Influence of Pleural Drain Insertion in Lung Function of Patients Undergoing Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting
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Background and objectives: Longitudinal, prospective, randomized, blinded Trial to assess the influence of pleural drain (non-toxic PVC) site of insertion on lung function and postoperative pain of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting in the first three days post-surgery and immediately after chest tube removal.

Method: Thirty six patients scheduled for elective myocardial revascularization with cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) were randomly allocated into two groups: SX group (subxiphoid) and IC group (intercostal drain). Spirometry, arterial blood gases, and pain tests were recorded.

Results: Thirty one patients were selected, 16 in SX group and 15 in IC group. Postoperative (PO) spirometric values were higher in SX than in IC group (p < 0.05), showing less influence of pleural drain location on breathing. PaO2 on the second PO increased significantly in SX group compared with IC group (p < 0.0188). The intensity of pain before and after spirometry was lower in SX group than in IC group (p < 0.005). Spirometric values were significantly increased in both groups after chest tube removal.

Conclusion: Drain with insertion in the subxiphoid region causes less change in lung function and discomfort, allowing better recovery of respiratory parameters.
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INTRODUCTION

Change in lung function increases morbidity and mortality in coronary artery bypass grafting 1. Several factors contribute to this, such as median sternotomy, cardiopulmonary bypass 2,3, pleurectomy, and postoperative pain 4.

The use of left mammary artery, although a worldwide accepted technique, involves pleurotomy 5 that together with sternotomy promote major changes in lung mechanics, which predisposes to decreased vital capacity and total lung capacity 6.

The fact that pleurotomy is always associated with pleural drainage causes more discomfort and pain to the patient, which further worsens lung function 6-9. Guizilini et al. studied the effect of pleural drain site of insertion on postoperative lung function in coronary artery bypass grafting and found that, regardless of drain positioning, pain and loss of lung function occurred, although the effects were less noticeable when the drain was placed in the subxiphoid region 10. Chest tubes are made from various raw materials and all seem to work satisfactorily regarding blood drainage from the pleural space and the pain of withdrawal procedure 11. Chest tube itself can interfere with deep inspiration by its intimate contact with the visceral pleura. Misplacement of a thick rigid tube may result in serious complications, such as arrhythmia due to heart irritation; injury of the intercostal nerves, parietal pleura or lung parenchyma 12; erosion of intrathoracic major vessels; and cardiac tamponade 13.

OBJECTIVE

The aim of this study is to evaluate the influence of the insertion site of a PVC non-toxic chest tube on lung function by spirometry in the first days after surgery. As a control, the same parameters were evaluated without the chest tube on the third day. As a secondary objective, we assessed the discomfort caused by chest tube insertion using pain registration at rest and after spirometry.

METHOD

A prospective randomized study of patients with coronary artery disease who underwent elective coronary artery bypass surgery was conducted at the Hospital das Clínicas, Univer-
sidade Federal do Triângulo Mineiro (UFTM); such patients
were admitted to the Intensive Care Unit from January 2010
to July 2011. After approval by the Ethics Research Commit-
tee of UFTM and obtained informed consent, 36 patients were
included in the study. During surgery, the service assistant
held the draw of the envelope containing the chest tube site of
placement, and the patients were then allocated into two
groups (intercostal [IC] or the subxiphoid [SX]). Inclusion crite-
ría were patients with coronary artery disease proven by coro-
nary angiography, who underwent elective coronary artery
bypass grafting using the left internal thoracic artery (mam-
mmary), left pleurotomy with cardiopulmonary bypass (anoxia
time < 60 min), ejection fraction greater than 50%, and normal
spirometry. Patients with previous lung disease, those who
could not perform pulmonary function tests, remained intu-
bated beyond the first postoperative day (PO-1), and required
surgical intervention were excluded. Five patients were ex-
cluded: two for decreased level of consciousness (SX) and
two for prolonged intubation and one by death (IC).

