Diabetic mastopathy — an unusual differential diagnosis: a case report
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Abstract Diabetic mastopathy affects premenopausal women with longstanding type 1 diabetes mellitus. The diagnosis is based on clinical findings (uni or bilateral hardened, palpable mass) associated with radiological (increase in breast density), sonographic (marked posterior acoustic shadowing), and histopathological (fibrosis and perivascular and periductal lymphocytic infiltration) findings. This disease may clinically simulate a breast carcinoma. The case of a patient with diabetic mastopathy is reported.
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CASE REPORT

A female 35-year-old patient complaining of a lump found during self-examination, at the intersection of the lateral quadrants of her right breast, near the retroareolar region. Insulin-dependent, diabetic (diabetes mellitus) patient since she was 16 years old, reported inappropriate glycemia management because of inadequate diet and lack of regular physical activity.

At clinical examination, the patient presented with a 4.0 cm region of thickened skin on her right breast. Mammography demonstrated a markedly hypoechoic nodular image and posterior acoustic shadowing, with 2.0 cm in diameter (Figure 2).

Initially, the patient was submitted to fine-needle aspiration biopsy that resulted inconclusive (smear presenting rare, non-atypical epithelial cells). Considering the unsatisfactory result, ultrasound-guided core biopsy was performed. The anatomicopathological study demonstrated breast fibrosis with perivascular infiltrate compatible with lymphocytic mastitis (Figure 3).

DISCUSSION

Diabetic mastopathy was firstly described by Soler & Khardori, as a benign disease clinically simulating a breast carcinoma. Its pathogenesis is still to be completely known, but it is believed that this condition develops as an autoimmune reaction induced by the hyperglycemia, which would result in an increased production of collagen, with consequential lymphocytic infiltrate with B lymphocytes against the final products of the abnormal extracellular matrix glycosylation.

REFERENCES

old), with almost always multiple breast lesions with sizes ranging from 5 mm to 6 cm in diameter

Clinical feature is characterized by a painless, ill-defined, movable breast lump, without skin thickening or retraction. The clinical feature corresponds to painless, ill-defined, movable and hardened breast mass, without skin thickening or retraction. Unilateral or bilateral, multiple or non-palpable masses may be observed\(^1\). Sixty-three per cent of the lesions are bilateral and multiple. Considering the recidivation rate of 80%, the knowledge of these characteristics could avoid unnecessary biopsies\(^6\).

Mammography may demonstrate an area of increased density (focal asymmetry). Venta et al.\(^7\) have described mammographic images showing lobulated masses in 52% of cases, oval-shaped masses in 50%, and round masses in 18% of cases. On the other hand, ultrasound may demonstrate irregular, hypoechoic masses with marked posterior acoustic shadowing, where the main differential diagnosis is breast carcinoma\(^1\).

Few studies in the literature report the utilization of magnetic resonance imaging in these patients. Most recently, spectroscopy has been proposed as a method for confirming the lesions benignity, demonstrating the presence or absence of a choline peak areas, with sensitivity and specificity ranging between 82% and 100%\(^8\).

A histological diagnosis is essential in cases of clinically and radiologically suspected diabetic mastopathy. Some authors have proposed the diagnosis by aspiration biopsy, but this method is successful in less than 50% of cases because of the hardness and poor cellularity of the lesions. Therefore, histological biopsies, particularly core biopsy is considered as the most appropriate method for obtaining a definite diagnosis\(^1\).

**CONCLUSION**

The knowledge of clinical and imaging manifestations of diabetic mastopathy allows the appropriate diagnosis of this disease, whose main differential diagnosis is breast carcinoma.
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