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Background and objectives: The increasing use of opioids for pain treatment is a reality in several countries and, therefore, unusual questions arise, such as the influence of opioids on immune responses. The present study aims to detail the immune response by exploring the influences of opiate effects on inflammatory response in experimental and clinical situations, as well as its importance in daily practice.

Content: After reviewing the articles published in journals indexed in Medline, we found that immune response has been generally described, especially regarding its cellular aspect. Following this approach, we identified the mechanisms of endogenous opioid release, modulation of immune response to exogenous opioids in acute and chronic pain, always ending with the clinical implications and applicability in routine care.

Conclusions: Although several studies point to an immunosuppressive effect of opioids, the clinical relevance of these observations remains uncertain and only serves as a prerequisite for further investigations in this area. Definitive recommendations for the use of opioids in various situations of clinical practice regarding the immunological consequences of these drugs still cannot be provided until the present moment.
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INTRODUCTION

Data from the literature show that opioids consumption has been growing significantly in recent decades. Many of its effects mainly in the organic systems are diverse and not completely known. Currently, we have identified a great interest in elucidating the influence of opioids on immune responses in management of patients with pain.

Studies of interactions between the brain and immune system revealed bidirectional connections between the neural, endocrine, and immune systems. The central nervous system (CNS) regulates the immune system by neuronal and neuroendocrine pathways, whereas the immune system, which is innervated by sympathetic nervous system, signals the brain through humoral and neural pathways. Immune cells express receptors for hormones from various axes, numerous neurotransmitters and excitatory (glutamate, substance P, growth factors) and inhibitory (opioids, gamma-aminobutyric acid [GABA] acid, and glycine) biochemical mediators.

This article aims to detail the immune response by exploring the influences of opiate effects on the inflammatory response in experimental and clinical situations, as well as its importance for daily practice.

OVERVIEW OF IMMUNE RESPONSE

The immune response is performed by cooperation of components from the innate immune system (IIS) and adaptive immune system (AIS). IIS is the first line of defense because it quickly recognizes the pathogen due to the presence of pattern recognition receptors (PRR) present in plasma membranes, cytosol, endosome, lysosome, or endolysosome of immune cells, which bind to pathogen structures called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP) 2,3,4, triggering the inflammatory response and directing the adaptive immune response. The innate immunity cells are not divided, do not form clones, and do not produce memory cells. However, a form of immune memory has been attributed to some cells of innate immunity, such as mast cells 5 and natural killer cells (NK) 6.

When the body is infected, pathogens are recognized by epithelial dendritic cells, which have the function of presenting antigens to naive T lymphocytes of the AIS in secondary lymphoid organs. Phagocytic cells, such as macrophages, neutrophils, and dendritic cells, have PRR that bind directly to its respective PAMP present in the walls of pathogens, or may recognize it indirectly by binding its receptors membrane with serum or saliva proteins, the soluble PRR (C-reactive protein, mannose binding protein [MBL]) or opsonins (C3b, C4b, MBL – Complement System components) that have bound to the pathogen. Macrophages, mast cells, dendritic cells, T lympho-
cytines γΔ, neutrophils, mucosal epithelial cells and endothelial cells, among others, express another family of receptors that interact with PAMP, called Toll-like receptor (TLR), which are involved with intracellular signaling for transcription of cytokines and other molecules that induce immune and inflammatory response. These receptors are type I transmembrane proteins consisting of ectodomains containing a leucine rich region that mediates PAMP recognition, a transmembrane domain, and an intracellular Toll/interleukin-1 receptor (TIR) domain responsible for translating signals.

