Inspiratory muscle training improves tidal volume and vital capacity after CABG surgery

Treinamento muscular melhora o volume corrente e a capacidade vital no pós-operatório de revascularização do miocárdio
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Abstract

Objective: To evaluate lung function and respiratory muscle strength in the postoperative period and investigate the effect of inspiratory muscle training on measures of respiratory muscle performance in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting.

Methods: A randomized study with 47 patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting with cardiopulmonary bypass. They were divided into study group (SG) 23 patients and control group (CG) 24 patients, mean age 61.83 ± 8.61 and 66.33 ± 10.20 years, EuroSCORE SG 0.71 ± 0.0018 and CG 0.76 ± 0.0029, respectively. The study group underwent physical therapy and inspiratory muscle training with threshold IMT® and CG underwent conventional physiotherapy. We compared the maximal respiratory pressures (MIP and MEP), tidal volume (TV), vital capacity (VC) and peak expiratory flow (peak flow) preoperatively (Pre-OP), 1st (PO1) and 3rd (PO3) postoperative day.

Results: There was a significant reduction in all variables measured on PO1 compared to preoperative values in both groups, MIP (P <0.0001), MEP (P <0.0001), TV SG (P <0.0004) and CG (P <0.0001) and VC SG (P <0.0001) and CG (P <0.0001) and peak flow (P <0.0001). At PO3, SG presented higher value of VC, GE 1230.4 ± 477.86 ml vs. GC 919.17 ± 394.47 ml (P=0.0222) and TV SG 608.09 ± 178.24 ml vs. CG 506.96 ± 168.31 ml (P= 0.0490).

Conclusion: Patients undergoing cardiac surgery experience reduced ventilatory capacity and respiratory muscle strength after surgery. Muscle training was performed to retrieve TV and VC in the PO3, in the trained group.

INTRODUCTION

Heart surgery can cause a number of complications, such as the postoperative pulmonary complications, with significant impact on morbidity and postoperative mortality and hospital spending.

The etiology is complex and multifactorial, involving physiological changes related to cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB), sternotomy mechanical changes, surgical manipulation, effects of anesthesia and mammary artery use, among other pre-, intra- and postoperative variables [1,2].

The patients who had undergone median sternotomy with mammary artery dissection and also presenting pleurotomy reduction in ventilatory variables, in addition, factors such as immobility in bed, pain and temporary dysfunction of the diaphragm contribute to the hypoxemia, and pulmonary dysfunction in the postoperative period [3,4].

It is common to observe changes in lung mechanics, restrictive breathing pattern and shallow breathing postoperatively. The atelectasis is common and is associated with reduced lung capacity and respiratory muscle strength. Also pneumonias can occur with an incidence reported in the literature between 3% and 16% [5].

Given the presentation of pulmonary dysfunction associated with cardiac surgery and its possible repercussions, it is crucial more research about the resources available today to reverse this situation [6].

Respiratory therapy is an integral part in managing the care of cardiac patients, both in pre- and postoperatively, it contributes significantly to better prognosis, acting preoperatively (Pre-op) with techniques aimed at the preventing pulmonary complications, and postoperatively, with hygiene and pulmonary expansion maneuvers [7].

In view of the described above, this study aimed to assess the ventilatory capacity in postoperative patients controle (GC), 24 pacientes, e grupo estudo (GE) 23 pacientes, com idade média de 66,33 ± 10,20 anos e 61,83 ± 8,61 anos, respectivamente. O GE foi submetido à fisioterapia convencional e ao treinamento muscular inspiratório com threshold® IMT e o GC à fisioterapia convencional. Foram comparadas as pressões respiratórias máximas (Pimáx e Pemax), volume corrente (VC), capacidade vital (CV) e pico de fluxo expiratório (Peak Flow) no pré-operatório (Pré-OP), 1º e 3º dias de pós-operatório (PO1) e (PO3).

