Indications for breast magnetic resonance imaging in Brazil: past, present, and future

Dear Editor.

In the previous issue of Radiologia Brasileira, Ferreira et al. (1) described the main indications for breast magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) examination at a referral center for the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer in Brazil. The results presented by those authors corroborate the findings of a previous study conducted by our group, carried out at another referral center in Brazil and published in the journal in 2011⁽²⁾. Although they were performed at facilities in different states and in different time periods (2014-2018 and 2008-2009, respectively), both studies showed that most breast MRI examinations performed in Brazil are requested for the evaluation of patients in whom the mammography and ultrasound findings are inconclusive. Although some studies have shown the benefit of MRI in some specific situations within that context^(3,4), that indication has little foundation in the literature and even in the Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System recommendations⁽⁵⁾. Other indications that are more well-established in the literature (6-8), such as screening for high-risk patients, locoregional staging, and assessment of the response to neoadjuvant treatment, account for a lower proportion of the MRI examinations requested.

Both of the studies cited above were carried out at referral centers for cancer, which suggests that their findings cannot be extrapolated to all facilities in Brazil. However, the factors related to those findings merit further discussion. Unfortunately, the high cost and limited availability of breast MRI are still important limiting factors for the dissemination of the method in the country. Although breast MRI is already a well-established method, there are few facilities in Brazil where this examination is performed by trained professionals, which also impedes the dissemination of the method and even results in a lack of confidence on the part of the requesting physicians. There are even fewer facilities that perform imaging-guided biopsy of suspicious lesions that are detected on MRI and have not been identified by other methods (mammography and ultrasound), which makes the management of such lesions more difficult in some cases.

Updating the data from our previous study⁽²⁾, we observed that, among the 1,837 breast MRI examinations performed at

our center between February 2019 and February 2020 (prior to the current pandemic), the main indications were as follows: diagnostic uncertainty after mammography or ultrasound, in 490 cases (26.7%); surveillance after breast cancer treatment, in 423 cases (23.0%); staging, in 255 cases (13.9%); screening of highrisk patients, in 226 cases (12.3%); and assessment of the response to neoadjuvant chemotherapy, in 145 cases (7.9%). These data suggest a change in the profile of the tests requested over time, with a reduction in indications due to equivocal findings and a proportional increase in other indications. Therefore, we believe that better training and supervision at facilities that perform breast MRI, as well as greater dissemination and discussion of the most appropriate indications for the method among requesting physicians, can favor the optimized use of this resource, making it more cost-effective, in Brazil.

REFERENCES

- Ferreira SS, Campos AM, Fernandes PL, et al. Indications for breast magnetic resonance imaging at a referral center for the diagnosis and treatment of breast cancer in Brazil. Radiol Bras. 2021;54:83–6.
- Marques EF, Medeiros MLL, Souza JA, et al. Indications for breast magnetic resonance imaging in an oncology reference center. Radiol Bras. 2011; 44:363–6.
- Bennani-Baiti B, Bennani-Baiti N, Baltzer PA. Diagnostic performance of breast magnetic resonance imaging in non-calcified equivocal breast findings: results from a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One. 2016;11:e0160346.
- Giess CS, Chikarmane SA, Sippo DA, et al. Breast MR imaging for equivocal mammographic findings: help or hindrance? Radiographics. 2016;36:943

 –56.
- Morris EA, Comstock CE, Lee CH, et al. ACR BI-RADS® magnetic resonance imaging. In: ACR BI-RADS® Atlas, Breast Imaging Reporting and Data System. Reston, VA: American College of Radiology; 2013.
- Sardanelli F, Boetes C, Borisch B, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the breast: recommendations from the EUSOMA working group. Eur J Cancer. 2010;46:1296–316.
- Mann RM, Balleyguier C, Baltzer PA, et al. Breast MRI: EUSOBI recommendations for women's information. Eur Radiol. 2015;25:3669–78.
- Monticciolo DL, Newell MS, Moy L, et al. Breast cancer screening in women at higher-than-average risk: recommendations from the ACR. J Am Coll Radiol. 2018;15(3 Pt A):408–14.

Almir Galvão Vieira Bitencourt^{1,a}. Rubens Choiniak^{1,b}

1. Department of Imaging – A.C.Camargo Cancer Center, São Paulo, SP, Brazil. Correspondence: Dr. Almir Galvão Vieira Bitencourt. Departamento de Imagem – A.C.Camargo Cancer Center. Rua Professor Antônio Prudente, 211, Liberdade. São Paulo, SP, Brazil, 09015-010. Email: almir.bitencourt@accamargo.org.br.

a. https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0192-9885. b. https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8096-252X

http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0100-3984.2021.0056

Portal vein embolization, biembolization, and liver venous deprivation

Dear editor

We read with great interest the article "Liver venous deprivation prior to hepatectomy: an interventional radiology procedure", authored by Alves et al. (1), in a recent issue of **Radiologia Brasileira**. This is an excellent addition to the "Advances in Radiology" section of the journal, which highlights the latest developments in medical practice in Brazil. Liver regeneration prior to major hepatectomy is decisive in cancer management because it allows these potentially curative surgical procedures to be performed in otherwise inoperable patients, thus improving survival outcomes (2). Portal vein embolization (PVE), used for decades as a method of inducing liver hypertrophy (3), has recently been used in combination with embolization of one or more hepatic veins (4).

Alves et al.⁽¹⁾ described concomitant PVE and proximal right hepatic vein embolization with a vascular plug. This technique might be more appropriately designated biembolization⁽⁵⁾, be-

ing slightly different from liver venous deprivation (LVD). The LVD procedure has been described as: PVE plus proximal and distal embolization of the hepatic veins. Proximal embolization of the hepatic vein is accomplished with a vascular plug, as in biembolization, whereas distal embolization of the hepatic vein is achieved with N-butyl-cyanoacrylate (NBCA) plus lipiodol, as in LVD⁽²⁾. Why might this be relevant? Invariably, venovenous collaterals between liver segments V/VIII and IV are present⁽⁶⁾ and will increase in size after plug deployment⁽⁴⁾. Distal embolization with a liquid embolic agent (i.e., NBCA) not only eliminates flow in the target vein but also occludes those collaterals, which might have benefits in terms of liver hypertrophy induction. In addition, biembolization and LVD may require different technical approaches: LVD is usually performed through a percutaneous trans-hepatic approach (4,7), making it easier to inject liquid embolic agents after plug deployment, whereas biembolization is performed through a transjugular approach (Figure 1).

Segment IV PVE, which was performed by Alves et al.⁽¹⁾, has been reported to induce additional liver hypertrophy⁽⁸⁾.