Abdominoplasty after gastroplasty: evaluation of 100 cases operated on by the “fish” technique

Dermolipectomia abdominal pós-gastroplastia: avaliação de 100 casos operados pela técnica do “peixinho”

ABSTRACT

Background: Morbid obesity is a worldwide endemic disease. Its growing incidence makes it a public health problem. Bariatric surgery is an alternative weight loss method with the objective of controlling obesity-associated diseases and improving quality of life. The surgical treatment of the deformities resulting from significant weight loss is a new challenge for plastic surgeons. This paper presents a new technique for abdominoplasty in formerly obese patients with a midline abdominal scar resulting from gastroplasty. Methods: A total of 28 men and 72 women who underwent abdominoplasty after gastroplasty by the “fish” technique described in this study were analyzed. Results: The results obtained with the technique presented were satisfactory, resulting in a new body contour and a low complication rate. Conclusions: Abdominoplasty with markings forming a fish shape is successful for the removal of excess abdominal skin in patients who underwent bariatric surgery.
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INTRODUCTION

Morbid obesity is a worldwide endemic disease. It is related to genetic, endocrine, behavioral, and environmental factors. Morbidly obese patients are at an increased risk of developing diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, and the condition can also be fatal. There are about 15 million obese people in the United States and among them approximately 5 million are morbidly obese. In Brazil, it is estimated that there are about 10 million obese people, of which 100 thousand are morbidly obese. Bariatric surgery was developed with the purpose of reducing the risk factors associated with obesity and to improve the quality of life of these individuals.

Specialized centers and multi-specialty teams have developed specific treatment protocols, including surgical, nutritional, and psychological management of obese patients. Candidates for surgery should have a body mass index (BMI) ≥ 40 or BMI > 35 along with comorbidities. As the bariatric surgery technique evolved, malabsorptive procedures were replaced by mixed techniques (restrictive and malabsorptive), which offer a better nutritional prognosis for the patient.

The plastic surgeon is responsible for removing the cutaneous excess skin arising from significant weight loss. Vertical and horizontal skin sagging and a supraumbilical median scar are common consequences of gastroplasty. Initially, the plastic surgeon is responsible for advising the formerly obese patient with regard to the patient’s expectations of the outcome of plastic surgery. The reconstructive character of the surgery, the possible generation of new scars, and the limitations of the classic abdominoplasty should be emphasized.

Abdominoplasty for the treatment of excess skin in formerly obese patients began with Kelly, at the beginning of the 20th century. In the 1960s, several variations of the procedure were proposed by authors such as Castañares & Goethel, who described a vertical and horizontal resection resulting in an anchor-like final scar. In the 1970s, Regnault advocated the removal of the cutaneous excess with an incision in a “fleur-de-lis” pattern. Pitanguy developed the method of transverse abdominoplasty, with large detachment of the abdominal flap and plication of the rectus abdominis muscle for diastasis correction. These techniques are still in use by many surgeons. Procedures that combine the use of dermolipectomy, in which large detachments are made, are recommended for improvement of the excess skin. These procedures require two highly skilled surgeons with their associated staff, and result in large, round, and vertical scars.

In 2003, we introduced a new option for abdominoplasty in post-bariatric surgery with the purpose of improving body contour and minimizing scarring and blood loss. This paper describes the results of this novel abdominoplasty technique in formerly obese patients with a median abdominal scar resulting from gastroplasty.

METHODS

Abdominoplasties were performed in 100 formerly obese patients with median xyphoumbilical scars resulting from gastroplasties. All patients were operated on by the same general surgery team using the technique of Fobi-Capella. A total of 28 men and 72 women who participated in the study were operated between June 2003 and June 2008. The average age of the patients at the time of the plastic surgery procedure was 34 years. The average weight loss was 51 kg.

The weight of the patients had stabilized over 5 months with a BMI < 34. Abdominoplasty was performed after an average of 17 months after the bariatric surgery procedure.

Surgical Technique

Surgical markings were performed with the patient in an upright position. A transverse line with the umbilical scar at the center was drawn, and the horizontal cutaneous excess was estimated at this level by means of bi-digital clamping (Figure 1A). This measurement ranged, on average, from 20 to 30 cm. The distance between the umbilical scar and the origin of the vertical scar from the previous laparotomy, which was close to the xiphoid appendix, was noted. This segment was divided into three equal parts, each measuring about 5 cm. A transverse line was drawn between the lower and intermediate segments with the median line at the center, measuring 70% of the length of the line drawn at the level of the umbilical scar (Figure 1B).

A new transverse line was drawn between the intermediate and upper segments with the median line at the center, measuring 70% of the length of the previously drawn line (for example, 1st = 20 cm, 2nd = 14 cm, 3rd = 9.8 cm).

In the natural crease of the abdomen, about 6 cm above the pubis, a line of similar length was traced to the most cranial line marked out (Figure 2A). The horizontal lines were joined at the ends and up to the median point at the origin of the vertical scar close to the xiphoid appendix, to determine the region to be removed. Two triangles between the pubic bone

Figure 1 – A, marking of the cutaneous excess by bi-digital clamping; B, marking of the area to be resected by means of horizontal lines.
and the iliac fossa determined the region of additional skin removal for the correction of “dog-ears” and sagging in the pubic region (Figure 2B). The final markings resemble the shape of a fish, giving this technique its name. The markings were confirmed with the patient in the supine position (Figure 3).