Resultados: Observou-se redução significativa em todas as variáveis mensuradas no PO1, quando comparadas ao pré-operatório, nos dois grupos estudados, Pimáx (P<0,0001), Pemax (P<0,0001), VC: GE (P<0,0004) e GC: (P<0,0001) e CV GE: (P<0,0001) e GC: (P<0,0001) e peak flow (P<0,0001). No PO3, o GE apresentou em comparação ao GC, maior valor de VC, GE 1230,4 ± 477,86 ml vs. GC 919,17 ± 394,47 ml (P= 0,0222) e VC GE 608,09 ± 178,24 ml vs. GC 506,96 ± 168,31 ml (P=0,0490).

Conclusão: Pacientes submetidos à cirurgia cardíaca sofrem redução da CV e da força muscular respiratória após a cirurgia. O treinamento muscular realizado foi eficaz em recuperar o VC e a CV no PO3, no grupo treinado.

undergoing CABG and compare the values of the performance measures of respiratory muscles through the maximal inspiratory pressure (MIP), maximal expiratory pressure (MEP), tidal volume (TV), vital capacity (VC) and peak expiratory flow between a group undergoing conventional physiotherapy, and another group undergoing respiratory muscle training with threshold® Inspiratory Muscle Trainer (IMT).

METHODS

From November 2007 to October 2008, 199 heart surgeries were performed at Hospital e Maternidade Celso Pierro, and 122 patients underwent CABG. Of these, were excluded during preoperative those presenting arrhythmias, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, identified by prior spirometry or under use of bronchodilators, patients using tridil, and those presenting anginal chest pain and patients with a body mass index greater than 30 kg/m². We also excluded patients who had complications in the postoperative period, of whom did not allow the measurement of weights on first postoperative (PO1) day under use of intra-aortic balloon, emergency surgery, reoperations and patients undergoing procedures associated with revascularization.

The study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the State Campinas and Pontifical Catholic University of Campinas, under registration number 294/2005 and 857/07, according to the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients signed a written informed consent form. The research was recorded in CONEP under number 163.623.

They were randomized into two groups randomly 47 patients: 23 patients in the study group (SG) and 24 patients in the control group (CG), diagnosed with chronic coronary failure and undergoing elective CABG with cardiopulmonary bypass via median sternotomy.

All measures were explained and experienced by patients before the trial and considered the best of three attempts. The MIP was performed using manovacuometer from functional residual capacity and MEP from total lung capacity, with values close to each other, without perioral leak, and the highest value obtained was considered [9]. The TV was measured using spirometer through the minute volume and divides by respiratory rate measured within one minute, the VC was measured using spirometer, from total lung capacity, with a slow and prolonged expiration.

The airway permeability was assessed by measuring the peak flow achieved with maximum and fast expiratory effort maneuver, starting from a maximal inspiration. The recordings were made through the Peak Flow Meter (ASSESS®) device, which provided the peak expiratory flow in liters per minute.

Statistical Analysis

To compare proportions, we used the chi-square test or Fisher exact test. In the comparison of continuous or sortable variables in a single time between two groups we used the Mann-Whitney test. To study the effect of time and groups in the assessed parameters analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used for repeated measures and contrast profile for evolution of time. Results were considered statistically significant when \( P < 0.05 \).

RESULTS

The groups were similar with respect to demographics and comorbidities (Tables 1 and 2).

There was no statistically significant difference between groups with respect to time of surgery [CG 4.76 ± 0.73 hours and SG 4.36 ± 0.80 hours \( (P = 0.119) \)] CPB [CG 72.42 ± 17.77 minutes and SG 75.78 ± 23.08 minutes \( (P = 0.658) \)], and time on which patients remained on mechanical ventilation [CG 2.43 ± 2.51 hours and SG 1.75 ± 2.80 hours \( (P = 0.256) \)] after admission to the coronary care unit (Table 3).

