Late Clinical Outcomes of Firebird™ Sirolimus-Eluting Stent for the Treatment of Coronary Artery Disease in Daily Practice – 24-Month Follow-up of the CLARIFIRE Registry
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ABSTRACT

Background: The Firebird™ sirolimus-eluting stent has proven to be effective in inhibiting neointimal hyperplasia in selected patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention. Our objective was to evaluate the performance and long-term outcomes of Firebird™ in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary intervention in daily practice in Brazil. Methods: The CLARIFIRE Registry was a prospective, non-randomized, multicenter study enrolling 455 patients (536 lesions) in 14 Brazilian sites between December 2008 and May 2011. Clinical follow-up was performed at 1, 6, 12, and 24 months, and adverse events were adjudicated by the independent Clinical Events Committee. Results: Mean age was 61.1 ± 10.4 years, 30.8% were women, 41.9% had diabetes, and 58.2% had stable angina. The left anterior descending artery was the most prevalent target vessel (46.5%), 29.9% were restenotic lesions, and 8% were bifurcations. Six hundred and thirteen stents were implanted, and the mean nominal stent length and diameter were 22.0 ± 6.4 mm and 2.90 ± 0.40 mm, respectively. Procedural success was 97.6%. The cumulative major adverse cardiac events rate at 12 months (primary endpoint) was 8.1%. Considering post-discharge events up to 24 months (409/455), major adverse cardiac events were observed in 9.8%, cardiac death in 3.9%, and target vessel revascularization in 7.6% of the patients. Definite/probable stent thrombosis was observed in nine cases (2%) up to 30 days, and no further occurrences were observed.

RESUMO

Resultados Clínicos Tardios do Stent Farmacológico Liberador de Sirolimus Firebird® no Tratamento de Pacientes com Doença Arterial Coronária na Prática Diária – Seguimento de 24 Meses do Registro CLARIFIRE

Introdução: O stent farmacológico liberador de sirolimus Firebird® já demonstrou eficácia na inibição de hiperplasia neointimal em pacientes selecionados submetidos à intervenção coronária percutânea. Nosso objetivo foi avaliar o desempenho e o resultado clínico tardio do dispositivo Firebird® em pacientes submetidos à intervenção coronária percutânea na prática diária nacional. Métodos: O Registro CLARIFIRE foi um estudo prospectivo, não randomizado, multicêntrico, que incluiu 455 pacientes (536 lesões) em 14 centros no Brasil entre dezembro de 2008 e maio de 2011. O seguimento clínico foi realizado aos 1, 6, 12 e 24 meses, e os eventos adversos foram adjudicados por um Comitê de Eventos Clínicos independente. Resultados: A média das idades foi de 61,1 ± 10,4 anos, 30,8% eram do sexo feminino, 41,9% tinham diabetes e 58,2% apresentaram-se com angina estável. O vaso-alvo mais prevalente foi a artéria descendente anterior (46,5%), 29,9% eram lesões reestenóticas e 8% eram bifurcações. Foram implantados 613 stents e as médias de extensão e diâmetro nominal dos stents foram 22,0 ± 6,4 mm e 2,90 ± 0,40 mm, respectivamente. O sucesso do procedimento foi de 97,6%. A taxa cumulativa de eventos adversos...
The advent of drug eluting stents (DES), at the beginning of this century, allowed for overcoming the main limitations of coronary stents: neointimal hyperplasia and subsequent angiographic restenosis, and the need for revascularization of the target lesion (TLR). However, with the expansion and diversification of the use of first-generation DES systems (Cypher; Cordis – Miami Lakes, United States, a sirolimus-eluting stent; and Taxus; Boston Scientific – Natick, United States, a paclitaxel-eluting stent), concerns have arisen regarding the late effectiveness and safety of these devices, especially in more complex subgroups. These concerns have encouraged the development of new DES systems, including alternative stent platforms, more biocompatible drug-carrier systems, and potent antiproliferative agents.

