Avian Mycoplasmosis Update

ABSTRACT

Avian mycoplasmas occur in a variety of bird species. The most important mycoplasmas for chickens and turkeys are *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (MG), *M. synoviae* (MS), and *M. meleagridis*. Besides, *M. iowae* (MI) is an emerging pathogen in turkeys, but of little concern for chickens. Mycoplasmas are bacteria that lack cell wall and belong to the class Mollicutes. Although they have been considered extracellular agents, scientists admit nowadays that some of them are obligatory intracellular microorganisms, whereas all other mycoplasmas are considered facultative intracellular organisms. Their pathogenic mechanism for disease include adherence to host target cells, mediation of apoptosis, innocent bystander damage to host cell due to intimate membrane contact, molecular (antigen) mimicry that may lead to tolerance, and mitotic effect for B and/or T lymphocytes, which could lead to suppressed T-cell function and/or production of cytotoxic T cell, besides mycoplasma by-products, such as hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radicals. Moreover, mycoplasma ability to stimulate macrophages, monocytes, T-helper cells and NK cells, results in the production of substances, such as tumor necrosing factor (TNF-α), interleukin (IL-1, 2, 6) and interferon (α, β, γ). The major clinical signs seen in avian mycoplasmosis are coughing, sneezing, snicks, respiratory rales, ocular and nasal discharge, decreased feed intake and egg production, increased mortality, poor hatchability, and, primarily in turkeys, swelling of the infraorbital sinus(es). Nevertheless, chronic and unapparent infections are most common and more threatening. Mycoplasmas are transmitted horizontally, from bird to bird, and vertically, from dam to offspring through the eggs. Losses attributed to mycoplasmosis, mainly MG and MS infections, result from decreased egg production and egg quality, poor hatchability (high rate of embryonic mortality and culling of day-old birds), poor feed efficiency, increase in mortality and carcass condemnations, besides medication costs. Mycoplasmas are diagnosed by serologic tests, culture and PCR and are sensitive to antimicrobials whose action sites are other than the bacterial cell wall, such as tetracyclines, macrolides, quinolones and tiamulin. However, mycoplasma control is more efficiently achieved by acquisition of birds free of MG, MS, MM and/or MI, vaccination of layers, and monitoring of breeder flocks, followed by elimination of the infected flocks that are detected.

INTRODUCTION

The microorganisms of the class Mollicutes (*Mycoplasma*) were first identified in 1898 as the etiologic agent of the bovine contagious pleuropneumonia (BCPP) and thereafter, all similar agents were named pleuropneumonia-like (PPLO-like) organisms (Davis et al., 1973). Avian...
mycoplasmosis was primarily described in turkeys in 1926, and in chickens in 1936 (Charlton et al., 1996). Delaplane & Stuart (1943) referred to it as chronic respiratory disease (CRD) of poultry. Markham & Wong (1952) associated the etiologic agent of CRD to the pathogen responsible for the infectious sinusitis of turkeys. It was then considered as a member of the PPLO group and later named as Mycoplasma gallisepticum (MG) (Yoder Jr., 1991b).

Infectious synovitis caused by Mycoplasma synoviae (MS) was described thereafter (Olson et al., 1956; Kleven et al., 1991; Kleven, 1997). The first reports of MS infection with arthritic involvement date from the decades of 50 and 60 in broiler flocks, but it was only in the 70's that the respiratory disease caused by MS was described (Rosales, 1991).

The first evidence of airsaculitis in day-old poults by mycoplasmas other than MG was obtained by Adler et al. (1958), who named this new mycoplasma “N strain”. It was later called Mycoplasma meleagridis (MM), a mycoplasma that infects turkeys and other birds, but not chickens (Yamamoto, 1991).

Mycoplasma iowae (MI), considered an emerging pathogen and a mycoplasma of natural occurrence in turkeys, has also been reported in chickens and other birds. It was first diagnosed as the Iowa 695 strain (Yoder Jr. & Hofstad, 1962) and characterized later (Jordan et al., 1982). MI and other mycoplasmas, including M. iners, M. gallinarum, M. pullorum, M. gallopavonis, M. gallinaceum, M. columbinasale, M. columbinum, M. columborale, M. lipofaciens, M. glyciophilum, M. cloacae, M. anseris, Uraaplasma galorale, and Acholeplasma laidlawii, are not pathogens of major concern to the poultry industry because of very low, or even lack of, pathogenicity (Nascimento, 2000). The same applies to M. immitans, which cross reacts serologically with MG, but has not yet been isolated from poultry (Fiorentin, 2004). Therefore, this review will focus on MG and MS infections and, to a less extent, to MM infection.

