ABSTRACT

This study aimed at evaluating the effect of dietary calcium levels and the replacement of calcium sources with different particle size compositions on the performance and egg quality of brown layers in their second egg production cycle. A randomized block experimental design was applied with 12 treatments in a 3x4 factorial arrangement: three calcium levels (2.6, 3.2, 3.8 %) and four combinations of calcium sources (1- 100% fine limestone (FL), 2- 50% FL + 50% coarse limestone (CL), 3- 50% FL and 50% oyster shell (OS), 4- 50% FL and 25% CL+ 25 %OS), with six replicates of eight birds each. Calcium sources were analyzed for geometric mean diameter (GMD) and in-vitro solubility. The following performance and egg quality parameters were evaluated: egg weight (EW, g), egg production (% Eggs), egg mass (EM %), feed intake (FI g), feed conversion ratio (FCR kg/dz and FCR kg/kg), mortality (% Mort.), specific egg gravity (SG), percentages of yolk (Y%), albumen (Alb%) and eggshell (ES%), eggshell thickness (EST), eggshell breaking strength (BS), eggshell weight per surface area (EWSA), Haugh unit (HU), yolk index (YI) and yolk color. Performance and internal egg quality were not affected by the treatments (p>0.05). Blocks had a significant effect on (p<0.05) FI and FCR (kg/dz and kg/kg). Treatments significantly influenced external egg quality, which improved as dietary calcium levels increases and when up to 50% fine limestone was replaced by combinations of coarse limestone with oyster shell.

INTRODUCTION

Modern commercial layer strains have become increasingly productive and demanding in terms of management, health, environment, and nutrition. Studies have been developed to improve not only animal productivity, but also the quality of final products.

In the case of layers, their final product is eggs for human consumption. The main concern of farms related to egg quality is eggshell quality. After the eggshell is formed, this “package” cannot be remade, and eggshell defects will result in product downgrading due to the presence of cracks, deformities, and irregularities, with consequent significant economic losses (Ito et al., 2006).

Kussakawa et al. (1998) mentioned that, in order to be marketed, the eggshell of eggs must be strong enough to resist lay, collection, grading, and transport until they reach the final consumer. However, according to Leeson & Summers (2005), approximately 7 to 8% of the eggs produced present some kind of eggshell damage – caused by different reasons – that directly affects egg marketing. Moreover, eggshell integrity is essential to preserve internal egg quality, the physical, chemical, biological, and functional characteristics of egg proteins occur after lay.
Calcium is an essential mineral for eggshell quality, and it is the main component of the eggshell, which consists of 95% of calcium carbonate (Miles, 1993). Adequate calcium supplementation to layer diets is critical, as its deficiency may cause reductions in egg size and production, poor egg quality, with consequent high percentage of broken eggs and increased layer mortality (Dell’Osola & Baião 2001; Geraldo et al., 2006).

There has been increasing interest in research on the calcium metabolism of layers in their second production cycle. According to Oliveira (2002), these birds have excellent production of large and extra size eggs, but due to their limited calcium storage capacity, the eggs present thin eggshells. In addition, although there is extensive research on the effects of calcium sources and levels on first-cycle layers, there are few studies on the nutritional requirements of second-cycle layers, which are not even included in the NRC (1994). According to Rodrigues (1995), the information on optimal calcium levels for layers after forced molting are still subject of discussions, including in relation to the calcium form to be added in the feed.

The most commonly calcium sources added to layer diets are calcitic limestone and oyster shell, and studies on adequate calcium levels, sources and particle size have contributed to improve egg quality through improvements in eggshell synthesis and bone development of modern layers. Several studies have been carried out on the physical and chemical characteristics of calcium sources (Roland & Farmer, 1984; Bertechini & Fassani, 2001).

