Minimally invasive aortic valve replacement: an alternative to the conventional technique
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Abstract

Objectives: To demonstrate the use of minimally invasive surgery for aortic valve replacement and compare its results with the traditional method.

Methods: Between 2006 and 2011 sixty patients underwent surgery on aortic valve, after written consent, 40 of them by minimally invasive technique with right anterior minithoracotomy access (Group 1/G1) and 20 by median sternotomy (Group 2/G2). Compare the operating times and postoperative evolution intra-hospital.

Results: The average times of bypass and aortic cross-clamp in G1 were, respectively, 142.7 ± 59.5 min and 88.6 ± 31.5 min and, in G2, 98.1 ± 39.1 min and 67.7 ± 26.2 min (P < 0.05), a difference in medians of 39 minutes in bypass time and 23 minutes in aortic cross-clamp were observed in favor of conventional technique. The blood loss by the thoracic drains was significantly lower in the Group: minimally invasive 605.1 ± 679.5 ml (G1) versus 1617 ± 1390 ml (G2) (P < 0.05). The average time of ICU and hospital stay were shorter in G1: 2.3 ± 1.8 and 5.5 ± 5.4 days versus 5.1 ± 3.6 and 10 ± 5.1 in G2 (P < 0.05), respectively. Vasoactive drug use was also less post-operative at 12.8% in minimally invasive group G1 versus 45% in G2.

Conclusion: Aortic valve replacement through minimally invasive techniques, although intraoperative times larger, did not demonstrate to affect postoperative results in this case proved to be better when compared to the traditional approach.
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INTRODUCTION

The minimally invasive cardiac surgery (MICS) has increased in popularity over the past 15 years. The small incisions have been associated with good aesthetic result and less surgical trauma, consequently less pain and rapid postoperative recovery. For a while, these same arguments will not attract the attention of the physician population. This concept has been changing with the wider dissemination of the technique and best results in recent reports. The benefits of minimally invasive incisions are supported primarily with confirmation of reduction of hospital costs without harming the achieved results with median sternotomy [1-3].

Also in recent years, using access alternative, the percutaneous or transapical aortic valve and endovascular devices was developed, including aortic stenting and even annular ring reducers for mitral valve and devices for occlusion of interatrial or interventricular defects [4 - 8].

Nevertheless, the median sternotomy is still the traditional access to surgical treatment of heart disease because it allows excellent control of all cardiac structures and asserts itself as a safe technique with low morbimortality.

Our goal is to demonstrate the use of minimally invasive surgery for the treatment of aortic valve and compare its results with the conventional technique (median sternotomy).

METHODS

This is a retrospective study and gathered all patients undergoing aortic valve surgery in the period from 2006 to 2011. Sixty patients underwent valve surgery, 40 of them by the minimally invasive technique and preferably with access via right anterolateral thoracotomy (Group 1/G1) and 20 by median sternotomy (Group 2/G2). Comparisons of the operating times and the postoperative evolution are described. Exclusion criteria for a minimally invasive procedure included: reoperation, need for concomitant CABG or patients who opted for conventional technique. All patients in G1 signed authorization for the alternative procedure.
Echocardiographic evaluation, coronaryography and carotid artery Doppler were performed in all patients, while peripheral vascular Doppler and abdominal aorta only in patients undergoing cardiopulmonary bypass (CPB) and Peripheral circulation (G1).

All patients in this series underwent specific protocol for anesthesia, used systematically in the institution, with the intention of immediate extubation in the operating room. The technique used a device for continuous electroencephalogram analysis (BIS®), calculating the bispectral index to assess the depth of anesthesia and its superficialization at the end of the surgery. Ramifentanil® and propofol® were used. Patients with the following characteristics were extubated in the operating room: BIS above 60, level of responsive awareness, adequate pulmonary ventilation and hemodynamic stability in average time of 15 to 30 minutes (min) after skin suture.

In G1, a right minithoracotomy was performed (± 5 cm) on the 2nd or 3rd right intercostal space or upper J-shaped ministernotomy. The peripheral CPB was performed by the femoral vessels [9,10] to all G1 procedures which were performed with the aid of chest videoscopy.

