In Vitro Characterization and In Vivo Properties of Salmonellae Lytic Bacteriophages Isolated from Free-Range Layers

ABSTRACT

Occurrence of food poisoning related to Salmonella-contaminated eggs and chicken meat has been frequent in humans. Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) and Salmonella Typhimurium (ST) are included among the most important paratyphoid salmonellae associated with chicken meat and eggs. Elimination of Salmonella at the pre-harvest stage can play a significant role in preventing the introduction of this pathogen into the food chain and consequently in the reduction of food poisoning in humans. Bactericidal bacteriophages may provide a natural, nontoxic, feasible and non-expensive component of the multi-factorial approach for a pre-harvest control of Salmonella in poultry. Five bacteriophages lytic for SE PT4 and ST were obtained from 107 samples of feces of free-range layers in Brazil. All bacteriophages were characterized in vitro and in vivo, showing head and tail morphology and dsDNA as nucleic acids. Results of in vivo studies suggested that bacteriophages do not remain in Salmonella-free birds longer than one day, whereas they multiply in Salmonella-infected birds for longer periods. Besides, selection for phage-resistant SE PT4 did not seem to occur in the short term. Isolated bacteriophages will be investigated for their potential for pre-harvest biocontrol of SE PT4 in poultry.

INTRODUCTION

Occurrence of food poisoning related to Salmonella-contaminated eggs and chicken meat has been frequent in humans. Food poisoning caused by Salmonella results in reduction of productivity, discomfort, expenditures with medication and eventually death (Persson & Jendteg, 1992; Mead et al., 1999). Eating raw or undercooked eggs has also been considered a major risk factor for food poisoning with salmonellae in some situations (Molback & Neiman, 2002). Salmonella Enteritidis (SE) (Tavechio et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2003) and Salmonella Typhimurium (ST) (Flensburg 1999; Taunay et al., 1996) figure among the most important paratyphoid salmonellae associated with chicken meat and eggs.

SE is the most frequently isolated Salmonella from poultry products in Brazil. Santos et al. (2000) reported up to 53.5% of frozen broilers as being contaminated by Salmonella, so that SE represented 60% of total isolates and dos Santos et al. (2003) reported that 57.65% of SE isolated in Brazil were of phage type 4 (SE PT4). Between 1993 and 1997, Laboratory I from Instituto Adolfo Lutz (São José do Rio Preto, São Paulo, Brazil) identified that raw eggs were involved in 95.7% of all food poisoning cases caused by SE PT4 (Paresi et al., 1998). SE was also the most prevalent; it represented 32.7% of 4,581 Salmonella strains isolated from non-human sources since January 1996 until December 2000, at Instituto Adolfo Lutz, São Paulo, Brazil (Tavechio et al., 2002). On the
In the reduction of food poisoning in humans (Wegener can play a significant role in preventing the introduction of Salmonella into poultry products. Countries (Flensburg, 1999) still demand efforts of the poultry industry to reduce its occurrence. The occurrence of Salmonella in poultry products in Brazil and other countries (Taunay, 1996) demands efforts of the poultry industry to reduce its occurrence. Elimination of Salmonella at the pre-harvest stage can play a significant role in preventing the introduction of this pathogen into the food chain and consequently in the reduction of food poisoning in humans (Wegener et al., 2003). Pre-harvest control of Salmonella is more likely to be effective through a multi-factorial approach. Good agricultural practices such as hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) (Rose et al., 2002; Nayak et al., 2003), vaccination (Cogan & Humphrey, 2003; Zhang-Barber et al., 1999; Yamame et al., 2000), probiotics, prebiotics and symbiotics (Van Immerseel et al., 2002) have been used as preventive measures when Salmonella infection is likely to occur. However, the use of anti-microbial drugs many times is still required when other measures are not efficient (Davies et al., 2003; Fernandez et al., 2001). In such cases, a new concern may arise due to the selection of resistant bacteria (Chung et al., 2003; Molbak et al., 2002; Velonakis et al., 2001) or the possibility that drug residues remain in meat and eggs consumed by humans (Donoghue, 2003).