Calcium particle size may influence the availability of this mineral to poultry. According to Miles (2000), as the fine particles of limestone are readily solubilized during the night, bones are the main source of calcium for eggshell synthesis. When larger limestone particles, with lower solubility, are used, the digestive tract of layers is able to maintain calcium levels even during the night as its gradual solubilization and availability maintain adequate calcium blood levels.

Leeson & Summers (1997) and Junqueira & Rodrigues (2004) observed that coarse limestone promotes higher calcium retention in the gizzard, making it slowly and uniformly available during the period of eggshell synthesis, with consequent higher eggshell strength and egg and eggshell weights. However, Roland & Bryant (1999) commented that the replacement finely-ground limestone by coarser limestone should not be higher than 50% as otherwise feed intake is affected.

Jardim Filho et al. (2005) evaluated combinations of fine and coarse limestone of 30 and 40% and observed an improvement in eggshell quality, but observed that combinations lower or higher than this percentage resulted in worse eggshell quality. The authors concluded that the absence of coarser limestone or oyster shell particles in the diet negatively affects eggshell quality. However, layer feeding behavior may be affected when very large limestone particles are used (Fassani et al., 2004).

Fassani (2003) evaluated the mineral composition and in-vitro solubility of different calcitic limestone sources with different particle sizes produced in the state of Minas Gerais, Brazil, and observed variation in their mineral composition. He also verified that their in-vitro solubility changed according to their geographic origin and that it was influenced by their particle size. Ito (2006) also found that limestone sources derived from different regions presented different solubility and calcium content. According to Roland & Bryant (1999), factor such as limestone density and purity may influence its solubility, consequently affecting calcium availability to the birds.

Murata et al. (2009) observed better egg production, feed conversion ratio, egg weight, and eggshell strength and thickness as dietary calcium levels increased (3.75, 4.15 and 4.55%), but the different combinations of fine and coarse limestone (0, 25, 50, 75 and 100%) did not influence the studied parameters.

Considering the very diverse information provided in literature, further studies are required to characterize calcium sources in order to prevent egg production or quality losses. Therefore, the present study aimed at evaluating the effect of calcium levels and the use of fine and coarse limestone and their combinations with oyster shell on the performance and egg quality of brown layers in their second product cycle.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

The experiment duration was 112 days, and aimed at evaluating the effect of calcium levels and the replacement of calcium sources with different particle size compositions on the performance and egg quality of brown commercial layers in their second egg production cycle.

Birds were housed in two layer houses with 36 battery cages each placed in two double rows separated by a central aisle. The galvanized iron cages were 1.00m long, 0.45m deep and 0.40m high, and had an internal transversal 0.50m division, which
allowed housing four birds per cage division and eight birds per cage, totaling 288 birds per house. Each cage was equipped with a cup drinker and a trough feeder placed in front of the cage.

A total number of 576 Hy-Line Brown layers was submitted to forced molting by fasting and no artificial light until they lost approximately 25% of their body weight, which occurred after 14 days. Water was supplied ad libitum during molting, and birds were gradually re-fed with ground corn until day 28. At 92 weeks of age, after reaching 50% egg production, birds were submitted to the experimental treatments.

Feeds were supplied ad libitum and distributed in the morning and in the evening. A lighting program of 17 hours of light per day was adopted. Environmental temperature was daily recorded using a maximum-minimum thermometer placed in the center of each house.

The experimental diets were iso-nutritive and were formulated on corn and soybean meal basis according to the nutritional requirements provided in the genetic line manual and to the raw material values in the tables of Rostagno et al. (2005), except for calcium levels, which were supplied according to the experimental treatments (Table 1).

Particle sizes of the used calcium sources were classified as fine (limestone with particle size lower than 0.5 mm) and coarse (limestone or oyster shell with particle size higher than 2.0 mm). Limestone and oyster shell particle sizes were determined by collecting approximately 1 kg of each source, which was duly identified and sieved through a set of ABNT sieves (numbers 5, 10, 16, 30, 50, 100 and mesh sizes (mm) of 4, 2, 1.20, 0.60, 0.3 and 0.15 (Zanotto & Bellaver, 1996). Particle size was characterized according to treatment and particle uniformity, and expressed as geometric mean diameter (GMD). GMD has a positive correlation with particle size.