In peripheral CPB, a manometer was used with negative pressure for vacuum-assisted venous drainage. The arterial femoral cannulation (17 French) and venous kiys (21 French) especially designed for peripheral CPB, were used in all these cases (DLP®, Medtronic Inc., Minneapolis, USA).

Intermittent cold blood cardioplegia was performed in aortic root or the coronary ostia, in the first 20 cases of G1 and G2. In the last 20 of G1, histidine-tryptophan-ketoglutarate (HTK) or commercially known as Custodiol® in infusion of 20 ml/kg body weight was used in a single dose.

Transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) was performed in all patients in G1, both for introduction of arterial and venous cannulae as monitoring and confirmation of the surgical outcome.

The instruments used in G1 involving a 5mm or 10mm diameter thoroscope according to the need of the visual field and angle lens of 30°. The instruments (ESTECH® Inc, California, USA) specifically designed for cardiac surgery included: chest retractor, scissors, knot pushers, aortic clamp (Chitwood®), and needle holders. Other instruments such as forceps, electrocautery, video cameras and light source were the same ones used in conventional laparoscopy.

In G2, a median sternotomy was performed with CPB and cannulation of the aorta and right atrium, both by the conventional technique. Transthoracic clamping and intermittent blood cardioplegia were performed in all patients.

**Surgical technique for minimally invasive access**

1. In all cases from G1, an orotracheal intubation with Carlens® or Portecs® cannulae was performed, for occlusion of the right lung during surgery. In cases of ministernotomy, where right pleuron was not openned, this occlusion was not necessary.
2. After cannula insertion, and effective right unilateral occlusion was guaranteed and also maintaining oxygenation with a single lung.
3. Central vessel puncture, jugular or subclavian infusion of drugs and monitoring of central venous pressure (CVP). The punction was preferable on the right side, as pneumothorax as a complication, which was not diagnosed on the left side could be very serious and prevent occlusion of the right lung.
4. We used as a routine a protocol for immediate extubation in the operating room. The combination of Propofol® and Ramifentanil® was used and the depth of anesthesia was assessed by bispectral index (BIS).
5. Transthoracic defibrillation pads were placed in the left, anterior and posterior thoracic region.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Preoperative clinical characteristics of surgical groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Variables</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ejection fraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative atrial fibrillation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Predominant valvular dysfunction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stenosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ns = not significant
6. CPB was set up in a conventional manner, testing the vacuum system through a negative pressure gauge connected to the oxygenator venous reservoir. This test was done during the circuit filling and removal of bubbles. Variations from 40 to 100 mmHg were used to allow adequate venous drainage.

7. We dissected the left femoral artery and punctured the right femoral vein, even before heparinization (Figure 1). The CPB tubes were directed to the surgical field, positioned under the lower limbs.

8. The right anterolateral minithoracotomy was used in patients with severe aortic insufficiency or stenosis with small or moderate calcification. We performed a right sternal incision extending laterally with 5 cm in length; the intercostal space was incised until we could observe the right mammary artery.

9. Upper J-shaped ministernotomy was used marking the 3rd intercostal space and performing an incision of 5 cm, which began at this point, following the cranial direction. Sternotomy was performed from the sternal furcula to the 3rd intercostal space to the right, trying not to affect the right mammary artery. This last access was used in all patients with severe valvular and ring calcification (Figure 3).

10. Video-assisted thoracoscopy was used in all patients, being introduced in the 2nd intercostal space, laterally to the thoracic incision, either by anterolateral mini-thoracotomy or ministernotomy. This display option has expanded the visual field and helped visualization and cannulation of the right coronary ostium in cases of ostial cardioplegia, the observation of the left ventricle in cases of distension of this cavity (cardioplegia in the aortic root) and in the visualization and cleaning the interior of the left ventricle in search of debris or calcium emboli (Figure 4).

11. A ESTECH® retractor thoracic with a 4 cm single metal blade was used for exposure of the cavity in both alternative techniques. The "Finochietto" pediatric retractor was used as a good option in some cases, but the size of the short blades prevented from more routine use.