Spirometric measurements, forced vital capacity (FVC),
and forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) were per-
formed preoperatively and in the intensive care by a physio-
therapist who was blinded to the method and recorded in a de-
tailed evaluation form, which contained diagnostic, nutritional
status, risk factors for coronary heart disease (hypertension,
diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, and smoking habit), and as-
associated diseases.

Computed spirometry was performed with a portable spirometer Multispire (Creative Biomedics, San Clemente,
CA, EUA) certified by CE and ISO standard 9001/EN46001,
with high accuracy and reproducibility. For greater accuracy of
measurements, each test was repeated three times, and the
best result was recorded. Measurements were always made
at the bedside, after training, with the patient at the sitting po-
sition (erect trunk) and using a nose clip. The subjects were
asked to breathe slowly and deeply as possible, and, after a
brief inspiratory pause, to expire as fast as possible. The tech-
nique and selection of the values from lung mechanics results
followed the guidelines for pulmonary function tests of the
Sociedade Brasileira de Pneumologia e Tisiologia (Brazilian
Society of Pulmonology and Phthisiology) 14.

Arterial blood gas analysis, according to service routine,
was made before surgery with the patient breathing room air
and after surgery, on first and second days, with patients still
on nebulizer mask with continuous flow at 5 L.min⁻¹.

Surgery was performed through median sternotomy with
cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB). After the operation, before
chest closure and under direct vision, a chest tube of non-
toxic PVC, number 34F, was used for thoracic drainage. In IC
group, the tube was inserted in the sixth intercostal space in
the midaxillary line. In SX group, the tube was placed in the
subxiphoid region. All patients left the surgery with mediasti-
nal drainage (chest tube 36F), via subxiphoid. The protocol
for general anesthesia was balanced general anesthesia (iso-
flurane and fentanyl). All patients were ventilated with a tidal
volume of 8 mL.kg⁻¹ without positive end-expiratory pressure
(PEEP) and FiO₂ of 100%.

After surgery, patients were taken to the postoperative unit
of cardiac surgery and maintained on mechanical ventilation,
initially ventilated with 100% FiO₂, tidal volume of 8 mL.kg⁻¹,
PEEP of 5 cm H₂O. Exubation was performed according to
the intensive care unit criteria.

Radiological evaluation was performed daily to assess the
diaphragm position, fluid retention, and atelectasis. Pleural
tubes were removed after spirometry on the second postop-
erative day. Spirometric values were recorded in the first, sec-
ond and third days after surgery.

The subjective sensation of pain was measured by Verbal
Analog Scale (VAS) with pain scores 0 to 10 (0 = no pain,
10 = worst possible pain). Measurements were performed at
rest and after spirometry in the first, second, and third post-
operative day. The same physiotherapist was responsible for
the assessments.