ETIOLOGY AND PATHOGENICITY

Mycoplasma is a trivial designation to the prokaryotes belonging to the class Mollicutes (mollis=soft and cutes=skin), i.e., bacteria that lack cell wall, which make them resistant to antimicrobials that act on this cell structure, such as penicillin. They have been considered extracellular agents, but scientists nowadays admit that some of them are obligatory intracellular parasites, whereas all other mycoplasmas are facultative intracellular organisms (Razin et al., 1998). More detailed information on mycoplasma taxonomy and pathogenicity can be found elsewhere (Yamamoto, 1990; Razin et al., 1998; Nascimento, 2000).

In order to survive within the host organism, induce disease and evade the host immune system, mycoplasmas use some pathogenicity tools and mechanisms. These include adherence to host target cells, mediation of apoptosis, innocent bystander damage to host cell due to intimate membrane contact, molecular (antigen) mimicry that may lead to tolerance, and mitotic effect for B and/or T lymphocytes, which could lead to suppressed T-cell function and/or production of cytotoxic T cell, besides mycoplasma by-products, such as hydrogen peroxide and superoxide radicals. Moreover, mycoplasma ability to stimulate macrophages, monocytes, T-helper cells and NK cells, results in the production of substances, such as tumor necrosing factor (TNF-α), interleukin (IL-1, 2, 6) and interferon (α, β, γ). These mechanisms may explain the transient suppression of humoral and cellular immune responses during mycoplasma infection in birds, the immune tolerance and auto immune diseases, as well as the massive lymphoid cell infiltration in the respiratory tract and joint tissues of infected fowls (Razin & Tully, 1995; Yamamoto, 1990; Razin et al., 1998).

Besides these mechanisms that may be used by MG, MS and MM, latency is common to avian mycoplasmas. Thus, these pathogens induce disease after the host is affected by other disease-causing agents such as bacteria and viruses and/or after an episode of host weakness (Yoder Jr, 1991a; Whitford et al., 1994). The latent status, i.e., when the mycoplasma is not recognized by the host immune system, may be explained by its intracellular location due to environmental pressure, as can be exemplified by the presence of antimicrobials in host tissues for the treatment of MG, MS or MM infection of birds (Razin et al., 1998). Recent experimental evidences that MS causes immune depression by affecting the chicken cellular and humoral immune system have been found when complete hemogram was analyzed in chicks submitted to four conditions: negative control, Newcastle disease-vaccinated (ND-vaccinated), MS-infected, and MS infection plus ND vaccination (Nascimento et al., 2003; Silva, 2003).

Moreover, mycoplasmas are more susceptible to mutations than other bacteria (Woese et al., 1985), and this can be explained by their defective DNA repair system, as demonstrated in the case of MG (Ghosh et al., 1985).
The frequent changes on surface antigens (antigenic variations) allow mycoplasmas to evade the host immune system, and facilitate their survival when adhered to the host respiratory tract, as noticed for MG (Markhan et al., 1994). Cytadherence and/or cytadhesin membrane surface proteins that undergo changes are represented by pMGAs (hemagglutinins), MGC1, MGC2 and PvpA for MG, and MSPA and MSPB for MS (Razin et al., 1998; Bencina, 2002).

**SYMPTOMS AND LESIONS**

The classic diseases caused by avian mycoplasmas are: CRD, an upper respiratory disease primarily seen in chickens and infectious sinusitis of turkeys, caused by MG; and infectious synovitis, caused by MS, and airsacculitis caused by MG, MS and MM. However, chronic and asymptomatic infections are the most common and of a major concern, due to the losses they cause (Yoder Jr, 1991a).