12. We followed the dissection and the identification of the pericardium. The pericardium was opened on the ascending aorta from the pericardial deflection to the right atrium. Exposure points were used to keep the pericardium open and pulled the chest wall.

13. After heparinization, cannulation of the femoral vessels was performed, primarily through the right femoral vein, once punctured; we introduced a rigid metal tab that progressed to the right atrium, confirmed by TEE. Dilators were introduced sequentially to dilate the vessel until the cannula, with occlusive dilator, was introduced to the right atrium, again with the need to ensure its position with TEE. After the venous cannula was positioned, we fixed it to the skin and connected it to the CPB venous tube.

14. The same procedure was done with the arterial cannulation, only in this case, the progression of the cannula reached its maximum length in the abdominal aorta. Being connected to the arterial segment in the CPB tube, permeability and wrist were tested.

15. A 2 cm incision was performed in the 2nd intercostal space in the anterior axillary line for the placement of Chitwood® transthoracic clamp in patients undergoing anterolateral minithoracotomy, the videoscopy helped aortic clamping performed laterally along the pericardial deflection. The transthoracic clamping in tge ministernotomy was performed by thoracic incision with conventional tweezers (DeBakey®) (Figure 5A).
Fig. 2 - Right anterolateral minithoracotomy and use of Finochietto or ESTECH® pediatric retractor. A: panoramic view, B: lateral aortic clamping with Chitwood®, C: aortic exposure via minithoracotomy, D: Thoracoscopic visualization with calcific aortic valve, E: aortic prosthesis implantation, and observation of the incision size proportional to the prosthesis diameter: F: metallic prosthesis implanted

Fig. 3 – Upper J Mini sternotomy, from the 3rd intercostal space to the sternal notch. A: exposure with retractor and exposure of the ascending aorta after opening the pericardium. Trocar for videoscopy positioned laterally to the right by counterinscision B: transthoracic clamping, with observation of the surgical field and start of cardioplegic infusion in the aortic root with rigid cannula, C: observation of left ventricular distention during cardioplegia in the aortic root; D: transverse aortotomy, E: cardioplegia performed in the coronary ostia, F: metallic prosthesis implanted
16. In this moment, the CPB began. The need for higher or lower drainage was oriented by the surgeon requesting variations in vacuum pressure, assessing the complete emptying of the right atrium.

17. Before the transthoracic clamping, we made a pouch in the aortic root for the introduction of the cardioplegia cannula, which was also used at the end of the procedure to remove air from the left cavities. This same cannula was withdrawn always on CPB and low flow, to reduce the risk of aortic dissection.

18. Hypothermic blood cardioplegia 4/1 were measured every 15 minutes and the CPB maintained between 28 and 30 degrees. In cases which HTK solution was used (Custodiol®), only one infusion (20 ml/kg) was made in the aortic root to perform the entire procedure, the coronary ostia were cannulated in case of predominant aortic insufficiency or where we can notice distention of the left ventricle by videography. In such cases, it was extremely important to maintain 28° because HTK solution maintains its maximum effect [12].

19. At this time, we opened the heart cavity through transverse aortotomy. Only wires were used for exposure of the aortic valve: two equidistant polyester sutures in the anterolateral and anteromedial proximal aorta sides (Figure 5B).

20. No vacuum was alternatively used to drain the left ventricle, for this purpose we used an aspiration cannula introduced by aortotomy into the left ventricle and, after placement of the prosthetic valve through the leaflets.

21. We continued with the aortic valve replacement in all cases using the conventional method.

22. After completion of primary surgical time, we tried to be very careful for maximum removal of air from the heart cavities, also guided by TEE. The first step was to conduct the maximum Trendelenburg position. The cardioplegia cannula, attached to the aortic root, was enough to suck all the residual air in the left ventricle. In this moment, the TEE confirmed the complete elimination of air from the heart chambers, before we could remove CPB. Periods of interruption of CPB with constant suction of aortic root helped deaeration.