We considered the respiratory complications regardless of the degree and intensity of involvement. For the
diagnosis the radiological report of the first three postoperative days was considered. In this study, there was no statistically significant difference between the groups when the presence of respiratory complications. Regarding the presence of pleural effusion, 14 (60.87%) patients in the SG and eight (33.3%) in the CG showed that complication, found in chest radiography with opacification of the costophrenic angle, between PO1 and PO3. Laminar atelectasis was detected in nine (39.13%) of the patients in the SG and eight (33.3%) in the CG showed that.

The group undergoing respiratory training showed a significant difference in length of stay in the coronary care unit, but with no difference between groups in length of hospital stay (Table 5).

**Table 1. Demographics of the studied population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SG (%)</th>
<th>CG (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years) mean + SD</td>
<td>61.83 ± 13.53</td>
<td>63.3 ± 10.20</td>
<td>0.4622</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>18 (78.2)</td>
<td>16 (66.67)</td>
<td>0.374</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (21.7)</td>
<td>8 (33.33)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI &gt; 25 &lt; 30 kg/m²</td>
<td>2 (8.3%)</td>
<td>3 (13.0%)</td>
<td>0.6662</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Comorbidities of the studied population.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>SG (%)</th>
<th>CG (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAH</td>
<td>23 (100)</td>
<td>23 (95)</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>12 (52.1)</td>
<td>14 (58.3)</td>
<td>0.6711</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>9 (39.1)</td>
<td>11 (45.8)</td>
<td>0.6422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMI</td>
<td>10 (43.4)</td>
<td>6 (25)</td>
<td>0.181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyslipidemia</td>
<td>19 (82.6)</td>
<td>15 (62.5)</td>
<td>0.1234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcoholism</td>
<td>03 (13)</td>
<td>01 (4.1)</td>
<td>0.347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Time of surgery, cardiopulmonary bypass and intubation of the studied groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>SG</th>
<th>CG</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Time of surgery (hours)</td>
<td>4.36±0.80</td>
<td>4.76±0.73</td>
<td>0.119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPB time (min)</td>
<td>75.78±23.08</td>
<td>72.42±17.77</td>
<td>0.658</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OTI (hours)</td>
<td>1.75±2.80</td>
<td>2.43±2.51</td>
<td>0.256</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Respiratory complications postoperatively.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>SG (%)</th>
<th>CG (%)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>8 (33.3)</td>
<td>14 (60.87)</td>
<td>0.058</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCP</td>
<td>3 (12.5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.234</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminar atelectasis</td>
<td>15 (62.5)</td>
<td>9 (39.19)</td>
<td>0.109</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobular atelectasis</td>
<td>1 (4.17)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CG = control group, SG = study group, BCP = bronchopneumonia

**Table 5. Admission to the coronary care unit and hospital of the studied groups.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospitalization(days)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SG ICU</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.0236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SG Hospital</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.02</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.4147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>6.77</td>
<td>2.95</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Maximal Respiratory Pressures**

When comparing the MIP in the 1st PO with Preoperative, a decrease in both groups was found, CG [-60.21 ± 24.65 cmH₂O vs. -85.71 ± 28.46 cmH₂O] and CG [-47.57 ± 18.54 cmH₂O vs. -81.91 ± 24.81 cmH₂O (P<0.0001)]. In PO3, we observed significant recovery of MIP measures, but no return to preoperative values [GC -75.75 ± 25.00 cmH₂O and GE -66.43 ± 21.79 cmH₂O (P<0.0001)]. There was no significant difference between CG and SG (P = 0.1680). The evolution of the MIP values can be seen in Figure 1.

Comparing the MEP values in PO1 and Preoperative, there was significant reduction in both groups [CG 58.25 ± 27.96 cmH₂O vs. 84.96 ± 31.51 cmH₂O] and SG [61.04 ± 29.21 cmH₂O vs. 94.70 ± 26.86 cmH₂O (P<0.0001)]. In PO3, there was an increase of measures [CG 70.04 ± 29.25 cmH₂O and SG 78.39 ± 36.22 cmH₂O (P<0.0001)], however, with no statistical difference between groups (P = 0.168 ). There was no return to preoperative values. The trend in the MEP values in the patients studied is shown in Figure 2.