The clinical manifestations of MG are coughing, sneezing, snicks, rales, ocular and nasal discharge, decrease in feed consumption and egg production, increased mortality, poor hatchability, and, primarily in turkeys, swelling of the infraorbital sinus(es). In chickens, turkeys and other birds, a milder form of some of these symptoms can be seen in MS infections, besides lameness, pale comb and head, swollen hocks and foot pad. Acutely affected birds may show green feces, but respiratory infection caused by MS is usually asymptomatic. Most of the symptoms of MM infection are mild or unapparent, and are characterized by impaired hatchability and embryo pipping, increased embryo mortality, poor weight gain, and, occasionally, the same symptoms are seen in chickens affected by MS (Charlton et al., 1996).

Gross lesions in birds with mycoplasmosis include catarrhal inflammation of sinuses, trachea, and bronchi. Air sacs are often thickened and opaque, and may contain mucous or caseous exudate, besides hyperplastic lymphoid follicles on the walls. At slaughter, carcass condemnation may result from the presence of airsacculitis, fibrinous perihepatitis and adhesive pericarditis; interstitial pneumonia and salpingitis, which are often seen in chickens and turkeys (Yamamoto, 1991; Charlton et al., 1996).

The observed histological alterations are mononuclear cell infiltration, mucosal glandular hyperplasia and lymphoid follicular reaction, with tendency to affect also the connective tissue. In the lungs, it can be observed interstitial pneumonia, lymphoid follicular reactions and, less frequently, granulomas (Yoder Jr., 1991b; Ficken, 1996; Lay & Yoder Jr. 1997; Rodrigues et al., 2001). Air sacs are affected mostly due to the physiology of the avian respiratory system, in which part of the inspired air goes first through the bronchi to this serosa and afterwards to the lungs (Nascimento, 2000).

**TRANSMISSION AND HOSTS**

Mycoplasmas may be transmitted horizontally, through infectious aerosols coughed and sneezed by infected birds and through contaminated feed, water, contact personal and communicant animals, mainly birds. Transmission occurs vertically from parents to their offspring, through contamination of laid eggs (transovarian transmission), as previously mentioned (Charlton et al., 1996). Mycoplasma is commonly transmitted within species and/or between closely related species, that is, they are host-specific, with rare exceptions (Nascimento, 2000). MG and MS infections occur mostly in chickens and turkeys. However, they have been frequently isolated from quails (Coturnix coturnix) as reported previously (Nascimento & Nascimento, 1986; Nascimento et al., 1997; Nascimento et al., 1998), and from several avian species (Stipkovitis & Kempf, 1996; Lobão et al, 2003). MM can infect other avian species, but reports on isolation from Japanese quails, peacocks and pigeons have not been confirmed (Yamamoto, 1991; Stipkovitis & Kempf, 1996).

**ECONOMIC IMPORTANCE**

Losses attributed to mycoplasmal infections, mainly MG infection, are due to decrease in egg production and egg quality, poor hatchability (high rate of embryonic mortality and culling of day-old birds), poor feed efficiency, increase in mortality and carcass condemnations, besides medication costs (Mohammed, et al., 1987; Yoder Jr, 1991b; Lay & Yoder Jr, 1997). According to previous studies, a MG-infected chicken lays 15.7 eggs less than a healthy one, contributing to a loss of 127 million eggs in the USA in 1984, which corresponded to an annual loss of 125 million dollars (Mohammed et al., 1987). In Brazil, using slaughter data from the Federal Inspection Service, there was a loss of 34 thousand tons of broilers in the end of the production cycle due to respiratory diseases, which corresponded to 30 million dollars in 1994 (Projeto, 1994). Particularly for MS, losses have been attributed...
to transient immune depression, increase of 1 to 4% in the mortality rate of broilers in the final phase of production (Shapiro, 1994), decrease of 5 to 10% in egg production and 5 to 7% in hatchability (Mohammed et al., 1987; Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996). Moreover, MG infection alone is considered one of the diseases that cause more losses to the poultry industry (Yoder Jr., 1991b; Charlton et al., 1996; Lay & Yoder Jr., 1997).

In Brazil, the prevalence of MS in chicken flocks is increasing since the 80’s, overcoming that of MG in breeding flocks (Balen & Florentin, 1990). Although MS is mostly involved in asymptomatic infections and sometimes considered harmless to chickens, it is pathogenic for birds (Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996). Besides, MS has been proven to affect the humoral response of chicks vaccinated with a La Sota strain of Newcastle disease virus (ND). Hemagglutination inhibition protection values for Newcastle (ND HI) (GMT ≥ 4.0, titer ≥ 1:16) were detected in non-MS-infected birds up to 45 days after a single ND vaccination, but not in birds that were MS-infected and ND-vaccinated. Protection of MS-infected broilers was induced only after a second dose of vaccine (Nascimento et al., 2003; Silva, 2003).

