TROPHIC MORPHOLOGY OF FIVE BENTHIC-FEEDING FISH SPECIES OF A TROPICAL FLOODPLAIN

FUGI, R., AGOSTINHO, A. A. and HAHN, N. S.
Nupélia, Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Campus Universitário, Av. Colombo, 5790, CEP 87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil
Correspondence to: Rosemara Fugi, Núcleo de Pesquisas em Limnologia, Ictiologia e Aquicultura, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Campus Universitário, Av. Colombo, 5790, CEP 87020-900, Maringá, PR, Brazil
Received October 25, 1999 – Accepted May 9, 2000 – Distributed February 28, 2001
(With 3 figures)

ABSTRACT
This study describes the morphology of the digestive apparatus and the size and organic content of the ingested food of five species of benthic-feeding fishes (Prochilodus lineatus, Steindachnerina insculpta, Loricariichthys platymetopon, Trachydoras paraguayensis e Iheringichthys labrosus). The samples were taken in the floodplain of the up Paraná River in February and August 1991. The results suggested that these species have different mouth, teeth, gill rakers, stomach and intestine length. These morphologic characteristics were related with the type, size and nutritional quality of the food ingested. Although these species feed on the bottom, the morphologic divergences probably explain the differences in diet.
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INTRODUCTION
Studies on trophic morphology expanded following the classic work of Suyehiro (1942), Al-Hussaini (1949), and Angelescu & Gneri (1949), among others, who demonstrated that a correlation exists between the structures of the digestive apparatus and the feeding habit of fishes. Particular morphological traces give insights on the feeding ecology of a species, since these peculiarities suggest how a fish is able to feed. Wootton (1990) emphasized that there may be evolutionary convergence in the morphology of phylogenetically unrelated species that use similar food resources.

Although the relationship between the morphology of the digestive apparatus and diet of fishes have been well documented (Suyehiro, 1942; Al-Hussaini, 1949; Angelescu & Gneri, 1949; Junger...
et al., 1989; Veregina, 1990), the morphological variations within trophic categories in tropical fishes appear to be poorly known, specially among detritivores and other benthic feeding fishes.

Prochilodus lineatus, Steindachnerina insculpta, Loricariichthys platymetopon, Iheringichthys labrosus, and Trachydoras paraguayensis, are the most abundant bottom-feeders in the Paraná River floodplain (Agostinhal et al., 1997). These species are bottom-feeders, and exploit different food resources (Fugi et al., 1996). The present investigation intended to relate the morphology of the trophic apparatus to the ability of these species to consume different benthic food items.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The five species studied (P. lineatus, S. insculpta, L. platymetopon, I. labrosus, and T. paraguayensis) were collected with gillnets at two sampling stations (a channel and a backwater, both with semi-lentic characteristics), in the floodplain of the up Paraná River (State of Paraná, Brazil), in February and August 1991.

Following capture, the specimens were measured and fixed in 4% formaldehyde. The shape and position of the mouth, the distribution of the teeth in the different regions of the buccal cavity, and the structures of the gill rakers, stomach, and intestine were determined in 25 specimens of each species. The gill rakers description and the distance between them were taken of each using a stereoscopic microscope with an ocular micrometer. These measurements were made only for L. platymetopon and I. labrosus, which have separate rakers. The intestine was separated from the viscera and measured from the insertion of the stomach to the urogenital aperture.

The organic content of the food was estimated by incinerating the stomach contents in a muffle furnace at 550°C for three hours. The sizes of the particles and the organisms present in the stomach contents were measured (three samples were taken from each stomach of ten individuals) in order to evaluate possible selective retention in the gills rakers. For those species which ate filiform insect larvae, the lengths of these larvae were measured. The sizes of the particles and organisms were measured with the aid of a stereoscopic microscope fitted with an ocular micrometer. For L. platymetopon the food was removed from the anterior third of the intestine.

In order to compare the length of the intestines of the five species, controlling the effect of fish length, a covariance analysis (ANCOVA) was used (Huitema, 1980). The data were log transformed prior to the analysis. The organic matter content of the food (%) was compared between the species, with a one-way ANOVA (Zar, 1996).

RESULTS

Comparative morphology of the digestive tract

The morphological characteristics of the digestive tract of the species analyzed, as well as the predominant food items in their diets, are shown in Table 1.