In order to reduce the use of anti-microbial drugs, new methods for Salmonella control within the poultry production are required to be used in conjunction with good agricultural practices. These methods must be efficient in reducing Salmonella contamination on the final product without increasing production costs or introducing risks of chemical contamination of poultry products. Bactericidal bacteriophages may provide a natural, nontoxic, feasible and non-expensive component of the multi-factorial approach for a pre-harvest control of Salmonella in poultry. In this study, bacteriophages were isolated using SE PT4 and ST as bacterial targets and were evaluated for morphology and ability to lyse cultures in vitro. Unique profiles of DNA amplification were identified. Three bacteriophages were administered to SE-infected and non-infected Specific Pathogen Free (SPF) chickens to study the dynamics of their excretion.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Bacterial strains

SE PT4 isolate P125589 was kindly provided by Dr Paul Barrow, from the ARFC Institute for Animal Health, Houghton Laboratory, Cambridge, England (Barrow & Lovell, 1991). Dr B. Rowe originally obtained this isolate from a case of human food poisoning at the Central Public Health Laboratory, London, UK. ST was purchased from the American Type Tissue Collection (Manassas, Virginia, USA), where it is deposited under the number 14028 as Salmonella choleraesuis subsp. choleraesuis serotype Typhimurium. Salmonellae were cultivated in Nutrient Agar or Broth (NA or NB, 1g/L beef extract, 2g/L yeast extract, 5g/L peptone, 5g/L sodium chloride, and 15g/L bacteriologic agar for solid media) and kept frozen at −80°C unless stated.

Bacteriophage isolation from feces.

A total of 107 feces samples from individuals of different avian species (Table 1) were collected from the ground and subjected to bacteriophage isolation under the technique described by Kudva et al. (1999). Briefly, one gram of feces was inoculated into 10mL of a log phase SE PT4 or ST culture grown in nutrient broth added with 5mM MgSO4, (NB-MgSO4). This mixture was incubated for approximately 18 hours at 37°C under shaking (200rpm) and treated with 5% chloroform to lyse the bacteria. The preparation was centrifuged (12,000 X g for 5 minutes) and 10µL of supernatant was applied onto an overlay culture of Salmonella. Overlays were prepared by inoculation of 250mL of a Salmonella culture in exponential growth into 7mL of melted NB (45°C) containing 0.7% agarose. The melted agarose containing the bacteria was laid over a 10cm-melted NB (45°C) containing 0.7% agarose layer, corresponding to lyse caused by bacteriophages, were cut for cloning.

Table 1 - Samples subjected to bacteriophage isolation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Birds</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Free range layers</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Concórdia, SC, Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broiler breeders</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Concórdia, SC, Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rhea</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Venâncio Aires, RS, Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caged songbirds</td>
<td>9 pools</td>
<td>Concórdia, SC, Brazil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cut agarose was vortexed and diluted tenfold in SM buffer (5.8g/L NaCl, 2g/L MgSO4, 7H2O, 0.05M Tris-HCl pH7.5, 0.1g/L gelatin) and 10µL of each dilution were inoculated into 250mL of a log phase Salmonella culture. After 20 minutes at 37°C, it was inoculated into 7mL of NB with 0.7% agarose and overlay cultures.
were prepared as described above. This procedure was repeated three times with isolated plaques to purify the cultures. Final clones were named, amplified on 50mL of a log-phase *Salmonella* culture and stored at -80°C in SM buffer with 7% Dimethyl Sulfoxide (DMSO) and 1% chloroform or at 4°C as a supernatant containing 1% chloroform.

**Salmonella isolation**

One gram of feces was inoculated into 9mL of Rappaport-Vassiliadis Soya Peptone broth (RVS, 4.5g/L soya peptone, 7.2g sodium chloride, 1.26g/L potassium dihydrogen phosphate, 0.18g/L di-potassium hydrogen phosphate, 13.58g/L magnesium chloride anhydrous, 0.036g/L malachite green, pH5.2) and incubated at 42°C for 24 hours. A loopful was then transferred to Brilliant Green Agar plates (BGA, 10g/L proteose peptone, 3g/L yeast extract, 10g/L lactose, 10g/L sucrose, 5g/L sodium chloride, 0.08g/L phenol red, 0.0125g/L brilliant green, 12g/L bacteriologic agar, pH 6.9) and incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Light pink-white opaque colonies surrounded by red medium were tested by agglutination with polyvalent anti-somatic serum.

