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INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the second cause of death for cancer in the United States of America⁴. According to the most recent data available in Brazil, 14,180 new cases of CRC in men and 15,960 in women were estimated in 2012. Such figures correspond to an estimated risk of 15 new cases for every 100,000 men and 16 cases for every 100,000 women⁶. However, it is a known fact that the screening for colorectal cancer is most frequently known and used by professionals working in cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants (p < 0.005). There was a tendency of a more frequent use of the method by the professionals pursuing an academic career.

OBJECTIVE: To map the view of surgeons on the role played by computed tomography colonography (CTC).

MATERIALS AND METHODS: An electronic questionnaire was sent to members of the Brazilian College of Surgeons. The questionnaire consisted of 16 multiple-choice questions about demographics and general knowledge about CTC.

RESULTS: The authors obtained 144 responses; 90.3% of the specialists were men, 60% with less than 30 years from graduation, 77.1% were gastroenterological surgeons, 22.9% were general surgeons, 53.5% were involved in academic activity, and 59.7% had their professional activity in cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants. As regards the knowledge about CTC, 84.7% of the respondents knew the method, 70.8% knew how it is performed, 56.9% reported knowing the bowel preparation used for the procedure, 31.3% used the method, and 53.5% knew some CTC service in their city. About half of the respondents did not know the precise indication of the method. The method is most frequently known and used by professionals working in cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants and in the academic environment.

CONCLUSION: Despite its infrequent use in Brazil, CTC is a well known method, particularly in large urban centers and in the academic environment.
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Cancers (CRC) with early detection and removal of adenomatous polyps may substantially reduce the mortality associated with CRC(3,4). It is considered that such a neoplasia has a good prognosis, provided the disease is detected(2). CRC develops from a small adenoma (< 5 mm), as a result from gene mutations, to large adenomas (> 10 mm) that generate noninvasive and invasive carcinomas(5–7). A large adenoma takes about five years to transform itself into cancer, and a small adenoma, ten to 15 years to develop malignant alterations(9). Until the present moment, fecal occult blood test, rectosigmoidoscopy, opaque enema and colonoscopy constitute the most utilized diagnostic methods to detect polyps and CRC(8). More recently (in the mid 1990s), computed tomography colonography (CTC) was introduced as a minimally invasive method developed for the diagnosis of some colorectal diseases with good acceptance by patients(9–12) and efficacy comparable to optical colonoscopy in the detection of colon polyps and CRC(13,14). As a further advantage of the method, besides being minimally invasive and sedation-free, the patient may resume his/her activities right after the procedure is completed(15,16). Recently, CTC has been offered at some university hospitals, Sistema Único de Saúde (SUS) (Unified Health System) affiliated hospitals, and at several private clinic laboratories. But it seems that CTC is still poorly requested and performed at Brazilian imaging diagnosis services due to different reasons that are still to be well established.

The present study was aimed at mapping the view of specialists in the area of surgical gastroenterology and general surgery on the role played by CTC, with the purpose of depicting the scenario of its use and obtaining data to improve the accessibility and utilization of the method.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present prospective, cross-sectional and descriptive study was approved by the Committee for Ethics in Research of the authors’ institution, and applied a questionnaire to specialists in surgical gastroenterology and general surgery sent by means of the Survey Monkey® survey site to members of Colégio Brasileiro de Cirurgiões (CBC) (Brazilian College of Surgeons). The site (http://www.surveymonkey.com) allows subscribers to develop and analyze online surveys. The questionnaire included 16 multiple choice questions covering items such as time of graduation of the respondent, demographic data, academic background, main work place and general knowledge about CTC (Table 1). The questionnaire was submitted by means of a link sent by e-mail to members registered at CBC under the responsibility of such a college and was anonymously answered. Neither incentive was offered in return for survey response nor was an attempt made to contact the addressees of the survey.

Statistical analysis

The statistical analysis of all the data collected in the present study was done in a descriptive way, by calculating absolute and relative frequencies (percentages), besides the construction of bar charts.

The Pearson’s chi-squared test was utilized to perform the inferential analysis either to confirm or to oppose the evidences demonstrated at the descriptive analysis.

The level of statistical significance corresponding to α = 5% was utilized for all the conclusions obtained by means of the inferential analysis.

The data were input into worksheets Excel® 2010 for Windows® for appropriate storage. The statistical analyses were performed with the aid of the R statistical analysis software version 2.15.2.

