Evaluation of neurosensory disturbance in patients with craniofacial syndrome subjected to horizontal chin advancement

Avaliação de déficit neurossensorial em pacientes com síndromes craniofaciais submetidos a avanço horizontal do mento

ABSTRACT

Background: Although neurosensory disturbances after genioplasty have been evaluated in different studies, standardization for testing and grading of neurosensory injuries is lacking. For this reason, the incidence of neurosensory disturbance varies from 0% to 100%, depending on the definition of nerve damage, the sensitivity of the diagnostic test method, and the follow-up period. Therefore, the aim of this study was to perform an objective evaluation of the permanent neurosensory disturbances in patients who underwent horizontal chin advancement.

Methods: A retrospective study of all patients who underwent horizontal chin advancement at the Hospital SOBRAPAR between 2009 and 2010 was conducted. The objective neurosensory assessment of the lower lip and chin was performed using 2 neurological tests, namely the Semmes–Weinstein pressure and thermal sensitivity tests. Permanent neurosensory disturbance was defined as abnormal clinical test results obtained at least 12 months after surgery.

Results: Thirteen patients (8 with craniofacial syndrome) were evaluated. The prevalence of the patients who showed normal results for sensitivity to pressure/touch and thermal sensitivity (warm and cold; P < 0.05) was significantly high. The analysis of the data of the patients with craniofacial syndrome revealed that most of the patients had normal pressure sensitivity test results (P < 0.003). Results from the thermal sensitivity tests showed no significant difference between these patients (P = 0.317). No significant differences were observed between the anatomical regions with abnormal sensitivity test results (P > 0.05).

Conclusions: Tactile sensitivities of the lower lip and chin to pressure and temperature were preserved in most of the patients 12 months after horizontal chin advancement.
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RESUMO

Introdução: Embora os distúrbios neurossensoriais após genioplastias tenham sido avaliados em diferentes estudos, não existe uma padronização de como testar e classificar tais alterações. Por essa razão, a incidência de distúrbios neurossensoriais varia de 0 a 100%,
dependendo da definição da lesão dos nervos, da sensibilidade do método diagnóstico e do período de seguimento. Portanto, o propósito deste estudo foi avaliar objetivamente o déficit neurosensorial permanente em pacientes submetidos a avanço horizontal do mento.

**Método:** Foi realizado estudo retrospectivo de todos os pacientes submetidos a avanço horizontal do mento no Hospital SOBRAPAR, no período de 2009 a 2010. A avaliação neurosensorial objetiva do lábio inferior e do mento foi realizada com dois testes neurológicos (teste dos limiares de pressão de Semmes-Weinstein e teste de sensibilidade térmica). O déficit neurosensorial permanente foi definido como testes clínicos anormais com no mínimo 12 meses de pós-operatório.

**Resultados:** Foram avaliados 13 pacientes, sendo 8 deles portadores de síndromes craniofaciais. Houve predomínio de pacientes com os testes de sensibilidade tátil à pressão e térmica (quente e frio) normal (P < 0,05). A análise dos pacientes sindrômicos revelou que a maioria teve o teste de sensibilidade tátil à pressão normal (P < 0,003), não existindo diferenças no teste de sensibilidade térmica (P = 0,317). Não foram identificadas diferenças entre as regiões anatômicas com testes de sensibilidade anormais (P > 0,05).

**Conclusões:** A maioria dos pacientes apresenta sensibilidade tátil (pressão e temperatura) do lábio inferior e mento preservada 12 meses após terem sido submetidos a avanço horizontal do mento.

**Descritores:** Mentoplastia. Queixo/cirurgia. Procedimentos cirúrgicos ortognáticos. Nervo mandibular.

**INTRODUCTION**

The chin occupies a prominent position in the lower third of the face and represents an element that contributes to overall facial appearance and harmony. Therefore, disturbances in chin shape, anatomic position, and size can cause aesthetic disharmony among the bone components of the craniofacial skeleton. Different surgical approaches have been used to alter chin size and spatial position.

Although alloplastic chin implants are used most commonly for correction of minimal sagittal chin deficiencies (usually less than 5 mm), the horizontal osteotomy of the symphysis (commonly designated as osseous genioplasty or simply genioplasty) is a far more versatile procedure. In this case, the chin can be repositioned in multiple planes, allowing for long-lasting results.