The Firebird® stent (MicroPort Medical Co., Ltd. – Shanghai, China) is a DES system already approved for clinical use in Asia and South America, which incorporates a stainless steel platform with a low crossing profile, associated with a potent and widely-studied immunosuppressant (sirolimus) and a durable drug-carrier component (polymer), designed to optimize the transport of the product in a proper and safe manner. Initial studies with selected populations have demonstrated the efficacy of this new device in preventing neointimal hyperplasia, with restenosis rates comparable to those in studies with first-generation DES, as well as reducing target vessel revascularization (TVR) and major adverse cardiovascular events (MACE) at late follow-up, compared to bare-metal stents. Thus, because the production of the Firebird® stent is facilitated in selected locations, with its reduced costs, now this type of technology is available to a larger portion of the population.

This study aimed to evaluate the performance and late clinical outcome of Firebird®, a sirolimus-eluting stent, in the treatment of a minimally selected Brazilian population in daily clinical practice.

METHODS
Protocol and study population

The Brazilian Protocol for Evaluating Long-Term Safety and Efficacy of Firebird® Stent in Clinical Practice (CLARIFIRE) Registry was a prospective, non-randomized, multicenter, single-arm, Phase IV (post-marketing) study held in Brazil in order to evaluate the performance of Firebird®, a sirolimus-eluting stent, in daily practice.

This study included individuals aged > 18 years undergoing routine or emergency percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) in several participating centers; such patients were required to have at least one lesion with ≥ 50% stenosis in a native coronary artery, with a diameter ≥ 2.5 mm by visual estimate, and with favorable anatomy for PCI, with implantation of at least one Firebird® stent, with no pre-established limitations as to the number of injuries and/or vessels to be treated. In patients with multivessel disease, “target lesion” was defined as an injury compatible with the coronary territory involved, as indicated by complementary tests (electrocardiogram [ECG], myocardial scintigraphy, or stress echo). The operating physician was solely responsible for the final decision with respect to the vessels’ approach strategy. Patients with a life expectancy < 12 months, with inability to perform all pre-established clinical follow-up procedures, as well as with an inadequate coronary anatomy for Firebird® sirolimus-eluting stent implantation, were excluded.

The study was conducted under the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki regarding human research, and was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the participating centers, as well as complying with regulations and requirements of Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa (CONEP). In addition, each
participating patient signed an informed consent prior to his/her inclusion in the study.

Device description

Firebird®, a sirolimus-eluting system, uses the Mustang®, stent (MicroPort Medical Co., Ltd. – Shanghai, China) as platform and is approved for commercial use in Brazil and the European Community. This device incorporates a 316L stainless steel metal platform, whose design features sinuous curves of varying sizes, connected by brackets in the form of “n”, with 0.0040” (101 µm) thickness, in order to obtain a balance of flexibility and radial strength. The device is coated with a durable polymer that carries and controls the release of sirolimus. Such components are distributed in three levels: (1) coating, or base coating, consisting only of the polymer, which involves the entire surface of the stent and adheres to the drug, ensuring that the base remains linked during device implantation; (2) drug layer, comprising the drug and polymer composition; and (3) top coating, consisting of a dedicated co-polymer formulation (ethylene-vinyl-acetate), which controls the release rate of sirolimus (Figure 1).

Procedure

PCI procedures were performed according to current guidelines, leaving at the operating physician’s discretion the final strategy for the procedure – staging or revascularization of all injuries in the same procedure (in the case of multiple target lesions). The following measures of the sirolimus-eluting stent Firebird® were provided: diameter, 2.5 mm, 2.75 mm, 3 mm, 3.5 mm, and 4.0 mm; length, 13 mm, 18 mm, 23 mm, 29 mm, and 33 mm.