RESULTS

Personal data

The authors received 144 questionnaires responded by CBC members distributed as follows: 90.3% (130) men, 9.7% (14) women; 60% with less than 30 years from graduation (86/144), 77.1% (111/144) gastric surgeons, and 22.9% (33/144) general surgeons. Amongst them, 53.5% (77/144) pursued an academic career and 59.7% (86/144) developed their professional activity in cities with more than 500,000 inhabitants. The main work place was a private institution for 41.7% of the respondents, followed by 30.6% in public institutions, 18.8% in university institutions, and 9.0% in private offices (Table 2; Figures 1, 2 and 3).

Degree of knowledge about CTC

As regards general knowledge about CTC, 84.7% of the respondents informed that knew the method, 70.8% knew how it is performed, 56.9% knew the bowel preparation utilized for the study, 31.3% utilized the method, 53.5% knew some CTC service in their city. They were also asked about the main indications for CTC. In this question, the respondent could mark as many alternatives as he/she considered...
correct (Table 3). The main indications for CTC included in this question were screening for CRC and incomplete colonoscopy, considered pertinent by about half of the respondents and with equal distribution between both specialties. The other indications had also a similar distribution between the two groups of specialists, except for the item “I have no opinion about it”, where a prevalence was observed among in the group of general surgeons (15.3% × 27.3%; \( p < 0.001 \)). It is also important to observe that only 1.4% of the respondents answered that there was no formal indication for CTC (one respondent in the group of gastric surgeons, and one in the group of general surgeons).

Factors limiting the use of CTC

Amongst specialists, 78.5% believe that there would be a growth in requests for CTC if the method was covered by health plans, and 81.3% believe that CTC would be more frequently performed if the method were available at SUS.

Cross sectional data

A cross-sectional data analysis could be performed by means of tools available at the survey site. Upon the statistical analysis, the authors found the following results:

- Professionals working in cities with > 500,000 inhabitants have a better knowledge about the method than those working in cities with < 500,000 inhabitants (\( p = 0.004 \)) (Figure 4).

- The method is more utilized by surgeons working in cities with > 500,000 inhabitants than by those working in cities with < 500,000 inhabitants (\( p = 0.025 \)) (Figure 5).

- Professionals working in cities with > 500,000 inhabitants know some service that performs the method more frequently than those working in cities with < 500,000 inhabitants (\( p < 0.001 \)) (Figure 6)

- Among professionals pursuing an academic career, there is a tendency to utilize the method with greater frequency as compared with those who do not pursue such a career, but such a difference is not statistically significant (\( p = 0.075 \)) (Figure 7).
Among individuals working in private or university institutions, there is a tendency to utilize the method with greater frequency as compared with those individuals working in public institutions or private offices, but such a difference is not statistically significant \((p = 0.092)\) (Figure 8).

The authors observed that the most frequent utilization of the method occurs among the respondents graduated for more than 30 years, and 37.2% out of them answered that they utilize the method. The same situation is observed in relation to the knowledge about the bowel preparation for CTC, since 64% of the respondents graduated for more than 30 years reported to have such knowledge. But, the utilization of the method and the knowledge about the bowel preparation are not associated with the time elapsed from graduation \((p = 0.193 \text{ and } p = 0.132, \text{ respectively} - \text{ and non statistically significant})\).

**DISCUSSION**

Several screening program are utilized in an attempt to reduce the morbimortality of CRC. Currently, conventional colonoscopy, fecal occult blood test and carcinoembryonic antigen blood test have been the main tools utilized in the screening for CRC\(^{3,9}\).

A study about the view of surgeons regarding CTC is something unprecedented in Brazil and it is certainly important to establish which measures would be necessary to publicize and disseminate the utilization of the method, considering that CTC is less invasive than conventional colonoscopy, do not require sedation, and is better accepted by patients\(^{3,10}\), allowing the patient to resume his/her activities right after the procedure is completed.

Most respondents reported their knowledge about the method, but about half of them marked an inappropriate indication for CTC, according to the guidelines established by experts consensus. For example, according to the guidelines published by American College of Radiology, CTC should be performed for screening CRC, identifying polyps, particularly those > 5 mm, and is indicated for patients who underwent incomplete conventional colonoscopy\(^{17}\). On the other hand, because CTC is performed according to a spe-
cific protocol, with bowel preparation and without using intravenous contrast injection, \textit{a priori} it is not considered a method for staging, as the absence of intravenous iodinated contrast injection reduces the CTC sensitivity for detecting liver metastasis. CTC can demonstrate varied extracolonic lesions\textsuperscript{(18)}, including possible metastases, but cannot be considered a method for disease staging. For such a purpose, a further contrast-enhanced phase must be added\textsuperscript{(19)}, which is not routinely performed in CTC. About 50\% of surgeons participating in the study indicated that CRC staging would be an indication for CTC, which does not correspond to the original potential of the method.