DIAGNOSIS

Serologic tests commonly used are seroagglutination reaction (SAR) and hemagglutination inhibition (HI), which can be followed by isolation and identification, and PCR. Agglutination can also be performed with egg yolk samples and results are considered positive, suspicious and negative for titers equal or higher than 1:10, 1:5 and lower, than 1:5, respectively (Yoder Jr., 1991b; Brasil, 1994; USA, 1997). However, there has been situations in which birds were considered negative by SAR (titer < 1:5), but positive by HI (Nascimento et al. 1999b). On the other hand, under experimental conditions, chickens exposed to live vaccines (TS11 and 6/85) and low virulent MG strains showed seroconversion as measured by SAR, but only when serum samples were not diluted (Nascimento, 2002). Considering that HI titers equal or higher than 1:80 are regarded as positive and titers between 1:20-1:40 are suspicious, whereas negative titers are below 1:20 (Brasil, 1994; USA, 1997). Nevertheless, results of 1:40 are fairly common in most of the tested birds in observational studies (Nascimento et al., 1999b). Consequently, HI interpretation should be standardized, and positivity for MG and MS should consider a cut-off point of 1:40, as previously suggested for MG (Kleven, 1994a; Mendonça et al., 2001).

Other serologic tests can also be used. ELISA is the most promising technique to substitute SAR (Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996) or even HI (USA, 1997), but according to a previous field study (Nascimento et al., 1999b), ELISA and SAR evidenced negative results whereas HI and PCR were positive. On the contrary, SPF chickens exposed to live vaccines (MG-F, MGTS-11 and 6/85) and a low virulent MG strain (MG-70) were positive in ELISA as early as 21 days post exposure. Positivity for MG-F in exposed chickens was detected by SAR and HI only at 35 and 42 days post-exposure, respectively, whereas positivity for the other MG strains (TS11 6/85 and MG-70) in SAR and HI could be detected only after challenge with MG-R, at 63 days post exposure (Nascimento et al., 1999b).

Serologic diagnosis can be influenced by a number of factors. It is worth noting that pullets exposed to two vaccinations responded serologically better by SAR and HI than those exposed to a single vaccination, regardless of the vaccine used (Polo et al., 2002), although this was not related to protection. Furthermore, commercial layers vaccinated twice with MG-F and infected with wild MG yielded the highest titers (HI and SAR) and percentage of positive birds. Birds vaccinated and infected with MG had intermediate titers, and non-vaccinated birds infected with MG had the lowest titers. These results indicated that the serologic response was higher when birds were exposed more times to vaccine and/or wild MG strains (Mendonça et al., 2001).

Disagreeing results of the same and/or different serologic tests may be ascribed to changes in mycoplasma surface antigens due to mutations (Ghosh et al., 1977; Razin et al., 1998). Cross-reactions between MG and MS were not seen under controlled experimental conditions (Nascimento et al., 1998; Nascimento et al., 2002; Silva et al., 2003), indicating that this phenomenon depends on environmental conditions. These conflicting reports on serologic test results, favor the idea of considering the SAR reaction at undiluted sera as suspicious result, and the adoption of 1:40 HI title as positive diagnosis.

Mycoplasma can be detected in tissue fragments of affected organs like trachea, air sacs and lungs. Besides synovial, ocular and infraorbital sinus exudates, good sources are swabs from trachea and air sacs, and pipped embryos (Yamamoto, 1991; Yoder Jr., 1991b; Lay & Yoder Jr., 1997; Nascimento et al., 1994). Swabs from trachea and choanal cleft constitute excellent specimens, mainly for isolation or PCR, which are used as confirmation tools for monitoring MG and
MS infections in live birds (Kleven, 1994b; Nascimento ER et al., 1998; Brasil, 2001). Cloacal swabs are preferred for MM and MI assessment, but it can also be used for MG diagnosis. Culturing of specimens from culled and pipped embryos increase the chance of agent detection, and should be used in the monitoring of breeding flocks (USA, 1997). Samples collected for culture or PCR can be placed in a 50% solution of Frey's medium or phosphate buffered saline (pH 7.8) in glycerol and kept in freezer before being processed (Nascimento & Nascimento, 1984; Mendonça et al., 2000; Polo et al., 2002).