23. Pacemaker wires (2) were placed in the right ventricle which was still on CPB, with the heart drained.

24. After review of hemostasis, protamine solution began (1/1) by continuous infusion. Before completing the heparin reversal, we withdrew the venous cannula. Since
we had used percutaneous, only local compression was performed.

25. After reversing the anticoagulation, a 4-0 prolene "U" pouch was made in the artery around the femoral cannula for occlusion after its removal.

26. A chest tube was enough to make drainage, and was placed in the subxiphoid position in the ministernotomy or the 5th intercostal space with anterior axillary line in cases of anterolateral minithoracotomy.

27. After all sutures were done; we had anesthesia superficialization according to the anesthetic protocol. Patients with the following characteristics were extubated in the operating room: BIS above 60, level of responsive awareness, adequate pulmonary ventilation and hemodynamic stability in average time of 15 to 30 minutes after skin suture.

**Statistical Analysis**

Continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation and categories evaluated in frequencies and percentages. To compare continuous variables, t-test or Fisher's exact test were used. The $P$-value <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

The surgeries performed by median sternotomy were prior to the experience of the surgical team with minimally invasive procedures in aortic valve (13 patients) and were also performed in some cases requiring intervention besides valve replacement: 1) three cases of concomitant revascularization of the left anterior descending artery; 2) three cases of valvular reoperation and 3) a patient who opted for the open procedure.

In G1, aortic valve replacement was performed in all patients (40 cases). We decided to implant the metallic prosthesis in 33 cases (St. Jude Medical System®). Seven patients aged over 70 years received bioprosthetic implant (Braile Biomedica®). Upper J Ministernotomy to the right was performed on ten patients because they presented severe valve calcification and dilation of the ascending aorta, in other cases 75% (30/40 cases), we performed a right anterolateral minithoracotomy through the 2nd (5/30 cases) or 3rd intercostal space (25/30 cases) (Figure 6).

Among the 20 patients from G2, 7 received biological prostheses (Braile Biomedica®) and thirteen metallic prostheses (St. Jude Medical System®), median sternotomy was performed in all patients.

The mean CPB and aortic clamping in G1 were respectively: 142.7 ± 59.5 min and 88.6 ± 31.5 min and in G2, 98.1 ± 39.1 and 67.7 ± 26, 2 ($P$ <0.05), a difference in the medians of 39 min on CPB and 23 min in aortic clamping was observed in favor of the conventional technique. In our service, we systematically use immediate extubation attempt, when the patient is still in the operating room. Almost all patients from G1 group were extubated immediately after the surgery, 92.5% of them, and only 75% were extubated in G2 (Table 2).

The total blood loss through chest tubes was significantly lower in the minimally invasive group: 605.1 ± 679.5 ml (G1) versus 1617 ± 1390 mL (G2) ($P$ <0.05). Mean time of hospitalization in the intensive care unit (ICU) and hospital were lower in G1: 2.3 ± 1.8 and 5.5 ± 5.4 days versus 5.1 ± 3.6 and 10 ± 5.1 G2 ($P$ <0.05), respectively. The use of vasoactive drugs in the postoperative period was also lower in the minimally invasive group, 12.8% in G1 versus 45% in G2 (Table 3).

Two (5%) patients died in the group undergoing minimally invasive procedure and one (5%) in the median sternotomy group, without statistical significance. Postoperative complications were observed in both groups and showed no significant difference being reported in Table 4.

Fig 6 - Access options for minithoracotomies for surgical treatment of aortic valve. Right anterolateral minithoracotomy: A: Access via the 2nd right intercostal space, B: 3rd right intercostal space and upper hemisternotomy, C: access of the furcula to the 3rd J intercostal space
Table 2. Surgical times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Minimally invasive access</th>
<th>Median sternotomy</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ECC time (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>127.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>142.7±59.5</td>
<td>98.1±39.1</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic clamping (minutes)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>80.0</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>88.6±31.5</td>
<td>67.7±26.2</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extubation in the operating room</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valve type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biological</td>
<td>7/17.5%</td>
<td>7/35.0%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metallic</td>
<td>33/82.5%</td>
<td>13/65%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ns = not significant

