Fluoroquinolones are antimicrobial agents frequently used in poultry production and in human medicine. The use of such substances must comply with safety criteria, including withdrawal periods, doses, and treatment duration, as their misuse and abuse may cause bacterial resistance and the presence of residues in edible tissues. Consequently, the consumption of animal products with fluoroquinolone residues may result in the transmission of resistant bacteria. In addition, if residues are beyond the acceptable levels, fluoroquinolone active metabolites are harmful to human health. This article presents a review on the use of antimicrobials of the fluoroquinolone class in poultry production, focusing on the development of bacterial resistance to these drugs and the presence of their residues in poultry products.

INTRODUCTION

The Brazilian poultry industry is highly developed and has shown extraordinary growth in the last few years (UBABEF, 2011). Brazil is among the largest global producers and the largest exporter of chicken meat. It is also the seventh global egg producer. The quality and competitiveness of Brazilian poultry products are proven by the increase in domestic consumption and by the high exports volumes (Turra, 2012).

The productivity of this industry is supported by the use of modern management and nutritional practices, genetic improvement, modern facilities, and the integrated production system, which was largely stimulated by the partnership between processing companies and farmers, resulting in high quality products and low production costs (Brasil, 2012). This development also raised concerns with the hygiene and health status of chicken products, as demonstrated by the increasing regulations for the production of chicken and egg products. Both the government and the companies heavily invest in programs to ensure the quality of those products (Brasil, 1986; 1994; 1998a). The focus on poultry health was particularly important for the development of the poultry industry.

Pathogen prevention and control are constant challenges. Antimicrobials are frequently used in animal production all over the world for disease treatment and prevention, as well for growth promotion or performance enhancement. There is an increasing concern that the use of these drugs in veterinary medicine, and particularly in animal production, may compromise human health through the selection of resistant bacteria in animals and their transmission to humans through animal foods and dispersion in the environment (Castanon, 2007).

The bacterial resistance to antimicrobials has become a major public interest and scientific issue since the past decade. Although there is
no consensus on the degree of influence of the use of antimicrobials in animals on the emergence and dissemination of bacteria resistant to antimicrobials in humans, experimental evidences and epidemiological and molecular studies point out a relationship between the use of antimicrobials and the emergence of resistant bacterial strains in animals and their dissemination to humans, especially through the food chain.

In addition to the antimicrobial therapy relative risks to the selection pressure leading to the emergence of bacterial resistance, the accumulation of antimicrobial residues in animal products may harm human health, including aplasia of the bone marrow, hypersensitivity reaction, tumor induction, and changes in the normal bacterial flora (WHO, 1995; 2004; Kowalski et al., 2005; WHO, 2009).

This article presents a review on the use of antimicrobials of the fluoroquinolone class in poultry production, focusing on the development of bacterial resistance to these drugs and the presence of their residues in poultry products.

**The use of antimicrobials in industrial poultry production**

Antibiotic therapy was one of the most important influences on the development of human and veterinary medicine (Finch, 2007), and both its therapeutic and prophylactic use has allowed poultry production to achieve significant productivity improvements (Gonzales et al., 2005).

Antimicrobials started to be used for human therapeutics in the 1930s with sulfonamides. However, during the following years, due to the emergence of resistant microorganisms, resulted in a constant search for more effective therapeutic alternatives in terms of spectrum of action and toxicity (Jackson et al., 1998). Veterinary Medicine started to employ antimicrobials in the 1950s at the same time that advances in human medicine were achieved (EMEA, 1999).

In addition to the use of antimicrobials in Veterinary Medicine to prevent and treat diseases, antimicrobials are also used as growth promoters or performance enhancers for livestock. These substances are continuously added in subtherapeutic doses in poultry feeds (Andreatti Filho & Silva, 2005). Their effects were discovered in the 1940s during studies for the isolation and identification of vitamin B12 in fungal cultures, which was considered a growth promoter. In those studies, the dry mycelia of determined fungi, such as *Streptomyces averofaciens*, contained antimicrobials that worked as potent growth promoters (Jones & Ricke, 2000; Castanon, 2007).

The use of antimicrobials as growth promoters, with no need of veterinary prescription, was approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 1951 (Jones; Ricke, 2003). In the 1950s and 1960s, European countries approved their own national regulations on the use of antimicrobials in animal feeds.