**Lytic capability**

Capacity of lysis was assessed for all bacteriophages using routine test dilution (RTD). Bacteriophage stocks were logarithmically diluted tenfold and aliquots of 50µL dropped onto ST or SE PT4 overlay cultures immediately after agarose solidification and incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The highest dilution that caused confluent lysis of bacteria on the *Salmonella* overlay was recorded and compared among the different bacteriophages.

**Electron microscopy**

Electron microscopy was performed with standard techniques (Hayat, 1989) on bacteriophage-inoculated *Salmonella* cultures. Each bacteriophage was inoculated from fresh stocks into 1.5mL of a log phase culture of the same *Salmonella* used for its isolation and the culture kept for 6 hours at 37°C under shaking (200rpm). Bacteriophage infected-bacteria were collected by centrifugation (12,000 X g for 5 minutes) and the pellet was embedded in 100µL of 4% agarose. The pellet was cut in small fragments and fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde-2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1M cacodylate buffer (pH7.4), postfixed in osmium tetroxide, dehydrated in ascending series of ethanol and embedded in Epon 812. Ultrathin sections were stained with uranil acetate and lead citrate and examined using an EM 109 Zeiss transmission electron microscope at 80 kW.

**DNA analysis**

Bacteriophages were produced in large scale by inoculating overlay cultures prepared on four 15cm-diameter Petri dishes. Inoculations were performed to yield confluent plaques after incubation for 24 hours at 37°C. Bacteriophage particles were collected by overnight diffusion into 50mL of SM buffer laid on top of agarose, which was treated with 5% chloroform and centrifuged (12,000 X g for 10 minutes at 4°C) to remove bacterial debris. Bacterial nucleic acids were removed by digestion with 1mg/mL of DNAse 1 and RNase A for three hours at 37°C. Bacteriophages were then precipitated overnight at 4°C with 2% PEG 8000 and 2.5M sodium chloride and collected by centrifugation (12,000 X g for 15 minutes at 4°C) (Helms et al., 1987). Bacteriophage nucleic acids were purified by standard phenol extraction and ethanol precipitation (Sambrook et al., 1989) and used for nuclease digestion tests or Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA Analyses (RAPD).

Approximately one microgram of bacteriophage nucleic acids were reacted for one hour at 37°C with 5IU of DNAse 1, Nuclease S1 or RNase A diluted in appropriate buffers. Reacted nucleic acids were subjected to electrophoresis (110V/1:30h) in 1% agarose in TE buffer (10mM Tris-HCl, 1mM EDTA, pH7.5) gels stained with ethidium bromide (10mg/mL) to identify possible degradation caused by the enzymes.

RAPD was performed with the “Ready-To-Go RAPD Analysis Beads” (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech) with all six primers in individual reactions. Amplification was standardized with 25ng DNA and 25pmol primers added to the components present in the reaction tube and a final volume of 25mL. Reactions were performed with 45 cycles of 95°C for one minute, 36°C for one minute and 72°C for two minutes. Amplified fragments were separated on 2% agarose gels electrophoresis as described above.

**In vivo bacteriophage properties**

The three bacteriophages denominated CNPSA1, CNPSA3 and CNPSA4 (Table 2) were selected and administered to birds because their RAPD profiles indicated they were distinct viruses. Three groups with seven specific pathogen free (SPF) chickens (SPAFAS, Charles River Laboratories) were housed in isolator cabinets with filtered air from one until 16 days of age. Group 1 was orally infected at the third day of age
with 10² colony-forming units (CFU) of SE PT4 per bird. Two days later, each bird of Group 1 was orally inoculated with a pool of 10³ plaque forming units (PFU) of each phage. Group 2 was inoculated only with bacteriophages, at the same dose, and Group 3 was kept as uninfected control (Table 3).

