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**ABSTRACT** – (Reproductive phenology of *Melocactus* (Cactaceae) species from Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brazil). This paper discusses the phenological strategies of *Melocactus glaucescens* Buining & Brederoo, *M. paucispinus* G. Heimen & R. Paul, *M. ernestii* Vaupel and *M. ×albicephalus* Buining & Brederoo, species from Chapada Diamantina, northeastern Brazil. *Melocactus glaucescens*, *M. ernestii* and *M. ×albicephalus* occur sympatrically in an area of “caatinga”/“cerrado” vegetation, and *M. paucispinus* in an area of “cerrado”/“campo rupestre”. The superposition of flowering in these sympatric taxa was compared and analyzed. The phenology of *M. paucispinus* was correlated with both abiotic and biotic factors. Flowering of *M. glaucescens* and *M. ×albicephalus* were observed to be continuous (though with moderate peaks of activity), while fruiting was sub-annual. *Melocactus ernestii* exhibited an annual pattern of both flowering and fruiting; while in *M. paucispinus* the same patterns were sub-annual. These sympatric taxa showed 40% overlap of flowering periods, reaching to more than 50% in paired combinations of taxa, considering both the number of specimens flowering, as well as the quantity of resources being offered. Available information indicates that these taxa share pollinators, but phenological data rejects the hypothesis of shared pollinators and supports the hypothesis of hybridization in the study area. Rainfall was negatively correlated with flowering in *M. paucispinus*, but positively correlated with fruiting. Flowering of *M. paucispinus* in dry periods of the year avoids that erect flowers positioned in terminal cephalium, exposed in open areas of the vegetation, be damaged for the rains, while fruiting in rainy periods can be favorable to the dispersion and germination of this species.
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**RESUMO** – (Fenologia reprodutiva de espécies de *Melocactus* (Cactaceae) da Chapada Diamantina, Bahia, Brasil). Este trabalho discute as estratégias fenológicas de *Melocactus glaucescens* Buining & Brederoo, *M. paucispinus* G. Heimen & R. Paul, *M. ernestii* Vaupel e *M. ×albicephalus* Buining & Brederoo, espécies da Chapada Diamantina, Nordeste do Brasil. *Melocactus glaucescens*, *M. ernestii* e *M. ×albicephalus* ocorrem simpatricamente em uma área de caatinga/cerrado e *M. paucispinus* em uma área de cerrado/campo rupestre. A fenologia dos táxons simpáticos foi comparada e analisada quanto à sobreposição, enquanto a fenologia de *M. paucispinus* foi correlacionada com fatores abióticos e bióticos. A floração de *M. glaucescens* e *M. ×albicephalus* apresentou um padrão contínuo, porém com picos moderados de atividade, enquanto a frutificação foi subanual. A floração e a frutificação de *M. ernestii* exibiram padrão anual; em *M. paucispinus*, a floração e a frutificação tiveram padrão subanual. Os táxons simpáticos apresentaram juntos mais de 40% de sobreposição de floração, e mais de 50% em combinações aos pares, considerando tanto o número de indivíduos em floração quanto à quantidade de recurso ofertado. Informações disponíveis indicam que esses táxons compartilham polinizadores. Os dados fenológicos encontrados rejeitam a hipótese do polinizador compartilhado e sustentam a hipótese corrente de hibridação na área estudada. Foi encontrada correlação negativa entre a pluviometria e a floração de *M. paucispinus* e positiva com a frutificação. A floração de *M. paucispinus* em períodos secos do ano evita que as flores eretas posicionadas em cefálios terminais, expostos em áreas abertas da vegetação, sejam danificadas pelas chuvas, enquanto a frutificação em períodos chuvosos pode ser favorável à dispersão e germinação desta espécie.
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**Introduction**

The study of phenology is concerned with repetitive biological events and their causes in relation to abiotic and biotic factors, endogenous and phylogenetic restrictions within a population and/or a community, and the interactions between them (Wright & Calderon 1995).

Phenological studies can aid our understanding of growth strategies, regeneration, and reproduction of plants under different environmental conditions, the temporal and spatial organization of resources within a species or among groups of species that are closely related or that have similar morphologies or ecological requirements, as well as the biological interactions (competition, herbivory, pollination, and dispersion) important to reproductive success (Frankie et al. 1974, Lieth 1974, Wright & Calderon 1995).
A variety of causal factors have been cited for the temporal nature of the phenological events that can result in a greater or lesser degree of overlap of flowering and/or fruiting among plant species. These include abiotic factors (Sarmiento & Monastério 1983, Reich & Borchert 1984, Wright & van Schaik 1994), biotic factors (Gentry 1974, Styles 1977, Ashton et al. 1988), or phylogenetic restrictions (Kochmer & Handel 1986, Johnson 1992, Ollerton & Lack 1992, Wright & Calderon 1995).