The inclusion of growth promoters in animal feeds improves feed efficiency, thereby increasing productivity, as well as reduces feed intake up to slaughter, prevents infectious and parasitic pathologies, and decreases mortality rates. However, the modes of action of growth promoters are not fully elucidated, there is a consensus among researchers that they do not have a single, but multiple and complex modes of action (Albuquerque, 2005). In the 1950s and 1960s, penicillins and tetracyclines were used as growth promoters, and in the 1960s, concerns on the emergence of resistant bacterial strains started to be voiced.

In a report to the United Kingdom government, the Joint Committee on the Use of Antimicrobials in Animal Production and Veterinary Medicine acknowledged that the administration of subtherapeutic doses of antimicrobials could represent a risk of human and animal health. In order to prevent such risks, antimicrobial growth promoters should have little or no therapeutic application for humans and animals and should not impair the efficacy of drugs used for therapeutics due to the development of resistant strains. In the 1990s, the debate on the resistance to antimicrobials in the European Union became more intense (EMEA, 1999). The European Union then banned the use of avoparcin, virginiamycin, spiramycin, tylosin, and zinc bacitracin in an attempt to minimize the selection of resistant bacteria (Almeida & Palermo-Neto, 2005). In 2006, the use of antimicrobials as growth promoters was banned in the European Union (Palermo-Neto & Renshaw, 2005; Castanon, 2007).

In Brazil, some active principles were banned for the purpose of growth promoters. Among other bans, the Brazilian Ministry of Agriculture (MAPA) issued Order n. 31 banning the use of arsenical and antimonial products (Brasil, 2002). Chloramphenicol and nitrofurans were banned in 2003 by Normative Ruling n. 09 (Brasil, 2003). Normative Ruling n. 17 of 2004 banned substances with thyroidal, androgenic, estrogenic, and gestagenic effects for growth promoting in production animal (Brasil, 2004). Amphenicols, tetracyclines, beta-lactams (benzyl-penicillins and cephalosporins), quinolones, and systemic sulfonamides were banned for that purpose by Normative Ruling n. 26, in 2009.
(Brasil, 2009). In 2012, spiramycin and erythromycin were also banned by Normative Ruling n. 14 (Brasil, 2012).

As it is expected that the use of antimicrobials as growth promoters will be increasingly banned and restricted, several research studies have been conducted to search for efficient alternatives, particularly on probiotics, prebiotics, and other means to reduce pathogens in poultry production (Pessôa et al., 2012).

Probiotics are feed supplements containing pure or mixed cultures of live microorganisms that promote beneficial effects in the host by favoring intestinal microbiota balance (Andreatti Filho & Silva, 2005). The mode of action of probiotics is not fully elucidated yet. The main modes of action proposed are competitive exclusion, by which the microorganisms in the probiotic bind to the intestinal mucosa receptors, thereby preventing the adhesion of pathogens to the mucosa; production of antibacterial substances, such as bacteriocins, organic acids, and hydrogen peroxide; competition for nutrients, and stimulation of the host's immune system (Andreatti Filho & Silva, 2005). The efficacy of probiotics is influenced by animal age, type of probiotic, livability of the microorganisms, storage conditions, management conditions and health challenge (Souza et al., 2010).

Prebiotics are substances included individually or associated with probiotics in poultry feeds, which are then called symbiotics. These substances are not affected by digestive enzymes and benefit the host's health by stimulating selectively the growth and metabolism of saprophytic intestinal bacteria (Andreatti Filho & Silva, 2005).

In addition, prebiotics enhance disease resistance, improve nutrient availability, and increase the efficiency of poultry production. The most common prebiotics are fructo-oligosaccharides (FOS), trans-galactooligosaccharides (TOS), inulin, glucoligosaccharides, xyloligosaccharides, iso-maltooligosaccharides, soy oligosaccharides, polydextrose, and lactosucrose (Gunguly, 2013).

Other important feed additives used in poultry production are exogenous enzymes that act on feedstuffs, improving their digestion, releasing nutrients and enhancing their absorption, and destroying harmful compounds (Lima, 2005). Plant extracts and essential oils also influence poultry performance. The modes of action of these products are not fully elucidated, but it was shown that plant extracts improve feed digestibility and present antifungal and antimicrobial action, and that essential oils stimulated the secretion of endogenous enzymes, change the intestinal microflora, and help reducing subclinical infections (Bonato et al., 2008).

