INFLUENCE OF THE REPLANTING AGE ON YIELD AND GROWTH OF EUCLYPT CLONAL STANDS


ABSTRACT – The growth of an eucalypt plantation should be monitored to identify factors that influence its development, helping in the decision-making process, aiming to reduce productivity losses. Thus, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the influence of the age of replanting on the yield and growth of eucalypt stands. The experiment was conducted in an area of CENIBRA S.A. Company, and established in a commercial plantation in August 2011. The application of herbicide and the ant control were performed in total area before planting. Seedlings of *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* clones in 3.0 × 2.5 m spacing were used. Limestone (1,500 kg ha⁻¹) was applied in total area before planting and 100 g plant⁻¹ of NPK fertilizer (06-30-06) was applied immediately after planting. Four months after the installation of the experiment, 300 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK (06-10-29) was applied. Treatments consisted of four dates of seedling replanting (0, 20, 40 and 80 days after planting) without complementary fertilization, and two treatments (replanting 40 and 80 days after planting) with supplementary fertilization (100g plant⁻¹ NPK 06-30-06). The individual volume of replanting trees was 46.6% lower than of non-replanted ones. Treatments with complementary planting fertilization did not differ (p > 0.05) by the T-test. It is concluded that the longer the time between planting and replanting, the smaller the individual volume of the replanting trees and that the complementary fertilization in seedlings replanted does not favor their growth.

Keywords: Forest management; Clonal forestry; Planting uniformity.

INFLUÊNCIA DA ÉPOCA DO REPLANTIO NA PRODUTIVIDADE E CRESCIMENTO DE PLANTIOS CLONAIOS DE EUCALIPTO

RESUMO – O crescimento de um plantio de eucalipto deve ser monitorado, para identificar fatores que influenciam o seu desenvolvimento, auxiliando na tomada de decisões para reduzir perdas de produtividade. Assim, o objetivo do presente estudo é avaliar a influência da época de realização de replantios sobre a produtividade e o crescimento de povoamentos de eucalipto. O experimento foi conduzido em uma área da CENIBRA S.A. e implantado em um plantio comercial em agosto de 2011. A aplicação de herbicida e o controle de formigas, em área total, foram realizados antes do plantio, com mudas clonais de *Eucalyptus urophylla* × *Eucalyptus grandis* no espaçamento 3.0 × 2.5 m. A calagem (1.500 kg ha⁻¹) foi realizada em área total antes do plantio e 100 g planta⁻¹ de fertilizante NPK (06-30-06), aplicado imediatamente após o plantio. Quatro meses após a instalação do experimento, 300 kg ha⁻¹ de NPK (06-10-29) foi aplicado. Os tratamentos consistiram de quatro datas de replantio de mudas (0, 20, 40 e 80 dias após plantio) sem adubação complementar, e dois tratamentos (replantio com 40 e 80 dias do plantio) com adubação complementar de plantio (100 g planta⁻¹ de NPK 06-30-06). O volume individual das árvores replantadas foi 46,6% inferior ao das não replantadas. Os tratamentos com adubação complementar de plantio não diferiram (p > 0,05) pelo teste t. Conclui-se que quanto maior o intervalo de tempo entre o plantio e o replantio, menor é o volume individual das árvores replantada e que a realização de adubação complementar em mudas de replantio não favorece o crescimento dessas.

Palavras-Chave: Manejo florestal; Silvicultura clonal; Uniformidade de plantio.
1. INTRODUCTION

Brazilian eucalyptus stands are among the most productive in the world (Soares et al., 2016; Binkley et al., 2017). The average productivity at seven years old, in the 1970s was close to 15 m³.ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ (Queiroz and Barrichelo, 2007), and close to 35.7 m³.ha⁻¹.year⁻¹ in 2016 (IBÁ, 2017). To this end, it was necessary to ensure the adequacy of the technical recommendations and quality of forestry operations (Trinidad et al., 2017), which bring improvements in the distribution of inputs and ensures the development of a more uniform and productive forest. There is a positive relationship between uniformity and productivity of eucalyptus stands (Stape et al., 2010; Luu et al., 2013; Soares et al., 2016), which are affected by the quality of silvicultural operations (Radtke et al., 2003).

The presence of individuals of different ages in the stand also affects the quality of the forest and occurs due to the replanting (Correia et al., 2011). Replanting is a costly activity, and it is related to the poor quality of forest deployment activities (Chichorro et al., 2017) and when performed out of time, can lose its initial goal of ensuring the highest productivity of the stand. Late replanting causes greater competition for resources between plants, as older trees grow faster than younger trees because they use resources more efficiently (Binkley, 2004).