In tropical regions, especially in those areas exposed to periodic droughts (such as the “cerrado” and “caatinga”), plant water relations have received considerable attention. Seasonal rainfall has often been cited as the determinant climatic factor in these areas (Reich 1994, Williams-Linera & Meave 2002), and has thus been associated with the seasonality of phenological phenomena – principally in plants having shallow root systems (and unable to use deep soil water) and non-succulents (Nobel 1977, Sarmiento & Monastério 1983, Bertiller et al. 1991). Petit (2001) examined the responses of three sympatric columnar cacti to rainfall on the semi-arid island of Curaçao, and determined that each species responded differently to limitations presented by seasonal water shortages. These results are similar to those reported by Pavón & Briones (2001) for perennial shrubs in Mexico.

The fact that species show different responses to environmental factors according to their life form may partially explain the divergence or convergence of phenological patterns in plants subjected to identical environmental conditions. The divergent phenological patterns seen among plants with similar growth habits, as in the study by Petit (2001), however, may be explained by selective biotic pressures. Among the many possible selective factors, pollination agents have received the greatest attention. Variations in flowering time among groups of plants that share the same pollinators may be explained by the hypothesis that the temporal spacing of flowering minimizes pollinator competition and maximizes pollination efficiency undertaken by shared agents (Gentry 1974, Styles 1977, Ashton et al. 1988). Flowering displacement that avoids overlapping among sympatric species is an important pre-zygotic isolation mechanism, preventing interspecific hybridization and maintaining species integrity (Stace 1992, Levin 2000). This is particularly important among species demonstrating very similar flower morphology and that share pollinators, as observed in the genus Melocactus (Locatelli & Machado 1999, Nassar & Ramírez 2004, Colaço et al. 2006). Phenological research at the population level in tropical regions has been expanding, although few references are found for the genus Melocactus. Phenological data is available for flowering in M. zehntneri (Britton & Rose) Luetzelb. (Locatelli & Machado 1999) and M. curvispinus Pfeiffer (Nassar & Ramírez 2004).


Melocactus glaucescens is restricted to the municipality of Morro do Chapéu, where only four populations with reduced numbers of individuals are known to occur (Taylor & Zappi 2004). The type population is much degraded, showing evidence of hybridization with M. ernestii Vaupel. This hybrid is identified as M. ×albicephalus Buining & Brederoo (Taylor 1991, 2000, Taylor & Zappi 2004).

Hybridization is quite frequent in plants (Stace 1992) and although it can be very important from an evolutionary point of view, it can impoverish biodiversity by allowing the fusion of two different species via interspecific gene flow, and may promote species extinction by inhibiting their population growth, hampering effective reproduction, competitive status, and ecological interactions, which may lead to the complete lost of populations through formation of hybrid swarms (Levin et al. 1996, Rhymer & Simberloff 1996).

In light of the importance of phenological studies to our understanding of population dynamics, the present work sought to examine the phenological strategies of Melocactus glaucescens and M. paucispinus and determine the phenological cycles of M. ernestii as well as of the hybrid M. ×albicephalus by comparing the phenologies of these taxa and determining the degree of temporal overlap of their flowering and fruiting. Additionally, we sought to relate the phenological patterns of M. paucispinus to environmental conditions (temperature, humidity, and rainfall). This approach was not possible with M. glaucescens, M. ernestii, and M. ×albicephalus, however, as meteorological data is not available for the area in which they occur.

Additionally, we discuss the fruiting strategies of M. paucispinus, focusing on dispersal agents.
Materials and methods

Study areas and species – The populations of Melocactus glaucescens, M. ernestii, and the hybrid M. ×albicephalus examined here are located between 11°29'19.0"-11°29'25.5" S and 41°20'11.1"-41°20'31.3"W, and between 500-910 m a.s.l., within the boundaries of the “Parque Estadual do Morro do Chapéu”, in the municipality of Morro do Chapéu, Bahia State, Brazil. Melocactus glaucescens grows on sandy soils in open areas, M. ernestii is restricted to small crevices in exposed rock surfaces, while plants of M. ×albicephalus can be found growing in rock crevices, or in areas with sandy soil or gravel. The local vegetation represents an area of transition between the dry “caatinga” vegetation and “cerrado” (savanna) (CPRM 1995). The local climate is classified as high altitude tropical with hot summers. The mean temperature of the coldest month (July) is less than 18 °C, and the mean temperature of the warmest month (January) is above 22 °C. The estimated mean annual temperature is approximately 22 °C, while the mean annual rainfall is less than 700 mm (CPRM 1995), but the study areas where the populations of M. glaucescens, M. ernestii, and M. ×albicephalus are found are too distant from any meteorological station to accurately determine their environmental conditions.