Prochilodus lineatus has terminal mouth and well-developed and very protractible lips. In S. insculpta and I. labrosus the mouth is sub-terminal and in the first species has spatular jaw. Trachydoras paraguayensis has inferior mouth. In L. platymetopon the mouth is ventral and suking. Steindachnerina insculpta does not have teeth. On the other hand, the teeth are small, mobile and restrict to the lips in P. lineatus. L. platymetopon has teeth in the premaxillary and dentary pharyngeal teeth. T. paraguayensis has only pharyngeal teeth. P. lineatus, S. insculpta and T. paraguayensis have short gills rakers which are numerous and linked. In L. platymetopon the rakers of the first arch are short and with spaces gaps between them. I. labrosus has in the first branquial arch longer and spaced rakers compared with the other species. The stomachs of P. lineatus and S. insculpta have two regions very delimited, cardiac and pyloric (gizzard). The stomachs of I. labrosus and T. paraguayensis are saciform with the cardid and piloric regions not well differentiated.

Relationship of intestine length to standard length

The slopes of the relationships between gut and fish length were not different for the five species ($F = 0.751; P = 0.558$). The common angular coefficient of 0.961, was significantly different from zero ($F = 278.72; P < 0.001$), indicating a strong linear relationship between log LI and log SL. The gut length differed between the five species ($F = 2,590.1; P < 0.001$).
The adjusted means for intestinal length decreased in the following order: *S. insculpta* > *P. lineatus* > *L. platymetopon* > *T. paraguayensis* > *I. labrosus* (Fig. 1).

**Organic matter content and size of particles and organisms**

The relative amount of organic matter in the stomach contents differed significantly among species ($F = 124.119; P < 0.001$). The means increased in the order: *P. lineatus* < *S. insculpta* < *L. platymetopon* < *I. labrosus* < *T. paraguayensis* (Fig. 2). According Scheffé test applied for post hoc comparisons, only organic matter means for *L. platymetopon* and *S. insculpta* were not statistically different ($P = 0.103165$).

The particles present in the gastric contents were smaller than 550 µm for all species, except *I. labrosus*, which ingested items up to 1,435 µm. The particles ingested by *P. lineatus* and *S. insculpta* had sizes predominantly (>80%) between 35 and 105 µm. For *L. platymetopon* the highest frequencies were observed for the 35 to 175 µm size classes, and for *T. paraguayensis* between 105 and 245 µm. *Iheringichthys labrosus*, which varied most in size, had a greater frequency of the smallest size items considered (35 µm) (Fig. 3).

**DISCUSSION**

In all five species, the position of the mouth was suitable for obtaining food from the bottom. Although *P. lineatus* has a terminal mouth, inappropriate for bottom-feeding, its well-developed and very protractible lips allow it to obtain food without changing its general body position.

---

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Mouth</th>
<th>Teeth</th>
<th>Gill rakers</th>
<th>Stomach</th>
<th>Intestine</th>
<th>* Main food</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>P. lineatus</em></td>
<td>Terminal and protractible lips</td>
<td>Smaller and movable labial teeth</td>
<td>Numerous, shorts and juxtaposed</td>
<td>Chemic (cardic) and mechanic (gizzard), elongated and with similar dimension; numerous piloric ceca</td>
<td>Longest, with folds of the mucosa</td>
<td>Finely divided sediment and detritus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. insculpta</em></td>
<td>Subterminal spatular jaw, lightly protract</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Numerous, shorts and juxtaposed</td>
<td>Chemic (cardic) and mechanic (gizzard), sacciform, the latter is bigger; a little piloric ceca</td>
<td>Very long and slender</td>
<td>Finely divided sediment and detritus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. platymetopon</em></td>
<td>Ventral and sucking</td>
<td>Premaxillary and dantary teeth; pharyngeal teeth very developed</td>
<td>Short. Gap between rakers: $125-187.5$ µm; $\bar{X} = 152.7 \pm 4.6$ CI</td>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Large detritus particles, benthic organisms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>T. paraguayensis</em></td>
<td>Inferior</td>
<td>Pharyngeal teeth</td>
<td>Numerous and shorts</td>
<td>Sacciform</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Microcrustaceans and Testacea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>I. labrosus</em></td>
<td>Subinfeior</td>
<td>Dentigerous plates in the premaxillary, dantary and pharyngeal</td>
<td>Long. Gap between rakers: $218.8-364.5$ µm; $\bar{X} = 256.0 \pm 10.0$ CI</td>
<td>Sacciform</td>
<td>Short</td>
<td>Chironomidae</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* From Fugi et al. (1996).
Fig. 1 — Adjusted means and standard error of intestine length (number in parentheses = sample size) of five fish species.

Fig. 2 — Mean values of the relative amount organic matter of the stomach contents (mean, minimum and maximum values) of five fish species.

Fig. 3 — Frequency distribution by size class of the food particles of the stomach contents of five fish species.

Goulding (1981) mentioned that Prochilodus nigricans uses its lips to remove detritus from the bottom and other substrates. In S. insculpta, the subterminal mouth and shovel-shaped dentary allow it to dig in the substrate. This behavior has been described for other curimatids (Sazima & Caraschi, 1989). Loricariichthys platymetopon, with ventral mouth, was the most adapted to the bottom-feeding habit. In this species, the cup-shaped mouth aids in suction of detritus. Trachyodoras paraguayensis and I. labrosus have small mouths in inferior and sub-inferior positions respectively, which allows them to select their food, based on benthic invertebrates (Fugi et al., 1996).

