and thus increasing the myometrial thickness.23

Donnez et al. described large isthmocele (RM <3mm) laparoscopic repair outcomes in thirty-eight symptomatic women. The surgical technique used was laparoscopic excision of the isthmocele with CO2 laser. A Hegar probe was used after the excision of the defect to preserve uterine continuity through the canal. The excision was repaired in three layers, the first two closed with separated Vicryl sutures, and the peritoneum closed with Monocryl in a running suture. In the case of a retroflexed uterus, a shortening of the round ligaments was done to decrease the counteracting forces that may impair the wound healing, as suggested by Vervoort et al. Hysteroscopy was then conducted to assert the repair. The mean myometrial thickness raised from 1.43±0.7 to 9.62±1.8mm in 3-month follow-ups. A total of 93% of the patients were symptom-free, and among women with infertility, 44% achieved pregnancy and delivered healthy full-term babies.23 The significant increase in myometrial thickness demonstrated the effectiveness that a laparoscopic isthmocele repair has on restoring the anterior uterine wall integrity.23

Vervoort et al. recently published a large prospective study with 101 women with symptomatic isthmocele <3mm submitted to laparoscopic repair under hysteroscopic control. The defect was resected by monopolar hook and the fibrotic tissue excised with a cold scissor, guided by hysteroscopy. The defect was then closed in two-layered suture in full-thickness including endometrium. Hyaluronic acid adhesion barrier was then added. In cases with an extreme retroflexed uterus, the round ligaments were also shortened. Hysteroscopy was performed to evaluate the anatomic result repair. In this study, 80 women had symptoms improved or resolved, and the RM significantly increased in follow up. Of the women with presence of fluid in the uterine cavity,
this was solved in 86.9% after the repair, and, in the overall, 83.3% of women were (very) satisfied with the results.37

The combined use of hysteroscopy and laparoscopy offers many advantages. During the laparoscopy, the bladder can be mobilized to offer superior visualization of the isthmocele and thus minimize the risk of bladder injury. Moreover, the cavity can be assessed for diagnosis and possible immediate surgical treatment of other conditions that can cause pain or infertility, such as chronic pelvic inflammatory disease or endometriosis. The hysteroscopy light source transilluminates the pouch providing guidance in identifying the defect by laparoscopy, and the hysteroscopy can also confirm the laparoscopic repair afterward.30

**Vaginal Procedure**

The vaginal procedure to isthmocele repair, although minimally invasive and effective, has fewer reports in the literature.38,39 Zhang40 compared the transvaginal repair to the laparoscopic approach in a retrospective study finding similar outcomes between the two techniques. This technique is described as a dissection of the bladder from the cervix and uterus, opening the vesicovaginal space with the identification of the isthmocele. The defect is excised, and the hysterotomy is closed in two layers. The transvaginal isthmocele repair was found to be cost-effective with shorter operation time and comparably more effective than laparoscopy.40 This approach, however, demands the surgeon be greatly experienced in vaginal surgery in order to avoid damage to adjacent structures and accurately locate the isthmocele in the limited surgical view.30,28

**Hysterectomy**

Hysterectomy is the curative management for large symptomatic isthmocele in women who do not wish to conceive anymore.18 Yet, hysterectomy is a major procedure when compared to other minimally invasive approaches available.

**ISTHMOCELE AND PREGNANCY**

The assessment of the RM in the lower uterine segment (LUS) by ultrasound can be used to predict the occurrence of cesarean scar dehiscence or rupture in future or ongoing pregnancies.41,42 Although several studies have classified LUS in values of higher or lower risk of scar dehiscence, no cutoff value has been universally defined. A meta-analysis of 2013 presented LUS thickness of 3.1-5.1mm and RM of 2.1-4.0mm as a strong negative predictive value for the occurrence of dehiscence or uterine rupture during a trial of labor, and RM of 0.6-2.0 provided a strong positive predictive value for the occurrence of a defect.43

Therefore, until newer studies can determine precise values and their implications the clinical practice, the antenatal evaluation of the LUS can be used, as a complementary data alongside other clinical variables, such as number of previous CSs, time between pregnancies, previous vaginal delivery, maternal age, among others, in the decision of a trial of labor after CS or performing a repeat CS.44 However, when performed in nonpregnant women who wish future pregnancies, the RM assessment allows the possibility to identify the defects at higher risk, enabling the possibility of correcting the defect before the next pregnancy.41

**STRENGTHS AND LIMITATIONS**

The relevance of this study lies, above all, on the high and increasing incidence of isthmocele and its complications. We were able to summarize most aspects regarding this condition, reaching epidemiology, etiopathogenesis, methods of diagnosis and methods of treatment.

However, there was some divergence on the information we found. There is no consensus about the definition of isthmocele, its classification, and prevalence. There are also only hypotheses. Thus, nothing has been proven, to date, about its etiology. Moreover, there are different surgical approaches and techniques recommended in each study.

Therefore, our article contemplates the most important concepts about isthmocele and summarizes the different information we found in the multiple up-to-date studies reviewed.

**CONCLUSION**

The increasing prevalence of isthmocele, thus its gynecological and obstetric complications, led by the rising number of CS deliveries performed worldwide is alarming. Postmenstrual spotting, pelvic pain, and secondary infertility are common complaints in gynecologist practice, and isthmocele
A istmocele ou nicho uterino é representada por uma descontinuidade miometrial ou um defeito anecoico triangular na parede uterina anterior, com a base se comunicando com a cavidade uterina no local de uma cicatriz anterior de cesárea. O defeito pode ser classificado como pequeno ou grande, dependendo da espessura da parede miometrial deficitária. Embora geralmente assintomática, a principal sintoma é a sangramento uterino anormal ou pós-menstrual. A dor pélvica crônica também pode ocorrer. Infertilidade, placenta acreta ou prévia, desísscência de cicatriz, ruptura uterina e gravidez ectópica em cicatriz de cesárea prévia também podem aparecer como complicações dessa condição. Os fatores de risco para desenvolvimento da istmocele comportam até o momento incluem útero retrusso e múltiplas cesarianas. No entanto, fatores como localização mais inferior de uma cesárea prévia, fechamento incompleto da histerotomia, aderências precoces na parede uterina e predisposição genética também podem contribuir para o desenvolvimento de um nicho. Como não existem critérios definitivos para o diagnóstico de uma istmocele, vários métodos de imagem podem ser usados para avaliar a integridade da parede uterina e, assim, diagnosticar uma istmocele. Entretanto, ultrassonografia transvaginal e sono-histerografia com infusão salina surgem como métodos específicos, sensíveis e custo-efetivos para o diagnóstico de istmocele. O tratamento inclui manejo clínico ou cirúrgico, dependendo do tamanho do defeito, da presença de sintomas, do plano gestacional e planos de gravidez. O manejo cirúrgico inclui abordagens minimamente invasivas como histeroscopia, laparoscopia ou transvaginal, de acordo com o tamanho do defeito.
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