In general, dual antiplatelet therapy consisted of acetylsalicylic acid (100-325 mg/day), plus thienopyridine (clopidogrel) (75 mg/day) in the case of chronic use (at least seven days before the procedure); or, alternatively, the choice was in favor of a loading dose of 300 to 600 mg before the procedure, in those patients where clopidogrel had not been started yet. After PCI, the acetylsalicylic acid therapy was maintained indefinitely, and thienopyridine was continued for at least six months (as recommended by the attending physician and/or according to the institution protocol). During the procedure, antithrombotic therapy with intravenous unfractionated heparin at a dose of 70-100 U/kg was also used in order to maintain an activated coagulation time of > 250 seconds (or > 200 seconds in the case of glycoprotein inhibitor IIb/IIIa administration). The decision on the use of a glycoprotein inhibitor IIb/IIIa was taken at the discretion of the operating physician.

In general, a 12-lead ECG was requested before, immediately after, and 24 hours after PCI. Laboratory tests, among them cardiac enzymes (creatine kinase[CK] and creatine kinase MB fraction [CK-MB]) before the procedure (< 24 hours), 18-24 hours after the procedure, and then daily, in case of some change, were performed until hospital discharge.

Database and monitoring

The study was conducted independently by a representative clinical research organization in the city of São Paulo (SP), through electronic registration of patients’ data collection, procedures, and clinical outcomes, as already described. To this end, this study used an electronic data capture system, with restricted access via personal passwords. All data entered were verified, to correct any discrepancies or inconsistent information through remote monitoring. In cases of occurrence of some adverse event, assessments were performed directly in the documentation-source, and subsequently adjudicated by the independent Clinical Events Committee. The information for identification was kept strictly confidential, since the patients were identified by a unique identifier code used in the electronic medical record. Prior to PCI, information was collected on baseline demographics, medical history, current medication, clinical presentation, laboratory tests, and ECG. Angiographic data consisted of morphology of coronary vessels and target lesions, quantitative estimate of the target lesion, and left-ventricular function estimate. Procedural data included pre- and/or post-dilatation of the lesion, stenting, adjuvant procedures and treatments, as well as angiographic and procedural complications. Post-procedure data also included clinical complications, laboratory data, ECG, and prescribed medications, including antiplatelet therapy.

Definitions, outcomes, and clinical follow-up

The primary combined end point was the occurrence of MACE at 12 months of follow-up, while secondary endpoints included success rates of the procedure, MACE in the pre-specified intervals of the study, TLR at 6 and 12 months, and stent thrombosis
up to 24 months. MACE was defined as cardiac death, myocardial infarction (MI), TLR, or TVR. All deaths were considered as of cardiac origin, unless a non-cardiac cause could be clearly established by clinical and/or pathological study. The occurrence of MI was considered for the following: onset of new pathological Q waves in ≥ 2 contiguous leads in ECG and/or elevation of CK-MB or troponin above the upper limit of normal (ULN); or elevation of CK ≥ 2 × ULN with any elevation of CK-MB and/or troponin in the absence of Q waves. TLR and TVR were defined as a new percutaneous or surgical intervention in the target injury and target vessel, respectively. Definite or probable stent thrombosis was defined according to Academic Research Consortium criteria;\textsuperscript{21} this complication was considered as definite when there was presence of acute coronary syndrome and angiographic or pathological confirmation of stent occlusion; and probable if there was occurrence of sudden death ≤ 30 days after the procedure-index, or target vessel MI, without angiographic confirmation of stent occlusion. Stent thrombosis was also classified according to its temporal occurrence: acute (≤ 24 hours), subacute (> 1 day and < 30 days), late (> 30 days and ≤ 360 days), and very late (> 360 days). Angiographic success was defined as a residual stenosis (visual estimate) < 30% at the end of the procedure. Procedural success was defined as angiographic success and non-occurrence of MACE during hospitalization.

Clinical follow-up consisted of a preclinical visit or telephone contact, according to a predefined protocol at the end of 30 days, and 6, 12, and 24 months after the procedure.

