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Abstract

Background and objectives: Analgesia after caesarean section is important because postpartum women with pain have difficulty in mobility, which undermines breastfeeding. Intrathecal morphine provides adequate and prolonged analgesia after cesarean. The aim of this study was to compare the quality of analgesia provided by two doses of intrathecal morphine and its side effects in patients undergoing cesarean section.

Method: The study included 123 pregnant women with gestational age over 38 weeks and scheduled for cesarean section. The women were randomly allocated into two groups to receive either 50 or 100 µg of intrathecal morphine (Group 50/Group 100). All patients were intrathecally anesthetized with 12 mg of 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine. Patients were assessed between the 9th and 11th hour and the 22nd and 24th hour after blockade for quality of analgesia, analgesic consumption, side effects, and main cause of discomfort in the first 24 hours after surgery.

Results: There was similarity between groups regarding anthropometric data and obstetric history. There was no statistical difference in pain intensity between groups. In both groups, pain was more intense in the first 12 hours after anesthesia (p < 0.001). Tramadol hydrochloride consumption and time to first dose were similar in both groups. Pruritus was the most common side effect, with statistically higher incidence in Group 100 (p = 0.026).

Conclusions: Intrathecal morphine 50 µg provides the same quality of analgesia as 100 µg, with a lower incidence of side effects.

© 2013 Sociedade Brasileira de Anestesiologia. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.
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Introduction

Postoperative analgesia enables a faster rehabilitation, improves the patient’s level of satisfaction, and reduces hospital stay. In obstetrics, postoperative analgesia is important because postpartum women with pain have difficulty in walking and may adopt antalgic positions that hinder the initiation of breastfeeding. Moreover, endocrine changes and stress resulting from pain may interfere with lactation.

Intrathecally administered morphine is the most widely used drug for analgesia after caesarean section, as it promotes adequate and long-lasting postoperative analgesia even at low doses. However, it may cause side effects such as nausea, vomiting, pruritus, sedation, and respiratory depression.

The quality of analgesia and incidence of side effects may vary according to the intrathecal dose of morphine used. The optimal dose capable of providing better analgesia with the lowest incidence of side effects has not yet been defined.

The main objective of this study was to compare analgesia at rest and in motion, provided by two doses of intrathecal morphine in patients undergoing cesarean section. Moreover, the need for supplementary analgesics, incidence of side effects, main cause of discomfort within the 24 hours of the study, and level of patient satisfaction regarding analgesia were also compared.

Patients and method

After approval by the Ethics Committee of the Hospital de Clínicas/UFPR and Hospital Santa Cruz, 130 pregnant women, ASA I-III, were invited to participate in this study. Inclusion criteria were: single-fetus pregnancy, more than 38 weeks of gestational age and scheduled for elective cesarean section. Exclusion criteria were: body mass index greater than 40 kg•m⁻², a history of more than three prior cesareans, allergy to any of the study medications, psychiatric or cardiopulmonary disease or decompensated diabetes mellitus, and chronic use of analgesics. Patients received the informed consent with clarification of any doubts.

The study was double-blind and, using a on-line randomization program (www.randon.org), the study participants were randomly allocated into two groups: Group 50 and Group 100.

Upon arrival at the operating room, peripheral venous access was obtained using an 18G catheter and Ringer’s lactate solution (250 mL) was infused with cefazolin (1 g) for antibiotic prophylaxis. After monitoring with non-invasive blood pressure, pulse oximetry, and cardioscopy, patients were placed in a sitting position to receive anesthesia. Spinal puncture was performed with a 27G pencil point needle under topical anesthesia, with 2% lidocaine without vasoconstrictor, through L3-L4 or L4-L5 intervertebral spaces. For intrathecal anesthesia, 0.5% hyperbaric bupivacaine (12 mg) was administered. Patients in Group 50 received 50 µg of morphine associated with bupivacaine, whereas Group 100 received 100 µg associated with bupivacaine. Morphine was administered in proper syringe, after the local anesthetic.

After anesthesia, patients were kept supine, with the uterus manually shifted to the left to avoid compression of inferior vena cava, and received oxygen (2-3 L•min⁻¹) via nasal catheter. For hypotension correction, fluid resuscitation with Ringer’s lactate solution was used, associated with phenylephrine or metaraminol, at the discretion of the anesthesiologist responsible for the case. The objective was to maintain systolic blood pressure greater than 100 mmHg. In cases of bradycardia, with heart rate less than 45 bpm, atropine (0.5 mg) was used. After birth, oxytocin (05-15 U/I) diluted in Ringer’s lactate was administered to stimulate uterine contraction.