In fact, genioplasty is the only surgical solution for asymmetric and vertical disturbances, as well as for significant sagittal deficiencies. Moreover, this technique represents the only alternative to correct chin malformations in patients with craniofacial syndromes (e.g., Treacher–Collins–Franceschetti syndrome, Nager syndrome, and craniofacial microsomia). These patients often require extensive chin advancement associated with chin repositioning in multiple planes to correct their complex asymmetries. Furthermore, the horizontal osteotomy of the chin may result in effective traction of the genioglossus and geniohyoid muscles and increase in the chin-neck angle, thus improving breathing in these syndromic patients.

Regardless of the technique used, complications such as hematoma, seroma, infection, osteonecrosis, ptosis of the lip/chin, heterotopic ossification, dental complications, irregular mandibular contours, and neurosensory disturbances of the lower lip and/or chin can arise from chin surgery. Of particular interest are these neurosensory disturbances, as they can compromise oral functions such as salivation and retention of food particles, and also lead to local trauma accompanied by negative psychosocial impact on these patients.

Even though there are studies that examined neurosensory disturbances after genioplasty, several limitations have been described. Comparison of results is often complicated because these studies use not only different methods of analysis but also a lack of standardization of the tests used to detect neurosensory abnormalities. Thus, the incidence of neurosensory disturbances is extremely variable (0% to 100%), depending on the definition of nerve damage, the sensitivity of the diagnostic test method, and the follow-up period. Studies that use standard methods of analysis and follow-up periods are necessary. So far, the national literature comprises no descriptive studies addressing neurosensory loss in syndromic patients who underwent horizontal advancement of the chin. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to perform an objective evaluation of the permanent neurosensory disturbances in patients who underwent horizontal chin advancement.

**METHODS**

This study was approved by the Committee of Ethics and Human Research of the Hospital SOBRAPAR and complied with the Declaration of Helsinki of 1975, revised in 1983.
A retrospective study of all patients who underwent horizontal advancement of the chin at the Hospital SOBRAPAR between February 2009 and September 2010 was conducted. The medical records of all the patients subjected to genioplasty were analyzed. All the patients who underwent horizontal chin advancement performed by the same group of surgeons (same education and philosophy) and with a minimal postoperative follow-up of 12 months were included in this study. Patients with a previous history of factors that could interfere with the sensitivity of the lower lip and chin, such as diabetes mellitus, smoking, facial trauma, neurosensory disturbances prior to genioplasty, and/or damage of the inferior alveolar nerves or of the mental nerves detected during the surgical intervention were excluded from the study.

A total of 13 patients, between 18 and 36 years of age, met the inclusion criteria. Of the patients, 8 (61.54%) were male and 5 (38.46%) were female. Eight (61.54%) patients had craniofacial syndrome, 6 (46.15%) had Treacher–Collins–Franceschetti syndrome, and 2 (15.38%) had Crouzon syndrome. The mean hospitalization time was 1.46 ± 1.20 days, and the mean postoperative follow-up period was 22.69 ± 5.82 months, ranging from 13 to 29 months.

**Surgical Strategy**

All surgical plans (repositioning of the chin in vertical, horizontal, or side planes and advancement size) were based on previously established recommendations (clinical and photoccephalometric analyses). Additional details were reported by our group.

**Surgical Anatomy**

A few anatomic sites such as the course of the inferior alveolar nerve from the point where it enters the mandibular foramen (medial section of the ramus) up to where it exits the mental foramen (between the second and third premolars) are extremely important to minimize neurosensory disturbances. The mandibular foramen is situated 0 mm to 8 mm vertically and 20 mm below the lingula and incisura, respectively. In terms of anteroposterior position, the mandibular foramen is situated approximately 20 mm off the anterior margin of the ramus, and its depth corresponds to approximately two-thirds of the total width of the ramus. The channel makes its way inside the mandible until it exits into the mental foramen, situated 10 to 15 mm off the inferior border. An important aspect of the genioplasty is the fact that before emerging in the mental foramen, there is an approximately 5 mm caudal extension of the channel.

**Surgical Technique**

All surgeries were performed according to the same standards. A solution containing a local anesthetic was first injected in the oral mucosa area, toward the second premolar tooth. The surgery started with an incision in the oral mucosa, perpendicular to the mentalis muscle and to the mental periosteum. Detachment of the anterior bone area was performed until the alveolar nerve was visualized, which is normally found between the first and second premolar and inferior to the depessor anguli oris muscle. The nerve was subsequently protected before initiating the osteotomies. The medial region was then delineated with methylene blue. An oscillating saw was used to perform the medial osteotomy of the chin. A reciprocating saw was used in the lateral areas to complete the horizontal osteotomy of the chin. The osteotomy was performed at a distance of 5 mm from the canine root and 6 mm below the mentonian nerve. Once the horizontal osteotomy of the chin was finalized, an elevator was used in the caudal direction to complete the fracture. The fractured distal chin segment was kept connected to the genioglossus and geniohyoid muscles, which is responsible for the blood supply to the fractured area. The most forward-projecting cephalometric area of the chin (pogonion) did not surpass the imaginary line perpendicular to the lower lip, thus ensuring a natural and harmonious result. Chin fixation was performed with plates and 2-mm screws (Figure 1).