Table 2 – Isolated bacteriophages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bacteriophage</th>
<th>Origin of feces</th>
<th>Bacterium used as a target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNPSA 1</td>
<td>Free range layer, Concórdia, SC</td>
<td>SE PT4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNPSA 2</td>
<td>Free range layer, Concórdia, SC</td>
<td>ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNPSA 3</td>
<td>Free range layer, Concórdia, SC</td>
<td>ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNPSA 4</td>
<td>Free range layer, Concórdia, SC</td>
<td>ST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNPSA 5</td>
<td>Pool of captive songbirds, Concórdia, SC</td>
<td>ST</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Five isolates of Salmonella were obtained from the ceca of each bird from the group that was inoculated with SE PT4 and bacteriophage. Bacteriophage sensitivity was assessed for all isolates. Five microliters of each bacteriophage stock was applied onto a overlay of the Salmonella isolates grown on NB solidified with 0.7% agarose.

Cecal contents obtained at necropsy were weighed and logarithmically diluted tenfold in PBS pH7.2. Dilutions (100µL) were inoculated on BGA with Novobiocin (40 µg/mL) to obtain CFU/g.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Bacteriophage isolation

Five bacteriophages were isolated from 107 (4.67%) tested samples. Bacteriophages were denominated CNPSA1, CNPSA2, CNPSA3, CNPSA4, and CNPSA5, the letters stand for the name of the research institute and the number is the order of bacteriophage isolation. CNPSA5, which was isolated from captive birds, was the only bacteriophage obtained from a source other than free-range layers. Probably, the broad range of feed sources naturally available to free-range chickens and their freedom to move in a larger area had some influence in the success of bacteriophage isolation.

Bacteriophages lytic to Salmonella have been isolated from sewage water and poultry litter (Berchieri Jr et al., 1991; Sklar et al., 2001), demonstrating their natural occurrence in the environment.

Bacteriophage CNPSA2 could not be recovered after a few weeks stored either at 4°C or -80°C and was therefore not included in additional studies. It was not possible to identify a reason for the loss of viability, but once all bacteriophages were submitted to the same management same, it is more likely that it was related to the nature of CNPSA2 instead of laboratory handling.

All bacteriophages produced small clear and round plaques with well-defined edges and ranging from 1 to 1.5mm of diameter, in both salmonellae tested. This is an indication that all bacteriophages are lytic, however the possibility that they have a lysogenic stage cannot be ruled out, once specific tests for lysogeny were not carried out.

Salmonella isolation from field samples

No Salmonella was isolated from the 107 feces samples. The fact that bacteriophage-positive feces were negative in attempts to isolate Salmonella cannot be fully explained. The feces were collected from the ground and may have been contaminated by...
bacteriophages from the environment. Also, all bacteriophages were isolated from samples obtained from adult free range chickens or captive songbirds, which are likely to be subjected to a mild Salmonella infection and therefore shed very low numbers of CFU in the feces (Asheg et al., 2001). Low shedding of Salmonella may not be detected in conventional bacteriologic tests due to overgrow of other bacteria when inoculated into RVS. Bacteriophages, however, last for longer periods in the environment and are eliminated in larger numbers. This makes bacteriophages more likely to be recovered when shed in the feces along with Salmonella, because they are amplified in the SE PT4 culture inoculated with feces.

**Lytic capability**

RTD assays demonstrated that all bacteriophages lyse both SE PT4 and ST at similar multiplicity of infection (MOI). Confluent lysis was obtained with bacteriophage stocks containing similar PFU titers diluted as high as $10^3$ and $10^4$ when 50µL were applied onto overlay cultures of either Salmonella. These results indicated that capacity of lysis in vitro could not be a criterium for selection of bacteriophages to be used in biocontrol of Salmonella. MOI also could not be used as a standard to express bacteriophage infectivity.