In Brazil, in general, there are no statistical data on the use of antimicrobials in animal production. The Department of Health of the state of Paraná conducted a survey in 2004, through the State Program for the Control of Veterinary Drug Residues in Animal Foods (PAMVET), to identify which veterinary drugs were more frequently used for therapeutic purposes in broiler and layer production. In 28 broiler farms, the most frequent antimicrobial groups mentioned were norfloxacin, enrofloxacin, monensin, sulfadiazine+trimethoprim, avilamycin, amoxicillin, clortaladecyclin, sulfachlorperazin+trimethoprin, maduramicin, nicarbazin, neomycin, tiamulin and tilmicosin (PAMVET, 2005). In 66 layer farms surveyed, the most frequent antimicrobial groups mentioned were quinolones, followed by tetracyclines and quinolaxines. The following drugs most commonly used were enrofloxacin (25.8%), oxitetracyclin (21.5%), olaquindox (15.1%), norfloxacin (9.7%), doxycycline (6.5%), sulfaquinoxaline (6.5%), and bacitracin (6.5%) (Machinski Junior et al., 2005).

**The development of fluoroquinolones**

The development of quinolones strengthened antimicrobial therapeutics due to its low toxicity and broad spectrum of action. The first quinolone produced was nalidixic acid, which is a naphthyridine. It was discovered by chance by Leshea and coworkers in 1962 during an attempt to synthesize chlorquine, an anti-malarial agent with antibacterial activity. Nalidixic acid presented activity against some Gram-negative aerobes, and was then used for the treatment of urinary infections (Jackson et al., 1998; Silva & Hollenbach, 2010). However, its use was reduced because it tended to induce bacterial resistance and because of the synthesis of new compounds with broader spectrum of action and lower toxicity (Ito, 2005).

The discovery of changes in the chemical structure of quinolones significantly changed their antimicrobial activity, allowing the synthesis of other compounds of this family. The position 1 (Figure 1) of the quinolone molecule is part of the binding complex of the enzyme DNA gyrase and interacts with the hydrophobic site of DNA. Position 2 is very close to the binding site of DNA gyrase (or topoisomerase IV). Positions 3 and 4 are considered critical for binding cleaved or disturbed DNA, and there are no useful substitutions reported to date. The addition of a fluorine molecule in position 6 improved the antimicrobial activity of quinolones compared with the original group, which were then...
Fluoroquinolones were introduced in the 1980s and are fluorinated derivatives of quinolones, i.e., they have fluorine in the position 6 (Figure 1). They also present a ketone in position 4 and a carboxyl in position 3, providing further antibacterial activity. The addition of cyclopropyl, ethyl, or fluorophenyl in position 1 and piperazine in position 7 increased the antimicrobial spectrum of action of fluoroquinolones (Andriole, 2005; Sharma et al., 2009).

The presence of fluorine in position 6 provides greater activity against Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria because it enhances the penetration capacity of the antimicrobial drug in the bacterial cell membrane. The first broad-spectrum fluoroquinolone synthesized was norfloxacin, which was launched in 1980. A piperazinyl ring replaces the methyl group at position 7 in this compound, which allowed enhancing the activity of the drug against Gram-negative bacteria, although it did not act against anaerobes (Andriole, 2005).

In ciprofloxacin, the ethyl group of norfloxacin was replaced by a cyclopropyl group, increasing the spectrum of action to include Gram-positive bacteria and not compromising its activity against Gram-negative bacteria (Sharma et al., 2009). The structure of enrofloxacin is similar do ciprofloxacin, with changes in the ethyl group (Ito, 2005).

All these structural changes in the molecule of quinolones provided wider spectrum of action and better diffusion to the tissues, longer half-life, lower toxicity, greater capacity to penetrate the bacterial cell wall, and consequently better activity against Gram-negative bacteria and activity against some Gram-positive species. Their therapeutic indications evolved from urinary infection to applications against many other infections. The last generations present activity against anaerobic bacteria (Sousa et al., 2007; Silva & Hollembach, 2010).

By the end of the 1980s and beginning of the 1990s, fluoroquinolones started to be used in veterinary medicine. Since then, new fluoroquinolone molecules have been licensed, and a large number of different veterinary drugs has been launched in the market (EMEA, 2006).

Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics

Fluoroquinolones, as all quinolones, are bactericidal antimicrobials that inhibit the catalytic activity of bacterial DNA gyrase (Topoisomerase II) and Topoisomerase IV, which are essential for the replication and transcription of bacterial DNA (Sharma et al., 2009). DNA gyrase catalyzes reactions in the bacterial DNA double strand chain, allowing its supercoiling, relaxation, separation, and its reintegration during transcription and translation. DNA gyrase consists of two subunits: GyrA (97 kDa), a protein coded by gene gyrA, and GyrB (90 kDa), protein coded by gene gyrB. Topoisomerase IV also consists of two subunits: ParC (75 kDa) and ParE (70 kDa) (Jacoby, 2005).

After administration, fluoroquinolones are rapidly absorbed, present wide distribution volume and little binding to plasma proteins, are excreted by the urine and bile, and their residues are found in the liver and kidneys (Goetting et al., 2011; Silva & Hollembach, 2010). Enrofloxacin biotransformation includes reactions of N-dealkylation, glucuronide conjugation to the nitrogen in the para position of the piperazinyl ring, oxidation in the ortho position to substituted amine, and the opening of the piperazinyl ring (Vancutsem et al., 1990). Ciprofloxacin is a metabolite of enrofloxacin. Its pharmacokinetics is different and its bioavailability in the bird’s body is half or less than a half compared with enrofloxacin (Ito, 2005).

Enrofloxacin has intermediate and variable effect on Pseudomonas spp., Enterococcus spp., Clostridium spp., Staphylococcus spp., Streptococcus spp., and good effect on enterobacteria, including Campylobacter spp., Enterobacter spp., and Serratia spp. It is also active against Chlamydia spp. and Mycobacterium spp. In general, the recommended dose of Enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin is 10 mg/kg. Because ciprofloxacin is a enrofloxacin metabolite, the amount of ciprofloxacin increases according to the dose and duration of enrofloxacin administration. Enrofloxacin and its analogs are indicated for the treatment of chickens and turkeys against E.coli, and of turkeys against Pasteurella multocida (Ito, 2005).

Bacterial resistance to fluoroquinolones

International organizations, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO), and the World Organization for Animal Health (OIE), as well as regulating authorities, have expressed their concern with the development of resistance in microorganisms that are pathogenic both for humans and animals,
including zoonotic agents, such as Campylobacter spp. and Salmonella spp., particularly to some antimicrobial classes, including fluoroquinolones (EMEA, 2006).

Bacterial resistance is an inherent side effect of any therapy with antimicrobial agents (Bayer, 1999). In most bacterial species, the resistance to quinolones is caused by mutations in the quinolone targets, which as DNA gyrase and Topoisomerase IV, as well as to mutations that change external membrane permeability, causing the drug to be expelled by active transport (Jacoby, 2005). Resistance mediated by plasmids also occurs, but it is less frequent (Bayer, 1999; Vetting et al., 2011).

Campylobacter is a common bacteria found in the intestinal tract of poultry and its resistance to quinolones is mainly caused by individual mutations in DNA gyrase (gene gyrA), and occasionally, in Topoisomerase IV (gene gyrA). Despite rare, there are also evidences of resistance by efflux, with consequent cross-resistance to several antimicrobial agents (EFSA, 2009).

Mc Dermott et al. (2002) and Van Boven et al. (2003) conducted studies on the impact of the use of fluoroquinolones in broilers on the development of resistance in Campylobacter jejuni, which is the main agent of bacterial gastroenteritis in the USA. The authors found high minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) for enrofloxacin during treatment, and those were maintained after treatment. In addition to bacterial resistance, some studies suggest a possible similarity between fluoroquinolone-resistant Campylobacter jejuni clones isolated from poultry samples and those from clinical human samples (Banfer, 1985; Endtz et al., 1991; Notario et al., 2011).