Dominated trees are undesirable in planted forests due to its undergrowth, susceptibility to pests and diseases (Alfenas et al., 2004) and increased vulnerability to environmental stress conditions. The increase in dominated plants within the stand causes a loss in production potential (Schneider et al., 2015). In this sense, the uniformity of a stand will only be considered critical once the heterogeneous growth of the trees generates loss of forest productivity and, consequently, economic damage.

The growth of a eucalyptus plantation should be monitored to identify factors that influence its development, helping to make decisions to correct or prevent possible productivity losses. The objective of this study was to evaluate the influence of the replanting season on the yield and growth of eucalyptus stands.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted in an area belonging to the company Celulose Nipo-Brasileira S.A. (CENIBRA S.A.), located in the municipality of Belo Oriente, Minas Gerais, that has a Latosolic Cambisol (CXbd5). The climate is characterized as Aw (Köppen), mesothermal, tropical rainy, with annual average precipitation of 1,208 mm, annual average temperature of 25.2°C and average relative humidity of 65.2%. The region is located at 19º 10’S, 42º 20’ W, with altitude ranging from 220 to 425 m.

The planting of the experiment was carried out in a commercial plot deployed in August 2011, following the technical recommendations and operations of the company. Herbicide application and ant control were performed in total area before planting. Clonal seedlings of Eucalyptus urophylla trees S.T. Blake x Eucalyptus grandis Hill ex Maiden (Myrtaceae) were planted at 3.0 × 2.5 m spacing. Fertilization was carried out with 1,500 kg.ha⁻¹ of limestone applied in total area before planting and 100 g.plant⁻¹ of NPK fertilizer (06-30-06), applied immediately after planting, in two lateral holes. Four months after the installation of the experiment a cover fertilization with 300 kg.ha⁻¹ NPK (06-10-29) was made.

Treatments consisted in four dates of seedling replanting (0, 20, 40 and 80 days after planting) without complementary fertilization in the planting, plus two treatments (replanting 40 and 80 days after planting) with supplementary fertilization in the planting (+ 100 g/plant⁻¹ NPK 06-30-06) (Table 1). The experiment was made in a randomized block design with four replications.

The experimental plot had nine rows with nine plants. The replanting of the nine seedlings, in which the treatments were applied, was done so that each replanted seedling had a double border of non-replanted seedlings. The entire plot was planted, and after 12 days the seedlings of the hole to be replanted were plucked and the replanting was made according to the treatments.

The experimental plot had nine rows with nine plants. The replanting of the nine seedlings, in which the treatments were applied, was done so that each replanted seedling had a double border of non-replanted seedlings. The entire plot was planted, and after 12 days the seedlings of the hole to be replanted were plucked and the replanting was made according to the treatments.

The diameter at 1.3 m height (DBH, in cm) and the height (H, in m) of all plants in the plot were measured at 32 and 43 months of age. The individual volume of each tree was determined based on the adjusted equation (Equation 1), developed from CENIBRA SA inventory data.

\[ V = 0.014562 + 0.0000323 \times DBH^2 \times H \]  
(Eq. 1)

Where:
Influence of the replanting age on yield and growth of...

\[ V = \text{Individual volume of trees, in m}^3; \]
\[ \text{DBH} = \text{Diameter at 1.30 meters high in cm}; \]
\[ H = \text{Tree height, in m}. \]

The effect of the season of replanting in the volume of individual trees and average volume increase (AMI) in the volume of replanted trees, at 43 months, was evaluated by regression with 5% of significance level in the F-test. The effect of supplementary fertilization in the planting was evaluated from the individual volume between treatment with and without supplementary fertilization in the last year of measurement, by T-test with 5% of significance. For processing the data it was used the statistical software *Statistica* version 12 (STATSOFT, INC., 2014).

The diametric distribution of the trees in the stand was based on the grouping of the trees in the plots in class centers with amplitude of 3.0 cm (class center of 1.5 cm).

3. RESULTS

The individual volume of replanted trees was 46.6% lower than non-replanted trees and their lowest value (\( \bar{y} = 0.0635 \text{ m}^3 \)) was observed when replanting was performed 80 days after replanting. The treatments with complementary planting fertilization, performed at 40 and 80 days of replanting, did not differ (\( p > 0.05 \)) by the T-test (Figure 1).

By the F-test, the AMI was not significantly affected, considering the trees in these plots, the different treatments, despite the reduction in volume of individual trees according to the replanting season (Table 1 and Figure 2).