The solubility of calcium sources was determined by the method of weight loss percentage, which consists in weighing samples of 2.0 g, which are immersed in a hydrochloric acid solution at 0.2 N heated to 32 °C for 15 min under slight agitation. Samples are then filtered in paper filter, dried in an oven at 60 °C for 20 h and weighed in a digital scale to calculate the percentage of in-vitro limestone solubility (Zhang & Coon, 1997).

Birds were distributed in a random block experimental design with 12 treatments in a 3 x 4 factorial arrangement, with three calcium levels and four particle size compositions, with eight birds per experimental unit, totaling 576 birds. The experimental treatments are presented in Table 2.

The following performance parameters were evaluated: egg weight (g), egg production (%), egg

Table 1 - Ingredient and calculated composition of the experimental diets.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ingredients</th>
<th>T 01</th>
<th>T 02</th>
<th>T 03</th>
<th>T 04</th>
<th>T 05</th>
<th>T 06</th>
<th>T 07</th>
<th>T 08</th>
<th>T 09</th>
<th>T 10</th>
<th>T 11</th>
<th>T 12</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn</td>
<td>63.09</td>
<td>63.08</td>
<td>63.23</td>
<td>63.14</td>
<td>64.25</td>
<td>64.24</td>
<td>64.39</td>
<td>64.32</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>65.40</td>
<td>65.49</td>
<td>65.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheat midds</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>9.57</td>
<td>9.20</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>5.37</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.10</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td>1.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dicalcium phosphate 24.5%Ca 18.5% P</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limestone 38.4% Ca 5.78 2.89 1.66 1.12 3.66 3.75 3.70 3.85 4.42 4.54 4.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster shell 36.4% Ca 5.78 2.89 1.66 1.12 3.66 3.75 3.70 3.85 4.42 4.54 4.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse limestone 38.4% Ca 2.89 1.66 1.12 3.66 3.75 3.70 3.85 4.42 4.54 4.48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral supplement 1 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin supplement 2 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10 0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35 0.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DL-Methionine 99% (powder) 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12 0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choline chloride 60% 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL (kg) 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00 100.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Nutrients Content in the feed**

- ME (kcal/kg feed): 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500 2.7500
- Crude protein (%): 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55 15.55
- Calcium (%): 2.6 2.6 2.6 2.6 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.2 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8
- Available phosphorus (%): 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33 0.33
- Methionine (%): 0.395 0.395 0.395 0.395 0.398 0.398 0.398 0.398 0.411 0.401 0.401 0.401
- Methionine+cystine (%) 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660 0.660
- Lysine 0.763 0.763 0.764 0.763 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77 0.77

1 - Mineral supplement per kg feed: zinc 54 mg, iron 54 mg, manganese 72 mg, copper 10 mg, iodine 0.61 mg, selenium 0.302 mg. 2 - Vitamin supplement per kg feed: Vit A 7.520 IU, Vit D3 1,816 IU, Vit E 8.4 mg, Vit K3 1.28 mg, Vit B1 1.34 mg, Vit B2 3.0 mg, Vit B6 1.66 mg, Vit B12 8.0 mg, nicotinic acid 20 mg, calcium pantothenate 8.0 mg, folic acid 0.300 mg, biotin 0.04 mg.
mass (%), feed intake (g), feed conversion ratio (kg/dz and kg/kg) and mortality (%). Eggs from each experimental unit were daily collected and counted, and weekly weight. Feed residues were also weekly weighed.

