Immunological Evaluation of the Intestinal Mucosa of Broiler Chicks Treated with Lactobacillus Spp. and Challenged with Salmonella Enteritidis

ABSTRACT

This study aimed at the antibody production by intestinal mucosa of broilers chicks were orally inoculated with Lactobacillus spp. at one and/or 21 days of age, and subsequently challenged with Salmonella enterica, subspecies enterica, serotype Enteritidis (S. Enteritidis). A total number of 288 drug-free broiler chicks was divided into 6 groups (groups A, B, C, D, E, and F), according to age at Lactobacillus spp. inoculation and SE challenge. The intestinal mucosa immune response was determined as the production of immunoglobulin A against S. Enteritidis, and evaluated by the Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) technique in intestinal washing fluid. Groups treated with Lactobacillus spp. presented higher IgA production only when the chicks were challenged with S. Enteritidis at 21 days of age. Nevertheless, the expected stimulus for intestinal mucosa antibody production induced by Lactobacillus spp was observed in only some of the treated groups, demonstrating that the protocol utilized in the present experiment resulted in few beneficial effects for chicks, particularly during the first days of life.

INTRODUCTION

Probiotic organisms have been suggested as an useful tool for the prevention of some infectious diseases in chickens, such as salmonellosis (Nagy et al., 1979). Several studies demonstrate higher production of IgA against microorganisms after treatment with probiotic strains (Arechederra & Cayon, 2000). Perdigón et al. (1991) also showed that the protective effect of Lactobacillus casei against Salmonella Typhimurium and Escherichia coli was associated mainly with the high level of IgA antipathogen antibodies present in intestinal secretions. Lactobacillus casei plays an important role in the prevention of enteric infections, and a low dose provides protection against intestinal infections by increasing IgA secretion into the intestinal lumen, thus providing adequate defenses for the mucosal surface. IgA is the predominant class of immunoglobulins found in intestinal, pulmonary, urogenital, tear, salivary and nasal mucus secretions (Lopes Filho & Migaça, 1994; Noronha, 1995; Elia & Souza, 2002).

The present study aimed at evaluating the production of secretory immunoglobulin A in the intestinal lumen of chicks treated with Lactobacillus spp. and subsequently challenged with Salmonella Enteritidis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Two hundred and eighty-eight day-old broiler chicks, non-sexed, were divided into six groups of 48 chicks each (Table 1). Each experimental
group was housed in isolation in heated wire cages, receiving water and non-medicated feed *ad libitum*. Chicks were negative for *Salmonella* spp. as determined by bacteriological examination of 8 chicks upper animal, per experimental group (EWING, 1986).

The strains *Lactobacillus acidophilus, L. fermentum, L. reuteri* and *L. salivarius* were isolated from the crop and the ceca of broiler breeders in lay, and were cultured under anaerobiosis in DeMan-Rugosa-Sharp culture medium (MRS – Oxoid, Hampshire, United Kingdom), specific for *Lactobacillus* spp. The strains were identified using Gram staining method, and potassium hydroxide, catalase production, production of gas from glucose, and the test of fermentation of carbohydrates API 50 CH® tests. All *Lactobacillus* spp. strains were separately cultivated in tubes containing MRS broth at 37°C for 48 hours in anaerobiosis. One mL of each culture was removed and mixed with 1mL of the other cultured strains, composing the inoculum, which contained 2.0 x 10^8 colony forming units (CFU)/mL.

*Salmonella enterica, subspecies enterica, serotype Enteritidis (SE) phagotype 4 was used as challenged strain. It was isolated from the liver of broiler breeders. Enteritidis (SE) phagotype 4 was used as challenged strain. It was isolated from the liver of broiler breeders.*

In all the experiments, the inocula consisted of SE cultivated in brain-heart broth (BHI-OXOID- Hampshire, United Kingdom) incubated at 40°C for 12 hours, and diluted 100 times also in BHI at the time of use. The inocula contained 3.0x10^8 CFU/mL and 3.3x10^7 CFU/mL in the first and second challenge, respectively. The inocula were directly placed in the esophagus with the aid of a 1-mL graduated pipette at 0.5mL/chick.

At 14, 21, 28, and 35 days of age, eight chicks from each group were euthanized by cervical dislocation, aseptically necropsied, and the intestine was removed from the proximal portion of the duodenum up to the distal portion of the rectum.