Table 3. Postoperative variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Minimally invasive access</th>
<th>Minimally invasive access</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Care Unit (days)</td>
<td>1-10</td>
<td>2-14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>2.3±1.8</td>
<td>5.1±3.6</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospitalization period (days)</td>
<td>2-25</td>
<td>4-20</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>5.5±5.4</td>
<td>10.0±5.1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total thoracic drainage (ml)</td>
<td>100-2850</td>
<td>300-5000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>925</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>605.1±679.5</td>
<td>1617.5±1390.8</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemoderivatives (units)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean±standard deviation</td>
<td>1.13±1.54</td>
<td>2.0±1.9</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vasoactive drugs (numbers)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Postoperative complications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Minimally invasive access</th>
<th>Minimally invasive access</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>2/5%</td>
<td>1/5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological events</td>
<td>3/7.5%</td>
<td>1/5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New atrial fibrillation</td>
<td>3/7.5%</td>
<td>2/10%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>2/5%</td>
<td>2/10%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory failure</td>
<td>2/5%</td>
<td>3/15%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleural effusion</td>
<td>2/5%</td>
<td>1/5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical wound infection</td>
<td>0/0%</td>
<td>1/5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reoperation for bleeding</td>
<td>3/7.5%</td>
<td>1/5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissection of the ascending aorta</td>
<td></td>
<td>3/7.5%</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conversion to sternotomy</td>
<td>2/5%</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ns</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ns = not significant

DISCUSSION

The concept of minimally invasive heart surgery incisions occurred in the mid-nineties. In the beginning, smaller incisions to access the mitral, aortic and coronary valves were introduced, such as the upper and lower hemi-sternotomy with transection of the sternum and the lateral thoracotomy [11,12]. Left thoracotomy for single revascularization of anterior descending and right artery to give access to the mitral valve or coronary artery were also used. The right anterolateral thoracotomy had been used in the past with preference for mitral disease, but it was discontinued due to the best results with median thoracotomy or sternotomy [13-15].

Except for myocardial revascularization without CPB, minimally invasive surgery, mainly under the aortic valve, was once considered dangerous due to the high mortality rate when compared to the conventional...
technique. Bridgewater et al. [16] demonstrated a 43% mortality in minimally invasive surgery compared with 7% in the conventional surgery for the treatment of aortic valve. Even when other centers showed more encouraging results, still it would not attract the attention of cardiac surgeons in the world [17,18].

Currently, minimally invasive cardiac surgery has shown its best results when using the aid of videothoracoscopy. Besides these video equipment, the extrathoracic access to CPB was implemented, the so-called "port-access technology", an innovative technique for vascular access and peripheral aortic endoclamping [9,18]. The inclusion of transthoracic clamping did not change the idea of the technique. Brinkman et al. [19] presented the favorable experience of using port-access surgical treatment of aortic valve using transthoracic clamp with flexible Cosgrove®.

Since 1995 multicenter studies have been presented to demonstrate the effectiveness of this new method. Galloway et al. [10] in 1999, gathered data from 121 centers and included 1063 patients who underwent minimally invasive techniques with results similar to those of the conventional surgery, with the advantage of less aggression and pain, and use of hemoderivatives, in addition to hospital discharge and return to daily activities much earlier. In 2009, Dr. Galloway reported their data from a decade of experience with the method [2]. Grossi et al. [20] and Greco et al. [21] in 2002 and Mishra et al. [22] in 2005, reported highly favorable experiences of video-assisted techniques.

Specifically in the aortic valve, Tabata et al. [23] presented their experience with 1005 patients undergoing minimally invasive technique to treat aortic diseases, including from simple valve replacement procedures and also procedures in the ascending aorta, aortic root and reoperation, with excellent postoperative results. Cunningham et al. [24] in 2011, reported 101 patients after a short learning curve and results similar to those found with the conventional technique, when the aortic valve was treated by minithoracotomy.