The patients were operated on under epidural anesthesia, and received cefazolin (2 g) as antibiotic prophylaxis and low molecular weight heparin (20 mg) as thromboembolic prophylaxis, from the eve of the surgery up to the 5th postoperative day. The subcutaneous cellular tissue was infiltrated along the markings with a 1:200000 adrenaline solution and saline. The umbilical scar was isolated from the abdominal flap and maintained attached to the aponeurosis. Broken blood vessels were cauterized concomitantly to the removal of the dermal-adipose excess to minimize blood loss. The removed excess skin was weighed. Plication of the rectus abdominis muscles was performed by approximating the sides to the median line in two planes of continuous 1-0 nylon sutures. The umbilical scar was attached to the abdominal aponeurosis with separate 3-0 nylon sutures. After revision of hemostasis, reconstruction was performed with Vicryl® 2-0 sutures at the Scarpa’s fascia. The pubic region was attached at the most caudal line previously drawn. Sagging of the skin in the pubic region and the need for correction of skin excess in the lower abdomen were assessed, and excess skin was removed when necessary using the previously delineated compensation triangles. Skin removal at the pubic region was prioritized to ensure that the resulting scar would be formed around it without extending laterally at the thighs or iliac fossa, leaving a final appearance of an inverted “Y”. In cases of pronounced pubic lipodystrophy, liposuction was performed with a 3 mm gauge “Mercedes” type cannula. The periumbilical skin was sutured to the aponeurosis with four to six 3-0 nylon sutures to avoid umbilical traction and consequent scar enlargement. The isolated umbilical scar was sutured to the abdominal skin using 4-0 nylon sutures. Reconstruction was performed in three planes with separate 3-0 Vicryl® sutures and colorless 4-0 Vicryl subdermal and 4-0 nylon intradermal sutures in a sequence. Sterile gauze dressings and hypoallergenic tape were used at the end of the procedure. A surgical compression mesh was used for 30 days.

RESULTS

The weight of the excess dermal adipose tissue removed ranged between 200 g and 6,000 g, with an average of 2,017 g. All patients were discharged one day after surgery, with weekly follow-up for 30 days and revision 6 and 12 months after surgery (Table 1).

The complications involved scar enlargement, especially at the umbilical scar, in 9% of the patients (observed in the first cases that did not undergo fixation of the periumbilical skin to the aponeurosis), seroma in 6%, and hematoma in 1% of the cases.

All patients considered the scar to be of acceptable size and were satisfied with the overall result, and 8% of the patients expressed a desire to undergo a “retouch” to improve scar appearance.

All patients were able to carry out their daily activities 20 days after the operation.

The final result was a new body contour, a xyphopubic vertical scar, and an inverted “Y” scar at the suprapubic region.

Figures 4 to 9 show the results obtained with some patients included in the study.

DISCUSSION

The significant weight loss of patients undergoing bariatric surgery results in great distortion of body contour. Restrictions

---

Table 1 – Profile of the operated patients.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>72 women/28 men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average age</td>
<td>34 years old (21 to 63 years old)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preoperative BMI</td>
<td>26 kg/m² (22 kg/m² to 33 kg/m²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight loss</td>
<td>51 kg (26 kg to 100 kg)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Removed flap</td>
<td>2,017 g (200 g to 6,000 g)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index.
Figure 4 – A and B, preoperative images. C and D, postoperative period of 2 years; weight loss of 53 kg; flap of 22 cm x 29 cm; flap weight, 1,100 g.

Figure 5 – A and B, preoperative images. C and D, postoperative period of 3 years; weight loss of 40 kg; flap of 33 cm x 23 cm; flap weight, 1,450 g.

Figure 6 – A and B, preoperative images. C and D, postoperative period of 1 year. E and F, postoperative period of 5 years; weight loss of 58 kg; flap of 30 cm x 43 cm; flap weight, 4,500 g.

Figure 7 – A and B, preoperative images. C and D, postoperative period of 2 years; weight loss of 51 kg; flap of 33 cm x 24 cm; flap weight, 1,750 g.

Figure 8 – A and B, preoperative images. C and D, postoperative period of 17 months. E and F, postoperative period of 6 years; weight loss of 55 kg; flap weight, 700 g.

Figure 9 – A, B and C, preoperative images. D, E and F, postoperative period of 8 months; weight loss of 50 kg; flap of 20 cm x 28 cm; flap weight, 1,200 g.
in movement and personal hygiene may result in cutaneous infections. A surgical approach is necessary to restore function and for aesthetic purposes.

The surgical approach proposed in this study is indicated in cases of vertical scars and significant sagging as a consequence of bariatric surgery. This approach has the advantage of generating smaller scars and is accompanied by reduced blood loss due to the smaller detachment compared with the techniques commonly used.

This technique showed satisfactory results, even in patients with an abdominal apron. The compensation and rise of the pubis, which results in a scar over the pubic region (instead of horizontal compensation), is questionable, but is very well accepted by patients.

The rate of complications among patients in the present study was comparable to those of other techniques reported in the medical literature.16,17

CONCLUSIONS

Abdominoplasty with markings in the shape of a fish is an option for the removal of excess abdominal skin in patients who underwent bariatric surgery.
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