**Statistical analysis**

Distributions of continuous variables were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Distributions of discrete (or categorical) variables were expressed as frequencies and percentages.

**RESULTS**

From December 2008 to May 2011, 455 individuals were included in 14 national centers, and 447 (98.2%) and 409 (89.9%) patients completed their clinical follow-up (or had data available) at 12 and 24 months, respectively, as shown in Figure 2.

With regard to baseline characteristics, the patients’ mean age was 61.1 ± 10.4 years; 30.8% were female. There was a predominance of patients with systemic hypertension, dyslipidemia, and smoking history, and 41.9% had diabetes mellitus. Regarding clinical presentation, the majority (58.2%) had stable angina, while only 2.9% had a diagnosis of AMI (Table 1). The most commonly used adjuvant medications were statins (87.7%), beta-blockers (83.9%), angiotensin-converting-enzyme inhibitors (58.7%), angiotensin-II-receptor antagonists (22.2%), nitrates (37.6%), calcium channel antagonists (21.1%), and diuretics (21.5%).

**Angiographic and procedural characteristics**

Tables 2 and 3 show angiographic and procedural data. The anterior descending artery (AD) was the most treated target vessel; 29.9% were restenotic lesions and 8% occurred in bifurcations. 536 injuries were treated with 613 stents (mean of stents per patient > 1.3). In most cases, it was decided to carry out pre- (60.6%) and/or post-dilatation (59.1%). Although there is no restriction as to the staged procedure, only 13 (2.9%) cases were treated in this manner. Additionally, in 433 (95.2%) cases, only the study stent was used; in the other 4.8%, the study stent was combined with another stent, at the discretion of the operating physician.

Before the procedure, the mean lesion length, reference diameter, and stenosis diameter were 19.0 ± 8.0 mm, 3.03 ± 2.2 mm, and 80.4 ± 14.1%, respectively.
Correspondingly, the mean nominal values of stent length and diameter were 22.0 ± 6.4 mm and 2.90 ± 0.35 mm, respectively (Table 3). At the end of PCI, procedural success was achieved in 97.6% of patients.

**Clinical events**

In the in-hospital phase, clinical event rates were as follows: MACE 1.8%, MI 1.5%, TLR 0.9%, and TVR 1.1%, with no reported deaths in that period. In the late follow-up, the cumulative rates of adverse events after discharge can be seen in Figure 3. It is noted that the cumulative rate of the primary endpoint of MACE at 12 months was 8.1%, including a total of 11 (2.5%) cases of cardiac death. Between 12 and 24 months, ten new deaths (five cardiac, five non-cardiac) occurred. Table 4 lists a detailed description of all fatal events that occurred until the 24-month follow-up.

In relation to definite or probable stent thrombosis,
TABLE 4
Description of deaths up to 24 months of follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Previous history (pre-procedure)</th>
<th>Clinical indication of PCI</th>
<th>Target vessel</th>
<th>Primary clinical presentation of the event</th>
<th>Time to event (days)</th>
<th>Adjudicationa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, CRF</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>CHF/ARF</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI, CABG</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Sudden death</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, DLP, AMI, CRF</td>
<td>Silent ischemia</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Sudden death</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>SA III</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Sudden death</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Respiratory failure</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, CABG</td>
<td>SA IV</td>
<td>LMCA</td>
<td>Acute pulmonary edema</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP</td>
<td>Silent ischemia</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>ARF</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, CRF, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, CRF, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>Silent ischemia</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Pneumonia</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, AMI, CABG, stroke</td>
<td>SA I</td>
<td>RC-AD</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, PCI</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, CABG</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Sudden death</td>
<td>392</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>556</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>SA II</td>
<td>ADb</td>
<td>Septic shock</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Cardiogenic shock</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>RC</td>
<td>Sudden death</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>Cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>SBP, DLP, PCI</td>
<td>SA III</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Hemorrhagic stroke</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Former smoker, PCI</td>
<td>Silent ischemia</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Gastrointestinal cancer</td>
<td>664</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, stroke</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>AD</td>
<td>Peripheral arterial thrombosis</td>
<td>739</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>DM, SBP, DLP, former smoker, AMI, PCI</td>
<td>ACS</td>
<td>Cx</td>
<td>Breast cancer</td>
<td>758</td>
<td>Non-cardiac death</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Events adjudicated by the Independent Clinical Events Committee; bBifurcation lesion treated with two-stent technique. PCI, percutaneous coronary intervention; F, female; DM, diabetes mellitus; SBP, systemic hypertension; DLP, dyslipidemia; CRF, chronic renal failure; SA, stable angina; Cx, circumflex coronary artery; CHF, congestive heart failure; ARF, acute renal failure; M, male; AMI, acute myocardial infarction; CABG, coronary artery bypass graft; ACS, acute coronary syndrome; AD, anterior descending coronary artery; RC, right coronary artery; LMCA, left main coronary artery; LIM, left internal mammary artery.