PCR detection was primarily developed for MG (Nascimento & Yamamoto, 1991; Nascimento et al., 1991, 1993) and was accepted worldwide for detection of all avian mycoplasmas, either in specific DNA amplification for diagnosis (Lauermer, 1998; Nascimento et al., 1998) or in nonspecific DNA banding pattern (RAPD) for strain identification (Fan et al., 1995). The drawback of nonspecific amplification is that it requires previous culturing for purification and cloning of the putative mycoplasma isolate. An alternative to the nonspecific PCR procedure for strain identification is the direct sequencing of the amplified products (amplicons) from a specific PCR with primers directed to the 16S rRNA gene (Ward et al., 1990), but this technique requires sophisticated laboratories. Besides, the technique still needs optimization and it is not yet available for avian mycoplasmas. Sequencing is more accessible nowadays and mycoplasma sequencing, including MG, has been facilitated because they have such a small genome (Papazisi et al., 2003).

Gross and microscopic examinations have been used to help the diagnosis of avian mycoplasmosis in naturally infected birds and are similar to the lesions described in experimentally infected birds (Yoder Jr., 1991b; Lay & Yoder, 1997). In the case of experimental CRD in broilers, with MG and E. coli, suggestive gross lesions were observed, denoted by edematous airsacculitis with fibrin deposition extending to pericarditis and pericarditis. Besides, it was seen hemorrhagic trachitis with strong mononuclear cell infiltration, obliterative bronchiolitis with mononuclear cell infiltration, diffuse airsacculitis and air sac hyperplagia with monophil and heterophil cell infiltration and multiple granulomas in the lungs rich in multinucleated giant cells, besides necrosis (Rodrigues et al., 2001). MS and ND-vaccinated chicks experimentally infected with MS (WVU 1853) showed histological alterations, including nodular and diffuse airsacculitis, lymphocytic hyperplasia in trachea and bronchi, and multifocal lymphoid cell infiltration in the lungs (Pereira, 2004).

Even MG vaccine strains are capable of inducing histopathological lesions in exposed chicks. Experimentally exposed chicks were assessed by tracheal scoring, where score 0 indicated no lesion or 1-3 discrete lymphoid aggregates (DLA) without submucosa invasion; score 0.5 indicated either 1-3 DLA with at least one invading the submucosa or more than four DLA per microscope field; score 1.0 indicated DLA associated with lymphoid aggregates in follicular pattern (LAFP) but not in the submucosa; and scores 2 to 3 were combinations of ALD and LAFP invading the submucosa in increasing intensity. Based on these criteria, at 35 days post exposure, the scores of vaccinated chickens (MG-6/85 = 1.0; MG-TS11 = 1.4; and MG-F = 1.4) were significantly higher than the scores of negative control birds (0.37) and birds vaccinated with MG-70 (0.6), which is a low virulent strain (Demarque, 2004). These findings evidence diagnosis problems that are becoming very common with the advent of live vaccines and the appearance of low virulent strains of MG, MS and MM.

**TREATMENT AND IMMUNOPROFILAXIS**

The treatment of mycoplasma-infected breeders with antimicrobials decreases the rate of clinical manifestations and consequently the risk of transovarian transmission to a level inferior than 0.1% (Ortiz et al., 1995). Although this procedure is recommended for laying hens, it does not eliminate MG, MS or even MM from the flock (Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996). Mycoplasmas are resistant to antibiotics that act on cell wall, such as penicillin, but are sensitive to tetracyclines (oxytetracycline, chlorotetracycline and doxycycline), macrolides (erythromycin, tylosin, spiramycin, lincomycin, and kitasamycin), quinolones (imequil, norfloxacin, enrofloxacin and danofloxacin) or tiamulin. Drugs that accumulate in high concentrations in the mucosal membranes of the respiratory and genitourinary tracts, such as tiamulin and enrofloxacin (Stipkovits et al., 1999a), are often preferred (Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996). The etiologic diagnosis of MG, MS and MM, and other mycoplasmas is compromised by these potent antimicrobials, because they block or reduce the immune response and force the mycoplasmas to evade from or hide in affected tissues, making them unavailable for detection by culture or PCR. This situation can be reverted by suspending the drug treatment, so that infective
mycoplasmas become again detectable (Kempf, 1991, Nascimento et al., 1999a). This phenomenon may be explained by the intracellular location of the mycoplasma due to antimicrobial pressure, and subsequent unavailability of the agent to induce immune response (Razin et al., 1998).