At the end of surgery, patients were taken to the post-anesthesia care unit (PACU) and received intravenous ketorolac tromethamine (30 mg), dipyrone (2 g), and bromopride (10 mg). Cases of muscle tremor were treated with pethidine hydrochloride (30 mg). Patients were discharged from PACU after completing 9 points in the modified Aldrete-Kroulik scale. Postoperative analgesic and antiemetic prescriptions were standardized. Patients received ketoprofen (100 mg every 12 hours), dipyrone (1 g every six hours), and bromopride (10 mg every six hours). Tramadol hydrochloride (50 mg) was prescribed as rescue analgesic. For nausea and vomiting episodes, ondansetron (4 mg) was prescribed and for cases of itching, diphenhydramine hydrochloride (25 mg).

Postoperative evaluations were made between the 9th and 11th hour and the 24th and 22nd hour after anesthesia by a nurse who was blinded to which group the patient belonged. A questionnaire was used to be filled out by patient and evaluator. Evaluated data were postoperative pain intensity, worst pain experienced at each period of time (first period: between anesthesia and first assessment; second period: between first and second evaluation), supplementary analgesic consumption, time interval between anesthesia and first dose of medication, side effects, main cause of discomfort at 24 hours, and satisfaction regarding analgesia.

Postoperative pain intensity was assessed with patients at rest and in motion. To standardize the assessment in motion and facilitate comparison, it has been determined that all patients should cough three times. A 10 cm long visual analogue scale (VAS), not numbered, was used. At this scale, the left end represents no pain and the right end represents the worst possible pain. The patient rated the pain she was feeling, and located it at one point of the scale. The distance between the left end and the point indicated by the patient corresponded to the intensity of pain. Pain intensity was considered mild (up to 3), moderate (4 to 6), and severe (7 to 10).

Pruritus, nausea, vomiting, voiding difficulty, dizziness, sedation, and respiratory depression were assessed. Patients were also asked if it was the pain or any of the side effects that caused more discomfort within the 24 hours of the study. Voiding difficulty was considered in patients who presented with no diuresis until the first evaluation. Patients’ satisfaction regarding analgesia was assessed as very good, good, fair, and poor, which corresponded to rates 9-10, 7-8, 4-5-6, and 1-2-3, respectively.
Sample size calculation was based on studies by Mikuni et al.\textsuperscript{9} and Paech et al.,\textsuperscript{10} considering a significance level of 5% and power of 90%.

The study results are expressed as mean, median, minimum-maximum values, and standard deviations (quantitative variables) or as frequencies and percentages (qualitative variables). To compare both groups regarding quantitative variables, we considered Student t-test for independent samples or nonparametric Mann-Whitney test, when appropriate. Comparison between the two evaluations for quantitative variables was performed using the nonparametric Wilcoxon’s test. For association between qualitative variables, we considered the chi-square or Fisher’s exact test. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was considered to assess the association between quantitative variables. To estimate parameters of interest, confidence intervals of 95% were constructed. For all tests, p values < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data were analyzed with the Statistica software v.8.0.

Results

Of the 130 patients participating in this study, five from Group 50 and two from Group 100 refused to respond the second evaluation due to visit’s time and were excluded from the analysis.

The groups were homogeneous regarding anthropometric data (Table 1). Regarding the number of pregnancies and previous cesareans, the groups were also similar.

Both groups had similar pain intensity at rest and when coughing in both assessments (Table 2).

There was no statistical difference between groups regarding worst pain at the first and second periods (Table 2). Comparison between times showed that pain intensity was significantly higher in both groups at the first period (Table 3).

There was no statistical difference in moderate/severe pain probability between Group 50 and Group 100, but the probability of moderate/severe pain was statistically higher in the first 12 hours after surgery in both groups (Tables 4 and 5).

In Group 50, 15% of patients requested tramadol hydrochloride and in Group 100, 8% of patients requested analgesia (p = 0.263). The mean interval between anesthesia and the first dose of medication was 496 minutes in Group 50 and 452 minutes in Group 100 (p = 0.740).

In Group 50, the interval between anesthesia and the first urination was 650 ± 128 minutes and in Group 100 it was 694 ± 155 minutes (p = 0.094). The probability of voiding difficulty was also higher in Group 100, but without statistical difference (p = 0.194).

Among side effects, only itching was significantly higher in Group 100 compared to Group 50 (Fig. 1). Side effects were more frequent at first period in both groups (Table 6). There were no cases with sedation and/or respiratory depression.

When asked what bothered them most during the 24 hours after cesarean section, 70% of patients in Group 50 elected pain as the main cause of discomfort, followed by voiding difficulty (15%) and pruritus (12%). In Group 100, the main cause of discomfort was also pain (38%), followed by pruritus (32%), and voiding difficulty (16%) (Table 7).