the non-occurrence of late and very late events stands out, despite nine cases of early thrombosis (up to 30 days).

DISCUSSION

DES, especially sirolimus-eluting systems, have consistently demonstrated their effectiveness in inhibiting neointimal hyperplasia, in angiographic restenosis, and in cases needing repeat revascularization.1-3,11,14-18,22 In an analysis by Stone et al.,21 including patients from the RAVEL, SIRIUS, E-SIRIUS, and C-SIRIUS studies, the effectiveness of these devices in reducing TLR rates in four years was evidenced, when compared to bare-metal stents (7.8% vs. 23.6%; p < 0.001), besides their long-term safety, with no significant difference with respect to stent thrombosis rates, death, and MI. In this context, new sirolimus-eluting devices have been developed, among these the Firebird® system,11-13 which, in this study, was safe and effective in both the
in-hospital period and in the long-term monitoring of minimally selected patients in clinical practice, including high percentages of diabetic patients (> 40%) and restenotic lesions (30%).

The results of the first Chinese registry using Firebird® stents suggested that such device was safe and effective in a non-selected Chinese population, since MACE and TVR rates after 12 months were 4.8% and 2.4%, respectively.11 Additionally, in another study involving 509 consecutive patients treated with Firebird® in Chinese centers and followed for up to three years, rates for MACE of 7.9% and for TVR of 5.1% were found.18 Compared to the first Chinese registry, findings of this study showed higher cumulative rates of MACE and TVR at 12 months (8.1% vs. 4.8%), but that study involved only 84 patients, which, in general, showed lower cardiovascular risk, with lower prevalence of important risk factors compared to the CLARIFIRE registry (systemic hypertension: 66.7% vs. 87.3%; dyslipidemia: 40.5% vs. 79.3%; diabetes: 15.5% vs. 41.9%, respectively).11 Also in comparison with the study by Zhang et al.,18 the population appeared to be at lower cardiovascular risk (hypertension, 59.3%; dyslipidemia, 33.8%; diabetes, 20.2%); even so, the results in the very late stage were similar to the present findings. Interestingly, these authors demonstrated that the occurrence of MACE more than doubled (13.7% vs. 6.4%) in diabetic patients as compared to non-diabetic patients, which could explain, at least in part, the rates of MACE in the present population (42% of diabetics).18 Moreover, the result of a previous study involving diabetic patients with multivessel disease and treated with Firebird® stents was a rate of MACE at 12 months of 21%, mostly at the expense of new revascularization.24 This has been confirmed by Rapamycin-Eluting Stent Evaluated At Rotterdam Cardiology Hospital (RESEARCH) registry findings; that study demonstrated that presence of diabetes mellitus can increase the chance of occurrence of MACE by up to 2.6 times in patients treated with a sirolimus-eluting stent.25

