Complications in breast reconstruction using a transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap

Complicações em reconstrução de mama com retalho pediculado do músculo reto abdominal transverso

BACKGROUND

Breast cancer is the leading cause of death in the female population. The treatment for breast cancer is primarily surgical, which has a great psychosocial impact on women. To reduce this trauma, reconstructive surgery offers different options including the use of a retail transverse rectus abdominis (TRAM) flap. This is an alternative reconstruction that has been widely used worldwide over the last 30 years. Although reconstruction using the TRAM flap is the most widely used technique that yields the best aesthetic and functional results, it is associated with certain complications. The aim of this study is to present the main complications of reconstruction using the TRAM flap according to its variants (ipsilateral, contralateral, and bilateral) and reconstruction time.

METHODS

We evaluated 30 patients who had a mastectomy and breast reconstruction using the TRAM flap, including 25 immediate reconstructions and 5 late reconstructions, and analyzed the complications of the donor and recipient areas.

RESULTS

Among the identified complications, fat necrosis in the breast was the most frequent, but we also observed cases of infection, seroma, abdominal hernia, skin injury at the donor and recipient areas, and deep vein thrombosis. Complications were more frequent in patients who underwent late reconstruction with a bilateral TRAM flap.

CONCLUSIONS

Thus, similar to other types of surgery, breast reconstruction using the TRAM flap is associated with various postoperative complications, even 30 years after it was first introduced.


RESUMO

Introdução: O câncer de mama é a afeição que mais causa mortes na população feminina. Seu tratamento é principalmente cirúrgico, o que causa grande impacto psicossocial na mulher. Para diminuir esse abalo, a cirurgia reconstrutiva oferece diferentes opções, como o retalho do músculo reto abdominal transverso (TRAM). Essa é uma alternativa de reconstrução que tem sido bastante utilizada nos últimos 30 anos, mundialmente. Embora seja a mais aplicada e a técnica que possibilita melhores resultados estéticos e funcionais, não deixa de apresentar complicações. O objetivo deste estudo é apresentar as principais complicações do TRAM de acordo com suas variantes (ipsilateral, contralateral e bilateral) e com o tempo da reconstrução. Método: Foram avaliadas 30 pacientes submetidas a mastectomia e reconstrução mamária com TRAM pediculado, sendo 25 reconstruções imediatas e 5 tardias. Foram analisadas as complicações das áreas doadora e receptora. Resultados: Dentre as complicações identificadas, a necrose gordurosa na mama foi a mais incidente, mas também foram verificados casos de infecção, seroma, hérnia abdominal, sofrimento da pele em áreas doadora e receptora, e trombose venosa profunda. As complicações foram...
INTRODUCTION

Breast cancer has the highest prevalence and incidence among malignant tumors in women and is the second most common cancer, excluding non-melanoma skin tumors. Approximately 1.2 million new cases are diagnosed each year with a 5-year survival of approximately 4.5 million patients and a mortality rate of 15% of all cancer deaths in women, making it the leading cause of death in this group.

The treatment of breast cancer depends on the tumor type and stage, and surgery remains the main therapeutic option consisting of partial or total resection of one or both breasts. When treating women with breast cancer, it is the surgeon’s responsibility to acquire sufficient skill in the available surgical options, and choose the most appropriate option for each case. There is a trend toward performing conservative rather than radical surgery. However, total mastectomy remains a widely used technique in clinical practice since it affects patients physically, emotionally, and sexually, as well as negatively impacts their quality of life, occasionally to a greater degree than the actual disease. To avoid such effects, breast reconstruction has been adopted as an excellent alternative for patients undergoing this surgery. It promotes wellness and reverses many of the psychological and emotional consequences associated with mastectomy. Several reconstructive procedures have been described, including silicone implants, expander implants, latissimus dorsi muscle flap and the associated implants, and rectus abdominis muscle flaps (which have been used for almost 3 decades).

The first version of the vertical rectus abdominis (VRAM) flap for breast reconstruction was reported by Drever in 1977. In 1979, Holmström was the first to employ the free rectus abdominis musculocutaneous flap for breast reconstruction. In the same year, Robbins described this as a pedunculated flap. However, this flap was only popularized in 1982 by Hartrampf et al., who first described the use of the pedunculated TRAM flap for breast reconstruction. Since then, the TRAM flap and its different variants – unipediculated, bipediculated, free, and free based on a perforator ( DIEP) – have comprised the universal procedure for breast reconstruction and they are still used in the most advanced centers for treatment and breast reconstruction worldwide.

Their advantages are well documented and include excellent results in symmetry, shape, and aesthetic appearance of the reconstructed breast. Although it is a widely accepted procedure, it is not devoid of complications.

According to the literature, complications associated with breast reconstruction after TRAM are grouped into 2 categories: flap complications and donor site complications. Flap complications include partial or total loss of the flaps, both of which are related to vascular problems that possibly arise from excessive muscle devascularization or undue resection of the superior epigastric artery, fat necrosis, wound dehiscence, or infection. Donor site complications include seroma, hematoma, abdominal hernia, abdominal wall weakness, and wound dehiscence.