The population of Melocactus paucispinus is located at 11°33’52’’ S and 41°10’37’’ W, at approximately 1104 m a.s.l., in the central portion of the municipality of Morro do Chapéu, Bahia State, Brazil. M. paucispinus grows on sandy soils in open in a phyto-ecological contact zone where the “cerrado” vegetation merges and forms mosaics with “campo rupestre” formations (CPRM 1995) with many small trees, shrubs, and sparse collimate cacti. The area has a predominately tropical altitudinal climate with mild summers. The mean temperature of the coldest month (July) falls below 18 °C, while the mean temperature for the warmest month (January) is less than 22 °C (CPRM 1995). The mean weighted annual temperature is 19.7 °C, and the mean annual rainfall is approximately 750 mm (DNMET 1992). Climatic data was obtained from Morro do Chapéu meteorological station located 3 km east of the study site.

The rainfall patterns in the two study areas are typical of semi-arid regions. The rainy season extends from November until April, with December generally having the most precipitation (usually concentrated in 10 to 13 days). The dry season extends from May until October, when rainfall is less generally than 60 mm. Although rainfall is sparse during this period, the total number of rainy days may be greater than in the rainy season, often totaling 15 days in June and July (DNMET 1992).

Phenology – Phenological observations of randomly chosen individuals of the different species of Melocactus were undertaken on a monthly basis during the period from August 2002 to July 2003. Seventy individuals M. glaucescens with cephalia were marked (more than 85% of the population), 50 individuals of M. paucispinus (approximately 50% of the population), and 20 individuals of both M. ernestii and M. ×albicephalus (essentially the entire population). The marking of the plants was assisted by specialists in Cactaceae taxonomy, Dr Nigel P. Taylor (Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew) and Marlon C. Machado (Institut für Systematische Botanik, Universität Zürich).

Observations were carried out in the afternoon (during anthesis), noting the following parameters: presence of flowering (open flowers) or fruiting (mature fruits, ready to be dispersed); the number of individuals in each phenophase; number of open flowers on each individual (daily offering); number of mature fruits not available (protruding less than 0.3 cm from the cephalium – emerging fruits); the number of mature fruits available (protruding more than 0.3 cm from the cephalium – extruded fruits, hanging or fallen on to the cephalium, or to the ground) per individual, adapting the criteria adopted by Figueira et al. (1994). We also recorded the time of flower bud appearance on the surface of the cephalium (n = 20), and the time of anthesis of 20 flowers. For these measurements, 30 adult individuals of each species were examined every half hour, from 08:00 to 15:00 hours during three to four days (to total 20 observations).

Phenological patterns were classified according to the frequency and duration of the flowering and fruiting stages, following Newstrom et al. (1994). The Spearman Correlation (r) was used to relate flowering and fruiting in M. paucispinus with abiotic factors (temperature, humidity, and rainfall). The Krebs (1989) formula was used to calculate the degree of overlap of flowering (number of individuals with flowers, and number of flowers presented) of M. glaucescens, M. ernestii, and M. ×albicephalus (considering the three taxa and all combinations of pairs), as well as the degree of overlap of fruiting (number of individuals with fruits, and number of fruits exhibited): \( P_{ik} = \frac{\sum (minimum \ p_{ij}, p_{jk})}{100} \), where \( p_{ik} = Percentage \ of \ flowering \ (or \ fruiting) \ overlap \ among \ the \ species \ j \ and k; \ p_{ij}, p_{jk} = proportion \ of \ the \ resource \ i \ produced \ in \ each \ month \ in \ relation \ to \ the \ total \ resource \ offered \ by \ the \ species \ j \ and k \ during \ the \ study \ period; n = total \ number \ of \ sampling \ dates. \)

Results

The flower buds of Melocactus glaucescens and M. paucispinus appear on the surface of the cephalium on the day of anthesis, generally between 09:00 and 10:00 hours, although they can sometimes appear only a few minutes (ca. 30) before anthesis. The buds open only once (one-day flowers), between 13:00 and 14:00, and then begin to wither starting at 19:00. The daily offering of flowers per individual varied from one to 12 for both species. Melocactus glaucescens produced flowers during almost the whole year, with only small interruptions (figure 1A). The number of flowering individuals indicated...
a continuous pattern according to Newstrom et al. (1994), although the absolute quantity of floral resources offered on a monthly basis showed moderate peaks (figure 1B). Fruiting in *M. glaucescens* was subannual, with two cycles per year of intermediate duration, with episodes concentrated in one month (figures 1A, B).