Regarding satisfaction, 82% of patients in Group 50 and 83% in Group 100 classified analgesia as good or very good at first period (p = 0.785). At the second period, 95% of patients in Group 50 and 87% in Group 100 classified analgesia as good or very good (p = 0.245).

Discussion

Pain assessment is complex because it is influenced by emotional, ethnic, cultural, and cognitive factors. To standardize and make this assessment more objective, scales for pain assessment were created. The most used are the VAS and the verbal numeric scale (VNS). They are easy to apply and when compared to the verbal scale (VS), they are more accurate and able to detect subtle differences.\textsuperscript{8} For these reasons, we opted for using the VAS as a pain assessment method in this study. The time interval between anesthesia and the first dose of analgesic or total analgesic consumption are other ways to measure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Anthropometric data of patients.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variable</td>
<td>Group 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age\textsuperscript{a} (years)</td>
<td>28.1 ± 5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current weight\textsuperscript{b} (kg)</td>
<td>77.6 ± 11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous weight\textsuperscript{b} (kg)</td>
<td>64.7 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height\textsuperscript{b} (m)</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI\textsuperscript{c} (kg•m\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>28.7 ± 3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index.
Group 50, received 50 µg morphine; Group 100, received 100 µg morphine.
\textsuperscript{a} Student’s t-test for independent samples, p < 0.05.
\textsuperscript{b} Values expressed as mean ± SD.
\textsuperscript{c} Body mass index, using current weight.
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The use of 50 and 100 µg of intrathecal morphine did not show statistically significant difference in pain evaluation between both groups of patients who underwent caesarean section. This result showed that there is no direct relationship between the dose of intrathecal morphine and quality of analgesia, which are consistent with the results of other authors. Cardoso compared 25, 50, and 100 µg of intrathecal morphine and reported analgesia statistically better in the group receiving 100 µg; however, analgesia was satisfactory in all groups without clinical difference between them. No patient required systemic opioid to supplement analgesia. Ganem et al. used 50 and 100 µg of intrathecal morphine and also reported similar analgesia between groups. In the study by Girgin et al., 100, 200, 300 and 400 µg of intrathecal morphine were compared with a control group not receiving morphine. The study showed no statistical

### Table 2  Pain comparison bet

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain assessment</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>p^b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 1^c</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>0.974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 1^c</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>0.757</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 1^d</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td>0.540</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 2^e</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.862</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 2^e</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>0.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 2^f</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>0.192</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group 50, received 50 µg morphine; Group 100, received 100 µg morphine.**

^a Visual analog scale.

^b Nonparametric Mann-Whitney test, p < 0.05.

^c First evaluation, i.e., period between the 9th and 11th hour after anesthesia.

^d Period between anesthesia and first evaluation.

^e Second evaluation, i.e., between the 24th and 22nd hour after anesthesia.

^f Period between the first and second evaluation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pain assessment</th>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
<th>p^a</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 1^b</td>
<td>M50</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 2^c</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.90</td>
<td>0.183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 1^b</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 2^c</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>8.40</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 1^d</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.10</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 2^e</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.70</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 1^b</td>
<td>M100</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain at rest 2^c</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>0.358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 1^b</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain when coughing 2^c</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 1^d</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>9.90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worst pain period 2^e</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>9.30</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Group 50, received 50 µg morphine; Group 100, received 100 µg morphine.**

^a Nonparametric Wilcoxon’s test, p < 0.05.

^b First evaluation, i.e., period between the 9th and 11th hour after anesthesia.

^c Second evaluation, i.e., period between the 22nd and 24th hour after anesthesia.

^d Period between anesthesia and first evaluation.

^e Period between the first and second evaluation.
difference in the quality of analgesia and consumption of supplemental analgesic between groups receiving opioids. Palmer et al. evaluated the use of intravenous morphine after using 0, 25, 50, 75, 100, 200, 300, 400, and 500 μg of intrathecal morphine for analgesia after cesarean section. There was no statistical difference in morphine consumption in groups receiving intravenous doses greater than 25 μg of intrathecal morphine.
The first 12 hours after cesarean section corresponded to the period of worst pain intensity. In both groups, pain when coughing and the worst pain of the period were statistically greater in the first assessment. The incidence of moderate/severe pain (greater than 3 on VAS) was also greater at the first 12 hours. In Group 50, 77% of patients reported moderate/severe pain in the first period and 62% of patients in the second (p = 0.035). In Group 100, 73% of patients experienced moderate/severe pain in the first period and 54% of patients in the second (p = 0.002).