The protection mechanism conferred by MG and/or MS live vaccines is not fully understood, although it is known that antibodies play a role, at least in the local respiratory humoral defense (Whithear, 1996). The importance of the cell-mediated immunity has not been assessed yet (Whithear, 1996), but it also seems to have some functions in animal protection. Histopathological findings in SPF chicks experimentally exposed to five MG strains, including vaccine strains F, TS11 and 6/85, showed cell mobilization toward protection before antibodies could be detected (Demarque, 2004).

Currently, inactivated and live attenuated vaccines are available to poultry farmers. Although inactivated vaccines (bacterins only for MG) were not well accepted in the past, they are often preferred today, mainly because there is no risk of infection and because they do not affect MG detection. Live MG vaccines used nowadays are effective in reducing egg losses (Carpenter et al., 1981; Yoder Jr, 1991b; Lay & Yoder Jr, 1997) and, to a certain extent, in replacing the MG field strain (Whithear, 1996). Considering the replacement of wild MG by MG-F, the vaccine did not exhibit a 100% competitive exclusion of wild MG in a field study (Mendonça et al., 2000). There is no vaccine for MM infection and the live MS vaccine is not available in Brazil. On the other hand, the use of live and inactivated MG vaccines is recommended for layers and prohibited for breeders because they affect MG diagnosis and monitoring (Brasil, 1994; USA, 1997). Apart from governmental restriction, live and inactivated mycoplasma vaccines can be used for any kind of birds, and in certain circumstances, the combination of antimicrobial treatment and inactivated MG or MS vaccines is recommended (Stipkovits & Burch, 1994). Currently, there is MG and MS in oil-emulsion vaccines (Charlton et al., 1996) and live MS vaccine (Whithear, 1996), but the live MS one is not used in Brazil. However, due to the natural low virulence and pathogenicity of MS, it would be difficult to prove the efficacy of these vaccines in commercial poultry.

CONTROL OF MYCOPLASMAS (MG, MS, AND/OR MM)

The first step toward mycoplasma control is the acquisition of fertile eggs and birds (chicken, turkeys and other fowls) free from MG, MS and/or MM. Regarding genetic stocks (pure line breeders), birds free of MG, MS and/or MM have been produced by treatment of fertile eggs, such as heat treatment at 46°C for 12-14 hours or, more efficiently, by antibiotic treatment, either by in ovo injection or, by dipping eggs in antimicrobial solutions (Nascimento & Nascimento, 1994; Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996; Nascimento, 2000). Government certification programs have been successfully employed to help mycoplasma control in many countries, such as the National Poultry Improvement Plan in the USA (USA, 1997), National Sanitary and Hygienic Control Programme in France (Stipkovits & Kempf, 1996), and the National Avian Sanitary Program – PNSA in Brazil (Villa, 1998). The above mentioned health guidelines focus on the maintenance of primary, secondary and tertiary breeder flocks that are free from MG, MS and/MM. In the Brazilian PNSA, imported birds or fertile eggs are tested on arrival and breeder flocks should be monitored for MG, MS and/or MM at intervals no greater than 90 days. Monitoring is performed using SAR, ELISA and/or HI, and confirmation by mycoplasma detection, which is more easily accomplished by PCR (Brasil, 1994; Nascimento et al., 1991; Stipkovits et al., 1994; Nascimento et al., 1998; Nascimento, 2000). Assessment of mycoplasmas is performed in each breeder flock using an appropriate number of randomly chosen birds. According to PNSA guidelines, monitoring should start when pullets are 6-7 weeks old, and 300 birds should be tested for MG and 150 for MS in each flock. From the laying onset until the birds are culled, 150 birds should be tested for MG and 75 birds for MS in each flock (Brasil, 1994; 2001; Nascimento, 2000). According to the most recent Brazilian law, all breeders should be free of MG, MS and/or MM, regardless of species. Tertiary breeder flocks, which produce broiler and layer chicks to be commercialized, are still not required to be MS-free (Brasil, 2001).
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