Table 2 – Experimental treatments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Calcium (%)</th>
<th>Calcium sources*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>100% FL (0.44 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% CL (2.40 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% OS (2.19 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 25% CL (2.40 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>100% FL (0.44 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% CL (2.40 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% OS (2.19 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 25% CL (2.40 mm) + 25% OS (2.19 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>100% FL (0.44 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% CL (2.40 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 50% OS (2.19 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>50% FL (0.44 mm) + 25% CL (2.40 mm) + 25%OS (2.19 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Calcium sources: FL= fine limestone; CL= coarse limestone; OS= Oyster shell.

Egg quality was evaluated at the end of four 28d periods during three consecutive days, in a total of 36 eggs per treatment. Eggs were identified according to treatment and individually weighed in a 0.001g precision digital scale. Eggs were then submitted to the laboratory to determine specific egg gravity, de yolk, albumen and eggshell percentages, yolk index (YI), Haugh unit (HU), eggshell thickness (EST) and eggshell breaking strength (BS).

Specific egg gravity was determined by immersing the eggs in saline solutions with densities between 1.065 and 1.100g/cm³, in 0.005 gradients. Eggs were then broken, and their eggshell, albumen and yolk were separated and weighed.

Albumen quality was evaluated using Haugh units. Egg weight (g) and albumen height (mm) data were used to calculated Haugh unit according to the formula suggested by Standelman & Cotterill (1986): HU= 100 log (H + 7.57 - 1.7W⁰.³⁷), where: HU = Haugh unit; H= albumen height (mm) and W= egg weight (g).

Yolk quality was evaluated in yolk index. Yolk diameter and height were measured and applied to the formula YI = YH/YD, where: YI= yolk index; YH= yolk height (mm) and YD= yolk diameter (mm).

Eggs were washed under running water and dried in a forced-ventilation oven at 60 °C for 12h, and their thickness (including the membrane) was determined in three points of the egg equatorial region using a 0.01mm precision Mitutoyo micrometer. Eggshell was determined in a 0.001g precision scale.

Eggshell breaking strength was evaluated in intact eggs using a specific cell coupled to a Texture Analyser TA. XT plus with probe to measure eggshell breaking strength (Cyl Stainless 2 mm, code P/2, pre-test velocity of 2mm/s; test velocity of 1.0mm/s, and post-test velocity of 40mm/s) which recorded the strength required to break the eggshell in kgf.

In order to analyze the results, an experimental period of 112 days was established. Data were submitted to analysis of variance and means were compared by the test of Tukey at 5% probability using SISVAR software package (Ferreira, 2000).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results of the analysis to determine particle size, in-vitro solubility and calcium levels in limestone and oyster shell are presented in Table 3. Particles were found to be uniform within each particle size class.

Table 3 – Particle-size composition, in-vitro solubility and calcium levels of calcium sources.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Particle size (mm)</th>
<th>In-vitro solubility (%)</th>
<th>Calcium (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine limestone</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse limestone</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>38.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oyster shell</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GMD=geometric mean diameter.

The particle sizes of the calcium sources fine limestone, coarse limestone and oyster shell used in the present study were 0.44, 2.40 and 2.19 mm, respectively. According to Ito (2006), particle size is a gross criterion, as it expresses only a mean value, and does not take into account sub-components of different sizes, which relative ratios can present wide variations. That author stresses that, on the other hand, when calcium sources are chosen according to their solubility values, the variation in the ratios of their sub-components and in their crystalline structure have already been considered and, therefore, solubility is a better decision-making criterion than particle size.

In-vitro solubility values obtained in the present study were 31% and 28% for fine and coarse limestone, respectively, and 46% for oyster shell. According to Scott (1991), the expected solubility percentages for fine limestone vary between 50% and 70%, whereas for coarse limestone and oyster shell, the expected solubility is between 20% and 40%.

The differences between the solubility values
obtained in the present study and those mentioned in literature may be explained by the different particle sizes and hardness of calcium sources obtained from different regions, as softer limestone presents large particle size and high in-vitro solubility (Bertechini, 2006). Rabon & Roland (1985) evaluated 44 different limestone and oyster shell products produced by nine different US companies, and found variations of up to 63% in their in-vitro solubility values when the same particle size was used in the comparisons.