In Brazil, Jatene et al., in 1997, Souto et al., in 2000, and Salerno et al., also in 2000, reported their initial experience with video-assisted surgery, but still in the vicinity of the heart. Mulinari et al. [25] in 1997 presented their experiences with ministernotomy under direct vision, including, among others, also with aortic valve procedures and concluded that the ministernotomy is a safe access and is associated with low morbidity. Dias et al. [26] in 2001 reported their positive experience with ministernotomy in relation to the aortic valve treatment.

Other national authors also have used the ministernotomy to treat aortic valve and defined the alternative technique as comparable to the traditional procedure. In this cases series, CPB was used in a conventional manner and was not vacuum-assisted since the cannulation was transthoracic occupying the same surgical field. This surgical option required larger chest incisions, reducing the aesthetic benefit and the expected reduction of postoperative discomfort [27-29].

Only in 2005 after the beginning of our experience [30-32] and Poffo et al. studies, in 2006 [33], a new phase of minimally invasive cardiac surgery started in our environment, including video-assisted surgery, the intracardiac procedures through peripheral CPB, vacuum assistance and minithoracotomy.

The right anterolateral minithoracotomy was the most used in this series, performed on the 2nd or 3rd intercostal space with variable incision between 4 and 7 cm and the aid of video-assisted surgery allowed adequate visualization of the aortic valve, making it possible to exchange them. Gersak et al. [34] in 2003 were the pioneers in aortic valve replacement performed under complete indirect vision, in other words, by video-assisted surgery. In order to do that, a 3cm submammary incision was used close to the 3rd intercostal space, which allowed perpendicular view of the aortic valve and prevented any aid by direct vision. Plass et al. [35] used the anterolateral minithoracotomy in most of their cases and the best intercostal space was defined by CT three-dimensional analysis.

The videosurgery is most used in atrioventricular disorders and assists in several surgical intrathoracic attitudes. Although they were not emphasized in the minimally invasive procedures for the treatment of aortic valve, we observed in our study, that the use of videology expands the visual field. The visualization of the right coronary ostium is difficult even in large incisions, as the right coronary cusp. The cleaning of the left ventricle can be performed more safely when using an indirect vision-assisted procedure, including in conventional surgery. All these procedures can be implemented with the aid of videography. The video-assisted procedure also helps in the transthoracic clamping in right minithoracotomy because it allows excellent visualization of the aorta, pulmonary artery and left atrium, decreasing the risk of injury to these structures, as it is observed when treating diseases of the atrioventricular valves [19].

In most of our patients (30/40 cases), we used the access via a 5cm right anterolateral minithoracotomy in the 3rd intercostal space. In ten (25%) patients with aortic disease, the access was performed in J hemisternotomy. We chose this access in cases where the ascending aorta was dilated or when the aortic valve was too calcified, since with this technique direct vision facilitates the aortic clamping and handling the compromised valve. Other accesses as in inverted T, H or L hemisternotomy to the left have also been suggested by some authors, but they are associated with greater trauma, minor aesthetic benefits and / or anti pain [36-38].
The CPB time and myocardial anoxia were longer in G1, similar to those found in the literature, but without sacrificing the benefits of the technique [19,39]. Other authors have shown that, with more experience with the method, these times become almost similar to those of the sternotomy [23,40,41]. In our series, the minimally invasive access group demonstrated CPB and aortic clamping times, respectively: 142.7 ± 59.5 min and 88.6 ± 31.5 min. These data were comparable to those presented by Plass et al. [35] in an article published about the subject in 2009, were longer than those with median sternotomy, but similar in relation to morbimortality [42,43].

The times of postoperative outcome reduced in the minimally invasive group in this series were also confirmed by other authors [44]. These authors suggest that less contact with the chest cavity maintains the expandability and lung function, facilitating earlier extubation and a postoperative recovery to be faster. This fact was also identified when we demonstrated the high rate of immediate extubation in our G1 (92.5%). The maintenance of lung function, the increased thoracic stability associated with reduced postoperative pain are probably responsible for shorter hospital times, when compared to the times of recovery after median sternotomy [45,46].