**Electron microscopy and DNA analysis**

All isolated bacteriophages showed similar head and tail ultrastructure (Figure 1) ranging from 80 to 120nm. Head and tail ultra-structure is a common feature of the morphology of bacteriophages (Levine, 1992). Some microscopy fields showed bacteriophages inside Salmonella cell debris (Figure 2), confirming their capacity to lyse Salmonella cells.

Bacteriophages were identified as dsDNA viruses in the assays carried out with bacteriophage nucleic acids and the different nucleases. Digestions with DNase 1 caused degradation of nucleic acids while electrophoretograms showed that their nucleic acids reacted with Nuclease S1, which cleaves ssDNA. Besides, treatment with RNase A showed the expected bands of non-digested DNA. Families of tailed bacterial viruses with dsDNA are Myoviridae, Podoviridae and Siphoviridae (ICVT, 2003).

RAPD allowed differentiation of all bacteriophages but for CNPSA4 and CNPSA5 (Figure 3). Since only six primers were tested, we cannot rule out the possibility that these two isolates may actually represent different bacteriophages. In order not to test twice the same bacteriophage, CNPSA5 was not evaluated in vivo.
RAPD proved to be a useful tool to check purity of cultures and to confirm identity of isolates in clinical trials.

**In vivo properties**

Cloacal swabs of control birds (Group 3) were negative for both bacteriophages and *Salmonella* throughout the experiment. Swabs collected from internal organs of control birds were also negative for both bacteriophages and *Salmonella*, confirming the negative status of the control group for *Salmonella* and the non-occurrence of bacteriophages either in the SPF chickens or in the feed used in the experiment.

SE PT4 uninfected-phage administered birds (Group 2) showed only one bacteriophage-positive cloacal swab out of seven swabs collected one day after bacteriophage administration, and all swabs were negative thereafter. All seven swabs of SE PT4 infected-phage administered chickens (Group 1) were positive for the presence of bacteriophage two days after administration, ranging between 4/7 and 7/7 thereafter (Figure 4).

All cecal contents of the birds from Group 1 were positive at necropsy for both *Salmonella* and bacteriophages. It was evident that *Salmonella* will not be cleared from the alimentary tract of SPF chickens by bacteriophages under the conditions used in the present experiment. However, reduction of CFU per gram of feces may occur and be useful in biocontrol of *Salmonella* in poultry. Persistence of SE PT4 in infected birds is common (Gast & Holt, 1998) and fecal shedding may persist for several weeks after infections (Shivaprasad et al., 1990). No spleen or liver sample was positive for bacteriophage, even though they were *Salmonella* positive. Therefore, bacteriophages are unable to survive in internal tissues even if carried into the blood stream by bacteria, macrophages or other cells.

SE PT4 was isolated from spleen and ceca of all inoculated chickens. Cecal contents collected at necropsy showed a mean of 87.5 ± 23.7 x 10^8 CFU per gram. This is an indication of heavy infection on inoculated chickens, even though a moderate infection dose (10^3 CFU/bird) was used (Asheg et al., 2001). Isolation from spleen and ceca also indicates that SPF chickens were heavily infected by SE PT4, once this bacterium is likely to be cleared from internal tissues after two weeks when infection is performed with low doses (Asheg et al., 2001; Kinde et al., 2000). The high *Salmonella* contents in ceca may be a reason why *Salmonella* was isolated from every swab even though bacteriophages were present. Establishment of infection before the complete development of the physiologic microbiota and the maintenance of birds within filtered air cabinets are the likely reasons of this high infection rate even though the infecting dose was moderate.

All 35 isolates of *Salmonella* obtained from cecal contents at necropsy of birds from Group 1 remained sensitive to lysis by the three phages indicating that resistance to bacteriophages is not likely to develop in vivo within short periods. Also, none of these colonies showed the rough morphology that is indicative of selection under bacteriophage pressure. Recovery of bacteriophage-resistant SE after treatment of chickens with bacteriophages has been reported (Sklar & Joerger, 2001). Bacteriophage resistance may be related to specific viruses or experimental protocols.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The occurrence of bacteriophages lytic for SE PT4 and ST in free-range layers in Brazil can be demonstrated by culturing feces with susceptible *Salmonella*. The
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