INTRODUCTION

Umbilical venous catheterization is a common procedure in the management of preterm neonates, and is considered a swift and reliable procedure. However, its utilization is also associated with complications such as thrombosis, embolism, hemorrhages, heart arrhythmias, effusion, portal hypertension and sepsis. In order to avoid such complications, it is important that the tip of the umbilical venous catheter (UVC) be located in the inferior vena cava, near the entrance of the right atrium.

Chest and abdominal radiography is routinely performed in order to accurately identify the location of the UVC with basis on previously determined anatomical references, and it is considered properly positioned as visualized at the right side of the T8 and T9 vertebral bodies, the anatomical location of the inferior vena cava, near the right atrium. During the UVC insertion, particularly in cases where the tip is located near the portal vein, a small amount of air may inadvertently be inserted through the umbilical vein, and may migrate toward the portal system, being transiently visualized at follow-up radiography.

The present report describes a case related to inappropriate UVC positioning and inadvertent air insertion into the hepatic portal system in a preterm neonate. Initially, the hypothesis of necrotizing enterocolitis was considered because of the high risk posed by this condition in such an age group.

CASE REPORT

A male infant born at 32 weeks of gestation, weighting 1,160 grams was submitted to umbilical venous catheterization at 70 minutes of life. The tip of the UVC was radiologically identified at the right side of the T7-T8 vertebral bodies, above the correct location (T8-T9), then the catheter was tractioned approximately 1 cm. At the fourth day of life, the neonate presented with bilious residuals, and plain chest and abdominal radiography (frontal view) was performed. The UVC tip was then visualized at the level of the T10 vertebral body in association with presence of air in the hepatic portal system (Figure 1), and the catheter was subsequently removed. The patient remained with bilious residuals and, after five hours, a new radiography was performed, demonstrating persistence of portal air (Figure 2) and mild distention of bowel loops, raising the hypothesis of necrotizing enterocolitis. A new radiography performed after six hours still demonstrated the presence of air, but at the subsequent radiological follow-up, at the fifth day of life, it had disappeared (Figure 3).

The neonate’s clinical evolution and laboratory tests made it possible to rule out necrotizing enterocolitis. Based on the progression of the clinical and radiological condition, the final diagnosis was established as presence of air in the portal system due to umbilical venous catheter malposition at the occasion when it was tractioned.
DISCUSSION

The umbilical vein extends from the umbilicus to the hepatic region, where its name changes to umbilical recess, communicating with the portal veins branches and posteriorly discharging into the inferior vena cava through the venous duct\(^3\). As the umbilical venous catheter is inserted, particularly in those cases where it is located next to the portal vein, air may inadvertently enter and migrate toward the portal system, being occasionally found at follow-up radiography, and later disappearing\(^2,3\). In the present case, the tip of the umbilical catheter, after being pulled, was identified at the level of T10, next to the umbilical recess region, which may have caused the passage of air through the catheter into the portal system.

The radiological finding of air in the portal system, as associated with necrotizing enterocolitis, is almost always followed by intestinal pneumatosis\(^6,7\). In the present case, there was no evidence of pneumatosis intestinalis at radiography, but as the presence of portal air in neonates is associated with a high risk for necrotizing enterocolitis, such a diagnostic suspicion should be raised and ruled out\(^5-8\). Subsequent radiography demonstrated that the air had disappeared and clinical and laboratory findings did not confirm the necrotizing enterocolitis hypothesis.

In the final evaluation of the present case, one has concluded that portal air was inadvertently inserted as the catheter located next to the portal vein was tractioned.

CONCLUSION

In the absence of clinical, radiological and laboratory findings of necrotizing enterocolitis, the presence of air in the portal system can be attributed to umbilical catheter malposition and inadvertent penetration of air through the infusion lines. The differential diagnosis of such finding with necrotizing enterocolitis can avoid unnecessary clinical procedures.
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