Body mass index (BMI) calculated by the ratio weight.
height² was used to assess nutritional status, as recommend-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Subxiphoid (n = 16)</th>
<th>Intercostal (n = 15)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)*</td>
<td>56.43 ± 9.04</td>
<td>59.20 ± 8.40</td>
<td>0.1932‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (n, %)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>12 (75.0)</td>
<td>8 (53.33)</td>
<td>0.2080‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>4 (25.0)</td>
<td>7 (46.67)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)*</td>
<td>76.25 ± 9.63</td>
<td>77.06 ± 11.96</td>
<td>0.4176†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)*</td>
<td>166.62 ± 6.60</td>
<td>163.80 ± 8.43</td>
<td>0.8470†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg.m⁻²)*</td>
<td>27.50 ± 3.15</td>
<td>28.69 ± 3.23</td>
<td>0.3737‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risk factors (n,%)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>12 (75.0)</td>
<td>15 (100.0)</td>
<td>0.0380‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>5 (31.25)</td>
<td>8 (53.33)</td>
<td>0.2130‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>7 (43.75)</td>
<td>9 (60.0)</td>
<td>0.3660‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking (n,%)*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-smoker</td>
<td>6 (37.50)</td>
<td>7 (46.67)</td>
<td>0.8280‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>7 (43.75)</td>
<td>5 (33.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ex-smoker</td>
<td>3 (18.75)</td>
<td>3 (20.00)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lung function</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-surgical FVC (L)*</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.65</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.3058†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% expect FVC *</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.63</td>
<td>3.55 ± 0.79</td>
<td>0.2272†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% FVC*</td>
<td>86.58 ± 7.51</td>
<td>88.23 ± 11.88</td>
<td>0.9685‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)*</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.49</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.6101†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% expect FEV1*</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.54</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.2082‡</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 %*</td>
<td>87.50 ± 7.45</td>
<td>91.19 ± 11.91</td>
<td>0.4064¥</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood gases *</td>
<td>82.93 ± 9.89</td>
<td>81.93 ± 10.65</td>
<td>0.3937†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB (min)</td>
<td>69.75 ± 14.79</td>
<td>63.80 ± 12.84</td>
<td>0.1215†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anoxia (min)</td>
<td>41.37 ± 8.75</td>
<td>40.33 ± 9.98</td>
<td>0.3796†</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Mean values ± standard deviation; †: Student’s t test; ‡: Chi-Square test; ¥: Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney test; BMI: Body Mass Index; FVC: forced vital capacity; % expect FVC: percentage of expected forced vital capacity; FEV1: Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second; CPB: cardiopulmonary bypass.
ed by the WHO. BMI > 30 kg.m⁻² was considered obesity; patients who had smoked at least one cigarette per day were considered current smokers; patients who had stopped smoking for at least one year were considered ex-smoker; and patients who had never used tobacco-derived substances were considered non-smokers.

The sample size calculation was based on the variable FVC and a difference of at least 400 mL between groups or in relation to preoperative period was considered clinically relevant. Beta risk of 20%, alpha risk of 5% (p < 0.05), and a test power of 80% were considered to detect this difference and the sample calculation.

Data normality was assessed using the Shapiro-Wilk test. For intergroup comparison, Student’s t test was used for normally distributed variables and Wilcoxon matched pairs test for the other. The significance level was 5%. Analyzes were performed using Stata 11.2 software for Windows.

**RESULTS**

We evaluated 31 patients in the study, 16 patients in SX group and 15 in IC group. The sociodemographic variables, risk factors, measures of pulmonary function, blood gases, and duration of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) showed no significant variation between groups, except for hypertension that was more prevalent in the IC group (Table I). Spirometry records were made postoperatively for three days and compared with