Salmonella spp. may develop resistance to fluoroquinolones mediated by chromosomes and by plasmids (PMRQ). The resistance mediated by chromosomes occurs under antimicrobial pressure for specific mutations that result in the substitutions of amino acids within DNA gyrase and Topoisomerase IV at the subunits gyrA, gyrB, parC, or parE; reduced expression of porins of the external membrane or lipopolysaccharide (LPS) changes, or superexpression of efflux pumps against several drugs. Mutations in gyrA, gyrB, parC, or parE in the regions that are part of the binding site to fluoroquinolones, called “quinolone resistance determining region” (QRDR), change the structure of topoisomerase, preventing fluoroquinolones to bind to this site. Single mutations initially affect only older quinolones, such as nalidixic acid, and additional mutations are required to reduce the susceptibility to fluoroquinolones, including ciprofloxacin, danofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, levofloxacin, and marbofloxacin. These additional mutations lead to the development of “clinical resistance”, with MIC higher than 2 mg/L. The resistance to quinolones mediated by plasmids (PMRQ) is mediated by qnr genes that code DNA gyrase protecting proteins. However, the basal level of resistance to quinolones offered by qnr genes is low. It is clinically important because it increases MIC of Salmonella strains resistant to quinolones to clinically relevant levels (EFSA, 2009).

In many countries, fluoroquinolones are first-choice drugs for the treatment of acute gastrointestinal infections caused by Salmonella in humans (EMEA, 1999), and resistance to this antimicrobial group has often been reported, particularly to nalidixic acid. In a study conducted between 1996 and 2003, 12,252 Salmonella isolates were analyzed for antimicrobial susceptibility, and 203 (1.6%) were found to be resistant to nalidixic acid and 14 (7%) were resistant to ciprofloxacin. The resistance to nalidixic acid significantly increased from 0.4% in 1996 to 2.3% in 2003. All isolates resistant to ciprofloxacin presented at least one gyrA mutation at the QRDR and did not show any qnr or specific gyrB, parC, or parE mutations at this region (Stevenson et al., 2007).

There are reports of bacterial resistance to fluoroquinolones from all over the world. In Latin America, there are high levels of infections caused by multi-resistant Enterobacteriaceae species in comparison with other regions of the world. During the last 10 years, urinary infections by E. coli and intra-abdominal infections by E. coli and Klebsiella pneumonia have shown high resistance rates to trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazol, quinolones, and second-generation cephalosporins, and low resistance levels to aminoglycosides, nitrofurantoin, and phosphomycin (Salles et al., 2013).

In the USA, the Campylobacter resistance to antimicrobials increased from 13% in 1997 to almost 25% in 2011. It is estimated that Campylobacter causes approximately 1.3 million infections, 13,000 hospitalizations, and 120 deaths each year. Non-typophoid Salmonella accounts for about 1.2 million cases, 23,000 hospitalizations, and 450 deaths per year in the United States (CDC, 2013).

A surveillance study on the resistance to fluoroquinolones was conducted between 2007 and 2011 in Canada, under the surveillance program CANWARD. During that period, significant increase was detected in the rate of ciprofloxacin-resistant E.
coli, particularly in urine isolates, and a significant reduction in the rate of ciprofloxacin-resistant S. aureus. Multi-resistant bacteria, such as E. coli, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Enterobacter cloacae, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, and Staphylococcus aureus, except for Streptococcus pneumoniae, were frequently resistant to fluoroquinolones (Karlishki et al., 2013).

The 2010 Summary Report on Antimicrobial Resistance of the European Union informed that, among Salmonella isolates recovered from human cases, there was a high level of resistance to ampicillin, tetracyclines, and sulfonamides, whereas the resistance to cefotaxime and ciprofloxacin was relatively low. Campylobacter isolates from human cases were highly resistant to ampicillin, ciprofloxacin, nalidixic acid, and tetracyclines, but presented low resistance to erythromycin. Among food and animal isolates, the highest incidence of resistance to ciprofloxacin was observed in Salmonella from turkeys and chickens (Gallus gallus) and from chicken meat. High resistance levels of E. coli to ciprofloxacin were observed in chickens and low levels in pigs. In addition, high resistance to ciprofloxacin was reported in Campylobacter isolates from chickens, pigs, and cattle, with levels ranging between 37 and 84% (EFSA, 2012).

**Antimicrobial residues in poultry products**

Another growing concern with the use of antimicrobials in livestock production is the possible presence of antimicrobial residues in animal products and the inherent risks of the consumption of these residues by the population.