**Objective Neurosensory Evaluation**

All the participants were tested with eyes closed in a comfortable position (dorsal decubitus) at room temperature in a room without any acoustic or visual perturbation. The objective neurosensory evaluation of the lower lip and chin was performed with 2 neurological tests (objective and qualitative Semmes–Weinstein threshold test and objective thermal sensitivity test). These tests measure the decrease in sensitivity perceived by the patients. Tests were conducted at the same order and by the same investigator in all the patients. Before each neurosensory test, the application of each instrument was tested in a skin area with normal sensitivity. A stimulus was also applied to a skin area with normal sensitivity at every 2 or 3 stimuli non-identified by
the patient, thus allowing the patient to have a reference for a normal cutaneous sensation.

For test standardization, the anatomic area innervated by the inferior alveolar nerve (specifically the mental nerve) was divided into 4 areas as follows:

1. Medial section of the chin and lower lip, situated 1 cm bilaterally from the cutaneous and mucosal side, starting from the mandibular symphysis.
2. Paramedial section, situated 2 cm bilaterally from the cutaneous and mucosal side, starting from the mandibular symphysis.
3. Mental foramen section, situated 3 cm bilaterally from the cutaneous and mucosal side starting from the mandibular symphysis, a few millimeters below the roots of the fourth and fifth teeth.
4. Border region of the lower lip vermillion (Figure 2).

Both sides of the face were tested separately. Permanent neurosensory disturbance was defined as abnormal clinical test results obtained at least 12 months after surgery.

Semmes–Weinstein Threshold Test

The objective and quantitative evaluations of tactile sensitivity were performed using the Semmes–Weinstein pressure aesthesiometer (SORRI®, Bauru, SP, Brazil), according to procedures previously described in similar studies. All the tests were performed with the same group of nylon monofilaments of increasing diameter and followed the same standardization.

The test started with the thinner filament, followed by filaments with progressively increasing thickness. The patient was asked to answer yes when the touch of the monofilament was felt. Then, a filament immediately thicker was tested, but only in the areas without positive response to the previous filament. Each stimulus was maintained for approximately 1.5 seconds, and articulate movements were avoided during use of the monofilaments. For each tested monofilament, the stimuli were applied 4 times in each area of interest. The stimulus response was considered positive when there were at least 3 (75%) correct answers (3 of 4 correct stimuli). The Semmes–Weinstein monofilaments 2.83 and 3.22 were selected as the upper limit of normality for the detection thresholds for the lower lip and chin, respectively.

Test of Thermal Sensitivity

Thermal sensitivity was evaluated using the hot-cold discrimination test. Two small glass test tubes containing cold (15°C to 20°C) or hot (40°C to 45°C) water were used. The test area was randomly touched 10 times with the test tube and the patient had to report whether the stimulus was hot or cold. Test results were considered normal when 80% of the answers were correct.

Statistical Analysis

All data were subjected to statistical analysis using the test for equality of 2 proportions with the software Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 16, for Windows (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). A p value < 0.05 was considered as statistically significant with a confidence interval of 95%.

RESULTS

A total of 13 patients were subjected to genioplasty. The horizontal advancement of the chin ranged from 8 to 12 mm, with a mean of 10.23 ± 1.09 mm. Full or partial incision of the inferior alveolar nerve and/or of the mental nerve was not evident in any of the osteotomies performed. No surgical complications were detected during the postoperative period, and there was no receding in the chin position, as shown by imaging and cephalometric measures (Figures 3 and 4).

Semmes–Weinstein Threshold Test

Most of the patients showed a normal response when subjected to the tactile point pressure sensitivity test using the Semmes–Weinstein monofilaments (p < 0.05). Most of the syndromic patients also showed a normal response to the test (p < 0.003). No significant differences were observed between the anatomical regions (1 and 2) with abnormal pressure sensitivity test results (p > 0.05, Table 1). Tactile point pressure neurosensitivity test results revealed that 1 patient (7.69%) with Treacher–Collins–Franceschetti syndrome (12-mm advancement) and 1 patient (7.69%) with craniofacial syndrome (10-mm advancement) showed disturbances in region 1 and regions 1 and 2, respectively.

