Canthopexy and tarsal reinforcement using a periosteal flap

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The low resistance of the palpebral tissues to the retractable forces of postoperative scarring can predispose patients to undesirable surgical outcomes. Among the more effective techniques for solving this issue are the periosteal flaps. This report aims to present a technique for canthopexy and tarsal reconstruction using a periosteal flap to obtain a consistent and definitive reinforcement of the tarsal ligament and its continuity to the inner face of the sidewall of the orbit. Methods: From July 2009 to December 2012, 49 patients underwent canthopexy with flap rotation of the arcus marginalis of the inferior tarsus. The functional and aesthetic results were evaluated together with the presence of complications. Results: Eighty-four canthopexies and tarsal reinforcements using a periosteal flap were performed in 49 patients. No complications such as hematoma, infection, or necrosis were observed. Complete remission of the preoperative signals was observed in 45 (91.8%) patients, while the other 4 (8.2%) showed partial remission on at least one of the sides related to prior ocularis paralysis. Conclusions: Canthopexy reinforced by a tarsal periosteal flap provides good tarsal ligament reconstitution with appropriate positioning of the outer corner and good contact of the eyelid with the ocular globe.
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INTRODUCTION

Lateral canthopexy is a widely used procedure for the treatment and prevention of various disorders of the lower eyelid in reconstructive and cosmetic surgery. There are several techniques for positioning and tightening the outer corner of the eyelid from simple points of attachment to the tarsus and periosteal flaps. In all of these techniques, the lateral canthal ligament is suspended or reinserted at the level of the Whitnall’s tubercle on the inner side of the orbital rim. Bick and Tenzel contributed to the popularization of lateral canthopexy. Many surgeons perform it routinely during lower blepharoplasty or transpalpebral elevation of the middle third.

This report aims to present a technique of tarsal reconstruction using a periosteal flap to obtain a consistent and definitive tarsal reinforcement and tissue continuity to the inner face of the sidewall of the orbit (Figure 1).

METHODS

From July 2009 to December 2012, all patients who were eligible for canthopexy underwent the technique described below and were included in this study. The following criteria were used for the indication of canthopexy: eligibility for transpalpebral elevation of the middle third of the face with tarsal laxity (snap test positive), lagophthalmos, canthal dystopia, ectropion, and loss of substance of the lower eyelid.

Patients were grouped into primary or secondary cases according to the indication for surgery and were evaluated for aesthetic and functional results (remission of signs and symptoms) as well as the presence of complications. The postoperative follow-up examination was conducted at an ambulatory care center in the first week and then monthly until the third month and semiannually until the second year. Photographs were taken preoperatively and at 3 and 6 months after surgery.

Surgical Technique

The procedure was performed under local anesthesia when isolated, and under sedation or general anesthesia depending on the associated surgeries and each patient’s preference. The upper eyelid skin was incised in the palpebral crease to separate the orbicularis muscle in the direction of its fibers. The superolateral orbital margin was exposed and then the periosteum was incised with a scalpel to laterally transverse the bone crest to the supraorbital nerve until the lateral margin of the orbit above the external canthal ligament insertion was revealed. This point corresponds to the ligament insertion to be reinforced. The periosteum, or more specifically the arcus marginalis, was detached from the internal face of the orbital rim and a second incision parallel to the first one was made with an iris scissors or scalpel to delimit a 5-6-mm wide flap. The 2 incisions were united at the medial extremity and the flap remained pedicled in the inner lateral margin of the orbit just above the insertion of the lateral canthal ligament (Figure 2).