Currently, 12 functional Toll-like receptors have been described for mice and 10 for humans. These receptors may be found expressed in cell membrane (TLR1, 2, 4, 5, and 6) for recognizing microbial wall components, such as lipids, lipoproteins, and proteins, or present in intracellular vesicles (TLR7, 8, and 9), such as endosome, lysosome, and endoplasmic reticulum endolysosome to detect nucleic acids from pathogen degradation. In dendritic cell, the interaction of Toll-like receptors with corresponding PAMP triggers a series of reactions, such as production of PRR involved in phagocytosis, production of proinflammatory cytokines (or type I interferon production), expression of costimulatory molecules on membrane, and maturation of these naive dendritic cells. Therefore, when this interaction occurs, it not only initiates the acute inflammatory response but also targets a more specific answer – from AIS – against invading pathogen 2,4.

Activation of AIS occurs with the invading agent presentation by dendritic cells to naïve T-helper (Th) cells. Macrophages, B-lymphocytes, TγΔ lymphocytes and, occasionally, epithelial cells have the function of presenting the antigen to the effector T-lymphocytes. Then, resident dendritic cells capture and involve the invading agent and, subsequently, migrate to secondary lymphoid organs. In this route, dendritic cells start processing the pathogenic peptides in its cytoplasmic vesicles and protein synthesis of the major histocompatibility complex Class II (MHC or MHC; or HLA in human) in endoplasmic reticulum. Also in cytoplasm, vesicle fusion occurs, which contains processed peptides with MHC class II molecules. At that moment, peptides are embedded in the groove of MHC II, forming the MHC II-peptide complexes that will be exteriorized on cell membrane, so that peptides are recognized by the corresponding CD4+ T lymphocytes. The naïve TCD4+ (Th0) lymphocytes, which recognize the peptides in the groove of MHC II class II, after receiving the stimulation of the membrane costimulatory molecules and influence of cytokines, undergo clonal expansion and differentiate into effector cells Th1, Th2 or Th17, in the presence of IL-12 and IFN-γ; IL-4 and IL-2; or TGF-β, IL-6, and IL-21, respectively. This differentiation depends on the invading agent and on these cytokines, released by dendritic cells or present in the microenvironment where antigen presentation occurred 7.

On membrane surface, B-lymphocytes express immunoglobulin that act as antigens receptors. Therefore, each B-lymphocyte, similar to T-lymphocytes, builds on somatic lineage its own site of the epitope recognition, which is present in all immunoglobulin produced by B cells derived from the same clone. The B lymphocytes, present in peripheral lymphoid organs, which recognize the epitopes of invading agent, or on toxin structure, or on the surface of follicular dendritic cells and receive T helper lymphocytes stimulation, also undergo clonal expansion. The produced cells differentiate into plasma cells, producing and secreting immunoglobulins, which help to neutralize and eliminate the invader 7.

ENDOGENOUS OPIOID AND THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

Peripheral opioid receptors

A few years ago, studies began to show that opioids not only had an action on brain and spinal cord receptors but also in peripheral sensory neurons, leading to a close examination of the mechanism of action of these substances outside the central nervous system (CNS) 8,9.

Inflammatory pain stimulation in peripheral tissues is considered a trigger for regulation of opioid receptors in adult sensory neurons. The inflammatory response is accompanied by the proliferation of opioid receptors in peripheral sensory terminals through the rupture of a perineural barrier that facilitates access to these substances and its receptors, and at low pH, it may increase the agonist effect by interfering with the interaction of opioid receptors with G proteins 10,11 (responsible for signaling these drugs). During inflammation, there is an upward adjustment of opioid receptors in the spinal cord dorsal horn, and, consequently, increased axonal transport of receptors to the periphery stimulated by cytokines (IL-1, IL-6, and TNF-α) and neuronal growth factor from the inflammation site. Cytokines are proteins produced and released by all cells, except erythrocytes, which have pleiotropic action and act on multiple target cells with multiple overlapping biological effects by its binding to specific high-affinity receptors present in the cell membrane. Cytokines then regulate growth and proliferation of glial cells, modulate the activity of endogenous opioid peptides, and activate the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis. This whole process results in a high density of opioid receptors in peripheral nerve terminals, contributing to the antinociceptive efficacy of opiates in inflamed tissues. In the initial stages (first hours) of inflammatory response, there is a contribution of both central and peripheral opioid receptors; however, in later stages (several days), endogenous analgesia is predominantly mediated by peripheral receptors, thus becoming more prevalent with the duration and intensity of inflammation 8,12.