### Table II - Inter and intragroup Comparison of the Studied Variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Pre-surgical</th>
<th>1st Post-surgical</th>
<th>2nd Post-surgical</th>
<th>3rd Post-surgical</th>
<th>p (pre x 2nd post)</th>
<th>p (1st x 2nd post)</th>
<th>p (1st x 3rd post)</th>
<th>p (2nd x 3rd post)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subxiphoid group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)*</td>
<td>3.25 ± 0.65</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.29</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0213†</td>
<td>1.24 ± 0.36</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0009†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% FVC*</td>
<td>86.58 ± 7.51</td>
<td>26.92 ± 8.20</td>
<td>30.13 ± 8.29</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0043†</td>
<td>33.47 ± 9.20</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0007†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)*</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.72</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.26</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0831†</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0116†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 %*</td>
<td>87.50 ± 7.45</td>
<td>29.75 ± 9.63</td>
<td>32.03 ± 9.42</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0768†</td>
<td>35.05 ± 9.50</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0125†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood gases*</td>
<td>82.93 ± 9.89</td>
<td>94.04 ± 17.32</td>
<td>96.20 ± 15.04</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.3311</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS before</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6.37 ± 1.14</td>
<td>5.62 ± 1.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0003†</td>
<td>4.62 ± 0.95</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS after</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.68 ± 1.19</td>
<td>6.68 ± 0.87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0008†</td>
<td>5.37 ± 1.08</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intercostal group</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FVC (L)*</td>
<td>3.12 ± 0.76</td>
<td>0.79 ± 0.34</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.35</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.3018†</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.49</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0089†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% LVC*</td>
<td>88.23 ± 11.88</td>
<td>22.18 ± 8.59</td>
<td>24.96 ± 6.61</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.1054†</td>
<td>29.82 ± 11.11</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0050†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 (L)*</td>
<td>2.54 ± 0.61</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.28</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.4601†</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.37</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0140†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEV1 %*</td>
<td>91.19 ± 11.91</td>
<td>25.74 ± 10.03</td>
<td>27.32 ± 7.67</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.5000†</td>
<td>31.45 ± 11.49</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
<td>0.0127†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood gases*</td>
<td>81.93 ± 10.65</td>
<td>97.86 ± 27.50</td>
<td>82.18 ± 4.20</td>
<td>0.4773</td>
<td>0.0353</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS before</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.33 ± 1.17</td>
<td>7.60 ± 1.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0109†</td>
<td>6.20 ± 0.94</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VAS after</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>9.06 ± 0.88</td>
<td>8.86 ± 1.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.2551†</td>
<td>7.00 ± 1.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0001†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*: Mean values ± standard deviation; †: Student’s pared t-test; ‡: Wilcoxon pared test; ¥: p < 0.05 between subxiphoid and intercostal groups; BMI: Body Mass Index; FVC: forced vital capacity; FEV1: Forced Expiratory Volume in the first second; VAS: Visual Analog Scale of pain.
preoperative values, whenever appropriate and a significant change in forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in the first second was found in both groups. In the analysis of postoperative variables (mean and standard deviation) in order to compare the two groups, SX group showed lower loss of FVC and FEV1 than group IC at all times (Table II).

Post-operative lung function, when compared with baseline values, were analyzed and showed that the loss in FEV1 and FVC was always lower in SX group than in IC group (Table III).

Postoperative pain evaluation was made before and after expiratory effort in order to assess the influence of chest tube position. There was a significantly reduced intensity at all times in SX group compared to IC group (Figure 1).

PaO2 analysis in both groups, when compared with preoperative values, using the mean change in blood gas, showed that in the first postoperative day the mean was similar between groups. However, in the second PO, the SX group had a PaO2 significantly greater than the IC group (Figure 2).

**DISCUSSION**

The insertion site of the non-toxic PVC chest tube had influence on lung function, which was shown by the decrease in FVC and FEV1 values recorded postoperatively. SX group showed better spirometric measurements and less pain compared to IC group. When chest tubes were removed, there was further improvement in measured values due to decreased pain, with SX group values closer to preoperative values.

The change in pulmonary function after coronary artery bypass grafting with CPB has a multifactorial dependency. In addition to sternotomy, pleurotomy and pain in the postoperative period contribute to decline in lung function. Other factors are also implicated in the reduction of postoperative ventilation, such as surgical manipulation, use of cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), anesthesia, mechanical ventilation,
and use of drains. Chest wall edema and change in surfactants are important factors in reducing lung volume and capacity.

The surgical technique used in coronary bypass also affects the decrease in FVC, as demonstrated by some authors comparing the use of saphenous vein graft to the internal mammary artery. It is known that the removal of internal thoracic artery can reduce blood supply to the phrenic nerve, resulting in additional pulmonary dysfunction in the postoperative period. The incidence of pulmonary complications after cardiac surgery is significant, and may worsen during the evolutionary picture of the patient, being the leading cause of morbidity and mortality.

A better understanding of the factors responsible for lung damage is needed to minimize the pulmonary dysfunction associated with cardiac surgery and its repercussions.