Average minimum and maximum environmental temperatures were 19.5 and 31.5 ºC in house 1 and 19.2 and 30.3 ºC in house 2. During the experimental period, a variation in environmental temperature range, recording minimum and maximum temperatures of 13 ºC and 38 ºC, respectively.

There was a significant influence (p<0.05) of house (blocks) on feed intake, feed conversion ratio per dozen eggs and feed conversion ratio per kg eggs. This indicates that the environments of the two houses were different; however, this effect was isolated (controlled) when a random block design was applied in the analysis of variance.

The analysis of variance did not detect significant differences nor interactions (p>0.05) among the studied factors on the live performance of the layers. The following averages were obtained: egg weight = 70.85g (CV= 2.54%); egg production = 81.27% (CV= 8.37%); egg mass = 57.56g (CV= 8.40 %); average daily feed intake = 119.83 g (CV= 3.96%); feed conversion ratio per dozen eggs = 1.79 (CV= 7.73%); feed conversion ratio per kg eggs = 2.10 (CV= 7.43%) and mortality = 1.07% (CV= 338%).

Results of studies on layer performance relate calcium levels to egg production and weight. In the present study, it was observed that both calcium dietary levels and the different particle size combinations of calcium sources did not influence performance, and that the daily average calcium intake of 3.11 g/day/bird, which was obtained at the lowest dietary calcium level, was sufficient to supply egg production requirements.

These results are consistent with the findings of Kussakawa et al. (1998), Faria et al. (2000), Jardim Filho et al. (2005), Ito et al. (2006) and Pizzolante et al. (2006), who tested different calcium levels and calcium source combinations in the diet of brown and/ or white layers in different production phases (initial, final, and after forced molting) and did not detect any influence on performance parameters during the entire experimental period. According to Jardim Filho et al. (2005), when calcium is deficient, layers tend to maintain egg production, but eggshell quality is compromised.

There was no significant effect of any of the applied treatments (p>0.05) on internal egg quality, as measured by yolk and albumen percentages, Haugh unit, yolk index and color.

The main indicator of internal quality of table eggs is Haugh units, which represent albumen height corrected for egg weight. Despite the criticism of some authors, it is considered a standard egg quality measurement and it is virtually by the entire egg industry. Considering that no significant differences were observed in egg weight and albumen percentage, and that the results of studies on the effect of calcium on internal egg quality do not provide information on the influence of this mineral on albumen weight and percentage (Castillo et al., 2004, Jardim Filho et al., 2005, Hernández-Sánchez et al., 2006), it is assumed that both calcium levels and particle size composition of the used calcium sources were sufficient to maintain the internal egg quality of layers in their second production cycle. However, the obtained results disagree with those observed by Rodrigues et al. (1994), who verified an improvement in Haugh units when high calcium levels were added to the feed of layers in their second production cycle.

There was an isolated effect (p<0.05) on external egg quality both of the particle size composition of calcium sources (Table 4) and of calcium levels in the experimental diets (Table 5). However, there was no interaction between these factors.

The effects of the particle size composition of calcium sources on the external egg quality of brown layers in their second production cycle are shown in Table 4.

Although the in-vitro solubility of fine limestone (31%) presented an intermediate value between that of coarse limestone (28%) and oyster shell (36%), it was observed that external egg quality, except for breaking strength, improved when 50% fine limestone was replaced by 50% coarse limestone or by 50% oyster shell. These results suggest that particle size of the used sources may have interfered with calcium absorption, and consequently, with eggshell synthesis.

Considering that eggshell synthesis usually occur during the night, when birds usually do not eat, the use of calcium sources with lower solubility or larger particle size may promote better eggshell quality and/or less utilization of calcium bone reserves. The results obtained in the present study are consistent with the concept that large particle size or low in-vitro solubility may increase calcium retention in layers and improve
eggshell quality. Zhang & Coon (1997) reported that limestone retention in the gizzard increased when its *in-vitro* solubility was low or when dietary calcium level increased, and that limestone *in-vivo* solubility was reduced as dietary calcium level increased. According to those authors, limestone sources with particle size larger than 0.8mm and low *in-vitro* solubility (30 - 50%) were retained in the gizzard longer, increasing their *in-vivo* solubility and calcium retention in layers.