Time interval between anesthesia and the first analgesic dose was not statistically different between groups. Sarvela et al. compared 100 and 200 µg of intrathecal morphine with 3 mg of epidural morphine for cesarean section analgesia and also found statistically similar time interval between anesthesia and the first dose of analgesic. In the study by Girgin study, time interval to first analgesic dose was lower in control group, but there was no statistical difference between groups receiving morphine. These results differ from those presented by Abboud et al. and Uchiyama et al. who reported duration of analgesia directly proportional to the dose of morphine.

Pruritus is the most common side effect after the use of intrathecal morphine and has a variable incidence. In obstetric patients, it may be more frequent due to the interaction with estrogen receptors Mu. Pruritus was the most frequent side effect, experienced by 70% of patients in Group 50 and 87% in Group 100 (p = 0.026). This dose-dependent effect is consistent with the systematic review by Dahl, reporting...
that the incidence of pruritus varies directly according morphine dose. In the study by Cardoso et al., the incidence of pruritus in groups receiving 25, 50, and 100 µg of intrathecal morphine was 33%, 33%, and 58%, respectively (p < 0.05). The likely cause for the difference in the incidence of pruritus is that Cardoso considered only cases requiring treatment, while this study included all patients who reported pruritus. The study by Sarvela reported 77% incidence of pruritus.

Urinary retention was the second most frequent side effect. The incidence in Group 50 was 58%, with a mean time interval until the first urination of 650 minutes, and 70% in Group 100, with a mean interval until the first urination of 693 minutes. Despite the numerical difference between groups, it was not statistically significant and all patients had voiding activity normalized in the second evaluation. For urinary retention diagnosis, some authors use the residual volume of urine in the bladder after urination or consider the need for catheterization or frustrated attempt of spontaneous voiding. These conceptual differences associated with the use of urinary catheterization during cesarean section in some services make it difficult to determine the incidence of urinary retention. In this study, we chose to consider as having urinary retention all patients who had not experienced diuresis until the first evaluation. This difference may explain the high incidence of this side effect in the study. Kuipers et al. assessed the effect of intrathecally administered opioids on urinary tract and reported a reduction in the bladder detrusor muscle contractility associated with a reduction in the urgency sensation, resulting in urinary retention. The intensity and duration of this effect was dose-dependent.

The incidence of nausea/vomiting was not statistically different between groups. This result is consistent with that of other authors. Cardoso et al. found only a trend towards a higher incidence of nausea and vomiting with increased dose of intrathecal morphine (p > 0.05). Ganem et al. reported incidence of nausea and vomiting statistically similar between patients who received 50 or 100 µg of intrathecal morphine. Palmer et al. and Girgin et al. compared increasing doses of intrathecal morphine and reported that the incidence and severity of nausea and vomiting did not vary with the dose used.

There was no case of sedation or respiratory depression, which does not mean that these side effects will not occur. Possibly, the small number of patients involved in the study justifies this result. Sedation is considered a warning sign, as it may precede respiratory depression - the most feared side effect of intrathecal morphine. The incidence of respiratory depression is less than 1% and may occur up to 24 hours after administration. In obstetric patients, the incidence seems to be lower due to the respiratory stimulation caused by high levels of progesterone. In a review by Dahl, the incidence of respiratory depression following cesarean section was 0.2%. Regardless of morphine dosage, the incidence of moderate/severe pain was higher than 70% in the first period and higher than 50% in the second period. Despite the high incidence of moderate/severe pain, over 80% of patients in the first period and over 85% in the second period rated the quality of analgesia as very good or good, irrespective of the group. This result shows that 100 µg of morphine do not promote more satisfying analgesia than 50 µg and demonstrates the difficulty of relating pain intensity with level of satisfaction.

In 70% of patients in Group 50, pain was the event that bothered the most over 24 hours. In Group 100, pain was also the most bothering event; however, it occurred in 38% of patients, while 32% reported pruritus as the main factor of discomfort in the same period. Considering that the quality of analgesia was similar between groups, one possible explanation for this result is a difference in the intensity of side effects. A more intense pruritus in Group 100 justifies the number of patients who elected it as the main cause of discomfort in the 24 hours after cesarean. This result is consistent with those of Palmer et al. and Girgin et al. who reported pruritus intensity varying proportionally with the intrathecal dose of morphine used.

Our results suggest that 50 µg of intrathecal morphine are closer to the optimal dose for analgesia after cesarean section than 100 µg, as it provide the same quality of analgesia with lower incidence of side effects. Pruritus was statistically more frequent in Group 100 and considered the main factor of discomfort over 24 hours in 32% of patients in this group. Due to its incidence and importance, this side effect should be investigated and treated routinely. Patients undergoing cesarean section should have access to additional systemic analgesics to improve the quality of analgesia because, regardless of morphine dosage, a large number of patients experience moderate/severe pain.
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