Veterinary drug residues are defined as the fraction of a drug, its metabolites and/or impurities at any edible part of the animal food (Codex Alimentarius, 2011). In human medicine, allergic reactions to drugs are side effects of the therapeutic use of antimicrobials, especially β-lactam drugs. The residues of these compounds in foods may pose risks to humans due to hypersensitivity reactions, as in the case of β-lactams; carcinogenesis associated with chloramphenicol, sulfamethazine, and nitrofurans, and selection of bacteria resistant to common antibiotics (Dewdney et al., 1991; Machinski Junior et al., 2005; Goetting et al., 2011).

The Maximum Residue Limit for Veterinary Drugs (MRLVD) is the maximum concentration of residues expressed in mg/kg or μg/kg that is recommended by the Codex Alimentarius Commission to be legally permitted in or on a food (Codex Alimentarius, 2011). In order to determine MRL, the results of the toxicological analysis and the pharmacokinetics of the product are considered, was well as the risk or hazard it may pose to human health. The risk is calculated according to the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) in mg or μg/kg of the drug present in the food. The ADI calculation is based on the value of NOEL (“no observed effect level”) of a drug, which is the highest level of a chemical compound that does not produce harmful effects.

For the calculation of MRL of antimicrobials used in poultry production, the JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives), a toxicological ADI, derived from toxicity studies, and a microbiological ADI, derived from assays on the possible adverse effects of the drugs on the human gastrointestinal tract and the development of bacterial resistance, are considered. The lowest of those two values are used to determine ADI and for the calculation of the MRL for the antimicrobial drug (Palermo-Neto, 2005).

The JECFA is an international expert scientific committee that meets since 1956 to specifically discuss food additives and it is administered by FAO and WHO. Its work includes the evaluation of contaminants, toxins, and veterinary drug residues in foods, and its the scientific consulting body of FAO, WHO, member governments, and Codex Alimentarius Commission. It is a reliable consulting source for countries that use this information to formulate their own regulations (WHO, 2013).

Toxicity studies consider that antimicrobial residues that are still microbiologically active in foods may change the intestinal microflora of consumers. However, not all the antimicrobials have a defined MRL. Some are not considered hazardous, and therefore have no MRL, while other have provisional MRL, and others are not allowed to be used in animals (Pereira, 2009).

The European Union has defined MRL for enrofloxacin, which is calculated as the sum of enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin. In broilers, the defined MRL is 100 μg/kg for muscle, fat, and skin; 200 μg/kg for the liver; and 300 μg/kg for the kidneys. Enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin cannot be used for poultry that produce eggs for human consumption. The higher MRLs allowed for the liver and the kidneys is consistent with the pharmacokinetics of fluoroquinolones, concentrating higher residue levels in these tissues (European Commission, 2009).

In Brazil, the MRL of enrofloxacin for poultry meat is 100 μg/kg (Brasil, 2013a). Related to eggs, the reference limit used by the Subprogram of Control Monitoring of Contaminant Residues in eggs effective for 2013 was 10 μg/kg for enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin.
Because these drugs are licensed for use in the target species, i.e., layers, but its respective MRL or Maximum Contaminant Content (TMC) has not been established yet by the effective legislation, the Reference Limit for regulatory decision-making is 10 µg/kg or 10 µg/L (Brasil, 2013a).

In Brazil, MRLDVs are established by the Ministry of Health. If these have not been established, the MRLDVs defined by international bodies, such as MERCOSUL, Codex Alimentarius, EU directives, and the FDA, are applied. The analytical methods of the National Plan for the Control of Residues and Contaminants (PNCRC) are applied based on the availability of validated analytical methods, particularly those recommended by the Codex Committee on Residues of Veterinary Drugs in Foods (CCRVDF). Brazil has made efforts, through plans and programs of the Ministry of Agriculture (MAPA) and of the National Agency of Health Surveillance (ANVISA) to improve the productivity, quality, and safety of the foods offered to the Brazilian population, and to comply with the health rules of the international food trade recommended by the International Trade Organization (ITO), FAO, OIE, and WHO (Brasil, 1999).

Antimicrobial and anti-parasitic drugs are intensively used in broiler production for disease prevention and treatment, and residues of these products can be found in edible tissues, such as muscles and liver (Goetting et al., 2011). The presence of residues in eggs is a cause of concern because relatively few drugs are indicated for laying hens, despite many are approved for other poultry types (Castanon, 2007). Eggs may contain residues of a wide variety of drugs, which can be detectable days to weeks after the end of the treatment (Goetting et al., 2011). Fluoroquinolone residues, specifically, appear in the eggs 24 hours after the first dose and persist in the yolk and in the albumen for several days after the end of the treatment (Etches, 1998).