The trees with larger DBH measured after 32 months were those with the largest increase in DBH at 43 months of age. The largest increase in DBH was observed in the individuals that the replanting was performed 20 days after planting, while the lowest value was in replanting that occurred 40 days after planting (Figure 3).

The diametric classes of lower value showed the smallest migration of trees to the class above. The 15.5 cm class center had an increased percentage from 9.10 to 31.00%, and after 43 months, 18.5% individuals entered the class center (Table 2).

4. DISCUSSIONS

The initial phase of forest implantation goes from planting until the end of the replanting, which can be done between 45 and 80 days after the beginning of the activities, and any replanting after this, leads to dominated seedlings (Stape et al., 2001). Seedling replanting should be performed if mortality exceeds 10%. Values below 10% do not represent loss in forest productivity, as long as the failures do not be together, forming a focus (Silva & Angeli, 2006). Decision-making on replanting takes into account, in addition to technical factors, also economic factors,
so that the operation is not economically unviable (Mafia et al., 2005). The coppicing began to gain more attention from researchers and foresters for its economic viability and for satisfactorily meeting the producer’s need for wood production (Gonçalves et al., 2014).

The individual volume of the trees from the planting was higher than that presented by the trees from the replanting, regardless of when it was done. The largest production of wood emphasizes the importance of carrying out the activities of planting following quality standards to ensure maximum survival and reduce unnecessary spendings since replanting is a costly activity (Summers et al., 2015). When evaluating the effect of replanting in the growth of seedlings of eucalyptus, differently from the present study, it was observed that when the replanting was made 20 days after planting the growth of the stand was not compromised (Correia et al., 2013). The difference in outcome is explained by the difference in the evaluation season since the authors monitored one year after replanting. By evaluating the effect of plant heterogeneity on the productivity of a eucalyptus forest, it was found that in the absence of genetic and species diversity, heterogeneity of planting has a negative effect on wood volume (Soares et al., 2016).

The complementary fertilization in the replant of the seedlings did not represent a significant increase in their volumetric growth. Plants have nutrient absorption capacity limited to the volume of their root system and their physiological growth (Bordron et al., 2019). The fertilization of eucalyptus plants in higher than adequate doses impairs their development and consequently the quality of the plants (Rocha et al., 2013). Therefore, the availability of additional fertilizer for a replanted seedling is not able to compensate for the loss of productivity due to the difference in days between planting and replanting.

The uniformity of trees in a stand of eucalyptus is a priority in its implementation (Hakamada et al., 2015), however, the growth of larger trees can compensate for the smaller ones, and the final volume will not be compromised (Canh et al., 2013). The main factors that affect the growth of a eucalyptus stand are the productive capacity of the site, the genetic material and the uniformity of the planting. The interaction of these factors can have negative effects
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on forest productivity (Resende et al., 2018). This fact was evident in the present study since the AMI did not vary in the tested situations, despite the difference in the individual volume of the trees in replanting.

The greatest variation in the size of the trees in the stand results in higher variability in their growth (Otto et al., 2014). Nevertheless, the efficiency in the use of nutrients in forest species is related to age, where older plants have higher nutritional efficiency compared with those younger (Barros Filho et al., 2017). The lower efficiency in nutrient absorption by the plant hinders its proper development (Nascimento Lima et al., 2005).

The growth rate is higher in trees in higher class center so that the largest trees at an early age will reach higher classes in future ages (Souza et al., 1993). The largest trees can also grow faster than smaller trees in the same stand, efficiently using more resources in timber production (Binkley, 2004). Assessing the effect of different height classes on the growth of a eucalyptus hybrid, it was found by the authors that dominant trees grow larger than suppressed trees due to several factors such as: competition for light, water, and nutrients (Cezana et al., 2012).

That is a tendency that replanted seedlings become, over time, trees increasingly dominated inside the stand. This increase in heterogeneity in monoclonal eucalyptus stands can reduce stand-level production by 18-20% (Stape et al., 2010; Soares et al. 2016). In addition to the impacts caused by replanting on stand productivity, it also increases implementation costs.

5. CONCLUSIONS

A longer period for the replanting affects negatively the individual volume of the trees and the complementary fertilization of these replanted seedlings does not ensure their best development. Replanting should not be performed, up to 11% mortality, because it does not positively impact the productivity of the plantation. The final volume of wood is not affected by the replanted seedlings because they become, over time, dominated trees.

6. REFERENCES


Filho NFB, Schumacher MV, Neves JCL,


Influence of the replanting age on yield and growth of...