**Tidal Volume Measures (TV)**

Comparing the value of TV between PO1 and Preoperative, a decrease in both groups [CG 443.79 ± 195.10 vs. 756.38 ± 220.05 ml (P<0.0001)] and SG [475.17 ± 140.67 vs. 655.96 ± 244.42 ml (P=0.0004)]. In PO3, we observed a significant increase in the amount of TV in SG [608.09 ± 178.24 ml (P=0.0490)]. The TV values in the studied patients are shown in Figure 3.
Comparing the VC value between PO1 and Preoperative, there was a decrease in both groups, CG [731.25 ± 279.68 ml vs. 2425.0 ± 956.33 ml (P<0.0001)] and SG [790.00 ± 330.45 ml vs. 2537.0 ± 1067.9 ml (P<0.0001)]. In PO3, we observed recovery of measures in both groups, but more markedly in the group undergoing respiratory training [CG 919.17 ± 394.47 ml and SG 1230.4 ± 477.86 ml]. There were significant differences between CG and SG (P=0.0222) in PO3. The measures remained significant decreased with respect to preoperative value. The VC values of the studied patients are shown in Figure 4.

Peak Expiratory Flow

The peak flow value showed a significant reduction in PO1 when compared to preoperative [CG: 136.67 ± 71.18 L/m vs. 347.92 ± 150.51 L/m and SG: 154.13 ± 56.34 L/m vs. 350.65 ± 133.19 L/m (P<0.0001)], with no difference between groups (P=0.4750). In PO3, measures of CG 203.75 ± 83.55 L/m and SG 221.30 ± 100.87 L/m showed significant recovery, but did not return to the preoperative values. The development of measures of peak flow is shown in Figure 5.
DISCUSSION

Regarding the descriptive characteristics of the study population, the groups were similar in age, gender and weight. Several authors performed measurements of maximal respiratory pressures and the values published in the form of equations, used as reference for certain populations. Most of these authors relate the values of maximal respiratory pressures with age and gender [10].

In the present study, there was no significant difference between groups with respect to operative time, CPB and intubation. Surgery and anesthesia affect ventilatory function. Some authors observed an increase in lung density in areas dependent on both lungs after anesthesia, suggesting formation of areas of atelectasis [11]. According to Nardi et al. [12], CPB time greater than 60 minutes seems to have an inverse relationship with MIP values.

In this study, there was no significant difference in relation to respiratory complications. Garcia & Costa [13] reported one or more types of pulmonary complications in the postoperative phase in 74% of patients, being 17% pleural effusion and 10% atelectasis.

Atelectasis is most frequently found in postoperative and radiological findings are key for the left lower lobe. Atelectasis is related to deterioration in gas exchange, decreased lung volumes and functional residual capacity and lung compliance. It becomes relevant when it is persistent, is associated with hypoxemia, increased breathing work and other signs of stress [14].

Landymore & Howell [15] reported that patients who received internal mammary artery as a graft and underwent chest drainage showed a higher incidence of pleural effusion, atelectasis in the left lower lobe and elevation of the left hemidiaphragm. Moreover, three months after surgery these patients maintained loss of lung volume, areas of atelectasis and pleural effusion. In this study, all patients received internal thoracic artery graft, being that fact uniformed.

In this study, all variables, MIP, MEP, peak expiratory flow, TV and VC, presented a significant reduction in PO1 compared to preoperative.

A significant reduction in postoperative respiratory muscle performance is expected, as shown by lower values of MIP and MEP in both groups. The effects of cardiac surgery on muscle function, pain and the presence of chest tubes probably contribute to these findings. In the postoperative period, there is a reduction in lung volumes and capacities, and impaired respiratory function [12].

The reduction in the amount of peak expiratory flow indicates interference in respiratory function, by decreasing muscle strength and range of motion, secondary to surgical trauma [13]. Similar results were reported by Johnson et al. [5] in patients during postoperative CABG.