In a literature review, Roland (1986) concluded that particle size higher than 3mm promoted similar eggshell quality, independently of calcium source (oyster shell and limestone), and that eggshell quality parameter values are lower when only fine particles are used, which is consistent with the results of the present study. Similar findings were obtained by Kussakawa et al. (1998), Oliveira (2002), Jardim Filho et al. (2005) and Ito (2006).

Cruz et al. (1991) used feeds containing 100% oyster shell and observed an increase in eggshell percentage relative to the other diets, which contained 25, 50, 75 or 100% de limestone. Kussakawa et al. (1998) also found higher eggshell percentage in egg of layers fed a diet with higher limestone relative to oyster shell (66% coarse limestone + 33% oyster shell) as compared to those fed 100% oyster shell, suggesting that the use of the latter as the sole calcium source negatively affected thus parameter. The same authors verified higher specific egg gravity in eggs of layers fed 66% coarse limestone + 33% oyster shell as compared to those fed 66% oyster shell + 33% coarse limestone, and mention that specific egg gravity is influenced by different ratios of calcium sources and different particle sizes.

The isolated effect (p<0.05) of dietary calcium levels on specific egg gravity, eggshell thickness, eggshell percentage, eggshell weight per surface area, and breaking strength are presented through regression equations in Table 5. An increasing linear effect (p<0.05) was observed as dietary calcium levels increased (Figures 1 to 5).

In the present study, it was observed that, when birds were fed higher dietary calcium levels, there was an increasing linear effect (p<0.05) on eggshell quality parameters, confirming the results of other researchers, which obtained higher eggshell weight and better eggshell quality when layers increased their calcium intake as a result of the supply of higher calcium levels in the diet (Frost & Roland, 1991; Clunies et al., 1992, Albano Jr, 2000, Abdallah et al., 1993, Costa et al., 2008). However, according to Clunies et al. (1992), increasing dietary calcium levels result in a linear increase in calcium retention and a quadratic increase in eggshell weight. Costa et al. (2008) evaluated dietary calcium levels between 3.0 and 5.0% and observed a significant effect on eggshell weight, and the best result was obtained with 4.3% calcium, but a linear increase in eggshell percentage as dietary calcium levels increased.

### CONCLUSIONS

Under the conditions of the present experiment, it was concluded that dietary calcium levels between 2.6 and 3.8% may be fed to layers with no effect on performance or internal egg quality, but in order to improve eggshell quality, brown layers in their second production cycle should be fed 3.8% dietary calcium.
Figure 1 - Specific egg gravity (g/cm³) of brown layers in their second production cycle fed different calcium levels.

Figure 2 – Eggshell thickness (mm) of brown layers in their second production cycle fed different calcium levels.

Figure 3 – Eggshell percentage (%) of brown layers in their second production cycle fed different calcium levels.

Figure 4 - Eggshell weight per surface area (mg/cm²) of brown layers in their second production cycle fed different calcium levels.

Figure 5 - Eggshell breaking strength (g) of brown layers in their second production cycle fed different calcium levels.

Most literature reports on calcium levels and/or sources derived from experiments carried out with white layers. Further studies should be carried out with brown layers in their second production cycle and with other nutrients related to calcium metabolism and/or how calcium should be supplied to improve egg external quality, as the incidence of broken eggs is directly dependent on eggshell quality.
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Particle size composition of calcium sources significantly influenced external egg quality, which improved with the replacement of up to 50% fine limestone by coarse limestone and/or oyster shell.
Limestone and Oyster Shell for Brown Layers in Their Second Egg Production Cycle
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