ABSTRACT

The radiation balance of hedgerows is an important variable in studies of mass and energy exchanges between partial ground cover crops and the atmosphere. This paper describes a device with eight net radiometers encompassing the plants of a hedgerow. The radiometers were moved along a length of hedgerow, in a continuous and reversible movement. The canopy net radiation in this length (Rnc) was found by integration of the measurements over the notional cylinder formed. The device showed good performance and provided reliable measurements of Rnc of coffee hedgerows, showing itself to be an useful technique of measurement in field conditions. Good correlations between Rnc and global solar radiation, turfgrass and coffee crop net radiation were found in 15-min, daytime and 24-hr periods, allowing the possibility of estimating Rnc from these simple measurements. Beer’s law was also used to have an independent estimation of Rnc. A good agreement was found between values of Rnc estimated by this law of attenuation and those integrated by the device in periods of 15 min, with overestimation of 10%, whereas for values integrated over daytime periods the agreement was not satisfactory.
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INTRODUCTION

The amount of all-wave radiation absorbed by a canopy is an important variable determining transpiration and photosynthesis. Measurement of net radiation of single trees or hedgerows of orchards, vineyards and coffee plantation is not easily carried out. To overcome this difficulty, some models have been proposed to estimate light interception by trees (Wünche et al., 1995) and the absorption of radiation by hedgerow canopies, as well as for orchards and vineyards (Charles-Edwards and Thornley, 1973; Charles-Edwards and Thorpe, 1976; Riou et al., 1989).

Nevertheless, direct measurements are required to validate the models. Landsberg et al. (1975) and Thorpe (1978) used eight fixed linear net radiometers deployed along a row in an apple orchard, in order to integrate all-wave radiation absorbed by 1.0 m of hedgerow, assuming horizontal cylindrical geometry of measurements. McNaughton et al. (1992) developed a device, which they named “Whirligig”, with eight net radiometers and sixteen PAR sensors fixed on a circular frame, rotating around a tree of *Robinia pseudoacacia*, allowing time-space integration of the radiation balance of the tree. The “Whirligig” technique has been used in some studies involving radiation balance of isolated trees (Green, 1993; Green et al., 1995; Green and McNaughton, 1997; Green et al., 2001; Angelocci et al., 2004).

Direct measurement of radiation absorbed by canopies of crops planted in hedgerows needs to be more studied. This paper describes a device designed to measure all-wave radiation absorbed by the canopy of plant hedgerows and its performance when used in coffee crops, allowing time-space integration of the measurements by using moving net radiometers. The measured values were correlated to global solar radiation, turfgrass and coffee crop net radiation and were also compared with the absorbed radiation by the hedgerows estimated from Beer’s law.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The measurements were carried out during ten days from August to October 2002 in a 5 year old crop of *Coffea arabica* L. cv. Mundo Novo, grafted on *Coffea canephora* cv. Apoatã, and during nine days in April and May 2004, in a 3 year old crop of *Coffea arabica* cv. Obatã, in Piracicaba, SP, Brazil (22° 42’ S; 47° 30’ W; 546 m). The coffee plants were planted in rows oriented approximately SE-NW (azimuth of 345°), with spacing of 2.5 X 1.0 m and 3.5 X 0.9 m, forming hedgerows of 2.5 m-height and 1.6 m-wide, and 1.2 m-height and 0.8 m wide in 2002 and 2004, respectively. The soil was a Typic Rhodustults.

The all-wave radiation balance was measured using eight net radiometers model Q7.1 (Radiation and Energy Balance Systems, Seattle, WA, USA) by scanning four plants. They were fixed at intervals of 45° on a vertical circular frame built with a iron tube (40 mm diameter), with diameters of 2.3 m in 2002 and 1.46 m in 2004, encompassing the canopy (Figure 1A, B). The frame was open at the bottom to allow its free displacement along the hedgerow. It moved on two iron rails installed along the plant row, in a continuous and reversible movement driven by an iron chain coupled to a gearbox and powered by an electrical motor (0.75 HP) fixed at the end of one rail. When the frame reached one of the ends of the pathway it passed in front of a photocell, which emitted an electrical signal activating a system for reversing the movement of the frame and, consequently, the direction of the displacement. In the other end of the pathway, the movement reversion was provided by a worm gear. The time spent by the sensors to complete a cycle of displacement was 40 seconds.

In 2004, as a consequence of the frequent movement stops of the device in 2002, occurring just after the reversal of the movement, the photocell was eliminated and a simple, totally mechanical system of reversion described by Pilau & Angelocci (2004) was used, solving the problem.

The number of eight net radiometers was the same used by Landsberg et al. (1975) and Thorpe (1978) in a hedgerow...
of apple orchard, with a cylindrical geometry of measurement. McNaughton et al. (1992) also used the same number of radiometers when they proposed the use of the “Whirligig” around an isolated tree. They found that placing the sensor plate of the net radiometers in non-horizontal positions did not have a significant effect on their calibration factors determined in the horizontal position. Calibrations of the net radiometers were performed in the horizontal positions, being calculated to match all the sensors to the mean value of the set of them.