Two mL of buffer solution (PBS containing 0.02% sodium azide, 1% bovine serum albumin, 1mM phenylmethyl sulfonyl fluoride (PMSF), and 5mM ethylene diaminetetra-acetic acid (EDTA) disodium salt (SIGMA Aldrich®) were infused with the aid of a disposable sterile syringe in the proximal portion of the duodenum and collected in the distal portion of the rectum. The intestinal fluid was centrifuged at 1200x g for 15 minutes, and the supernatant was submitted to indirect Enzyme Linked Immunosorbsent Assay test (ELISA).

In order to evaluate the immune response by indirect ELISA, the SE antigen was prepared by sonication of bacteria cultivated in BHI and purified by centrifugation of the suspension (HASSAN, 1990). Sterile polyethylene plates containing 96 wells (Hexxis®) were filled with 50µL antigen at 1:50 dilution with carbonate-bicarbonate buffer (15mM NaHCO_3, 35mM NaHCO_3, SIGMA Aldrich®) at pH 9.6, and incubated at 4°C for 18 hours. After each step, reagents were removed, and the wells rinsed four times with PBS added to 0.01% TWEEN 20 (PBST). Plates were stopped with increases of 100µL carbonate-bicarbonate buffer solution with 2% bovine serum albumin (BSA-Sigma Aldrich®), and incubated for 60 minutes at 37°C to prevent interference in the reaction. Then, 50µL of each sample of fluids from intestinal rinsing, previously diluted in PBST + BSA 0.5% (1:2), were placed in each well, and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. Plates were rinsed again, and 50µL conjugate (sheep antibody anti-IgA of hen linked to peroxidase – Hexxis®) at 10 mL acetate / citrate buffer 0.1 mol/L, pH 6.0, were TABLE 1 - Treatment with *Lactobacillus* spp. and challenge with *Salmonella Enteritidis* in each experimental group.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Treatments and Challenges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks treated with the <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp. pool on the first day of life and challenged with <em>Salmonella Enteritidis</em> on the third and twenty-first day of life (L+S 3/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks treated with the of <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp. pool on the first day of life and challenged with <em>Salmonella Enteritidis</em> on the third day of life (L+S 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks treated with the <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp. pool on the first day of life and challenged with <em>Salmonella Enteritidis</em> on the twenty-first day of life (L+S 21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks treated with the <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp. pool on the first day of life and not challenged with <em>Salmonella Enteritidis</em> (L)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks not treated with the <em>Lactobacillus</em> spp. pool on the first day of life and challenged with <em>Salmonella Enteritidis</em> on the third and twenty-first day of life (S 3/21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>Chicks not treated nor challenged (control)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

One of the main sources of *Salmonella* transmission to humans are poultry products (Gast, 1997). The present work used *Salmonella* Enteritidis due to its increasing participation in cases of foodborne infection (Fernandes, 1995) and because phagotype 4 is one of the most pathogenic and prevalent strains (Doherty, 1997). The infective dose of *Salmonella* spp. experimentally used in day-old chicks varies in literature between 10^2 and 10^8 CFU/bird (SNOYENBOS *et al.*, 1985). The experimental reproduction of *Salmonella* Enteritidis infection in day-old chicks, in the present experiment used intraesophageal inoculation. The infective dose used varying from 10^2 to 10^8 CFU/bird, resulted in a high number of infected birds, and significant cecal colonization in the groups challenged at 14 days of age. We decided to use the oral route (intraesophageal inoculation) in order to ensure that each bird would receive the recommended dose.

The oral administration of *Lactobacillus* cultures to day-old chicks apparently did not interfere with the response of intestinal IgA production after subsequent challenge with SE.

The results of the detection of specific IgA against SE (Table 2) obtained by ELISA in optical density (OD) were converted into ELISA coefficient in order to eliminate possible OD variation due to changes in environmental temperature during the test, leading to a better interpretation of the results. This coefficient was obtained by subtracting the sample mean (OD) from the negative control mean (OD), divided by the positive control mean (OD) minus the negative control mean (OD) (IDEXX®).

In group A, IgA significantly increased until 28 days, with subsequent decrease at 35 days of age. In the group B, IgA increased with bird age up to 28 days, and decreased at 35 days of age. However, in group C, IgA levels remained constant until challenge at 21 days of age, when a significant increase was observed until the end of the experiment. In group D, there was no significant difference among the analyzed times, probably due to the absence of stimulus by *S.* Enteritidis. In Group E, IgA increased up to 21 days, followed by a decreased at 35 days de age. In group F (negative control), IgA remained constant at the different ages, showing that, without the stimulus SE challenge, the production of specific IgA against SE does not occur.