Chest tube causes discomfort to the patient, facilitating the deterioration of respiratory mechanics. Cohen et al. found that postoperative pain with the use on internal mammary artery is significantly higher than with saphenous vein, and may itself lead to a reduction in respiratory function. This is explained by the greater restriction on effective coughing, deep breathing, and changes in position. Authors state that the reduction in lung function when using the internal mammary artery for revascularization would be due to pleurotomy, greater thoracic manipulation, and pain. All these factors contribute to a higher incidence of atelectasis, making patients more vulnerable to hypoxic pulmonary complications, mainly pneumonia.

Reduced lung function in the postoperative period is influenced by different factors, and pain interference in the respiratory movements is evident. In our study, in addition to evaluating the influence of the chest tube position, we made a counter-proof by measuring the lung volumes and capacities after removal of chest tubes.

Chest tubes placed in the subxiphoid region caused less pain than those in the intercostal space, results in agreement with literature. Some authors attributed chest pain to the technique of tube insertion (major or minor tissue injury) and friction in the intrathoracic structures. Corroborating these arguments, we noted lower values for respiratory function parameters in both groups in the first postoperative day, with a gradual improvement until the third postoperative day, culminating with chest tube removal. Although there was recovery in both groups, the values of SX group were very close to the preoperative period.

Guizilini et al. conducted a similar study, but in patients without CPB, and found results similar to ours, although they did not study the immediate effect of chest tube removal. Decreased lung volume and capacity persists in the fifth postoperative day, but due to other factors, such as CPB and surgical incision.

Clinically, the decrease in FVC leads to reduced peak expiratory flow, reducing the ability to cough, which hinders the movement of secretions predisposing to atelectasis and pneumonia.

Different from Hagl et al., who found no difference in PaO₂ between groups, we found a significant decrease in PaO₂ in the second PO in IC group. This may be explained by processes other than individual supplements of oxygen. In our work this supplementation was set at 5 L.min⁻¹ and not on demand. Something that can also influence the respiratory parameters is the presence of residual fluid in the chest, which could be assessed by ultrasound or by radiography. Studies

Figure 2 Distribution of Mean Percent Change of Gasometry according to Group and Postoperative Measurements.

*p < 0.05; PO: Postoperative.
in this direction were made and showed that the efficiency of chest drainage depended on chest tube correct positioning. In our study, the tube was placed under direct vision before chest closure, ensuring the proper positioning. Analyzing the painful effects, Guizilini states that intercostal chest tube placement increases postoperative pain, with restriction of deep breathing, coughing, and changing patient’s position on the bed, findings that are consistent with our results.

Postoperative atelectasis is associated with decreased oxygenation and ventilation in dependent areas, increased pulmonary vascular resistance, as well as development of lung injury. Pain limits the rib cage voluntary expansion and, consequently, increases the non-ventilated areas. The ability to cough is reduced, which may induce secretion retention followed by atelectasis progression with consequent hypoxemia. Ultimately, pain causes acid-base imbalances, which may contribute to increased morbidity and mortality.

According to Jakob et al., the postoperative patient evolves into a shallow inspiration due to constant irritation of the intercostal nerves and periosteum.

Noteworthy, there was improvement in FVC in the third postoperative day (without chest tube) compared with the second postoperative day (with chest tube) in both groups, which shows how much the permanence of chest tube influences pulmonary function. This fact was also reported in other surveys of general thoracic surgery. Lima et al. found 49.7% reduction in pain after tube removal.

Some factors may influence lung function by itself, such as age, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and duration of surgery. We consider all these factors as exclusion criteria, which made it difficult to find a higher sample in our study, as in the outpatient clinic of our hospital, the coronary patient is often a smoker, obese, and elderly. This fact, however, may be considered a bias.

CONCLUSION

Chest tube placed in the subxiphoid region provided better recovery of pulmonary function and less sensitivity to pain.
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