In the cylindrical geometry of measurements, it was assumed that each radiometer sampled 1/8 of the lateral surface of the notional cylinder of measurements, without taking into account the radiation exchange by the bases of the cylinder. So, the integrated value of all-wave radiation absorbed by a segment of coffee plants row in a period of time can be computed by:

\[
R_{nc} = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{8} R_{ni} \right) d_h \cdot 2 \pi R
\]  

(1)

where \( R_{ni} \) is the net radiation measured by each radiometer; \( d_h \) the horizontal distance of the hedgerow scanned by the sensors and \( R \) the radius of the imaginary cylinder.

The device, including the rails, was black-painted to reduce the reflection of solar radiation. This procedure was adopted because some measurements performed before the painting and with no plant inside the frame showed that reflection of solar radiation could be an important source of error. Alignment of the net radiometers was carried out by focusing each one to the geometric center of the circular frame. Thus, the plate of one radiometer faced that of the opposite one in the frame (Figure 2).

\[
\frac{R_{nc}}{LA} = \frac{R_n(1 - e^{-kLA})}{LAI}
\]  

(2)

where \( R_{nc} \) is the radiation absorbed per leaf area unit (W m\(^{-2}\)); \( R_n \) the net radiation measured above the crop per unit of ground area (W m\(^{-2}\)); \( k \) the extinction coefficient; \( LA \) the leaf area index with basis on the projected area of the canopy on the ground.

To calculate the extinction coefficient it was considered that the average of the values measured by NR1 and NR8 represented net radiation under the canopy and those of NR4 and NR5 net radiation on the top of the canopy (Figure 2).

During the measurements in 2002, a net radiometer (NR Lite, Kipp & Zonen, Netherlands) was installed 4.0 m above the ground, to measure net radiation of the coffee crop. Estimation of radiation absorbed by the hedgerow by Beer’s law was not tested in 2004 because there was no measurement of net radiation above the crop.

Leaf area (LA) of each scanned plant was determined by two methods. In the first method, all leaves of these plants were counted and multiplied by the mean leaf area (ALA) calculated by:

\[
ALA = LWF
\]  

(3)

where \( L \) is the mean length and \( W \) the mean width of leaves determined from a sample of 10% of the leaves of the plants scanned by the system, and \( F \) a factor obtained from a linear regression equation between the individual leaf area measured by an area meter (LI 3100 Area Meter, LI-COR, Inc.) and the product of the length (L) by the width (W) of 50 leaves randomly collected from the scanned coffee plants, resulting in a value of 0.703 (R\(^2\) = 0.99). This same factor 0.703, obtained in 2002, was also used to determine the leaf area of coffee plants in 2004.

The second method to estimate LA, used only in 2002, was based on measurements carried out with a LAI-2000 Canopy Analyzer (LI-COR, Inc, Lincoln, NE, USA). The mean value of LA was obtained by the arithmetic average of LA determined by the two methods, and it was considered as a reference value, used in all calculations. Values of LA and leaf area index (LAI) for the four coffee plants scanned by the radiometers in the two years are shown in Table 1.

Figure 2. Schematic representation of the net radiometers placement on the circular frame. The displacement of the sensors along the coffee plants row determines a notional cylindrical geometry of measurement.
Table 1. Values of leaf area (LA) for each plant (PI) determined with the canopy analyzer and by counting the leaves and measuring their width and length. Leaf area index (LAI) was calculated based on the projected canopy area on the soil surface.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>2002</th>
<th>2004</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LA (m²)</td>
<td>LAI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAI-2000</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Counting</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Examples of the daily course of the eight net radiometers measurements through days with overcast (A) and clear sky (B) in 2002 are shown in Figure 3. With clear sky, an expected temporal (morning and afternoon) symmetry of the radiation absorbed by the two hedgerow faces was observed due to the planting rows orientation, as showed by the curves of the four upper net radiometers (3 and 4 facing to SE and 5 and 6 to NW quadrants). The upper half of the canopy was responsible for more than 90% of the coffee hedgerow net radiation. The participation of the lower half part of the canopy on the total amount of absorbed radiation was very small, with slightly positive or negative values, due to the high leaf density leading to high attenuation of radiation. In days of overcast sky, the symmetry of the absorbed radiation by the two sides of the hedgerow was not well defined due to the predominance of multi-directional diffuse radiation, but the largest contribution of the upper-half canopy sensors was always present.

The lack of an independent method to measure the absorption of radiation by the canopy made difficult the evaluation of the performance of the moving device and to draw conclusions about the reliability of its measurements, as also discussed by McNaughton et al. (1992). One error source is the null deviation, which can be detected by performing the measurement with the device free of plants inside it. In this situation values close to zero are expected, because if the opposite net radiometers are matched, instantaneous measurements and, consequently, the integrated values of the eight radiometers should be null for a determined period of time. So, the deviation from the null (DRn) of the integrated values of the eight net radiometers can be taken as a measure of the partial error introduced by problems of sensor positioning or by other sources of errors, like sensors calibration and reflected radiation from the device components on the sensors.