Endogenous opioids

The discovery of opioid receptors in sensory neurons resulted in the detection of endogenous peptide ligand in tissues with inflammatory processes. There are currently three known families of peptides, which are expressed and regulated on granulocytes, macrophages, and lymphocytes, both in rodents and in humans. Each family comes from a distinct gene and one of the three protein precursors: proopiomelanocortin...
(POMC), pro-enkephalin (Penk), and prodynorphin, which are processed to endorphin, enkephalin, and dynorphin, respectively. These peptides exhibit different affinities for opioid receptors μ (endorphins and enkephalins), Δ (enkephalins and endorphins), and (dynorphin) and are reported in various immune cells 19.

It became clear from animal models that – concomitantly with the pro-inflammatory and pro-nociceptive effects mediated by a myriad of mediators released in injured tissues – there are endogenous mechanisms that counter-regulate pain and inflammation. Consistently, in experiments with bone cancer, as well as in humans undergoing knee surgeries, local injection of opioid antagonists in inflammation sites caused pain exacerbation 14. This strongly indicates that endogenous opioid peptides are released continuously and have an action to combat pain.

Studies in rats demonstrated that there is a co-expression of chemokine receptors and bradykinin on leukocytes attracted and migrated toward the site of tissue lesion, which contain endogenous opioids. When there is a depletion of granulocytes, immunosuppression, or blockage of chemokines and neurokinins there is also a significant reduction of antinociception 15-17.

By contrast, there is improved analgesia when allogeneic lymphocytes or polymorphonuclear leukocytes (PMN) is transferred in case of immunosuppression 18,19. Other known sites of endogenous opioid production are the adrenal, pituitary, and afferent neurons, but without the same relevance of the producing cells from inflammation sites 20.

**Clinical implications**

Opioid receptors are present at peripheral sensory neuron terminals and are capable of producing analgesia. Opioid peptides are produced by synovial cells, mast cells, lymphocytes, neutrophils, and monocytes migrating to sites of injury 21,22. Intra-articular blockade of receptors in human knees, with local administration of naloxone, resulted in significant increase in post-operative pain 23. Taken together, these data suggest that in stressful situations opioids are tonically released within inflamed tissue and activate peripheral opioid receptors that can alleviate pain. This constitutes a new concept of intrinsic control of pain involving mechanisms traditionally used by immune cells to respond to aggressors. It also provides new questions regarding pain associated with an impaired immune system, such as patients with cancer and other diseases. The increased production, migration, and release of endogenous opioids from immune cells may provide a novel option for the development of agents that act peripherally and are devoid of the undesirable central effects of exogenous opioids.

**EXOGENOUS OPIOID AND THE IMMUNE SYSTEM**

Administration of opioids may affect the immune system in several ways. However, there are many questions surrounding this phenomenon, such as what is the best way to measure it; how valuable are tests with the proliferation and functionality of lymphocytes and other immune cells; or with the assessment of communication between cells; or with the expression of receptors involved in recognition and presentation of antigens; or regarding the balance of cytokines, in addition to the need of understanding what is the biological significance of such changes. Moreover, most studies on the issue occur in organisms not subjected to pain, which can alter the interpretation of results 24.

**Mechanisms of opioid-induced immunomodulation**

There are two different mechanisms of immunomodulation by opioids (in vitro and in vivo). In vitro experiments have shown that morphine and other opioids impair the phagocytic and chemotactic function of neutrophils and monocytes, reduce the effector response of B and T lymphocytes, and increase lymphocyte and phagocytic cell apoptosis 25-27. In vivo studies have also shown an indirect effect of opioids, especially morphine, involving reduction of NK cell function and lymphocyte proliferative activity in response to mitogens, suppression of inflammatory cytokines, and activation of the sympathetic nervous system that promotes high levels of noradrenaline and is related to immunosuppression 28,29. These effects appear to be related to down regulation of protein kinase C, actions mediated by somatostatin, engagement of pro-apoptotic enzymes, and change in the release of nitric oxide 30-33.