**ELISA Coefficient = (Sample Mean – Negative Mean) / (Positive Mean – Negative Mean)**

At 14 days of age, the results of the groups challenged with SE at three days of age (groups A, B, and E) were very similar, and higher than the other groups, which were not challenged at three days of age. When birds reached 21 days of age, similar results were be observed, except for group E, which IgA indices were higher than to those of the other groups.

At the third and forth collection (28 and 35 days), groups D and F were not significantly different, presenting the lowest IgA indices, probably due to the absence of stimulus (challenge).

At the third collection (28 days), group B still presented higher indeces than those of groups D and F, followed by group C, which was followed only by groups A and E, which presented the highest indices at that time. At the final collection (35 days), groups A and B were similar to each other, as were groups C and E, which presented the highest IgA indices of the experiment.

Groups A and E presented higher IgA production (Table 2) as compared to the other groups after the second challenge (21 days), demonstrating that a infection dose used varying from 10^2 to 10^8 CFU/bird (SNOYENBOS *et al.*, 1985). The experimental reproduction of *Salmonella* Enteritidis in intestinal fluid of chicks treated with *Lactobacillus* spp. and challenged with *Salmonella* Enteritidis. Results expressed in ELISA coefficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Time (days)</th>
<th>14</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>28</th>
<th>35</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>L+S 3/21</td>
<td>-0.116 Ab*</td>
<td>0.090 Bb</td>
<td>0.457 Cd</td>
<td>0.115 Bb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>L+S 3</td>
<td>-0.167 Ab</td>
<td>0.063 Bb</td>
<td>0.183 Cb</td>
<td>0.106 Bb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>L+S 21</td>
<td>-0.256 Aa</td>
<td>-0.241 Aa</td>
<td>0.277 Bc</td>
<td>0.386 Cc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>-0.260 Aa</td>
<td>-0.216 Aa</td>
<td>-0.221 Aa</td>
<td>0.216 Aa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>S 3/21</td>
<td>-0.109 Ab</td>
<td>0.193 Bc</td>
<td>0.473 Cd</td>
<td>0.407 Cc</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>0.214 Aa</td>
<td>0.209 Aa</td>
<td>0.256 Aa</td>
<td>0.208 Aa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Capital letters compare time means within each treatment (row).*
*Lower-case letters compare treatment means within time (column).*
Means followed by different letters in the same row or column are significantly different (P<0.05).
higher number of results in stronger stimulus of the immune system, and, as verified by Nagy et al. (1979) and Wold and Hanson (1994), this stimulus increases the production of IgA. At the end of the experiment (35 days), the groups presenting high IgA production were group C, which was treated with *Lactobacillus* and received the second challenge on the 21st day, and group E, which was submitted two challenges, thus being more stimulated to produce IgA.

It seems that IgA response is dose- and age-dependent, due to two challenges with SE (groups A and E), and challenge at 21 days of age (group C) stimulated higher IgA production than a single challenge at 3 days of age (group B).

Although all groups challenged with SE responded with an increase in intestinal IgA production, the treatment with *Lactobacillus* spp. before challenging the chicks with *S. Enteritidis* did not significantly increase IgA production. This is consistent with the study of Snoeyenbos et al. (1985), who observed that the colonization of the intestine with normal intestinal microbiota prior to challenge effectively limits, but does not totally prevent, infection by *Salmonella* spp. when challenge is higher than 10^6 CFU/chick.

The beneficial effects of *Lactobacillus* spp. used probiotic to face bacterial colonization were already shown by several authors (Gusils et al., 1999; Maassen et al., 2000; Schiffrin, 1999), but in the present experiment, little beneficial action was observed in some groups. Several variables in the experimental protocols employed, such as time of culture, number of passages in culture medium, species of bacteria utilized, route of administration, of *Salmonella* serotype, infective dose, etc., may have contributed to the obtained results.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The expected stimulus for antibody production in the intestinal mucosa induced by *Lactobacillus* spp before challenge with SE was observed in the present experiment, but not in all treated groups, demonstrating that this type of treatment has few beneficial effects for chicks, particularly during the first days of life.
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