The relationship of the position and form of the teeth has been discussed by Al-Hussaini (1949), Angelescu & Gneri (1949) and Blaber et al. (1994), and is considered an important adaptation resulting from evolutionary processes mediated by the nature of the food (Veregina, 1990). Prochilodus lineatus and S. insculpta have rudimentary or no teeth. The food consumed by both species, basically mud and fine particulate detritus, does not require the presence of well-developed teeth, since they do not need these structures to ingest or hold the food. Veregina (1990) stated in this context that a common adaptation for the detritivore feeding regime is the partial or complete reduction of the teeth. The small labial teeth present in P. lineatus, on the other hand, must aid in scraping the periphyton from the substrate.

The rudimentary teeth implanted in the premaxillary and dentary of L. platymetopon must also function to scrape the surfaces of substrates, before the food is sucked in. The dentigerous plates in I. labrosus suggest a function for grasping small organisms harvested in the sediment. In both cases, these statements find support in the type of food ingested (large detritus particles in the case of L. platymetopon, and benthic organisms in I. labrosus).

Pharyngeal teeth, found in L. platymetopon, I. labrosus, and T. paraguayensis, are responsible for grinding or dismembering food (Lagler et al., 1977). The presence of these teeth is associated with the absence of a well-defined stomach, especially the mechanical function, since the teeth assume part of the function of the stomach (Angelescu & Gneri, 1949).

The selective retention of food by the gill rakers has been mentioned by Angelescu & Gneri (1949), Keenleyside (1975), and Hoogenboezem et al. (1991). In the present study, adaptations of the gill rakers to the feeding regime were observed in all the species, most obviously when the size of the food ingested was compared to the space between the gill rakers. Prochilodus lineatus, S. insculpta, and T. paraguayensis, which consume mainly small particles of detritus, mud and small organisms (microcrustaceans and Testacea), have the rakers quite close together, preventing loss of food through the gill net. On the other hand, the branchial structure of L. platymetopon and I. labrosus has a selective function. These species, particularly I. labrosus, which consumes larger food items, has well-spaced rakers, permitting rejection of undesirable particles such as sand grains. The latter, which has the greatest distance between the rakers, consumed relatively large organisms (Chironomidae). Lammens & Hoogenboezem (1991) observed that for benthophagous fishes, large sand particles do not pass through the rakers and are spat out, while the small grains are eliminated through the channels formed by the rakers.

The conformation of the stomachs of the species studied suggests that P. lineatus and S. insculpta are strongly adapted to the iliophagous feeding regime. Their diet, based on items that are difficult to digest (mud and detritus), necessitate mechanical action, which in these species are performed by the well-developed gizzard-shaped pyloric stomach. This type of stomach mainly fragments the food (Odum, 1968). According to Veregina (1990), the grinding is assisted by small mineral particles that accompany the food. On the other hand, L. platymetopon has an accessory structure that has been described as a stomach (Angelescu & Gneri, 1949; Py-Daniel, 1984), although Silva et al. (1997) concluded that this structure is an accessory organ adapted for aerial respiration. This is an adaptation to low dissolved oxygen concentrations that occur occasionally in the Upper Paraná River floodplain (Thomaz et al., 1997). Iheringichthys labrosus and T. paraguayensis have sacciiform stomachs, common among fishes.

The intestine has been thoroughly studied as the structure of the digestive apparatus related to diet, with certain patterns precisely defined. Accor-
The length of the intestine is clearly related to the trophic status of the species, and its length is ordered in the following way: carnivores < omnivores < herbivores < detritivores. *Prochilodus lineatus* and *S. insculpta*, which feed exclusively on finely particulate detritus and mud (Fugi et al., 1996), have the longest intestines. In these species the length of the intestine is an adaptation for consuming food that is difficult to digest and assimilate, and which requires a large area for absorption. This becomes evident when we consider the proportion of organic matter in their stomach contents, which were low in both species. The fact that *P. lineatus* has a shorter intestine than *S. insculpta*, having ingested food with lower organic content, can be explained by the presence of folds in the intestine which increase the area of absorption. On the other hand, *T. paraguayensis* and *I. labrosus* have shorter intestines, which are related to a diet based on benthic organisms with high nutritional value, as shown by the higher values of organic matter found in their stomach contents. Junger et al. (1989) stated that short intestines indicate a tendency to carnivory. Intermediate intestinal lengths were recorded for *L. platymetopon*, which feeds on large detritus particles and a certain amount of ben-
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