The lower eyelid incision was made as close as possible to the ciliary margin to detach the orbicularis muscle from the tarsus. A tunnel was made by divulsion with scissors, passing behind the skin and the orbicular bridge between the 2 skin incisions and ending at the front of the lateral extremity of the inferior tarsus. Through this tunnel, the flap was passed and distended on the tarsus and a stitch was made using a 6-0 nylon suture ligating its base to the lateral extremity of the tarsus. This stitch defined the correlation between the outer corner of the eyelid and the orbital margin as well as the tension given to the tarsal margin. Another 2 stitches were used to fixate the flap medially to the tarsus to ensure good contact between them (Figure 3). When canthopexy was performed as an isolated procedure, the skin incisions were sutured at this point. In contrast, if the canthopexy was performed together with other procedures, these were conducted sequentially (Figure 4).

In patients in whom large tarsal ligament laxity was noted during the snap test, shortening of the eyelid margin was performed in an associated manner using the...
the tear ducts. The fusion of the extremities of the medial and lateral flaps at the center of the eyelid was intended to strengthen the tarsus along its length (eyelid suspension “on clothesline”) (Figure 6).

RESULTS

Eighty-four canthopexies and tarsal reinforcements using periosteal flaps were performed in 49 patients. The patients were aged 7–89 years. The following were the indications for surgery: prophylactic (tarsal laxity) in 19 patients, loss of substance of the lower eyelids in 10 patients, ectropion in 7 patients, lagophthalmos in 7 patients, and canthal dystopia

Kuhnt-Szymanowski technique (Figure 5). In those patients who underwent medial periosteal canthopexy, another periosteal flap was made vertically above the medial canthal ligament and the anterior glabella (Figures 1C and 1D). The tunnel for the flap transposition passed between the orbicularis muscle and the medial canthal ligament to preserve

Figure 2 – In A, incision of the distal longitudinal edge of the periosteum flap in the orbital rim; In B, incision of the proximal edge of the flap on the inner orbit (arcus marginalis), after subperiosteal detachment; In C, preserving the lateral pedicle in the region of Whitnall’s tubercle; In D, exposure of the lower eyelid tarsus.

Figure 3 – In A, creation of a tunnel between the orbicularis muscle and the lateral canthal ligament; In B, transposition of the flap to the lower eyelid; In C, attachment of the flap near the tarsus; In D, the positioned flap.

Figure 4 – A patient with canthal dystopia and lagophthalmos who underwent canthopexy with an arcus marginalis flap and a skin graft. In A, C, and E, preoperative aspect: In B, D, and F, 3-month postoperative appearance.

Figure 5 – A patient with severe ectropion who underwent lateral canthopexy using a periosteal flap and reduction of the eyelid margin using the Kuhnt-Szymanowski technique. In A and C, preoperative appearance; In B and D, 6-month postoperative appearance.
Canthopexy and tarsal reinforcement using a periosteal flap

Canthopexy and tarsal reinforcement using a periosteal flap are highly dependent on the tissue resistance to shear with the tarsal suture. For this reason, some authors advocate local periosteal flaps to technically facilitate the canthopexy procedure and prevent dehiscence.

The periosteum of the outer face of the orbit is a structure that is commonly used in the reconstruction of the lateral and medial canthal ligament. Lemke et al. in 1999 and Game & Morlet in 2007 described the use of short flaps of the periosteum overlying the tarsal strip to rebuild a new lateral canthal ligament and prevent dehiscence. As in these techniques, the flap proposed in this paper provides some advantages over conventional canthopexy using sutures. Among these advantages are the continuity of the tarsal tissue to the orbital rim, greater stretch control, and tarsal laxity correction, by not only lateral traction but also the incorporation of periosteal tissue into the tarsus. The role of the suture connecting the flap to the tarsus is to unite the tissue for the healing process rather than to provide long-term tension. Furthermore, a flap vector that is superiorly oriented and held fixed in the region of Whitnall’s tubercle better simulates the anatomy of the lateral canthal ligament in contrast with the other periosteal flap described by some authors.