Flowering and fruiting of *M. ernestii* was annual and of intermediate duration, considering the number of flowering individuals as well as the quantity of resources offered (figures 1C, D). Flowering of *M. ×albicephalus* was extended, with only short interruptions. Peaks of activity were only evident when the quantity of floral resources offered was considered. Fruiting showed an intense period during one month, followed by a longer, but less intense episode (figures 1E, F).

Considering the numbers of flowering and fruiting individuals, *M. glaucescens*, *M. ernestii*, and *M. ×albicephalus* showed a 57% flowering overlap and a 53% fruiting overlap. Considering the numbers of flowers and fruits per month, *M. glaucescens*, *M. ernestii* and *M. ×albicephalus* showed a 45% flowering overlap and a 38% fruiting overlap. When pairing up these three species two by two, their flowering overlap was greater than 50% and their fruiting overlap greater than 40% (in considering the numbers of individuals demonstrating a given phenophase as well as the quantity of flower and fruit resources offered) (table 1).

Flowering and fruiting in *M. paucispinus* showed a subannual pattern, with two cycles of intermediate duration (2 to 4 months) per year. Flowering peaks took
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Figure 1. Numbers of flowering (■) and fruiting (□) individuals (A, C, E) and total number of flowers (■) and fruits (□) (B, D, F) of *Melocactus glaucescens* (*n* = 70) (A, B), *M. ernestii* (*n* = 20) (C, D) and *M. ×albicephalus* (*n* = 20) (E, F), recorded during the period from August 2002 to July 2003 in an area of “caatinga”/“cerrado” 22 km west of the municipal seat of Morro do Chapéu, Bahia State, northeastern Brazil.
of these differences, there was considerable overlap of flowering among these three taxa. Both males and females of *Chlorostilbon aureoventris* Boucier & Mulsant (1948) (a hummingbird) are the main pollinators of *M. glaucescens* in the study area, and were also observed visiting individuals of *M. ernestii* and *M. ×albicephalus* in the study area (Colaço et al. 2006).

The degree of flowering overlap observed between *M. glaucescens* and *M. ernestii* and between these two species and *M. ×albicephalus* suggests that competition for pollinators may not have been sufficiently strong through the evolutionary history of these populations to establish a separation of their flowering periods.

### Table 1. Percent overlapping of flowering and fruiting among species of *Melocactus* of the Chapada Diamantina, Bahia State, Brazil, calculated for all possible pairs of species, and based on the numbers of individuals demonstrating that phenophase and on the numbers of flowers and fruits observed. Based on monthly surveys from 8/2002 to 7/2003.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Flowering</th>
<th>Fruiting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>inds</td>
<td>flowers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. glaucescens</em> x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. ernestii</em></td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. glaucescens</em> x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. ×albicephalus</em></td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. ernestii</em> x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. ×albicephalus</em></td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although there were peaks of flowering and fruiting within the taxa of *Melocactus* studied, it must be stressed that relatively small numbers of flowers and fruits were produced during the whole year.

**Discussion**

*M. glaucescens* and *M. ×albicephalus* presented similar continuous floral strategies, while *M. ernestii* had an annual pattern of intermediate duration. In spite of these differences, there was considerable overlap of flowering among these three taxa.

Both males and females of *Chlorostilbon aureoventris* Boucier & Mulsant (1948) (a hummingbird) are the main pollinators of *M. glaucescens* in the study area, and were also observed visiting individuals of *M. ernestii* and *M. ×albicephalus* in the study area (Colaço et al. 2006). The degree of flowering overlap observed between *M. glaucescens* and *M. ernestii* and between these two species and *M. ×albicephalus* suggests that competition for pollinators may not have been sufficiently strong through the evolutionary history of these populations to establish a separation of their flowering periods.

### Table 2. Correlation between the number of individuals of *Melocactus paucispinus* flowering and fruiting as well as the quantity of flowers and fruits, in terms of the rainfall and the average temperature and humidity, as measured monthly from August 2002 until July, 2003 ($r_s =$ Spearman’s Correlation Coefficient; $P =$ degree of significance).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Environmental variables</th>
<th>Flowering</th>
<th></th>
<th>Fruiting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Individuals</td>
<td>Flowers</td>
<td>$r_s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainfall</td>
<td>-0.61</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.545</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humidity</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Long-term flowering (essentially continuous throughout the year in *M. glaucescens* and *M. ×albicephalus*, and for numerous months in *M. ernestii*) with just a few flowers opening each day can minimize intra- and interspecific competition. This reproductive strategy requires the movement of pollinators between individuals, thus increasing the probability of co-specific cross pollination (Locatelli & Machado 1999) and consequently increased reproductive success, principally in self-incompatible and allogamic species, as is the case with *M. glaucescens* (Colaço et al. 2006). This same behavior, however, will also tend to favor hybridization between compatible sympatric species flowering at similar times.