In Brazil, several regulations were issued for the control of residues and contaminants in foods (Brasil, 1986; 1995; 1999; 2003b; 2006). MAPA’s Normative Ruling n. 07, of March 27, 2013, made public the results of the follow-up of the programs for the control of residues and contaminants of the subprograms of monitoring and surveillance of meats (beef, pork, chicken meat, ostrich meat, and horse meat), milk, eggs, honey, and fish carried out in 2012. There were no reports of non-compliance in 116 analyzed chicken meat samples. The following antimicrobials were analyzed: nalidixic acid, oxolinic acid, ciprofloxacin, difloxacin, enrofloxacin, flumequine, and sarafloxacin.

In eggs, there were 33 analysis for enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin, in which there has been compliance in 100% of them (Brasil, 2013b).

**Studies on fluoroquinolone residues in poultry products**

Some studies were conducted, among other objectives, to determine the depletion curve or reduction of fluoroquinolone residue levels in edible tissues and/or eggs after treatment of birds. These studies are particularly important for the improvement of residue monitoring in animal products and for optimization of residue analysis methodologies.

In order to search fluoroquinolone residues in edible chicken tissues, Anadón et al. (2001) treated broilers with oral doses of 8 mg ciprofloxacin/kg daily for three days, and then analyzed the liver, kidneys, muscles, and skin+fat. The authors concluded that a withdrawal period of 10 days was sufficient to bring the levels of ciprofloxacin and its metabolites below the MRLDVs established by the EU for enrofloxacin. Jelena et al. (2006) analyzed enrofloxacin in the muscle and the liver of broilers orally treated with 10 mg/kg for five days, and determined a withdrawal period of four days to reduce enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin to acceptable levels.

Gorla et al. (1997) analyzed enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin residues in the eggs of laying hens orally treated with 5 mg/kg twice a day for five days. The authors found that withdrawal periods of six days for enrofloxacin and five days for ciprofloxacin were suitable to avoid violative residues of these antimicrobials in eggs, considering the MRL of 100 µg/kg for muscles, skin, and fat. Lolo et al. (2005) treated laying hens with oral enrofloxacin at 12 mg/day for five consecutive days and determined withdrawal periods of eight days for enrofloxacin and seven days for ciprofloxacin. In the study of Cornejo et al. (2011), enrofloxacin was orally administered at 10 mg/kg for five days, and a withdrawal period of eight days was sufficient to reduce enrofloxacin and ciprofloxacin levels below the MRL of 100 µg/kg of eggs.

The different proposals of withdrawal periods in those studies may be due to the variability among the methodologies applied to analyze the residues and to the treatment conditions in each experiment.

In Brazil, there are 16 enrofloxacin formulations registered at MAPA and included in the Compendium of Veterinary Products (CPVS) (SINDAN, 2014), and most of them recommend a withdrawal period of seven days before broilers are slaughtered. Related to eggs,
some manufacturers recommend that enrofloxacin should not be used for poultry which eggs are for human consumption, while others do not inform any withdrawal period for laying hens. Therefore, there is no consensus among manufacturers, in general, about the description in the instructions for use related to withdrawal periods, which may allow different interpretation and misuse of these drugs. Consequently, there is a high risk of violating residue levels in edible tissues and in eggs, increasing the risk of consumer exposure to those compounds.

**FINAL CONSIDERATIONS**

Fluoroquinolones are intensively used in poultry production and have allowed better treatment of several diseases; however, their prudent, ethical, and professional use is essential. The inherent risks of the inadequate use of antimicrobials in poultry production include the induction of bacterial resistance, environmental contamination, and the accumulation of residues in poultry products. The resistance of zoonotic bacteria, such as those belonging to the genera *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter* should be taken into account and prevented, as resistant bacteria or resistance genes may be transferred to humans through the consumption of poultry products. The consumption of poultry products containing high fluoroquinolone residue levels is also a hazard for human health due to their adverse effects, including hypersensitivity reactions and intestinal microflora imbalance, as well as to drug interactions, e.g., they may impair the therapeutic efficacy of other quinolones.
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