The region of the periosteum used to create the flap corresponds to the arcus marginalis. This structure is a fusion zone of the periosteum, orbital septum, and periorbital region that forms an inelastic and resistant ligament. Its anatomical position allows for the creation of a flap based on the inner wall of the orbit. This allows the flap, after being fixed to the lower tarsus, to approximate the outer corner of the eyelid to the eyeball and restore contact between the tarsal and the sclera conjunctiva. Furthermore, the septectomy that results from their preparation releases the upper-lateral fat bags, which diminishes the hollowed appearance of the orbit (Figure 7).

Since it provides a sturdy anchor of the tarsus to the orbital margin, the technique in the current study is of special importance in secondary cases and when it becomes necessary to reinsert the lateral canthal ligament because of trauma to correct an antimongolic fissure. It also represents a simpler alternative to other techniques described for the reintegration of the canthal ligament that require the creation of a hole in the bone for the passage of the suture, and its results are less prone to dehiscence since its suture is less vulnerable due to the incorporation of tissue into the tarsus and the distribution of the forces of tension.

**DISCUSSION**

The lid is composed of thin and compliant structures. The contractile capacity of the scar tissue that usually forms after a surgical procedure in this region can overcome the resistance of the palpebral tissues, resulting in retraction and lagophthalmos. For this reason, it becomes necessary to find better eyelid support, both in cases of dystopia and in cases of tarsal laxity.

The simple technique of suturing the canthal ligament to the periosteum presents some difficulty because of the small space in that region and the low ability to adjust the tarsal tension after suture. Moreover, the results of these techniques are susceptible to early or late dehiscence and are highly dependent on the tissue resistance to shear with the tarsal suture. For this reason, some authors advocate local periosteal flaps to technically facilitate the canthopexy procedure and prevent dehiscence.

The periosteum of the outer face of the orbit is a structure that is commonly used in the reconstruction of the lateral and medial canthal ligament. Lemke et al. in 1999 and Game & Morlet in 2007 described the use of short flaps of the periosteum overlying the tarsal strip to rebuild a new lateral canthal ligament and prevent dehiscence. As in these techniques, the flap proposed in this paper provides some advantages over conventional canthopexy using sutures. Among these advantages are the continuity of the tarsal tissue to the orbital rim, greater stretch control, and tarsal laxity correction, by not only lateral traction but also the incorporation of periosteal tissue into the tarsus. The role of the suture connecting the flap to the tarsus is to unite the tissue for the healing process rather than to provide long-term tension. Furthermore, a flap vector that is superiorly oriented and held fixed in the region of Whitnall’s tubercle better simulates the anatomy of the lateral canthal ligament in contrast with the other periosteal flap described by some authors.

The region of the periosteum used to create the flap corresponds to the arcus marginalis. This structure is a fusion zone of the periosteum, orbital septum, and periorbital region that forms an inelastic and resistant ligament. Its anatomical position allows for the creation of a flap based on the inner wall of the orbit. This allows the flap, after being fixed to the lower tarsus, to approximate the outer corner of the eyelid to the eyeball and restore contact between the tarsal and the sclera conjunctiva. Furthermore, the septectomy that results from their preparation releases the upper-lateral fat bags, which diminishes the hollowed appearance of the orbit (Figure 7).

Since it provides a sturdy anchor of the tarsus to the orbital margin, the technique in the current study is of special importance in secondary cases and when it becomes necessary to reinsert the lateral canthal ligament because of trauma to correct an antimongolic fissure. It also represents a simpler alternative to other techniques described for the reintegration of the canthal ligament that require the creation of a hole in the bone for the passage of the suture, and its results are less prone to dehiscence since its suture is less vulnerable due to the incorporation of tissue into the tarsus and the distribution of the forces of tension.

**CONCLUSIONS**

Canthopexy with tarsal reinforcement using a periosteal flap provides good tarsal reconstitution as well as adequate positioning of the outer corner of the eyelid and good contact with the eyeball.
Figure 7 – A patient with scleral exposure and orbital hollow subjected to lateral orbital canthopexy by the proposed technique and transpalpebral elevation of the middle third.

In A and C, preoperative appearance;
In B and D, 6-month postoperative appearance
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