Thermal Sensitivity Results

The prevalence of the patients who showed a normal response to the hot/cold thermal sensitivity test was significantly high (p < 0.05). No significant differences were
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detected when the syndromic patients were considered (p = 0.317). No significant differences were observed between the anatomical regions (1, 2, and 4; p > 0.05) with abnormal thermal sensitivity test results. Results for thermal sensitivity to heat revealed that 3 patients (23.08%) with craniofacial syndrome (1 with Crouzon syndrome and 2 with Treacher–Collins–Franceschetti syndrome subjected to 10- and 12-mm advancements, respectively) and 1 (7.69%) without craniofacial syndrome (10-mm advancement) demonstrated neurosensory disturbances in regions 1 and regions 1, 2, and 4, respectively. The same patient without craniofacial syndrome (10-mm advancement) demonstrated neurosensory disturbances in regions 1 and 2 when subjected to a cold sensitivity test.

**DISCUSSION**

Genioplasty, whether in combination or not with other orthognathic procedures, has been commonly used to correct functional and aesthetic chin malformations. Although excellent functional and aesthetic results can be obtained, this surgical intervention may cause neurosensory disturbances during the postoperative period. The alterations in lower lip and chin sensitivity have been attributed to lesions in 1 or both mental nerves. Lesions may occur during mucosal incision, musculoperiosteal dissection, osteotomy execution, bone segment repositioning, and/or stabilization of the fractured bone fragments. On the basis of these considerations, caution should be taken while manipulating mental nerves during tissue dissection. This has a significant impact on the conception of osteotomies and on the surgeon's ability to safely isolate and protect the mental nerves. Most of the neurosensory abnormalities detected during the postoperative period are reversible. However, neurosensory disturbances that persist even a year after a mandibular osteotomy should be considered more severe and permanent. For this reason, only patients with at least 12 months of postoperative follow-up were analyzed in this study.

The results of the present study are substantially similar to those of other studies. Most of the patients with and those without craniofacial syndromes had their neurosensory sensitivity preserved 1 year after the surgery. Only 3 patients (23.08%) with craniofacial syndrome and 1 (7.69%) without this syndrome had permanent neuro-
sensory disturbances. One patient with Treacher–Collins–Franceschetti syndrome subjected to a 12-mm horizontal chin advancement and 1 patient without syndrome subjected to a 10-mm horizontal chin advancement demonstrated tactile and thermal (hot and cold) neurosensory disturbances, respectively. The literature reports that neurosensory disturbance rates after genioplasty ranges from 0% to 28.5%, which depends in part on the method used to detect the neurosensory abnormality\cite{3-15,18,19}. Generally, the tool and method used to document the neurosensory disturbance should be specific and able to detect a wide variety of disturbances (from complete anesthesia to near-normal sensitivity). In addition, these tools/methods need to be sensitive and reproducible across time\cite{12}. In this study, the Semmes–Weinstein threshold test was the tool selected to analyze neurosensory disturbances, on the basis of a recommendation from a recent systematic review\cite{10}. According to other authors, this is the most sensitive and clinically useful neurosensory test because it provides quantitative data and reliable objectives\cite{12,14}. However, it is important to note that more advanced and complex methods to characterize neurosensory disturbances are available. Methods that include electric stimulation, electromyography, somatosensory-evoked potential, and thermal quantitative sensory testing may characterize neurosensory disturbances more adequately\cite{11}. Moreover, this study also used thermal sensitivity testing as a secondary tool, as used by other authors\cite{15}.

In the present study, all the patients who showed sensitive disturbances were subjected to genioplasty with advancements greater than 10 mm (defined as extensive\cite{20}). It is interesting that anatomical region 1 was involved in all the cases with permanent neurosensory disturbances. The authors hypothesized that this was because region 1 was the most distal area of mental innervation and, consequently, more susceptible to damage during the large tissue distension inherent to the extensive chin advancement. As the regions more affected and those less affected were not statistically correlated, future studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

Another aspect relevant to the execution of advancement genioplasty is that the ability, experience, and knowledge in a specific surgical anatomy may influence the risk for postoperative neurological complications\cite{17}. Thus, it is important to teach this technique to all plastic surgery residents in training\cite{4}.

Two limitations of this study can be pointed out as follows: lack of neurosensitivity evaluation of the chin and lower lip prior to genioplasty and lack of use of more modern and sophisticated methods to analyze neurosensitivity. Future studies should evaluate these aspects.

**CONCLUSIONS**

This retrospective observational study demonstrated that most of the patients with and those without craniofacial syndrome had their tactile (pressure and temperature) neurosensory sensitivity preserved for 12 months after undergoing horizontal chin advancement.
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