When compared with conventional stents or with the Cypher® system in the treatment of de novo lesions with length ≥ 30 mm, the Firebird® DES was superior to bare-metal stents with respect to binary restenosis rates at 6 months, both in intrasegment (14.6% vs. 36.1%; relative risk – RR = 0.41, p = 0.04) and intrastent (9.8% vs. 30.6%; HR = 0.32; p = 0.03) analysis, with a significant impact on MACE rates at 12 months (7.7% vs. 27.0%; p = 0.03), respectively. In comparison with the Cypher® system, Firebird® showed comparable results, with similar rates of intrasegment restenosis (14.6% vs. 12.8% [RR = 1.14; p = 0.81]) and intrastent restenosis (9.8% vs. 10.3% [RR = 0.95; p = 0.94]); and also MACE rate, 7.7% vs. 5.4% (p = 1.0), respectively.17 These results are comparable to those of the present analysis, whose cumulative rates of MACE at 12 and 24 months were 8.1% and 10.5%, respectively, corroborating the safety and efficacy of the device under study in a population of higher complexity. Of note is the fact that the Firebird® system has shown remarkable efficacy in restenotic lesions,26 which were widely represented in the CLARIFIRE registry.

Furthermore, the impact of the Firebird® stent was also evaluated in high-risk subgroups, including acute coronary syndrome. Gao et al.,15 studying patients with acute myocardial infarction with ST-segment elevation, showed superiority of the Firebird® DES when compared to bare-metal stents, with significant reductions in TVR (6.9% vs. 30.9%; p < 0.05) and MACE (9.9% vs. 36.4%; p < 0.05) at 5 months follow-up. These authors also found a low incidence of acute and subacute stent thrombosis. In the present registry, the occurrence of thrombosis (definite or probable) was also relatively low (2.0%), and was confined to the first 30 post-procedural days. It should be emphasized that late or very late stent thrombosis did not occur, which highlights the long-term safety of the device. In general, the occurrence of stent thrombosis, both acute and subacute, has been associated to factors (or complications) related to the procedure and to compliance with dual antiplatelet therapy, with no difference between DES and bare-metal stents.5,27 However, the occurrence of late or very late events has been instrumental in the safety assessment of modern types of DES.28

The presence of a durable polymer has been a cause of concern about the safety of DES, due to its association with pathological changes and toxicity in the vessel wall.29,30 With that in mind, Liu et al.,22 in a study of 190 patients with angiographic follow-up at 6 months, compared Firebird® with Excel®, a bioabsorbable-polymer sirolimus-eluting stent (JW Medical Co. Ltd. – Shandong Province, China). In these authors’ analysis, low rates of MACE (0% vs. 2.1%; p > 0.05) and of binary restenosis (0% in both groups) were observed, with no significant difference.22

The present study had some limitations. First, the study had no control group, which may compromise the comparative assessment of the safety and efficacy of the device. Secondly, the majority of patients completed 12 months of follow-up (98.2%); however, the 24-month follow-up was slightly lower (~ 90%), which could influence the interpretation of the actual occurrence of late adverse events. This can be understood as a limitation in studies of this nature (real world “registries”) with a very late follow-up. However, all adverse events reported in this study were adjudicated by the independent Clinical Events Committee. Finally, the device tested does not represent the later generations of the Firebird® DES system – with low cobalt-chromium profile platforms, which should be available soon in this community.31,32
CONCLUSIONS

Firebird®, a sirolimus-eluting stent, showed a favorable performance, besides continuing safety and effectiveness in the treatment of daily practice patients, as evidenced by the high success rate of the procedure and the relatively low occurrence of adverse events at the end of two years in a minimally selected Brazilian cohort from clinical practice, with high cardiovascular risk and a high proportion of diabetics. In general, the results obtained in this study are comparable to the results of several previous studies in different populations, as well as with those findings demonstrated by the first generation sirolimus-eluting stent.
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