The aim of this study was to analyze the prevalence of complications of TRAM reconstruction in its different variants (ipsilateral, contralateral, and bilateral) according to the time at which the reconstruction was performed (immediate or delayed).
of the patients were hospitalized for 4-7 days. Thirty percent of patients had a duration of hospital stay ranging from 1 to 3 days, whereas it ranged from 8 to 10 days in 7% of patients.

Immediate reconstructions were performed in 83% of cases, whereas delayed reconstructions were performed in 17% of cases. Among the patients, 64% had comorbidities prior to mastectomy, such as cesarean sections (26%), hernia (11%), hypertension (11%), diabetes (4%), hypercholesterolemia (4%), hysterectomy (4%), and obesity (4%).

Complications were observed in 10 (33.3%) patients. Some women had more than one type of complication. The most common complications included fat necrosis of <10% of the volume of the breast (27%) and skin injury of the receiving area (10%) (Figure 1).

As for the TRAM technique, 19 (63.3%) patients underwent breast reconstruction using an ipsilateral TRAM flap, 9 (30%) underwent breast reconstruction using a contralateral TRAM flap, and 2 (6.7%) underwent breast reconstruction using a bilateral TRAM flap (Figure 2). With regard to the type of TRAM flap used in the patients who had complications, 7 (70%) patients received the ipsilateral variant, 2 (20%) received the contralateral variant, and 1 (10%) received the bilateral variant (Table 1).

Twenty-five (83.3%) patients underwent immediate reconstruction, whereas 5 (16.7%) underwent late. Among the patients who underwent immediate reconstruction, 13 (52%) had complications; among those who underwent delayed reconstruction, 4 (80%) had complications (Table 2).

**DISCUSSION**

Breast cancer, which has a high incidence and prevalence worldwide, is responsible for the high number of mastectomies performed. As a result, reconstruction operations are becoming increasingly common. Since it was first described in 1982, the TRAM flap has gained much popularity worldwide and quickly became the preferred method for breast reconstruction using autologous tissue. It is of paramount importance to first assess the steps involved in the technique for breast reconstruction since mastectomy can influence cancer treatment as well as the clinical outcome of patients.

Breast reconstruction has assumed an increasingly central role in the treatment of breast cancer due to its proven psychoemotional benefits for patients. The increased demand for breast reconstruction is accompanied by a high demand for reducing psychological damage and functional limitations resulting from oncologic and surgical mutilation. It is critical to carefully consider the indications and contraindications for the use of the TRAM flap, which is immensely popular and is widely used in several reconstructions, particularly in breast reconstruction post-mastectomy. The TRAM flap is based on the superior epigastric vessels. A muscle section technique is used to remove the flap, which

![Figure 1](image1.png) **Figure 1** – Major complications that occurred in breast reconstruction with a transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap post-mastectomy from August 2007 to August 2012 at the University Hospital Walter Cantidio.

![Figure 2](image2.png) **Figure 2** – Transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous flap variants used for breast reconstructions, between August 2007 and August 2012, at the University Hospital Walter Cantidio.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication Type of TRAM</th>
<th>Bilateral</th>
<th>Contralateral</th>
<th>Ipsilateral</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reconstruction</th>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediate</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>52%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Late</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 – Distribution of patients with and without postoperative complications according to the transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap variant used.

Table 2 – Distribution of patients with and without postoperative complications according to the timing of transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap reconstruction.
These complications have no direct relation to the type of donor and recipient areas and abdominal wall hernia. Fat necrosis in <10% of the breast volume was the most common observed complication, followed by skin injuries. Partial necrosis of the skin of the receiving area (10%) may be related to extensive resection of the subcutaneous tissue that nourishes the skin as well as excessive tension at the time of new breast creation. With regard to complications that affected the donor area, the rates observed in the present study were comparable to those of other studies, and most were associated with abdominal pain and partial necrosis. It should be noted that such complications occurred mainly in the navel and in the middle of the abdominal wound, indicating that this may be due to the increased tension in the area as well as poor blood supply to that area.

Different TRAM flap variants, including bipediculated, ipsilateral, contralateral, and bilateral, have been used in breast reconstruction, and the related complications are not representative of a specific technique. Complications of late reconstruction are similar. Several factors may be associated with complications of reconstruction, such as diabetes, obesity, and tobacco use.

CONCLUSIONS
Similar to other types of surgery, breast reconstruction using the TRAM flap, even 30 years since it was first introduced, is associated with various postoperative complications. Fat necrosis in <10% of the breast volume was the most commonly observed complication, followed by skin injuries to the donor and recipient areas and abdominal wall hernia. These complications have no direct relation to the type of TRAM flap used or the time of reconstruction.
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