An appropriate CTC requires distension of the colon by means of inflation with gas inserted, either mechanically or manually, through the rectum; for this reason, the use of CTC in cases of inflammatory bowel disease may lead to complications such as bowel perforation and peritonitis, particularly in patients with active disease\textsuperscript{(20,21)}. For these reasons, CTC is relatively contraindicated in this specific group of patients and if for some reason the procedure must be performed, it should be done according to a protocol and under specific measures\textsuperscript{(22)}. In this context, about one third of the surgeons answered that they believe that CTC could be indicated to investigate inflammatory bowel disease, which is at least a questionable indication.

Specialists working in cities with > 500,000 inhabitants know and utilize the method more frequently. Such a fact is compatible with the Brazilian reality, considering that larger cities have a tendency to have easier access to diagnostic imaging methods and to skilled professionals to perform and interpret specific studies\textsuperscript{(23)}.

As expected, in the present study the authors could observe that professionals pursuing an academic career and working at university hospitals know and utilize CTC with greater frequency, probably because they are in closer contact with modern diagnostic methods and more exposed to innovation, besides being in contact with peer radiologists who are familiar with the method.

In a referral to the site of Agência Nacional de Saúde (National Health Agency) (www.ans.gov.br), the authors observed that the procedure is still to be included in the minimum list of procedures that must be covered by health plans\textsuperscript{(24)}. Like the respondents in the present study, the authors believe that the number of procedures and professionals interested in requesting and performing the method will increase as CTC is included in the list of basic procedures to be covered by health plans.

Considering that CTC is an excellent method for screening CRC, with good acceptance by patients and increasing availability in Brazil, which would be the true reason behind the poor utilization of CTC in our country? In order to answer such a question, the authors have created the mentioned questionnaire and, based on the responses they could make some observations. They have found that many professionals involved with the management of patients in their daily routines do know the method and a place in their cities where the procedure is performed. However, only about 30\% of such professionals utilize the method. The authors observed that factors such as involvement in academic career, work place and size of the city are directly associated with the knowledge about CTC and, consequently, with the indication and performance of CTC. For a greater dissemination of CTC in Brazil, a more intensive effort would be necessary to inform requesting physicians about indications, limitations and advantages of the method (as compared with the conventional colonoscopy), in addition to an effort of entities representing the professionals (such as Colégio Brasileiro de Radiologia e Diagnóstico por Imagem), towards including CTC in the list of procedures covered by health plans and in the unified diagnostic procedures table of SUS.

The present study has some limitations. The questionnaires were sent by electronic means and maybe many of them have not been answered, reducing the size of the study sample. The authors could not know the exact number of the CBC member who received the questionnaire. Considering that CTC is a relatively new method in Brazil, maybe some professionals have quit answering the questionnaire simply because they did not know the method, despite the fact that their participation was anonymous. The present study sample could be larger, but the statistical analysis of specific variables has demonstrated that the sample was sufficient and the obtained data were reliable. Additionally, the data were not analyzed per region, so the generalization might lead to inaccuracy. A higher number of respondents proportionally distributed throughout the whole Brazilian territory would be necessary to obtain more reliable data applicable per studied region, hence the objective of the questionnaire in determining the respondent’s state of origin. But this objective was not successfully achieved because of the limited number of responses coming from some Brazilian regions. Even dividing the respondents according their region of origin, the figures obtained from the Northern and Northeastern regions were not sufficient to allow for a statistical analysis. Such analysis might be part of a further investigation. On the other hand, as the authors classified the questionnaires according to the number of inhabitants in the cities of the respondents, obtaining significant results, they believe that such a limitation was partially bypassed. Finally another limitation of the present study was related to the fact that the authors have not specifically investigated a group of surgeons specialized in colonoscopy and conventional colonoscopy. Such data might confirm the authors’ expectations, i.e., that a colonoproctologist has a deeper knowledge about CTC as compared with general gastric surgeons, and that the fact that they perform conventional colonoscopy might in some way negatively influence in the option for performing an alternative diagnostic method. The authors have not found any evidence in the literature confirming such assumptions. On the other hand, the present study was not aimed at demonstrating the existence of a cause/effect relation between the
control over the conventional colonoscopic technique and the knowledge and utilization of CTC.

Finally, as the authors depicted the profile and the view of specialists in relation to the use of CTC, they have found out that the method, although still poorly utilized in Brazil, is widely known, particularly in large urban centers and in the academic environment. A project of divulgation of CTC in association with an effort to include this method in the diagnostic procedures tables, may allow for a greater contribution to the screening for CRC, so CTC becomes a relevant tool to reduce the disease morbimortality.
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