The need for inotropic support was greater in G2 (42%) and only 12% in cases of minimally invasive procedures. This fact is rarely discussed in articles presented in the literature, but it was reported by Moustafa et al. [46] comparing 0% versus 50% of inotropes used only in cases of conventional sternotomy. Szwer et al. [47] compared the partial and total sternotomy in aortic valve surgery and also observed a reduction in the use of inotropes in the alternative procedure.

Surgical bleeding, especially during the postoperative period was reduced in G1 compared to the conventional approach (P < 0.05). The use of hemoderivatives was also lower in our series. These elements are much emphasized by several authors who report, in addition to shorter hospital times, reduced blood loss and need for hemoderivatives in cases of minimally invasive surgery [11,48,49].

Reoperation for bleeding was low and similar in both groups analyzed (7.5% vs. 5%). Vanoverbeke et al. [41] showed 7.5% reexploration by bleeding in the minimally invasive group with no difference when comparing with the conventional technique and Brinkman et al. [19] in 2010, 8.1% of reoperations for bleeding in patients undergoing port-access procedures.

The use of access via femoral artery, considered as a complicating factor in minimally invasive surgery was not associated with major complications in our series. Only 1 patient in G1 showed complications at the site of arterial cannulation, which underwent reexploration. The femoral cannulation (extrathoracic) facilitates the use of smaller incisions, because it does not occupy space in the surgical field. Comments about additional costs, among cannulas and instruments, have been challenged by many authors, who confirmed the reduction of total hospital costs when using the minimal accesses [44,45,50].

The conversion to sternotomy occurred in two (5%) cases of G1, both by dissection in the ascending aorta that impossible to be treated by the minimal incisions. A third case of dissection was successfully corrected by minithoracotomy. It was noted that when we use the minithoracotomy, we find greater difficulty in correcting minor bleeding in the aortic suture, sometimes progressing to larger dissections. Reflecting on these complications, a complementary care was used by our team with aortic clamping at low pressures, the aortectomy raffia in two planes, the cardioplegia cannula removal and control of bleeding (even if minimal) always on CPB and at low pressures. A meta-analysis published in 2009 [48] included 4856 patients undergoing aortic valve replacement procedures for minimally invasive or conventional procedures, referring 3% conversion to sternotomy. In this same report there was no difference in mortality, although CPB and aortic clamping times were longer.

Three (7.5%) patients developed cerebrovascular accident (CVA) in G1 and 1 (5%) in G2, with no significant difference between groups, and both direct relationship was observed with complication of severe calcification of the aortic valve suggest with no relationship with air embolism. Only one patient from G1 progressed to permanent sequel. Modi et al. [51] also reported a 2.6% of CVA in 12 years of use of minimally invasive surgery.

Other minor complications, such as atrial fibrillation, pleural effusion, and others, were similar in both groups. Regarding mortality and postoperative complications, we found that morbimortality was the same in both groups. A 2009 report published by the European Association of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Scarci et al. [49] reviewed 115 articles and confirmed that minimally invasive procedures do not increase the risk of death or other major complications, and it depends on the patient's preference or the experience of the surgical team using this method.

Six patients in the open surgery group (sternotomy) had concomitant procedures. In the three cases of associated revascularization of the anterior descending coronary artery, due to the minimal increase in surgical time, we do not consider it as an influencing factor in perioperative outcomes. The reoperations could be seen as a bias in this study, but the small number of cases did not apparently change the outcome. Many international authors have also used smaller incisions in aortic valve reoperations, but we chose not to use it in this early experience [23]. The main emphasis of this work was to demonstrate the feasibility of this method and its similarity in postoperative results, especially in relation to morbimortality.
CONCLUSION

The major advantages of the minimally invasive technique were observed due to a minimal surgical trauma, in less postoperative pain and reduced blood loss; as a result we had less use of hemoderivatives and shorter periods of postoperative recovery, statistically lower when compared to those found for the conventional technique.

In this sample we could demonstrated that the minimally invasive technique can be used safely and effectively in cases of aortic valve surgery without changing the results already found for the median sternotomy.

The access by ministernotomy in cases of severely calcified aortic stenosis is a good option to the right minithoracotomy technique, thus keeping the idea of smaller incisions.
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