**Acute administration of opioids and the immune system**

Many animal studies have shown that morphine acutely administered has immunosuppressant effect, even at varying doses ranging from 5 to 50 mg.kg⁻¹ 34-38. There are fewer studies with humans, but the results are consistent with immunosuppression. However, compared to other opioids this picture changes, as the effect with fentanyl is transient and non-existent with buprenorphine and tramadol 39,40. Synthetic opioids exhibit this effect possible due to the weaker interaction with opioid receptors present on leukocytes.

An important aspect of acute cases is the fact that the painful stimulus itself is related to immunosuppression (by the release of cortisol), changing the recovery of aggressive events as surgical procedures. Patients in postoperative recovery have significant reduction in lymphocyte proliferative activity, cell-mediated immunity, and changes in the balance of T lymphocytes 41,42. These events are higher the major the surgery and pain severity. Therefore, the use of opioids may be considered beneficial.

In a study assessing the presence of pneumonia in elderly patients undergoing myocardial revascularization, multivariate analysis showed an association between disease and high doses of morphine, although the sample was small and the confidence interval wide 43. Other authors observed, however, that small doses of intravenous morphine (15 mcg.kg⁻¹.h⁻¹) protected alcoholic patients from developing pneumonia after...
These studies clearly show contradictory data regarding the immune action of opioids during perioperative period.

**Chronic administration of opioids and the immune system**

In animals, the study of chronic use of opioids is performed by repeated injections at various periods of time or implantable devices, commonly used for tolerance and dependence evaluation. Daily injections of larger doses of morphine (30-50 mg·kg⁻¹) suppressed the activity of cells NK type (ACLNK), which was not observed in controls rats. In another study, subcutaneous administration of morphine (40 mg·kg⁻¹) over 24 hours resulted in impaired activity of macrophages and, after three days, bacteremia occurred, as well as bacterial growth in peritoneal fluid, liver, spleen, kidneys, heart, and lung. These effects were blocked when naloxone, a pure opioid antagonist, was administered before each morphine dose, which implies an opioid receptor-dependent mechanism.

Other authors, using similar animal models, suggest that the host becomes more susceptible to some types of infections, including metastatic spread of neoplastic diseases. However, in an interesting work of mice with significant hyperalgesia associated with melanoma, pretreatment with morphine significantly reduced the spread of tumor metastasis, showing a beneficial effect of morphine for treating cancer pain.

In humans, a study conducted with morphine doses ranging from 90-150 mg for 36 to 60 hours resulted in significant suppression of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity (ADCC) compared to controls; however, regarding ACLNK no difference was seen between groups.

We found no studies evaluating the role of exposure to opioids, with respect to worsening of indicators in infected individuals who are in intensive care units. In hospitalized burn patients, the authors found some type of impairment, such as reduced time to first infection; however, this result may not be generalized due to the difficulty of replicating the study design and great possibility of bias.

In the NEOPAIN study, a large number of premature patients intubated on mechanical ventilation were randomized to receive liberal doses of morphine versus minimal doses. The study objective was not to examine infectious complications but other complications such as ventricular hemorrhage and death, and the authors reported no difference between groups regarding the onset of infection.

**Clinical relevance**

Although several studies point to an immunosuppressive effect of opioids, the clinical relevance of these observations remains uncertain and only serves as a prerequisite for further investigations in this area. Definitive recommendations for the use of opioids in various situations of clinical practice in relation to the immunological consequences of these drugs may not be provided yet. Because each substance seems to have a different effect, further studies with other opioids, in addition to morphine, should be performed. Still, specific subpopulations, such as immunocompromised and critically ill patients, should also be investigated.
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