Also Nardi et al. [12] reported a significant reduction of approximately 50% for all variables (VC, MIP, MEP and peak expiratory flow) in the PO1 of heart surgery when compared with the preoperative period.

In this study, there were significant increases in all measured variables until the PO3 in both groups.

Despite the increase, there was no recovery of measures to preoperative values, except for the TV value in the trained group. This finding is in agreement with data shown by Silva et al. [16] who assessed the behavior of MIP, MEP and spirometry in a group of patients undergoing cardiac surgery and found significant reductions in lung volumes and flows and in maximal respiratory pressures in PO1. There was a significant increase in respiratory muscle strength until hospital discharge, even without specific training, however, the measures remained below the values obtained in the preoperative [16].

In this study, although the inspiratory muscle training has not demonstrated effects on MIP and MEP until PO3, it was effective in increasing, significantly, ventilatory function, as demonstrated by the increase in the TV and VC values, the group who underwent training with.

Ferreira et al. [17] reported similar results after training with IMT® threshold in preoperative. The patients showed a significant increase in forced vital capacity and maximum voluntary ventilation, but no difference in MIP and MEP was observed in the postoperative period.

The more frequent result of the change in lung volume in patients with muscle weakness is the fall in vital capacity. Thus, it can be noted that the VC reflects the weakness of respiratory muscles and lungs static mechanical load. [18]

Changes in pulmonary function in patients undergoing
cardiac surgery with CPB are largely responsible for the morbidity of these patients. The atelectasis are the most common complications, caused by decreased functional residual capacity, changes on chest wall mechanics and lung tissue, by increasing airway resistance and the postoperative pain, among other factors [19].

In this study, the control group received no specific training on respiratory muscles, but were also oriented in the preoperative and underwent respiratory therapy after surgery, and were encouraged to get out of bed and ambulate early.

It is possible that these measures and exercises, even without load, have contributed to improving the variables in the control group.

Barros et al. [20] trained a group of patients with 40% of MIP, from PO1 until discharge, which occurred on the 7th postoperative day. The behavior of MIP, MEP, TV and peak expiratory flow, as in the present study, significantly declined, but returned to the values obtained in the preoperative at the time of hospital discharge.

Other studies have shown that the respiratory muscle training during preoperative is effective to increase respiratory muscle strength in patients undergoing cardiac surgery [17,21,22].

Leguisamo et al. [23] reported that patients oriented in the Preoperative are better prepared to collaborate with the needs of postoperative treatment. Understanding the aim of pre- and postoperative physiotherapy, the limitations resulting from the surgical process and the proposed physiotherapy technique may help in the recovery and thereby reduce the length of stay in hospital.

Although the effects of respiratory muscle training are well defined with regard to the benefits to the patient, some methodological issues remain controversial in relation to the load to be applied, number of repetitions and training period.

In this study, the positive benefit of inspiratory muscle training can be observed through the significant increase in tidal volume, in PO3, with return to preoperative value. There was also a significant increase in TV in the control group, despite the variable remains below the value obtained in preoperative. This result reinforces the findings of other authors who applied muscle training for a longer period and obtained an increase in MIP and MEP.

It is possible that by applying muscle training for a few more days, such a result could have been obtained.

Measuring and monitoring the function of the respiratory muscles through the MIP and MEP measurements in patients undergoing cardiac surgery is a simple and important for planning interventions that can bring clinical benefits such as reduced postoperative pulmonary complications.

In this context, it is suggested that further studies be performed in order to investigate and better define the methodology for obtaining the positive benefits of respiratory muscle training described herein.

The limitations of this study are related to measures of MIP and MEP, TV, VC, and peak expiratory flow assessment. These tests depend on the understanding and cooperation of participating individuals. Therefore, the technique can have a determinative positive effect on the outcome.

CONCLUSION

Patients undergoing CABG presented a significant reduction of the performance measures of respiratory muscles in PO1 when compared to baseline Preoperative. The respiratory muscle training was effective in recovering the TV and VC in PO3 in the group undergoing training. There was no difference in the presence of pulmonary complications and length of hospital stay between groups.
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