Daily course of DRn for periods of 10-min is shown in Figure 4. Two peaks observed through each day, one of negative values, reaching about -40 W m⁻² around 7:00 AM and the other of positive values up to 100 W m⁻² around 9:00 AM. The mean DRn in the daytime was equal to 10.0 W m⁻², whereas in the night time low deviations tending to zero were observed. The mean values of DRn throughout the three days was 5.6 W m⁻², caused predominantly by the two peaks in the early morning. Excluding these peaks, it can be assumed that errors caused by the zero deviation had a low effect on...
the integrated value of net radiation in the daytime or 24-hour periods. McNaughton et al. (1992) also found small values of DRn in their study with the “Whirligig”.

Another way to check the reliability of the values of Rnc is to compare them with the latent heat flow of the coffee canopy. During the net radiation measurements in 2002, daily sap flow of the four encompassed plants was determined by the stem heat balance method (Sakuratani, 1981; Baker & Van Bavel, 1987), as described by Marin (2003). If the sap flow of the four plants integrated in 24-h period is considered as representative of the daily transpiration (Valancogne & Nasr, 1993), then it is possible to calculate the latent heat flux equivalent to transpiration in a 24-h period and compare it with the net radiation absorbed by the coffee canopy in the same period. A good relationship between the two variables is shown in Figure 5, indicating that the heat latent flux (LE) was equivalent to about 67% of Rnc. If calculated by the average of the ratios of these two variables, in the studied days, LE represented 73% of the net radiation absorbed by the coffee plants. As these plants were irrigated, the latent heat flux predominated over the sensible heat flux and the ratio of about 2:1 between these two processes, found in this study, seems very reasonable, strengthening the conclusion about the reliability of the measurement by the moving system.

Linear relationships, forced to pass by the origin of the x-y axis fitted well net radiation by hedgerow length unit (Rnc, expressed in MJ m⁻¹ 15 min⁻¹) and global solar radiation and turfgrass net radiation (Rg and Rng, in MJ m⁻² 15 min⁻¹), for 15-min periods (Figure 6A, B). Difference between leaf areas of coffee plants in the two years (Table 1) led to different slopes of the fitted lines.

Normalizing the coffee hedgerow net radiation by leaf area unit (Rnc/LA), linear relationships also fitted data for both cases (global solar radiation and turfgrass net radiation) for 15-min periods (Figure 6C, D), with significant values of R².

Values calculated for more extended periods are normally interesting for certain purposes. Values of coffee hedgerow net radiation per leaf area unit, integrated over the daytime period (from 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM in September, from 5:45 AM and 6:15 PM in October, 2002, and from 6:00 AM to 6:00 PM in April and May, 2004) and for 24-hr period were correlated with global solar radiation and turfgrass net radiation (Figure 6E, F). Linear relationships showed higher values of R² than curvilinear ones.

Good correlations were also observed between coffee hedgerow net radiation (Rnc and Rnc/LA) and net radiation measured above the coffee crop (Rncrop), with quadratic relationships fitting better data than linear ones (Figure 6G, H).

In spite of the small number of days used, they were representative of two seasons with different solar declination and the results confirm the observation of Angelocci et al. (2004) about the possibility of estimating hedgerow net radiation from routine and simple measurements like Rg, Rng and Rncrop. Caution must be taken to extrapolate the found relationships to other coffee crops, because they are valid for similar conditions of canopy geometry and leaf densities observed in this study.

Estimation of net radiation absorbed by leaf area unit of coffee plants using Beer’s law, integrated in periods of 15 min, resulted in values which agreed well with the measurements by the moving system, with a tendency of overestimation of about 10% (Figure 7A), indicating the possibility to estimate Rnc from the measurement of global radiation or gross net radiation, leaf area index and extinction coefficient. The average extinction coefficient (k), calculated by assuming an average LAI of 3.5, was equal to 0.53, with a standard deviation of 0.18. When data were compared in the daytime period, the agreement between estimated and measured values was not good (Figure 7B), with an overestimation of about 28%. Probably, this is a consequence of the inadequate value of the extinction coefficient and more studies are necessary to draw a conclusion about this.
Figure 6. Relationships between net radiation per hedgerow length unit (Rnc) and solar global radiation (Rg), and turfgrass net radiation (Rng) (A,B), integrated for periods of 15 min; between net radiation per leaf area unit (Rnc/LA) and Rg and Rng, integrated for periods of 15 min (C,D) and for daily (E), and daytime and 24-hr periods (F); between net radiation per hedgerow length unit (Rnc) and net radiation measured over the crop-Rncrop (G); between net radiation per leaf area unit (Rnc/LA) and Rncrop (H).
CONCLUSIONS

1. The moving system had a good performance, showing itself to be a technique able to give reliable measurements of coffee hedgerows net radiation.

2. Good relationships between the radiation balance of coffee hedgerows (Rnc) and global solar radiation, gross net radiation, and coffee plantation net radiation were found, showing the possibility to estimate the first from one of the three variables.

3. Estimates of absorbed radiation by the canopy, based on Beer’s law, agreed well with the measured values integrated for 15-min periods, with a tendency of overestimation of about 10%, while for integrated values for the daytime period there was a tendency of overestimation of about 28%.
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