The overlapping flowering phenology reported here supports the hypothesis of hybridization in the study area (Taylor 1991, 2000, Taylor & Zappi 2004, Lambert et al. 2006b). The flowering strategies of sympatric taxa in the study area do not establish pre-zygotic isolation mechanisms (Stace 1992, Levin 2000); and in addition to allowing for interspecific exchange of genetic material over long periods of time, they favor cross pollination among the taxa.

While *M. curvispinus* demonstrated maximum periods of flowering activity during the rainy season (Nassar & Ramirez 2004), flowering in *M. paucispinus* was greater during periods of reduced rainfall. A similar situation to the present study was reported by Locatelli & Machado (1999) for *Melocactus zehntneri*. Concentrated flowering during dry periods can be reproductively favorable to a species, for flowers that are vertically positioned on the terminal cephalium in areas of open vegetation can be more easily damaged by heavy rains.

The fruiting peaks of *M. paucispinus* were associated with rainy periods. Periods with more abundant water resources will favor the production and/or maturation of zoochoric fruits (succulent fruits require more photosynthetic activity and more water for the fruit pulp), and they will also facilitate germination and seedling establishment (Morellato & Leitão-Filho 1992, 1996). The humidity that accompanies the rainy season, and the temporary abundance of nutrients derived from the decomposition of accumulated leaf litter left from the previous dry season, favor the development of deep root systems before the onset of the next dry season and increase the possibility of survival of the new seedling (van Schaik et al. 1993).

The association of fruiting in *M. paucispinus* with the rainy season is also beneficial to seed dispersal. Seed dispersion in *M paucispinus* in the study area is performed by two lizard species (*Cnemidophorus ocellifer* Spix, 1825 and *Tropidurus cocorobensis* Rodrigues, 1987) and four species of ants (*Ectatomma edentatum* Roger 1863; *Acromyrmex laticeps nigrostosus* Forel, 1908; *Solenopsis substituta* Santschi, 1925, and *Dorymyrmex thoracicus* Gallardo, 1916) (Fonseca 2004). Fialho (1990) observed a predominance of plant material in the stomach contents of *Tropidurus torquatus* Wied, 1820 during the rainy season (and a reduced diet of arthropods). On the other hand, Pizo & Oliveira (2000), in a study of ant-diaspore interactions in the Atlantic Coastal Forest of Brazil noted that diaspores that fall to the ground during periods of high temperatures and frequent rains are subject to more intense harvesting by ant species than at other times during the year. Similar observations were reported by Rico-Gray et al. (1998) in a semi-arid valley in Mexico where ants were less active during the colder and drier parts of the year. Ants tend to be sensitive to environmental humidity, and are less abundant during long dry periods (Levings & Windsor 1984, Hölldobler & Wilson 1990). As such, the first fruiting peak of *M. paucispinus* (November to February) occurs during a rainy and warm period favorable to ant activity; and the second peak of fruiting activity (June and July) coincides with periods of cooler temperatures, but with high humidity and light rainfall.

The continuous (though reduced) production of flowers and fruits examined in the four *Melocactus* species examined in this study contributes to the maintenance of pollinators (e.g. *Chlorostilbon aureoventris*) and territorial frugivorous species (e.g. *Tropidurus cocorobensis*) that use resources provided by these species.

The strategy of offering just a few flowers and fruits a day over long periods of time was also observed in the four *Melocactus* species examined. The only two other published works with phenological data for the genus (Locatelli & Machado 1999, Nassar & Ramirez 2004) described these same phenological strategies, suggesting that they are characteristic of the genus. As such, flowering behavior may be central to explaining the cases of hybridization in various species of *Melocactus* (Taylor 1991, Taylor & Zappi 2004, Lambert et al. 2006a, b), while the observed fruiting behavior may be understood as part of an ecological adaptation to saurochory (Figueira et al. 1993, 1994, Taylor 1991, 2000, Fonseca 2004). Additional phenological studies involving other species of *Melocactus* will be needed to confirm these hypotheses and more precisely define the phenology of this taxon as a whole.
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